

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines


Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + Keep it legal Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/





. 4 •

Clarendon Press Series

GREEK

HISTORICAL INSCRIPTIONS

HICKS

.

•

London

HENRY FROWDE



OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE

7 PATERNOSTER ROW

Clarendon Press Series

A MANUAL

0F

GREEK

HISTORICAL INSCRIPTIONS

BY

E. L. HICKS, M.A.

LATE FELLOW AND TUTOR OF CORPUS CHRISTI COLLEGE, ONFORD

Ørford

AT THE CLARENDON PRESS

٠

1882

[All rights reserved]

DF 12 .M35 1882a

CAROLO · THOMAE · NEWTON ·

MAGISTRO · DISCIPVLVS ·

AMICVS · AMICO ·

 $\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{D}$

²Αλλ' ίθι νῦν παρὰ χειρὸς ἐμῶς βραχὺ βιβλίον ὕδη, Έλλάδος ἀρχαίας μνημοσύνοισι πρέπον³ ἀντ' εὐερϝεσιῶν δὲ τάχ' ἂν χάρις εὕχαρις ἕλθοις ἀ、≿ρὶ φίλφ, πολλῶς τ' ἀντὶ διδασκαλίας.

7/26/84 14p/.

•

CONTENTS.

INTRODUCTION					PAGE ix—xxiii
Editions of Works Referred to					XXV
Notanda					x xv ii
PART I. Before the Persian War . B. C. 700-490.			•	•	1-9
PART II. From the Persian to the P B. C. 490-431.	elopo	nnesia	n Wa	178	10-60
PART III. The Peloponnesian War B. C. 431-404.	•	•	•	•	61-115
PART IV. From the archonship of 2 of Chæronea B. C. 403-338.	Eukli	d to t	he ba	ttle	116-203
PART V. From the battle of Chæron Alexander	iea tu	the o	death	of	204–228
PART VI. From the death of Alexan invasion B. C. 323-278.		o the			229-275
PART VII. From Pyrrhos to Flamin B. C. 280-197.	inus	•		•	276-322
PART VIII. From Flamininus to Mu B. C. 196–146.	uonni	U-	•	•	323-340
PART IX. From Mummius to Sulla B. C. 145-80.	•	•	•		341-356
INDEX			•	•	357-371

INTRODUCTION.

THE history of the Hellenic people, from the days of their struggle with Persia, down to their submission to the Western Conquerors, is a story which can never tire, if only for its wealth of striking and pathetic incidents. But it is the intellectual greatness of the Greeks. and their important influence upon the world, which invests with a peculiar interest everything connected with them. In poetry, in philosophy, in art, they have shaped the thoughts of all succeeding time. And the history of the Greeks, thus unique in its interest, lies open to us in a literature equally original. So rich is their historical literature, that the very brilliance of Herodotos, Thukydides, and Xenophon almost blinds us to the sterling common sense of a Polybios, or the painstaking labours of a Nor do we always remember how much valuable Diodoros. history we owe to the accurate notes of travellers like Strabo and Pausanias. Even the very gossip of Greek political circles survives for us in the jests of Aristophanes, or the anecdotes of Plutarch and Athenseos.

The literary documents bearing upon Greek history form a very wide field, upon which the labours of many generations of scholars have been spent, with the result of recalling for the modern reader the very colour and movement of ancient Greek life in the pages of Thirlwall, Grote, Curtius, and other great writers. But while the literary data have thus been subjected to the most careful sifting, and have been assuming a more complete and final form, another and supplementary class of documents has been acquiring new prominence. The liberation of Greece, and the increasing facilities

INTRODUCTION.

for travel in the Levant, began early in this century to bring to light a larger number of archæological monuments connected with classical Greece than had ever been known before; and it suited the scientific temper of the time to turn to these with an instinctive energy for their careful investigation.

To a certain degree any and every object recovered from the ruins of antiquity will help in the illustration of ancient life. But the study of archæology rises almost to an equality with the study of ancient literature, when we find in a work of art the interpretation of the spirit of a period, or when the excavation of an ancient site unlocks the secret of its history. It is true that the very richness of Greek literary records has deprived the evidence of Greek archæology of some of its importance. Nevertheless the evidence of Greek coinage has never failed to engage the attention of historians; and any scholar may see at a glance how intimately Greek politics are illustrated by Greek coinage, by turning over the numismatic manuals lately issued by the British Museum¹.

With good reason I have reminded the reader of the historical importance of Greek coinage; for in approaching the study of inscriptions, it will be instructive to compare our work with the work of the numismatist. Now the coin and the inscription have this in common, that both of them are works of art, and both of them also bear a written record. But although the coin usually contains a stamped legend, yet it obviously belongs more to archeology than to literature. When however we turn to inscriptions, the literary interest is the primary consideration, the archeological interest stands second. The inscription combines, it is true, some of the interest of a piece of sculpture, together with that of a manuscript. The archeologist is concerned to note the metal, or the kind of marble employed; the ornamentation, if any, as an index of the age and the style of art-cultivation; the size, shape, and

¹ I refer to Mr. Head's Guide to the Coins of the Ancients, 1881, of which four parts have been issued; Coinage of Syracuse, 1874, and Coinage of Ephesus, 1880, by the same; Coinage of Elis, 1879, by Professor Gardner.

manner of the lettering, as invaluable marks of the date and locality. But as a literary document the inscription has all the interest of an archetype manuscript: it is an authentic record of the time to which it refers. Whatever amount of information the inscription may convey, be it little or much, its evidence will at least be welcomed with eager curiosity. It is impossible to linger, for example, over those awkwardlooking numeral letters in the financial inscriptions of the Periklean time, without a peculiar sense of satisfaction. We are here face to face with state documents which Perikles may have issued, and Thukydides may have read.

Such reflections, however, it may be said, belong merely to the sentiment of the dilettante. What is the real value of Greek inscriptions to the serious student of Greek history? And here I might perhaps regret that my Manual appears at a moment when the greatest of Greek historians has been lately strengthened in his just hold upon English scholars by a translation which will become classical. For Professor Jowett is so engrossed by the genius of his author and by the paramount value of Greek literary records, that he can find little to glean from the duller study of inscriptions. Some may find a pleasure (he says) 'on Greek soil, under the light of the blue heaven, amid the scenes of ancient glory, in reading inscriptions, or putting together fragments of stone or marble.' But 'they add to our knowledge' only 'a few facts.' We must return to the study of the literature of Hellas, 'finding some little pleasure by the way (like that of looking at an autograph) in deciphering the handwriting of her children amid the dust of her ruins¹.' We may trace in this estimate a lingering echo of that controversy which long continued between the last and greatest representative of the old school of purely literary scholarship, and Augustus Böckh, the founder of the newer school. It seemed impossible for Godfrey Hermann to understand those new methods of study, wherein (so far from Greek literature being dethroned) archaeology

* Prof. Jowett, Thucydides translated, vol. ii. p. lxxviii.

Į

and literature combine to call to life again the features of classical civilization. But since the time of Böckh, whose patient learning was only equalled by his brilliant generalizations, it has become not so much a maxim as an instinct with scholars to regard archæology as the handmaid of literature, and to study the life of ancient Hellas as a whole, illustrating it by every light that can be thrown upon it, whether from the genius of her authors, or the witness of her inscriptions, or the progress and decay of her art, or the physical features of the country, or the excavation of ancient sites.

The most devoted students of inscriptions will be the first to urge that too much must not be expected from them. we leave out of sight the more private inscriptions, and confine ourselves to those which illustrate history, and have a place in this collection, we find that they nearly all consist of decrees of public assemblies, laws, treaties, letters of kings and others, votive offerings, or statements of public accounts, and these all share the usual reserve and formality of official The information they yield is indirect. documents. It is like examining mediæval charters, or financial state-papers, or the letters and other documents of the Record Office, for the illustration of English history. You must know how to interrogate the documents rightly, in order to get at the information they contain.

We shall express precisely the strength and the weakness of inscriptions as bearing upon history, when we say that they give us almost always just the information we least expected. They supplement, even more than they confirm or illustrate, the writings of ancient historians. For the inscriptions at present discovered are but a few fragments from the wreck of the ancient world. They were originally deposited chiefly in temples or other public buildings, where they might be at once safe and accessible, and these buildings have been long ago destroyed by earthquake or invasion, and their ruins have often for centuries served as convenient quarries for the successive inhabitants of ancient sites. We need not therefore wonder that though some 4000 Attic tombstones have been read, yet we have hardly ever come upon the epitaph of any historical character. Among the numberless public documents found upon the Akropolis, only now and then do we light upon the names of men known to fame, like Nikias, Alkibiades, Demades. Only occasionally do we meet with documents (such as Nos. 39-60), which a reader of Thukydides would have expected to find. Considering the large extent of colonization in Greece, it is singular that No. 29 is the only known decree upon the subject, and this deals with an almost unheard-of settlement. But it is this unexpectedness which constitutes the chief interest of inscriptions; they afford information which we cannot get elsewhere.

A more serious defect arises from the fact that so few localities in Greece have been at all made to yield up the inscribed monuments which lie buried beneath. On some few sites indeed, chiefly the sites of ancient temples, systematic explorations have been made, as at Delphi, Priene, Olympia, Ephesos, Delos, Dodona. To these may be added the excavations of Mr. Newton at Knidos, Halikarnassos, and Branchidze, those of the Athenian government on the Akropolis or the Great Theatre, and the German excavations at Pergamon. Upon these and other sites inscriptions have been diligently searched for and found. But for the rest, we have to trust to the chance discoveries made in the course of modern building on ancient sites. Thus by far the largest yield has been from those localities which are still in modern times the sites of flourishing towns. Here in the continual course of the demolition of old buildings and the erection of new, large numbers of documents have come to light. Let any one glance at a collection like Böckh's Corpus Inscriptionum Græcarum, and see how large a proportion comes from places like Athens, Thessalonika, Smyrna, and Rome, and he will understand how partial and accidental is our present acquaintance with the inscribed monuments of ancient Greece. But small as it is in comparison with the ancient total, it is sufficiently large to occupy the energies of many students, and to afford valuable results.

It is a serious drawback that the marbles which survive to us are often miserably chipped and broken. The result is that their value often becomes apparent only after a wide and careful study of similar documents¹. To those whose business it is to edit these inscribed texts, no fragment is without value. A tiny bit of marble in an English collection may just complete some broken slab recently discovered in Greece, so that you cannot pronounce a priori any fragment to be unimportant. Those who open a volume of inscriptions for the first time think very differently. They are naturally disappointed at the incompleteness of most documents, and they find a stumbling-block in what appear to be the capricious restorations of the editor. Why should such license of conjecture be allowed in an inscription, when no sound critic would deal so with a manuscript? The answer is twofold. In the first place, the language of inscriptions (especially honorary decrees, treaties, and other kinds) is often formal and stereotyped; the same phrases recur, with very slight variation, from one end of Greece to the other. This sameness and formality of phrasing makes the restoration of many inscriptions an easy matter. And secondly, where the general sense of a fragment is tolerably clear, it is allowable to supply by way of suggestion a few words that may help the reader

¹ The following anecdote, which I borrow from the Register of the British Museum, will illustrate my meaning. It relates to a fragment of an Athenian Treasure-list of the fourth century B.C., presented to the British Museum in 1863. The fragment was originally obtained from the Parthenon by an English traveller, who, afterwards being present at a scaro at Pompeii, made use of this opportunity to test the acumen of the Director of the excavations by surreptitiously introducing into the soil then under examination this fragment from Athens. This having been done, a lady whom the traveller brought with him as an accomplice pretended to discover the fragment accidentally, while the excavation was going on, and handed it to Cavalier Fiorelli. He immediately detected the trick, and declared that the fragment must be of Athenian origin, and from the Parthenon itself. The gentleman confessed his trick, and the fragment was presented to the British Museum.

ŀ

to follow the probable sequence of syntax and meaning. In such cases the editor is bound to point out that no certainty attaches to the verbal restorations suggested: they are only to serve as a convenient commentary.

The growing amount of materials has called into existence a school of students, with Augustus Böckh as their founder, whose task it is to collate, edit, and arrange the ancient texts; and further, to gather from the edited texts the historical and other results of their studies. Böckh's Political Economy of Athens, well known in England through its translation by Sir G. C. Lewis, was an early and a signal example of this kind of study. A similar value belongs to Köhler's Urkunden und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte des delisch-attischen Bundes, Dumont's L'Éphébie Attique, Foucart's Des associations religieuses chez les Grecs. Lüders' Die dionysischen Künstler, and many others, some of which are enumerated at the end of this Introduction. Neither could such manuals as the Griechische Alterthümer of K. F. Hermann, or of G. F. Schömann, have been written, but for that study of inscriptions to which Schömann especially was devoted.

It is from works like these that we can best appreciate the benefits conferred upon Greek studies by the discovery of inscriptions. But the reader of such a book as Curtius' *History of Greece* will not unfrequently have been reminded of the Epigraphical *pièces justificatives* available for the illustration of Greek history. And Mr. Capes, in his lectures on *University Life in ancient Athens*, has shown that the curious information afforded by inscriptions is sometimes entertaining; although anything more dreary than the original documents upon which Dumont and Dittenberger had based their descriptions, I cannot conceive.

In the present volume it seemed best, with the consent of the Delegates of the Press, to confine myself to documents directly illustrating history only. Of course a very large number of Greek inscriptions relate to religious ceremonies, agonistic contests, and concerns of private life; or have to do with legal and constitutional antiquities rather than with historical events. If it is thought desirable, another volume embracing these classes of documents could easily be prepared, which would probably exceed the present volume in interest, inasmuch as the subjects it would illustrate are less familiar to the readers of Greek literature.

This volume therefore contains only Historical inscriptions. And in presenting these to the reader, I have denied myself the pleasure of enlarging upon the archæology of the subject. Thus I have been content to print the texts (with three exceptions¹) in cursive only, without trying to represent the originals in facsimile, or in various alphabets of uncial type. For the original appearance of the marble concerns rather the professed epigraphist, than the historical student, to whom the inscription is merely one more historical document. At the same time, no pains have been spared to secure the accuracy of the texts. Whenever the original was out of my reach, the copies only of the most recent and most careful editors have been followed. Sometimes I have had access to unpublished copies or impressions, through the kindness of friends. Nearly all of my texts have been edited before; nevertheless, whenever possible, I have verified the published texts by a reference to the marbles. The result is, that whenever my texts differ from the copies already published, it is because I have to offer a better and completer text. Whenever the size of the page allowed it, the lines of text are printed just as they stand on the marble, so as the better to show at a glance what portions of the stone are mutilated. When the text is printed consecutively, as in ordinary Greek prose, the original division of the lines is marked by vertical strokes (e.g. rov|ro).

In the notes and explanations nothing has been admitted which did not strictly belong to the matter in hand. Words, however curious, which have found a place in Liddell and Scott's *Lexicon*, are passed over without remark. And legal and constitutional terms are not dwelt upon, when any reader

¹ Nos. 27, 52, 119.

can refer for explanation to Smith's Dictionary of Greek and Roman Antiquities, or Pauly's Real-encyclopädie, or the admirable works of Schömann, Antiquitates Juris publici Græcorum and Griechische Alterthümer. Nothing, again, is more valuable to the student of Greek Dialects than the evidence of inscriptions—a subject which has been already dealt with in H. L. Ahrens' De dialectis, in Cauer's Delectus, and other works. Now, although many of my texts suggest points of dialectical and grammatical interest, these points will receive very little comment, since this selection was made with a very different purpose, and it was desirable to avoid wordiness.

There is an impression of unfamiliarity and strangeness about the style and expression of inscriptions, and there are many scholars to whom they are an unknown and uninviting region. I am, however, sufficiently sanguine to hope that this Manual may be the means of bringing some students face to face with the original marbles. Any one who has worked much at these will readily echo the confession of Böckh: 'However dry may seem the task of tracing the worn or broken characters upon the cold surface of the stone, yet the existing books on this subject sufficiently prove what a genuine enthusiasm many have felt for these time-honoured monuments of ancient civilization,-and I frankly own myself of their number¹.' With this hope, I have been glad, whenever it was possible, to include in this selection any monuments which are close at hand, either in the Ashmolean and the Marble Room at Oxford, or in the Library of Trinity College, Cambridge, or are almost equally accessible to the English student in the Department of Greek and Roman Antiquities at the British Museum. The Oxford reader e. g. is invited to work out carefully the printed texts, the originals of which are in the University Collection. If he will then, book in hand, consult the marbles word by word, he will in a few hours have learned more about Greek inscriptions than any

¹ Staatskauskaltung der Atkener, ii. p. 1.

written account could have taught him. If he wishes to proceed further into the subject, the best introduction is Franz's *Elementa Epigraphices Græcæ*¹.

An editor of inscriptions who desires to conciliate his reader's goodwill, must chiefly rely upon orderly arrangement of his materials-lucidus ordo. The arrangement of this volume is strictly chronological; and a date, more or less precise, is assigned to each document. The date of inscriptions has, in most cases, to be determined by internal and circumstantial evidence, the style of the characters being a principal indication. Neither is it always easy to connect an inscribed decree or treaty with known historical events. Though I do not share the scepticism of Professor Jowett, I hope I have used due caution, and have endeavoured in every case to draw a distinct line between what is fairly certain and what is as yet unverified hypothesis. The reader is throughout referred in the notes to sources where he will find the date and other details concerning the inscriptions fully reasoned out.

The divisions of the work follow the obvious periods into which Greek history seems to fall. It will be seen that the documents from Athens alone outnumber those from all the rest of Greece. This is partly owing to the greater historical importance of Attic inscriptions, requiring a larger proportion to be therefore included in an historical collection. But it is also true that no Greek government was so careful in inscribing² its public records as the Athenian; and moreover at Athens far more has been done than elsewhere in the way of excavation and the discovery of ancient monuments. It will be also noticed how at Athens (as elsewhere) the inscribed records became more numerous, and also more intolerably wordy, the later we proceed in the history. I have therefore spared the reader's patience by admitting very few of these

xviii

¹ I may be allowed also to refer to an article on *Inscriptions* (Greek) in the new edition of the Encyclopædia Britannica.

^{*} Αναγράφαι els στήλην λιθίνην.

INTRODUCTION.

later verbose decrees. Specimens, however, are given of various kinds, and examples have been selected as far as could be from every part of Greece. It is perhaps tedious and disappointing to examine the many hundreds of commonplace honorary decrees which are being turned up in every ancient site in Greece proper, in the islands, and in the Thrakian and Asiatic colonies. And yet even these have a value. It is a fact of immense interest to the historical student to recognize the general resemblance of the formulas in which are cast the records of all the Greek-speaking race, from the time of Perikles onward. We are accustomed to dwell upon the isolation which prevailed among the Greek cities. We note how seas and mountains and diversities of race kept the Greeks asunder. The strange fact is that they should have been so sundered. For in truth the most rigid separation existed side by side with the closest resemblance and general unity. Their most divergent dialects were yet mutually intelligible. Their games, their religious centres, were bonds of unity. And though throughout the whole of that wide area every little town was a separate centre of corporate life, though the titles of their magistrates, and the names of their political and social institutions might differ, yet the type of civil government everywhere developed was the same with insignificant variations; or, if you looked more closely, you found but two types, the democratic and the oligarchic, and these admitted of very slight modifications.

It would have been possible to prolong the selection down to the times of Byzantine history. But it is evident that the political history of Greece as such comes to an end with the Roman Conquest. Accordingly, only a few documents have been included after the 'Liberation' decreed by Flamininus, B. C. 196. As we proceed later, although the Greek people still retained their own peculiar character, and their national existence was unaffected by conquest, yet their historical documents became less and less important; the centre of things has shifted to Rome. What the interests of the

xix

Greeks were under the Empire, can be read in the Orations (far from uninteresting) of Aristides or Dion Chrysostom. We need by no means endorse the slighting estimate of the Greeks given by Tacitus and Juvenal. It was impossible for the Romans to do justice to the Greeks; they inevitably came into contact with them at their worst. Although their historical inscriptions are of little interest after the Roman Conquest, yet some of the most valuable inscriptions relating to the religious customs and other more private institutions of Hellas belong to these later days. The Greek religion, and all that gathered round it, still survived: and the very absence of great political interests will alone account, not only for the exuberance of later Greek rhetoric, but also for that excessive and universal growth of agonistic contests and religious festivals which marked the days of Greek subjection.

I have kept closer to Grote than to Curtius in the preparation of the volume. It did not suit the design of the great German historian to discuss fully at every step the grounds of his conclusions, and give the evidence on which they rest. Although in his later volumes his references to documents become more frequent, yet it is clear that Curtius feels himself to be writing $\pi \rho \delta s \epsilon l \delta \delta \tau a s$,—he is giving a brilliant representation of Greek national life, as the outcome of varied researches in which he himself has borne a distinguished part, and in which Böckh, Schömann, Kirchhoff, and Köhler may be taken as representative names. For most English students Grote's History is of paramount value. True that only in his later volumes does Grote awake to the importance of epigraphical evidence, and then he only cites it cautiously and at second hand. But from first to last the reader is brought face to face with the existing literary evidence. Herodotos, Thukydides, Xenophon, Demosthenes, acquire life and voice, and are made to tell us their own tale of what they themselves had seen and heard. Not only in its human and political interest does Grote's work possess a fascination for the reader, but it is a valuable intellectual

discipline to be shown at every step the processes of historical enquiry, and to be made by the great historian not only a listener to his story, but a sharer in his investigations. There is therefore this practical reason for citing Grote so often in this volume, that the reader will there find all the references to ancient historians which bear upon the events under dis-Worthy to compare with Grote for his patient cussion. sifting of ancient texts, comparable to Curtius in his archæological learning, is Droysen, who, in the last edition of his Hellenismus, has given a picture of Alexander's career, and of the terrible convulsions which followed his death. Certainly he has infused a new life and interest into a period which is of deep importance to the world's history, although most of us are repelled from it by the ghastly monotony of bloodshed and despotism, or are only attracted by a biographical rather than a historical interest.

Besides a continual reference to these principal modern historians, the reader will be in every instance informed where to find the various documents best edited and discussed. Since the publication of Böckh's Corpus Inscriptionum Gracarum, great numbers of inscriptions have been discovered; and an attempt has been made to edit a complete collection of those from Attika in Kirchhoff's Corpus Inscriptionum Atticarum, three volumes of which have appeared. But for the inscriptions from other parts of Greece, and for many of the Attic ones also, you have to search up and down the volumes of the Archaologische Zeitung, the Monatsberichte of the Berlin Academy, and the various German, French, and Greek periodicals: not to mention the numerous dissertations which issue from the foreign Universities. I have endeavoured to glean from all of these the most interesting results of epigraphical studies; but much may have been overlooked. The task did not allow much room for originality; but the views of others have not been adopted without weighing them, and where possible some improvement has been added.

The wants of English readers have been steadily kept in view.-readers such as are to be found in growing numbers especially among the students of our Universities, who are well acquainted with Greek literature, and with the best modern writers upon classical civilization, who have perhaps visited Greece and the Levant, and have conceived a lively interest in classical archæology. To such readers this volume is commended, as a humble attempt to further Hellenic studies. Perhaps some may wish the collection were more complete and comprehensive. At first I had thought of collecting into one chapter all the inscribed letters of kings, into another all inscribed laws, into another all known treaties, etc. But if that had been done, this volume would have assumed a very different shape, and would have included a great deal that is of little value. And, in fact, such an exhaustive collection of various kinds of documents did not fall within the scope of the book. Neither have I included the famous Parian Chronicle (C. I. G. 2374). For valuable as it is to the chronologer, we must not forget that it is merely a private document drawn up about the third century B.C., probably by some schoolmaster for the instruction of his pupils. It was with more regret that I forbore to include the whole series of Quota-lists from B.C. 454 to 420. But the fact is that however important these documents are (being no less than authentic lists of the Athenian Confederation), yet it is certain that all who wish to study them to advantage must go straight to Köhler's Urkunden (or to Böckh's Staatshaushaltung, vol. ii.), where not only the texts are exhibited at large, but all sorts of questions arising out of the texts are fully discussed. It would have done the reader little service to present him with the complete series of texts, without reproducing also a great part of Böckh's and Köhler's remarks. It seemed wiser therefore to insert four or five especially interesting specimens of the series, with suitable notes; and to refer the reader to previous writers for further information. For similar reasons I have not made any selections from the

Athenian admiralty records, which occupy the third volume of Böckh's *Staatshaushaltung*.

I have received help from so many friends in the course of the work, that I must be content to make this general but not less sincere acknowledgment of their kindness. For the book, as it stands, I am alone responsible. I have endeavoured to be accurate; and those who have worked at such subjects the most will be the kindliest critics of a task wherein the countless points of detail afford as many opportunities of error.

EDITIONS OF CERTAIN WORKS REFERRED TO.

- BÖCKH, Corpus Inscriptionum Gracarum, 4 vols. 1828-1856. The third volume was prepared by Franz, the fourth by Franz, E. Curtius, and A. Kirchhoff. A valuable *Index* to the whole was edited by Röhl, in 1877. I have cited the work as C. I. G., with the running number of the inscriptions.
- ----- Staatshaushaltung der Athener, 3 vols.; 2nd German edition, 1851.
- ----- Gesammelte kleine Schriften, 7 vols., 1858–1874. His numerous dissertations on Inscriptions and other subjects, published in a collected form after his death.
- CAUER, Delectus inscriptionum Gracarum propter dialectum memorabilium, 1877. This I have seldom referred to, as it was compiled with so different an object from mine.
- DEOYSEN, Hellenismus, 3 vols., 1877-8. Vol. i. parts 1, 2, on Alexander; vol. ii. parts 1, 2, on the Diadochi; vol. iii. parts 1, 2, on the 'Epigoni,' B. C. 280-221.
- FRANZ, Elementa Epigraphices Graca, 1840. Based on the earlier portion of Bückh's Corpus, and therefore in part old-fashioned; but still the soundest and fullest introduction to the subject.
- HUMANN, Conze, and others, Die Ergebnisse der Ausgrabungen zu Pergamon, 1880. A provisional account of the excavations on the citadel in 1878-9, with a number of inscriptions.
- KIRCHHOFF, Corpus Inscriptionum Atticarum: intended to include all known Attic inscriptions. Vol. i., 1873, by Kirchhoff; a Supplement to vol. i. was published by him in 1877: these give the inscriptions before Euklid's archonship. Vol. ii. part 1, 1877, by Köhler, gives the Decrees alone from Euklid down to Augustus. Vol. iii. part 1, 1878, by Dittenberger, gives all the more public inscriptions of the Roman period. I have cited this work as C. I. A.
 - Studien zur Geschichte des Griechischen Alphabets, 2nd edition, 1867. I regret not having referred the reader to the third and improved edition.

- Köhler, Urkunden und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der delischattischen Bundes, 1870. I have cited it as Urkunden simply.
- ----- Mittheilungen des deutschen Institutes in Athen; the papers, especially of Köhler, in this interesting periodical will often be referred to.
- Bulletin de Correspondance hellénique, the journal of the French Archæological School at Athens; similar in character to the Mittheilungen of the German Institute.
- KUMANUDES, 'Arricips' Eπιγραφαl 'Επιτύμβιου, Athens, 1871. A complete collection of all known Attic funeral inscriptions, up to its date of publication. The preface gives curious information concerning the formulas of Attic epitaphs, and the character of Attic tombstones.
- LE BAS, Voyage archéologique en Grèce et en Asie Mineure, with continuation by MM. Waddington and Foucart. It chiefly consists of inscriptions, comprising i, ii, iii, volumes of Texts, and 1, 2, 3, volumes of Commentary. Vols. i. and 1 (Attique) will not be completed, since they are superseded by Kirchhoff's Corpus Inscriptionum Græcarum. Vols. ii. and 2 (Péloponnèse et Grèce du Nord) are in course of completion. Vols. iii. and 3 (Asie Mineure) are practically complete, and of the highest value, especially to the student of Roman provincial administration.
- RANGABÉ, Antiquités holléniques, 2 vols., 1842-1855. Chiefly Attic inscriptions; in great part superseded by Kirchhoff's Corpus, though the commentaries are generally valuable.
- WOOD, Discoveries at Ephesus, 1877; with Appendix of Inscriptions.

NOTANDA.

Restorations between brackets. Words and letters supplied by conjecture are put within square brackets []. Words or letters omitted by the stonecutter's mistake, and supplied to make good the sense, are put within curved brackets ().

Peculiarities of spelling. The reader will soon become accustomed to the frequent assimilation of the final consonant to the initial consonant of the following word: as, rdd $\lambda \delta \gamma \sigma \nu$ for rdv $\lambda \delta \gamma \sigma \nu$, $\dot{e}\mu \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \nu$, etc. More peculiar are $\dot{e} \sigma \tau \eta \lambda \eta \nu$ for $\dot{e}s \sigma \tau \eta \lambda \eta$, $\dot{e} \sigma \tau \eta \lambda \eta$ for $\dot{e}s \sigma \tau \eta \lambda \eta$, $\dot{e}s Z d\mu \varphi$ for $\dot{e}r Z d\mu \varphi$. I have not noticed all these cases where they occur; nor have I followed recent German editors in running such words into one another, as $\tau \eta \mu \beta \sigma \nu \lambda \eta \nu$. The Greeks of the fourth century B.C. appear to pronounce HI and EI so much alike, that EI frequently is substituted for HI in inscriptions. I have warned the reader of this from time to time, for though $\tau \epsilon i \beta \sigma \nu \lambda \epsilon i$ explains itself, $e i \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma$ is less obvious.

Attic numeral signs are easily remembered. $X = 1000 (\chi \partial \omega_0)$, H=100 (HEKATON), $\Delta = 10 (\partial \delta \kappa a)$, $\Box = 5 (\pi \delta \sigma r r e)$. These numerals, when used of money, always refer to *drachmas*; unless other coins are specified, as T = 1 talent, $\Sigma = 1$ stater. A single drachma is denoted by \vdash , an obol by \parallel , and a half-obol by \square . Certain combinations are employed, as $\square = 5000$, $\square = 500$. $\square = 500$. Again $\Delta = 1000$ talents, $\square = 500$ talents, $\square = 50$ talents, and so on. To take an example: $\Delta \top X \times \square \square \square \Delta \Box \sqcap \vdash \square \square \square$ on p. 97, means 11 talents, 3787 drachmas, 41 obols.

Value of Attic money. The reader may be glad to be reminded that 6 obols = 1 drachma; 6000 drachmas (or 60 minas) = 1 talent. The value of a drachma was about 10d., or about a franc; an Attic talent was worth about $\pounds 250$.

The Quota-lists in Parts ii, iii, will require the reader to remember that the proportion of tribute payable to Athena was $\frac{1}{60}$, or $\mu r\hat{a}$ and ralárrow. The quota therefore which is set down against the name of each state must be multiplied by 60, to get at the amount of tribute payable by the state.

The Attic civil year began with the month Hekatombeon (July), as did also the Olympian year. It was then that the Archons and other magistrates entered upon office, and public accounts were reckoned from this date. The year B.C. begins therefore six months before both the Attic civil year and the year of the Olympiad. In other words, the year B.C. comprises the last six months of one archomship and the first six months of another.

Prytanies. The Attic year was divided into ten periods ($\pi\rho\nu\tau\alpha:\epsilon\bar{\alpha}\alpha$) of 35 or 36 days each. Each tribe 'prytanized' in turn ($\epsilon\pi\rho\nu\tau\alpha'\epsilon\epsilon\alpha$) in an order determined by lot, in the person of its 50 $\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\epsilon\nu\tau\alpha'$. These 50 $\pi\rho\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha$ s during their term lived in the $\pi\rho\nu\tau\alpha\nu\epsilon\bar{\alpha}\nu$, and acted as the 'Government' or Cabinet for the time being. The addition of two tribes in B.C. 307 made the cycle of prytanies coincide with the twelve months. Soon after the archonship of Euklid it became the rule for the $\epsilon\pi\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\tau\eta_5$, or daily foreman of the $\pi\rho\nu\tau\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon_5$, to appoint by lot a $\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\epsilon\partial\rho\sigma$ out of each of the tribal sections of the $\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\dot{\eta}$ excepting the $\phi\nu\lambda\dot{\eta}$ $\pi\rho\nu\tau\alpha\nu\dot{\alpha}\nu\sigma\sigma\alpha$. Among these nine $\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\epsilon\partial\rho\sigma$ one was further appointed $\epsilon\pi\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\tau\eta_5$, and thus to the $\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\epsilon\partial\rho\sigma$ and their foreman were transferred the duties of presiding in the sittings of the $\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\dot{\eta}$ and $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\lambda\eta\sigma\dot{\alpha}$. The $\pi\rho\nu\tau\dot{\alpha}\nu\sigma\sigma$ and their $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\tau\eta_5$ retained only their more formal duties, such as the custody of the public seal, and the performance of the periodical sacrifices of the $\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\dot{\eta}$.

ADDENDA.

Page 9, No. 10, for brever read driver.

Page 97, line 23, for lesser read greater.

Page 104, line 3, for $\Pr[H \triangle] \triangle \triangle$ read $H\Pr[\triangle] \triangle \triangle$.

Page 109 fs.; Axiochos had been outlawed B. C. 414 (see pp. 102 foll.), but he probably returned to Athens along with Alkibiades (p. 112). The two friends had been together during their exile, if we may trust Athenses, pp. 534, 574.

Page 157 last line but three, for row read ris.

Page 168, No 93, § 5, for town read towns.

Page 195, last line but four, for Eakides read Æakides.

Page 215, § 5, for 37 read 57.

Page 342. C, line 41, it is possible also to read στρατυγγλ [Graves], i.e. consul (see p. 327). and to understand the consul of B. C. 135. Q. Calpurnius Piso, which would fix the date of the document.

A MANUAL

OF

GREEK HISTORICAL INSCRIPTIONS.

PART I.

BEFORE THE PERSIAN WAR.

B. C. 700-490.

THE date of the introduction of writing among the Greeks has been a matter of keen controversy, especially from the time of Wolff. It is now generally admitted that the Greeks had learned the art of writing from the Phœnicians as early as the oth century, if not before. For a long time however it would only be employed for such limited and private uses as the writing of names on lots (κλήροι). Probably its more extended use began in the temples, where inscribed offerings ($dxa\theta \eta\mu a\tau a$) and registers of priests and of sacrifices existed at an early date. The first public use of writing was perhaps in making official record of agreements between allied cities; and then by degrees it came to be generally employed for public documents. It is likely that the Greeks did not begin to inscribe upon marble, until they had experimented with the use of writing on leaves, metal, and wood. Certainly the cramped and awkward characters of the earliest extant marbles prove that writing must have been an unfamiliar art in Greece as late as the 7th century (see Franz, Elementa Epigr. Gr., Introd.; Bergk, Gr. Literaturgesch. i. 201 foll.).

The earliest recorded example of an inscribed offering is the Quoit of Iphitos, thus described by Pausanias (v. 20, I; cp. 4, 4; Plut. Lycurg. 1): ξστι δε ενταῦθα (in the Herseon at Olympia) καὶ δλλα ἀναθήματα . . . καὶ δ ἰφ(του δίσκος . . . δ δε ἰφ(του) δίσκος τὴν ἐκεχειρίαν ἡν ἐπὶ τοῖς ἘΟλυμπίοις ἐπαγγέλλουσιν ἘΗλεῖοι, ταύτην οὖκ ἐς εὐθὺ ἔχει γεγραμμένην, ἀλλὰ ἐς κύκλου σχῆμα περίεισιν ἐπὶ τῷ δίσκῷ τὰ γράμματα. It contained the formula for proclaiming the sacred armistice; Iphitos, with Lykurgos, being named upon it as the founder of the Olympian Festival. There is no reason to suspect this of being a late forgery; but it is very doubtful whether it was inscribed before the 1st Olympiad (B.C. 776), the date at which the definite chronology of Greece begins. Some very early inscribed fragments however have been recently discovered at Olympia; see Kirchhoff, Arch. Zeit. for 1879, 1880 passim. The Theban inscriptions which impressed Herodotos with their antiquity (v. 58 foll.) can hardly be earlier than the 7th cent. (Bergk, *ibid.* p. 205).

Of the other kind of sacred inscriptions, the temple-registers, or dvaypaøal, no original specimen has survived. They are often mentioned by ancient writers, though it is doubtful how many were really of high antiquity (see Böckh, C. I. G. i. p. 63; Müller, Dorians, Eng. Tr. i. p. 149; and Comm. on Thuk. ii. 2, and Preller, de Hellanico in his Ausgevo. Aufsälze, p. 51, on the register of the Argive priestesses). But a very interesting transcript exists of one such Register, in an inscription from Halikarnassos (C. I. G. 2655), the opening of which is thus restored by Böckh:

[Έδοξε τη βουλη και τψ δήμψ (or the like), ειπόντος τοῦ δείνος τοῦ 'A]ριστοκλέους μεταγράψαι [ἐκ της ἀρχαίας σ]τήλης της παρεστώσης τοῖς ἀγά[λμασι τοῖς τ]οῦ Ποσειδώνος τοῦ [1]σθμίου τοὺς γεγ[ενημέι ους 5 ἀπὸ της κτίσεως κατὰ γένος ἰερεῖς τοῦ Πο[σειδώνος τοῦ κατιδρυθέντος ὑπὸ τῶν την ἀποικί[αν ἐκ

Τροιζήros αγαγόντων Ποσειδώνι και 'Απόλλω[νι.

Then follows a list of the priests, beginning with 'Telamon son of Poseidon' and other mythical names, but of course comprising also the true names and succession of historical priests. Böckh imagines this transcript to have been made not earlier than the and cent. B.C. But the ancient original he assumes to have been inscribed about the time of the latest recorded priest, *i.e.* probably 691 B.C.

1.

Early struggles between Megara and Korinth, B. C. 720.

From Megara; now in the Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris. The text from Böckh, C.I.G. 050; Kleine Schriften, iv. p. 173.

Οβρίππω Μεγαρής με δαίφρονι τηδ' ἀρίδηλον μναμα θέσαν, φάμη Δελφίδι πειθόμενοι· δς δη μακίστους μεν δρους ἀπελύσατο πάτρη πολλαν δυσμενέων γαν ἀποτεμνομένων, 5 πράτος δ' Έλλάνων ἐν Ολυμπίη ἐσταφανώθη γυμνός, ζωνυυμένων των πριν ἐνι σταδίω.

Epitaph on Orsippos, who won the foot-race at Olympia B.c. 720 (Ol. 15), and freed the Megarid from the encroachments of the Korinthians: composed probably by Simonides (B.c. 556-467 B.c.), when this tomb was erected by command of the oracle. The present inscription is not older than the 4th century A.D., but is clearly a copy of the old inscription, then wearing out. Pausanias (i. 44. 1) appears to have seen the original epitaph: Kopolsov de rébarrai $\pi\lambda\eta\sigma$ or Opsintos, de $\pi\epsilon_i$ escient in ϵ_i or ϵ_i dyűsi karà dý ti $\pi a\lambda aide$ toos two d $\theta\lambda\eta\tau$ w d ν 'O $\lambda\nu\mu\pi$ d d ν ka orádiov dpaµèv y $\nu\mu\nu$ s. ϕ asi de kal σ tpatyo ν to τ scholiast and Comm. on Thuk. i. 6; Grote, pt. 2. ch. 9.

2.

Early naval power of Korkyra, B. C. 600, or earlier.

Epitaph from Korkyra in memory of Arniadas, who had died in battle in the Ambrakian gulf. Ross, Archäol. Aufsätze, ii. Taf. 21, 22; Kirchhoff, Studien, p. 79 foll.

Σάμα τόδ' `Αρνιάδα` χαροπὸς τόνδ' ὥλε|σεν "Αρης βαρνάμενον παρὰ ναυσίζν ἐπ' `Αβ[β]άθθοιο ρΗοΓαίσι, πολλὸ\ν άριστεύ[Γ]οντα κατὰ στονόΓε[σ]σαν ἀΓυ[τ]άν.

This could hardly be the 'earliest known sea-fight' recorded by Thukydides as having taken place B.C. 664 (i. 13); it appears to have rather been a fight on shore, at the mouth of the Arachthos. But the fight was very probably between Korkyræans and Korinthians; both Herodotos (iii. 49) and Thukydides (i. 25) mention the early struggle between Korkyra and her mother-city for the supremacy by sea, and for the monopoly of trade with the inhabitants of Epeiros and Illyria; cp. Grote, pt. 2. ch. 23. Riemann has recently published a new facsimile of the epitaph (*Recherches arch. sur les lles Ioniennes*, i. Corfou, p. 42); he reads doiorcúroura: and so Vischer in *Rhein. Mus.* ix. 383 foll.: τ must be a blunder of the stone-cutter.

3.

Psammetichos II. and his Greek mercenaries, B. C. 594-589.

On the legs of one of the colossal statues before the great temple of Abusimbel in Nubia, C. I. G. 5126; Lepsius, Denkmäler aus Egypt. u. Ethiop. xii. Abth. vi. BL 99, Gr. 531, also 534, 536 and Bl. 98. Gr. 515-519, 528-530; Kirchhoff, Studien, p. 31 foll.; 'Aµ01B. = & 'Aµ. and Oid. = & Eid. in a are suggested by Blass, Hermes, xiii. 381; Wiedemann, Rhein. Mus. 1880, p. 364 foll.

- (a) Βασιλέος έλθόντος ές Ἐλεφαντίκαν Ψαματίχου, ταῦτα ἔγραψαν τοὶ σὺν Ψαματίχῳ τῷ Θεοκλ[έ]ος ἔπλεον, ἦλθον δὲ Κέρκιος κατύπερθεν [ἐ]ς δ ποταμός ἀνίη, ἀλόγλωσος Δηχεποτάσιματο Αἰγύπτιος δὲ ^{*}Αμασις.
- 5 έγραφε δ' άμε Άρχων Αμοιβίχου και Πέλεκος Ούδάμου.
- (ί) Έλεσίβιος δ Τήΐος.
- (c) Τήλεφός μ' έγραφε δ'Ιαλύσιο[s....
- (d) Πάβις (?) δ Οολοφώνιος . . . συν Ψαμματ[ίχψ.]
- (e) δκα βασιλεύς ήλασε του στρατου το πράτου.

It has been much doubted whether the king mentioned in (a)is Psammetichos I (B.C. 654-617), or Psammetichos II (called Psammis by Herodotos, but Psamatik in Egyptian monuments), who reigned B. C. 594-589. I follow A. Wiedemann (*Rk. Mus.* l.c.) in adopting the later date. It appears that certain Greek and other soldiers in the service of the Egyptian king had marched with him as far south as Elephantine. Here they took boats and explored the river is $\delta dr(\eta$, is far as it let them go up it, i.e. up to the second cataract. On their way back they wrote their names at Abusimbel, in memory of the exploit. Wiedemann makes $\Delta\eta\chi$ exor $\delta\sigma\mu\pi\tau\sigma$ (or $\Delta\eta\chi$ ex $\delta\tau\alpha$ s 'I $\mu\pi\tau\sigma\nu$) a Karian (Herod. ii. 154), his name being neither Egyptian nor Semitic, nor resembling any known African dialect. He thinks Képsus to be intended for Képsus, Kerti in the Egyptian texts signifying the surface of water which broadens from the first cataract as far as Elephantine. In (a) we may take 'Psamatichos son of Theokles' to be the son of a Greek mercenary of Psammetichos I (Herod. ii. 152), who named his son after his master.

4.

Krossos and his gifts to the Ephesian Temple : about 550 B.C.

On five fragments of moulding (torme) from the bases of columns found by Mr. Wood in excavating the temple of Artemis at Ephesos: they manifestly belong to the old temple burnt down by Herostratos. They are now in the British Museum.

My restored readings are highly probable, as the words of Herodotos prove (i. 92): Κροίσφ δὲ ἐστι καὶ ἄλλα ἀναθήματα ἐν τῆ Ἐλλάδι πολλὰ ἐν δὲ Ἐφέσφ, αἴ τε βόες aἰ χρύσεαι καὶ τῶν κιόνων aἰ πολλαί.

5.

The age of the Tyrants, 6th century B.C.

From the ruins of the temple of Apollo at Branchides ('on a fragment in the wall of a house on the Sacred Way'); Newton, Discoveries at Cnidus, Halicarnassus, etc. p. 787, N^o. 726; Kirchhoff, Studien, p. 14.

'Ιστια[îos | ἀνέθ]ηκε τώ πόλλω[νι.

It is inscribed βουστροφηδόr, and is from the base of some offering dedicated at Didyma, perhaps by the famous Tyrant of Miletos. Grote, pt. 2. ch. 34.

6.

The age of the Tyrants, 6th century B.C.

On the front of the chair of one of the seated figures from the Sacred Way at Branchidze : Newton, *Discoveries etc.* 784, pl. xcvii.; Kirchhoff, Stadien, p. 16; in the British Mussum (Archaic Room).

Χάρης είμι ό Κλέσιος, Τειχιούσης άρχός, δγαλμα τοῦ ᾿Απόλλωνος. Inscribed $\beta ov\sigma\tau\rho o\phi\eta \delta dv$: we may take Chares to have been one of the many 'tyrants' who flourished in the Greek cities of Asia under the Persian rule between 550 and 500 B.C. The statue was intended as a portrait of Chares; $\delta \gamma a \lambda \mu a$ is 'an offering in honour of' the god (see Böckh, C. I. G. vol. i. p. 7).

7.

The age of the Tyrants, 6th century B.C.

The famous Sigean inscription; in the British Museum. Inscribed Bows roop of $\delta correct of the transmission of the British Museum. Inscribed Bows roop of the Age of the transmission of transmission of the transmission of tran$

(a) In Ionic dialect and characters :----

Φανοδίκου είμι τούρμοκράτεος τοῦ Προκουνη-5 σίου κρητῆρa δὲ και ὑποκρητήριου κaι ήθμόυ ἐς πρυταυήτου 10 έδωκευ Σιγε-

ເນິ*ເ*ມ.

(b) In Attic dialect and characters :---

Φανοδίκου είμι τοῦ [•]Ερμοκράτους τοῦ Προκονησίου[•] κάγὼ κρητῆρα κάπίστατου καὶ ἡθμ-

- 5 δυ ές πρυτανείου έδωκα μνήμα Σιγειεῦσι. ἐδυ δέ τι πάσχω μελεδαίνειν με, δ Σιγειῆς. καί μ' ἐπό-
- 10 εισεν Αίσωπος καλ άδελφοί.

The pillar supported a portrait-head of Phanodikos; the socket into which it fitted still remains. The monument thus resembled a terminal figure, or Hermes. Kirchhoff's view is that Phanodikos was tyrant of Prokonnesos in the sixth century, B.C. (like Metrodoros, Herod. iv. 138, i.e. B. C. 515). Being on good terms with the government of Sigeion, then in the hands of the Athenians under the Peisistratids (Herod. v. 94; Grote, pt. 2. ch. 30), he presented a bronze (?) krater and tripod-stand for it, together with a wine-strainer, for the use of the Sigeian prytaneum. He accompanied this gift with a pillar to commemorate himself, inscribed in his Ionic home by Prokonnesian workmen (inscription a). When the Sigeians erected the monument, they re-engraved the inscription in Attic lower down on the pillar, nearer the eye-line, with one or two improvements (inscription 2). The bust of Phanodikos loguitur: 'And if I am receiving injury, take care of me, Sigeians. Now Æsopos made me, and his brethren.' Both a and b are Bovotpoopydov. Compare a similar twofold document from Kyzikos, discovered 1874: Hermes, XV. p. 92.

8.

Treaty between Eleians and Hermans: B. C. 550-500.

A bronze tablet brought from Olympia by Sir W. Gell in 1813; now in the British Museum. See facsimile in Böckh, C. I. G. 11; Franz, El. Ep. Gr. p. 64. Every letter is clear. The dialect is *Eolie*, which was spoken both by the Arkadians and Eleians (Strabo, 333): the date assigned is that of Kirchhoff, *Studien*, p. 102, cp. Arch. Zeit. 1880, p. 68; Grk. Inser. in B. M. N. CLIV.

> 'Α Γράτρα τοῦρ Γαλείοις και τοῦς 'Ηρ-Γαψοις. Συνμαχία κ' ἕα ἐκατὸν Γέτεα. ἄρχοι δέ κα τοί. al δέ τι δέοι, αίτε Γέπος αίτε Γάργον, συνέαν κ' άλάλοις τά τ' δλ και πα-

- 5 ρ πολέμω. al δὲ μὰ συνέαν, τάλαντον κ' ἀργύρω ἀποτίνοιαν τῷ Δὶ Όλυνπίψ τοὶ καδαλημένοι λατρειόμενον. al δέ τιρ τὰ γράφεα ταὶ καδαλέοιτο, aïτε Γέταs aïτε τελέστα aïτε δâμος, ἕν τ' ἐπιάρψ κ' ἐνέχ-
- 10 οιτο τῷ 'νταῦτ' έγραμένψ.

Those who are curious about the dialect may consult Böckh, ad loc., and Ahrens, de Dial. i. 225. I append a version: 'Η βήτρα τοῖs 'Ηλείοιs καὶ τοῖs 'Ηραιεῦσι. συμμαχία ἀν εἶη ἐκατἀν ἔτη· ἄρχοι δ' ἀν τόδε· εἰ δέ τι δέοι, εἶτε ἔποs εἴτε ἔργον, συνεῖεν ἀν ἀλλήλοιs, τά τε ἅλλα καὶ περὶ πολέμου· εἰ δὲ μὴ συνεῖεν, τάλαντον ἀν ἀργυρίου ἀποτίνοιεν τῷ Διὰ Όλυμπίφ οἱ καταδεδηλημένοι λατρευόμενον. εἰ δέ τις τὰ γράμματα τάδε καταδηλοῖτο, εἴτε ἕτης εἴτε τελεστὴς εἴτε δῆμος, ἐν τῷ ἐφιέρφ ἀν ἐνέχοιτο τῷ ἐνταῦθα γεγραμμένφ.

'The covenant between the Eleians and the Heræans. There shall be alliance for a hundred years: and this (year) shall begin (it): and if either need help, whether of word or deed, they shall stand by one another, in all other affairs, and in respect of warfare: and if they stand not by each other, they who have so offended (Zeus) shall pay a talent of silver to Olympian Zeus, as reparation. And if any one shall injure this inscription, whether private man, or magistrate, or village, (the offender) shall be liable to the sacred fine herein written.'

The Eleians after a long struggle had dispossessed the Pisatans of the management of the Olympic temple and games (B.C. 580), which appear to have been in the hands of Elis at the time of this treaty (Grote, pt. 2. ch. 7 fin.). The Spartans, now masters of Messenia, and extending their conquests in the direction of Arkadia and Argolis, found it to their interest to play the part of protectors of Elis in its sacred prerogative (Grote, ibid.; E. Curtius, Gr. Gesch. i. p. 196). As for Arkadia, its several states, with no power of mutual cohesion, were either drawn into the Spartan alliance (as Tegea, Mantinea, Orchomenos), or formed other connections as fortune might dictate. This tablet shows us the Hermans associating themselves with the now influential Eleians. It would be an anachronism to speak of Elis or Herea, for neither was united into a city (ourousion of s) until the fourth century, B.C. (Strabo, p. 337); till then they had dwelt kard kouds: hence dayos in the treaty.

9.

The Peisistratids : B. C. 527-510.

In 1877 there was dug up part of the cornice of the altar dedicated by Peisistratos son of Hippias, who had served the office of archon during his father's rule: it contains the inscription cited by Thukydides, vi. 54. For facsimile see C. I. A. Supplement to vol. i. p. 41; and ' $\Delta\theta\eta$ rator, vi. p. 149.

> Μυήμα τόδε ής άρχής Πεισίστ[ρατος 'Ιππίου] viós θήκεν 'Απόλλωνος Πυθί(ου έν τεμένει.

The date falls between the death of Peisistratos the elder, B.C. 527, and the expulsion of Hippias in 510. Thukydides says that in his time the inscription was 'dimly legible' ($d\mu\nu\delta\rho\rho\delta$'s $\gamma\rho\delta\mu\mu\alpha\sigma\iota$): but the letters are to this day as fresh as when first cut, so that he must refer to the fading of the colour with which the letters had been painted in.

10.

Argive victory over the Korinthians: about B.C. 500.

On a bronze helmet from Olympia, discovered 1795: in the British Museum. See Rose, Inscr. Grazez, pl. viii; Böckh, C. I. G. 29, and addend. p. 885; Kirchhoff, Studien, p. 73. The line is quasi-metrical, like many early inscriptions, and forms an irregular senarius.

Tapy [εί]οι ανεθεν τῷ Δί^Fι των Οορινθόθεν.

Perhaps the Argives had won this victory in alliance with Megara. Pausanias, vi. 19. 9, speaks of a primitive alliance of these two states against Korinth, but his chronology is unsound. Grote, pt. 2. ch. 9.

PART II.

FROM THE PERSIAN WAR TO THE PELOPONNESIAN WAR.

B.C. 490-431.

11.

Simonides' Epitaph upon the Megarians who fell in the Persian War, at Artemision, Mykale, Salamis, Platæa. Between 478-467.

The inscription is edited by Böckh, C. I. G. 1051, from a careless copy made by Fourmont. One whole pentameter and several words are restored by mere conjecture to make the sense clear. The public tomb in bonour of the Megarian heroes of the Persian war is mentioned by Pausanias, i. 43. 2: Els? 32 rópes Meyapevõuv iv $\tau \tilde{y}$ sóku: sal $\tau \partial v \mu \partial v \tau \sigma \tilde{s}$ divervous is not the original seen by Pausanias, but a restoration (as the heading declares) by Helladios the high-priest (of Apollo Pythics, C. I. G. No. 1065, cp. 1059, 1066) probably as late as the 4th cent. A. D. That Helladios restored the inscription from the decaying original monument, and not from a book, appears from various indications of antiquity which betray themselves amongst the barbarisms of a late time. There is little doubt that the epigram was composed by Simonides, who is known to have been partial to Megara. (Schol. Theokrit. xii. 27, Xµwwidys incursi rody Meyapeir). I give the inscription as edited by Böckh, l. c. and Kleine Schriften, iv. p. 125. The marble seems to be lost.

Heading drawn up by the restorer.

Τδ ἐπίγραμμα τῶν ἐν τῷ Περσικῷ πολέμφ ἀποθανόντων κὲ (=καὶ) κειμένων

ένταύθα ήρώων ἀπολόμενον δὲ τῷ χρόνῳ Ἑλλάδιος ὁ ἀρχιερεὺς ἐπ[εσκεύασεν?

γρα φηναι και της πόλεως Σιμωνίδης.

Epigram.

Έλλάδι καλ Μεγαρεῦσιν ἐλεύθερον δμαρ ἀέξειν Ιέμενοι θανάτου μοῖραν ἐδεξάμεθα. τοι μέν ύπ' Εύβοία [ναυσικλύτφ], ένθα καλείται άγναs 'Αρτέμιδος τοξοφόρου τέμενος,

5 τοι δ' έν όρει Μυκάλας, τοι δ' ένπροσθεν Σαλαμείνος, [νηῶν Φοινισσῶν ἐξολέσαντες "Αρην"]
τοι δὲ και ἐν πεδίφ Βοιωτίφ, οἴτινες ἔτλαν χεῖρας ἐπ' ἀνθρώπους ἱππομάχους ἱέναι. ἀστοι δ' ἅμμι [πόρον] γέρας ὀμφαλῷ ἀμφὶ πόληος

10 νεικέων ήμετέρων άλλοδαπών παρ' δρων.

Additional note by the restorer.

Μέχρις έφ' ήμων δε ή πόλις και ταῦρου ἐνήγιζευ (εc. τοις ήρωσι τούτοις).

Observe that $\theta v \in w$ is said of the gods, $\delta v a \gamma l \leq w$ of heroes: so Herod. ii. 144: Pausan. ii. 10. 1.

12.

Inscription on the bronze stand of three intertwined serpents, which supported the gold tripod dedicated at Delphi by the Greeks after Platza: about B. C. 475.

The golden portion was destroyed by the Phokians in the Sacred War (Paus. x. 13. 5); but the bronze serpent-pillar remained in site, until Constantine removed it to his new capital, where it still remains. I give the text from the very full account of this monument in Déthier and Mordtmann's Epigraphik ron Byzanties (Wien, 1864), p. 3 foll. Cp. Gibbon, Rom. Emp. ch. xvii.; Kirchhoff, Studien, p. 97. One of the serpent-heads still exists in the armoury of $\leq t$. Irene.

	'Απόλωνι θ[ε]φ̂ [στάσαντ' ἀ]ν[άθη]μ' ἀ[π]ὸ [Μήδων]	13th coil of serpents.
•	 Λακ[εδ]α[ι]μόν[ιοι] `Αθ[α]ν[α]ῖ[ο]ι Κορίνθιοι	12th coil.
-	 Τεγεᾶτ[αι] Σεκνώριοι Αίγιρᾶται	11th coil.
10	Μεγαρής Έπιδαύριοι Έρχομένιοι	10th coil.

-		
	Φλειάσιοι	9th coil.
	Τροζάνιοι	
	Έρμιονής	
15	 Τιρύνθιοι	8th coil.
	Πλαταιῆς	
	Θεσπιής	
-	 Μυκανής	7th coil.
	Κεΐοι	
20	Μάλιοι	
	Τήνιοι	
-	 Νάξιοι	6th coil.
	Έρετριής	
	Χαλκιδής	
25	Στυρη̂ς	5th coil.
-	Faλεîoι	•
	Ποτειδαιâται	
-	Λευκάδιοι	4th coil.
	Faraktopiĝs	·
30	Κύθνιοι	
-	Σίφνιοι	
-	 'Αμπρακιῶται	3rd coil.
	Λεπρεάται.	-

The surface of the 13th coil has been flattened back from its due curve to receive the present inscription: here therefore it is supposed that Pausanias had inscribed his arrogant epigram:

> Έλλάνων ἀρχαγός ἐπεὶ στρατὸν ὅλεσα Μήδων Παυσανίας Φοίβφ μνῶμ' ἀνέθηκα τόδε.

(So in Anthol. i. 133. xliii; though Thukydides, i. 132, Plutarch, De Malig. Her. fin., Suidas, s.v. $\Pi av\sigma av(as)$, Demosthenes, In Near. p. 1378, give it in $\kappa ourn'$, and in the 3rd person, which is less characteristic.) At the ends of the 10th, 9th, 7th coils are what seem like remains of letters; but no names can be deciphered, and the marks are perhaps accidental. Omitting these, we get 31 names, including all the 27 names given by Pausanias, v. 23, as inscribed upon the corresponding monument

at Olympia, with the addition of 4 omitted by him, viz. $\Theta\epsilon\sigma\pi\iota\eta\hat{s}$, "E $\rho\epsilon\tau\rho\iota\eta\hat{s}$, $\Lambda\epsilon\nu\kappad\delta\iotao\iota$, $\Sigma(\phi\nu\iotao\iota)$ (for these see Herod. vii. 202, 222, 226; ix. 28; viii. 46, 48). Observe that there is an uniform arrangement of the names, 3 on each coil; except on the 4th and 7th. $T\eta'\nu\iotao\iota$ is inscribed more deeply than the rest and in Ionic, having probably been added afterwards by the Tenians themselves (by permission of Sparta) on account of their one ship's adventure (Herod. viii. 82); on the 4th $\Sigma(\phi\nu\iotao\iota)$ was perhaps similarly inserted; the 3rd coil is smaller and has only space for 2 names. The whole monument is a striking confirmation of Herodotos (see Rawlinson, Note A on Bk. ix. 81).

13.

Rise of Athens: Themistoklean walls, B.C. 478.

On two blocks of marble discovered in 1833 in building the house formerly occupied by King Otho, and still used as the Chamber of Deputies, in the NE of the city. The blocks were found amongst other masonry of the Themistoklean walls, and formed one of the private monuments which were seized upon for the hasty fortification of the city. Ross, Arch. Aufsätze, ii. 580, 581; Wordsworth, Athens and Attica, ch. 28; Kirchhoff, C. I. A. vol. i. No. 479.

> Σήμα φί[λ]ου παιδός τόδε $\Delta \eta$ [μαγόρας? dv]; έθηκεν, Στησίου, δν θάνατο[ς δακρυ]; όεις καθ[έ]χει.

14.

Rise of Athens: Themistoklean walls, B. C. 478.

A base of a funeral monument of white marble, which once had above it a figure in relief (comp. the Aristion gravestone, Rangabé, Ant. Hellén. i 21): found in June 1873, in the NE of the city, near the royal stables, among the massive remains of the ancient walls. Lüders in Hermes, vol. vii. p. 258; Kirchhoff, C. I. A. i. 483.

'Αντιδότου Καλλωνίδης έποίει δ Δεινίου.

This and the preceding verify the words of Thukydides (i. 93): τούτψ τῷ τρόπψ οἱ 'Αθηναῖοι τὴν πόλιν ἐτείχισαν ἐν δλίγψ χρόνψ· καὶ δήλη ἡ οἰκοδομία ἔτι καὶ νῦν ἐστιν ὅτι κατὰ σπουδὴν ἐγένετο· οἱ γὰρ θεμέλιοι παντοίων λίθων ὑπόκεινται καὶ οὐ ξυνειργασμένων έστιν ή, άλλ' ώς έκαστοί ποτε προσέφερον, πολλαί τε στήλαι άπο σημάτων και λίθοι είργασμένοι εγκατελέγησαν. For the course of the walls see E. Curtius, Attische Studien, i. p. 60.

15.

The Sicilian cities-Hiero: B.C. 474.

On a bronze helmet now in the British Museum, discovered at Olympia in 1817 by Mr. Cartwright. For facsimile see Rose, *Inscriptiones Grazez*, pl. viii; Böckh, C. I.G. 16.

'Ιάρων δ Δεινομένεος καὶ τοὶ Συρακόσιοι τῷ Δὶ Τυράν' ἀπὸ Κύμας.

From the Etruscan spoils ($\tau a T \nu \rho \rho a \nu a \lambda d$) dedicated by Hiero (' $1 d \rho \omega \nu$, *Dorice*) to Zeus at Olympia, after his victory over the Etruscans in the great sea fight before Kyme, recorded by Diod. xi. 51, and celebrated by Pindar, *Pytk.* 1. The Tyrrhenum Mare owed its name to this early $\theta a \lambda a rrok \rho a \tau a$ of the Etruscans. The inscription of Hiero, the professed 'tyrant,' is more modest than that of Pausanias upon the Plateean tripod (Thuk. i. 132).

16.

The Ionians after Mykale: Teos, about B. C. 470.

One of several $\sigma\tau\eta\lambda a$ containing imprecations upon traitors and enemies of the state. The text is from Böckh, C. I. G. 3044. omitting some of his conjectures, which seem hazardous. Lines 42-53 were re-read by Le Bus, at Teos, the rest is now lost; *Voyage Arch.* Pt. v. No. 59. Kirchhoff (*Stadien*, p. 12) places the date soon after Mykale.

We know that the greater part of the population of Tcos had sought a new home at Abdera, rather than submit to the Persian yoke in B.C. 546 (Herod. i. 168; Strabo, 644). After Mykale, no doubt, a good many returned home (Strabo, *ibid.*) to Teos; and their arrival would not tend to allay the disturbances which may well have arisen within the city upon the sudden overthrow of the Persian dominion. That there were disorders is shown by the appointment of an *alovµrήrŋs*, an extraordinary magistrate resembling the Roman *dictator* (Dionys. Hal. v. 73), whose office is defined by Aristotle as *alperit* ruparris (Pol. iii. 9, 5) during which the ordinary annual magistrates ($\tau\mu o\hat{v}\chi oi$) were suspended. By the *evovos* also we should understand an extraordinary magistrate, perhaps appointed to inquire into the management of public moneys. The mention of piracy shows that the Athenian ascendancy had not yet been fully established in the Ægean: by the $\beta d\rho \beta a\rho o\iota$ we understand the Persians, whose yoke had just been thrown off. Whatever was the nature of the disturbances at Teos, at all events upon the restoration of order, the government enjoins these public curses, part only of which remains. On the employment of public imprecations by the Greeks see Schömann's *Griechische Alterthümer*, ii. p. 254, and comp. the cursings uttered at Athens by the archon every year, and by the herald at the opening of every $\delta \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma la$ (Plut. Solon, 24; Isokr. Paneg. § 157).

> Οστις Τηίων ε[ύθ]ύνω $\hat{\eta}$ alov[μ]νήτη η (Böckh $d\pi\epsilon$ ιθοίη) $\hat{\eta}$ ₹παιίσταιτο [τῷ] αί[συμ]νήτη, απόλλυσθαι καί 5 avtor kal yévos to kelvου. § 2. Όστις τοῦ λοιποῦ αίσυμrŵ[v] έν Τέφ η γη τη Τηtŋ. ώς προδό[μ]εν τη [ν] πό-10 λ [ιν καὶ γῆν] τὴν Tηtων, η τού [s] δνόρας [ϵv ν]ήσω η θα[λάσση η] τδ μετέ[πειτά που] έν $d\rho o v [\rho] \eta \pi \epsilon \rho v \gamma \dots$ 15 =ροδο[ίη, η κιξα]λλεύοι, ή κιξάλλας ύποδέχοιτο, η ληίζοιτο, η ληΐστὰς ύποδέχοιτο είδώs ἐκ γῆs τῆs Τηtηs η [θ]-20 αλά[σσ]ης φέροντας, ή [τικ]and β outer π of $T[\eta t]$ ων του ευνου είδως η π[ρός] Έλλητας ή πρός βαρβάρο-25 υς, απόλλυσθαι καί αὐτόν και γένος το κείνου.

§ 3. Οίτινες τιμουχέοντες την έπαρην μη ποιήσειαν έπι δυνάμει, καθημέν-30 ου τώγωνος 'Αθεστηριοίσιν και 'Ηρακλείοισιν καί Δίοισιν. έν τήπαρή έχεσθαι. § 4. * Os αν ταστήλας έν ήσιν ηπαρη γέγρ-35 απται η κατάξη η φοινικήϊα έκκόψ[η] η άφανέας ποιήση, κείνον απόλλυσθαι καί αὐτόν καί γévos. 40 § 5. Όστις φάρμακα δηλητήρια ποιοί έπι Τητοισιν το ξυνόι, η επ' ιδιώτη, κείνον απόλλυσθαι καί αύτον και γένος το κείνου. 45 § 6. Όστις ές γην την Τηίην κωλύοι σίτοι έσάγεσθαι η τέχνη η μηχάνη η κατà θάλασσαι· η κατ' ηπειρον, ή εισαχθέρτα άνωθεοίη, κείνον απόλλυσθαι καί αύτ-50 δυ και γένος το κείνου.

In § 2 Böckh restores $\pi\epsilon\rho_{i\gamma}[\epsilon\nu\rho\mu\ell\nu\rho\nus] \lambda\rho_{i\mu}]_{o\hat{v}} \pi\rho\sigma\delta\rho[(\eta]$, thinking that the crime is the desertion of plague-stricken persons who have been landed somewhere away from the city, and so survived. $\kappa\iota\xid\lambda\lambda\eta s$ appears to be a land $\lambda\eta\sigma\tau\eta s$.

17.

Revolt of the Helots: B.C. 464.

On the round base of the statue of Zeus mentioned by Pausan. v. 24. 1. Discovered in the recent excavations: Ausgrab. su Olympis, PL xxxii. fig. 1; E. Curtius, in Arch. Zeit. 1877, p. 49.

Pausanias (l. c.) has not given the inscription quite accurately : τοῦ ναοῦ δέ ἐστιν ἐν δεξιậ τοῦ μεγάλου Ζεὺς προς ἀνατολὰς ἡλίου, μέγεθος μὲν δυόδεκα ποδῶν, ἀνάθημα δὲ λέγουσιν εἶναι Λακεδαιμονίων, ἡνίκα ἀποστασι Μεσσηνίοις δεύτερα τότε ἐς πόλεμον κατέστησαν. ἔπεστι δὲ καὶ ἐλεγεῖον ἐπ' αὐτῷ.

> Δέξο άναξ Κρονίδα Ζεῦ Ολύμπιε καλὸν άγαλμα ίλάφ θυμφ τοῖς Λακεδαιμονίοις.

This 'second revolt' was that mentioned by Thuk. i. 101-103: the statue was dedicated at the beginning of the revolt (Curtius compares the Trojan *peplos*, *Il.* vi. 92), to secure the favour of Zeus to the Spartan side.

18.

The Sicilian cities : Kamarina, B.C. 461.

A statue-base discovered at Olympia, 1876. E. Curtius, Arch. Zeit. 1877, p. 48; cp. 1878, p. 181; 1879, p. 43.

> Πραξιτέλης ἀνέθηκε Συρακόσιος τόδ' ἀγαλμα καὶ Καμαριναῖος· πρόσθ ἀρ' ἐ Μαιτινέα Κρίνιος υίδς ἔναιεν ἐν ᾿Αρκαδία πολυμήλω ἐσλός ἐών, καί Γοι μrâμα τόδ' ἐστ' ἀρετᾶς.

We may see in Praxiteles a noble Arkadian, who, not satisfied with the undistinguished career open to him in his native country, sought fame and fortune in foreign lands. Like Phormis of Mænalos (Pausan. v. 27, 1) and Agesias of Stymphalos (Pindar, Olymp. 6), so Praxiteles doubtless had been at Syrakuse in the service of the tyrants, and saw the downfall of the Gelonian dynasty in B.C. 465 (see Grote, pt. 2. ch. 43). The exiles who returned after this revolution, and claimed their own again, produced serious disorders in all the Sicilian towns. The Geloans restored peace by providing for the banished friends of the fallen dynasty a home in the newly constituted city of Kamarina (461 B.C.), which henceforth began a new term of prosperity (και αύθις ύπο Γέλωνος ανάστατος γενομένη το τρίτον κατωκίσθη έπο Γελψων, Thuk. vi. 5; cp. Diod. xi. 76; Grote, ibid.). Praxiteles shared the fortunes of new Kamarina for a while, but perhaps returned home at last to place this monument at Olympia.

19.

Activity of Athens in Ægypt, Kypros, Ægina, Megara etc. B.C. 460.

A large marble slab in the Museum of the Louvre: Böckh. C. I. G. 165; Rose, Inscr. Grace, plate xiv. p. 105; Kirchhoff, C. I. A. i. 433. Evidently one of ten similar stelle for each of the tribes: for the Athenians in battle were drawn up ward $\varphi v\lambda ds$ (Plut. Aristid. 5. Cim. 17; Lysias, Pro Mantitk. 15; Theophrastos, Characters, $\delta e \lambda \delta s$ fin.), and those who fell were buried ward $\varphi v\lambda ds$ (Thuk. ii. 34). It is almost certain also that each tribe furnished one of the regular strategi (Plut. Cim. 8). For the heading of the inscription see Thuk. i. 104, 105; Grote, pt. 2. ch. 45.

'Ερεχθηίδος

οίδε έν τῷ πολέμφ ἀπέθανου ἐν Κύπρφ ἐν Αιγ[ύπ]τφ ἐν Φοινίκῃ ἐν ᾿Αλιεῦσιν ἐν Αιγίνῃ Μεγαρο[î], τοῦ αὐτοῦ ἐνιαυτοῦ.

(Here follows a list of 168 names).

	· · ·	-	,
5	∫ στ[ρατηγ ῶν	Φάνυλλος	"Ακρυπτος
	ή Φ[ρύνι]χος	Χ[ρό]νιος	Τιμοκράτης
	Π[αντ]αλέων	Ε[ὖγ]είτων	'Αρχέλας
	Πολύστρατος	"Αλ[κ]ιππος	Εὐθυκράτης
	[Δρ]ακοιτίδης	Λυ[σ]ικλη̂ς	Πατροκλείδ[ηs]
10	μόστ[ρ]aτos	Κέ[λ]ευσος	'Αλκμεωνίδ[ης]
	µéas	Εὐ[θ]ύδημος	Γλαύκων
	[Εὐ]κλείδης	Δίκαιος	Δημόνικος
	кра́туs	Φιλ[î]vos	'Araξίδωροs
	[Χα]ιρέδημος	Καλλικλής	Γλαύκων
15	ησίas	Ναυσικλής	Προκλής
	ήσανδρος	Τ[ι]μησίθεος	'Αντιφῶν
	[Λυ]κόφρων	[Μν]ησιγένης	`Araξíλa[s]
	['Α]πολλόδωρος	Π[0]λυκλής	'Αρχέπολι[s]
	['Α]ριστοτέλης	'Aλ[e]ξías	Καλλέας
20	[]]]] /	'Αμύδριππος	Θαλίαρχο[s]
	Δράκαλος	'Απολλόδωρος	Φιλώνιχο[s]
	Μηχανίων	Fopylas	Εὐκλείδη[s]
	Φιλιστίδης	Νόθαρχος	Διόδωρος
	Τιμογένης	Παρμον[ί]δης	Νίκαρχος
35	Xaploardpos	Λάκων	'Επιτέλης
	[Μ]ενεκλής	Πίθων	Κύβων

	[M]ελάνωπος	Λυσίας	X[a]ıplas
	Κλεόνβροτος	Σώστρατος	Δημήτριο[s]
	'Αριστοκλείδη s	Φιλîνος	'Αρκεσίλας
30	[Θ]ουκυδίδης	Φίλαιθος	Εύθοιros
	Εὐθύ Ξημος	Φιλέταιρος	Δ ημήτριος
	Καλλικράτης	Σωτέλης	Γόργων
	'Αψήφης	Λυσίας	Στράτ[ω]ν
	[`Α]ριστείδης	'Αριστογένης	`Αρισ[τ]οφάνη[s]
35	[Φ]ιλόδημος	Φιλ[î]vos	Γλα[ύ]κων
	[Κ]ηφ[ι]σόδοτος	Διότιμος	Φυσ[ων]ίδης
	[Θ]ούφιλος	Καλλωνίδης	Αγ[ν]όδημος
	[Α] υτιμένης	Καλλίξενος	Διοκλής
	['Ε]παίνετος	$\Delta \epsilon i \nu las$	Φανόστρατο[s]
40	[E]oyaîos	Σμίκυθος	Εὐμήτιος
	Διογένης	Τιμόδημος	Θε[0]δωρος
	Φρῦνος	Λύσις	· · · thews
	[Κ]τησιάδης	'Ακεσίας	[Κέ]ρδων
	[Κ]όροιβος	'Επιχάρηs	['Επ]ιχάρης
45	[Κ]ράτυλλος	«Ιερώνυμος	Ε[ΰ]δοξος
	Συνφέρμιος	'Arafihas	Π[ο]λύζηλος
	[N]ixlas	X plas	Γ[λ]αυκίας
	Λυσικλείδης	Ηρακλείδης	'E[] Iyévys
	Φρούραρχος	'Αγασικλής	'Αιτιχάρης
50	Xa[plo]avopos	'Αλκâs	Φιλιστίδη[s]
-	[Όλυμπ]ιάρατος	Κηφισόδοτος	'Αμφικλείδ[ηs]
	Σος	Καλλικλής	Φροῦρος
	Μνη[σ]ίφιλος	Κηφισόδωρος	Τίτων
	Σωσίας	Νουμήνιος	Eißios
55	'Αρχîνο ς	Ξενόφιλος	Καλλίβ[ιοs]
	Λυκίνος	Υπέρβιος	Σμίκρο[s]
	Καλλίας	Αγνων	Nealos
	Μυησιγένης	Πολύξενος	'Εργοτέ[λης]
	Σίκων	Έρξιμένης	Φωκίων
60	· Αμφικήδης	Νίκων	"Αραιθ[os]
	Ξένυλλος		

[']Εν Αιγύπτψ Τελένικος μάντις C 2 (Appended are 8 names inserted soon after.)

ſ	στρατηγός
ĺ	[πποδάμας
	Εὐθύμαχος
5	Εύμηλος
Ĵ	'Ανδροσθένης

τοξόται Φρῦνος Ταῦρος Θεόδωρος 'Αλεξίμαχος.

20.

Naval victory of Athens, B.C. 459 (P).

On the stylobate of the portico erected by the Athenians at Delphi, lately discovered; see B. Haussoullier, Bullitin de Corresp. Hell. 1881, p. 1; Pausan. x. 11. 5: φασδομησαν δε κοι Αθηναίοι στολν άπο χρημάτων & έν τῷ πολέμφ σφίσιν ἐγένετο άπό τε Πελοποντησίων και δσοι Πελοποντησίοις ἦσαν τοῦ Ἐλληνικοῦ σύμμαχοι. ᾿Ανάκειται δε και πλοίων τὰ άκρα κοσμήματα και ἀσπίζες χαλκαϊ κ.τ.λ.

Αθηναΐοι ἀνέθεσαν τὴν στοὰν καὶ τὰ ὅπλ[α κ]αὶ τἀκρωτήρια ἐλόντες τῶν πο[λεμί]ων.

Pausanias connects the dedication with Phormio's successes in 429 B.C.; but the archaic writing suggests rather the victory at Kekryphaleia, etc., described by Thuk. i. 105. I append here—

A bronze plate from Dodona, broken into two pieces: (a) in Berlin Museum, purchased some time ago. as from Dodona; (b) published by C. Karapanos, Dodone et ses ruines, p. 47; see M. Fränkel, Arch. Zeit. 1878, p. 71.

а.

b.

'Αθηκαΐοι ἀπὸ Πελοποι[ν] : ησίων ναυμαχία κικήσαντες ἀ[κέθεσαι·].

M. Fränkel connects this also with Kekryphaleia: but the characters belong rather to the days of Phormio's victories (Thuk. ii. fin.).

21.

Halikarnassos in the time of Herodotos; Lygdamis: B.C. 460-455.

A stell of white marble, cut in two down the middle, and somewhat imperfect at bottom; discovered by Mr. Newton at Halikarnussos. The inscription is published by him in his *History of Discoveries*, i. pl. 85; ii. pt. 2, p. 671; more accurately in *Transactions of R. Soc. of Lit.* Dec. 18, 1867; comp. Sauppe, *Nachrichten v. d. K. Gesellschaft d. Wissensch. d. Götting.* 1863, p. 303; Kirchhoff, *Studien*, p. 4. I have collated the stone afresh in the British Museum and made some minor improvements. § 1. Táde ó σύλλο [yo]s $\epsilon\beta ou \lambda \epsilon v \sigma a \tau [o]$ δ 'Αλικαρνατ[έω]ν και Σαλμακιτέων και Λύγ δα μις έν τη ίερη άγορη, μηνό[s] Έρμαιωνος πέμπτη ίσταμέ [νου, ε]πι Λέοντος πρυ-5 ταν [εύο]ντο[ς τ]ου 'Οατάτιος κα-[ί] Σα.... λλ[ου τ]οῦ Θεικυιλώνε[ω κ]οι[$v\hat{\eta}$ (?)]. § 2. [Toù]s μ[$v\hat{\eta}$]μονας μη παραδιδό [ναι] μή [τε] γην μήτε οικ[ι-10 α] τοις μνήμ[ο]σιν έπι 'Απολλωνίδεω του Λυγδά μιος μνημονεύουτος καί [Πα]ναμύω τοῦ Κασβώλλιος, καί Σα[λ]μακιτέων μνημονευόντω [ν Μ]εγαβάτεω τοῦ 'Α-15 φυάσιος κα[l..] ρμίωνος τοῦ Π[a]νυάτιος. § 3. ην δ[έ τι]ς θέλη δικαζ[έ]σθαι περί γή $[s \eta]$ οίκίων έπικαλ $[\epsilon i]$ τω έν όκτω κα[ι δ]έκα μησιν άπ' ὅτ[ου τ]ο άδος εγένε το]· νόμω δε κατάπ [ε]ρ νυν δρκώσ[αι του]ς δικαστάς ότ-20 άν οι μνήμο νες ελδέωσιν τουτο κάρτερον είνα[ι]. § 4. [ην] δέ τις υστερον έπικαλή τού του του χρόνου των δκτώ καί δέκα [μη]νών, δρκον είναι τ-25 φ νεμομένφ [τ]ηγ γην η τα οίκ-[ι]α όρκουν δε τ[ούς] δικαστάς ήμί-[ε]κτον δεξαμ[ένου]ς, τών δε δρκου εί-[ν]αι παρεόντος [τοῦ έ]νεστηκότος κaptépous d' eirai y [$\hat{\eta}$ s k]ai olklwr oltures τότ' είχον ότε 'Α[πο]λλωνίδης και Πανα-30 μύης έμνημό νευ ον, εί μη υστερον απεπέρασαν. § 5. [τδ]ν νόμον τουτον ήν τις θέλη συγχέαι η προθητα-[ι] ψήφον ώστε μ[η ε]ίναι τον νόμο**ν** τούτον, τὰ έόι [τα] αὐτοῦ πεπρήσθω 35 και τώπόλλων[os] είναι ίερά, και αύτον φεύγειν α[lei]. ην δε μη η αύτ-🖨 άξια δέκα [στα]τήρων αὐτὸν [π]-

επρησθαι ἐπ' ἐ[ξα]γωγή καὶ μη[δ]αμὰ κάθοδον [εἶν]αι ἐς ᾿Αλικάρνησσον. § 6. ᾿Αλικα[ρνη]σσέων δὲ τῶς συμπάντων τ[ούτ]ψ ἐλεύθερον εἶναι (δς ἂν ταῦτα μ[η π]αραβαίνη κατόπερ τὰ ὅρκια ἔτα[μον] καὶ ὡς γέγραπται ἐν τῷ ᾿Απολλ[ωνί]ψ) ἐπικαλεῖν.

Lygdamis, the grandson of Artemisia, was one of the dynasts of Asia Minor whose rule survived, for a time, the Persian overthrow. Suidas (s. v. 'Hoódoros) tells us that Lygdamis put to death Panyasis the Epic poet, and drove Herodotos the poet's nephew into exile. Subsequently a revolution took place at Halikarnassos, which ended in the expulsion of Lygdamis and the return of Herodotos. Afterwards the historian left his city the second time, and ultimately joined the Athenian colony to Thurii in B.C. 443. As Halikarnassos appears in the earliest 'Quota-list' B.C. 454 (No. 24), Lygdamis cannot have been expelled later than B.C. 455, nor can the change have taken place much earlier. We may conjecturally connect this document with the life of Herodotos by dating it somewhere about B.C. 460-455, in the very year in which the revolution took place, but before Lygdamis quitted the city. The exiles had returned, the struggle was over, and the republicans and the Lygdamis party had sworn an agreement with each other which was recorded in the temple of Apollo (§ 6, δρκια έταμον κ.τ.λ.). But the republicans wished to recover their lands and houses. which had been confiscated and held 'in chancery' in the hands of the yearly board called of µrnµoves, 'Registrars' (Arist. Pol. vi. 5). Their claim is met by the present law.

§ 1. A meeting is called in the 'Sacred Agora' (its locality is unknown) where the citizens of Halikarnassos and Salmakis (an old Karian town practically absorbed into the Greek settlement) on the one hand, and Lygdamis on the other, take counsel. $\sigma i \lambda \lambda \sigma j \sigma s$ is an *extraordinary* gathering: if my conjecture $[\kappa] \sigma i$ $[v \hat{\eta}]$ is right, it probably qualifies $\partial \sigma i \delta \sigma i \sigma \sigma s$; it may however qualify $\pi \rho v \tau a v \epsilon i \sigma s$. § 2. It is decided that the board of $\mu v \eta \mu \sigma v \sigma s$ just expiring shall not hand over any lands or houses to the incoming board. In other words, the returned exiles are

to have them back. Lygdamis the father of Apollonides may be a kinsman of the tyrant: Panyatis is probably the uncle of Herodotos. § 3. If several claimants apply for the same property, their claim must be entered within 18 months of the passing of this law, and the µνήµονες are to swear in dikasts in the usual way, choosing their own time for the trial to take place so as to be final. ados appears to be a subst. from avdáva: cp. E.M. s. v. δδον. The iota adscriptum in δρκώσ[al] is a mere blunder of the stone-cutter. § 4. If a claim is made after the prescribed time, the owner in possession is to take oath, in the presence of the claimant, that he is the rightful owner: such outh to be administered by a court of dikasts, who are to have $\frac{1}{11}$ of a stater for their trouble. In all cases, whoever is proved to have been in possession under the unipoves next after the passing of this law, he is to be regarded as the lawful owner -unless (of course) he has sold the property since. § 5. This law to be for ever binding and unalterable. § 6. No citizen to be deprived of the advantage of this law who abides by the covenant previously made between the contending factions. τ[ούτ], sc. τω νόμω. The genitive 'Αλικα[ρνη]σσέων is partitive after δστις: έλεύθερον is masculine. It is very observable that Halikarnassos, though a Dorian colony, had thus early become quite Ionicized—hence the Ionic of Herodotos. Hardly a trace of Doric appears in our inscription; as 'Alikaprar[$\epsilon \omega$]r and II a prvátios.

22.

Rise of Athens: Battle of Tanagra, B.C. 457.

Two fragments of marble: (a) given in Böckh, C. I. O. 166, and now existing somewhere in England, one would be glad to know where; (b) discovered of late years at Athens; see Kirchhoff, C. I. A. i, 441. Neither the characters nor the means are Attic, and Böckh ingeniously identified this with the temb of the Kleomeans who marched with the Argives and assisted the Athenians at the battle of Tanagra. Pausanias saw this tomb in Kerameikos (i. 29. 5 and 7), irraída sal Ekseraios scirren, perd 'Appelar is rip 'Arrun't ikdirres..., pekkoirys 'Adpraise ir Taráppa yirestau up's Bourrois x i Aaxedauporioss páyns deficerro 'Adpraise 'Appeise Southers' mel raparrixa pir farens uking the Appelars rig inchoiros desiker ro capis rips rians, is bi rip borepaíar istipfe sparifica Aaxedauporios, Georakir spoierrer 'Adpraises : cp. Thuk. i. 107. On the right of a is a fragment of a metrical epitaph.

ς [Φ]οῖνιξάτριος [Φ]ιλέας ράχας 5 Τελέσστας 5 [Λ]υκῖrος Δαμοφάνης 6 Ουμάρης 6 Ουμάρης 6 Ουμάρης 6 Δαϊκλης 5 [Λ]υκῖrος 6 Δαϊκλής 6 Δαϊκλής 7 Ελίσστας 7 Ελίσστας 10 Δέρκετος 10 Δέρκετος 10 Δέρκετος 10 Δέρκετος 10 10 10 15 [Εὐ]αρχί[ð]ας	a		ь
	[Φ]οινιξ [Φ]ιλέας . ράχας 5 Τελέσστας Δαμοφάνης Ουμάρης Δαϊκλής Σύλιχος 10 Δέρκετος Λυκοδόρκας Κλέων Κρατιάδας [Α]lσχύλος	μαρναμ[εν · · ·	[Α]ριστίων [Σ]φενδονίων 5 [Λ]νκίνος [Γ]αναξίλας [Δ]έρκετος [Έχ]εμένης

23.

Athens and her subject-allies : Constitution of Erythræ in Ionia, B. C. 455-450.

A large marble found near the Erechtheion, published by Böckh, C. I. G. 73^{b} (Addend. p. 890 ff.), but now apparently lost; Kirchhoff, C. I. A. i. 9. Unfortunately the only copies existing are very inaccurate, so that much doubt hangs over the restoration of many particular words, although the general tenour is certain enough. Erythræ is named in the tribute-list for B.C. 450 (Köhler, Urkund. p. 15), but the date of its subjection is not known. Thases was reduced B.C. 463, and all the allies, except Chios and Lesbos, had been reduced by the time of the Samian War B.C. 440. The reduction of Erythræ falls between 463 and 450, but the characters of our inscription are hardly earlier than 455. I give Kirchhoff's text.

§ Ἐρυθραίων ἀπ[ὸ κ]υάμων βουλην εἶναι εἶκοσι καὶ ἐκατὸν ἄνδρας. § τὸν δὲ [κυαμε]υθέντα δο[κι]μά[ζ]ειν ἐν τη [β]ουλη καὶ μη θεμιτὸν εἶναι βουλε[ύειν μηδὲ ἕν]α ὅλειζον η τριάκοντα ἐτη γεγονότα. δίωξιν δ' εἶναι [κατὰ τ]ῶν ἐλε[γ]χο[μ]ένων βουλεύειν 5 δὲ μη ἐντὸς τεττάρων ἐτῶν. § [ἀπο]κυαμεῦσαι [δ]ὲ καὶ καταστησαι την μὲν τέως βουλην τοὺς ἐ[πισκ]όπους καὶ [τὸν] φρ[ού]ραρχον, τὸ δὲ λοιπὸν την βουλην καὶ τὸν [φρούρ]αρχον. § [τῶ]μ

βουλευσόντων έκαστον Ερύθρασι π[ρί]ν έσιέναι [ές την άρχ]ην όμνύναι [μέτ]ία κα[ί] 'Απόλλω καὶ Δήμη[τρα], ἐπαρώμενο[ν 10 εξώλειαν εαίτω επιορκούντι κ]αλ παι[σ]λν εαυτού. [τό]ν δε όρκον δ[μυύνα]ι καπε ίερων καιομένων. την δε βουλην [τη]ν βουλ[ε]ύουσαν τα[υτα] αναγκειζειν. έαν δε μή, είναι ζημιώσαι [χι]λί[α]σιν δρ[αχμαίσι ή] δ α j δημος δ Έρυθραίων αυτούς καταβαλείν ψηφίσηται. δ ιμνύνα[ι] ῶ ε τά]δε [την] βουλήν —

βαλεύσω ώς αν δύ νω[μ]α[ι] α[ρ]ισστ[α 15 και δικα[ιότα]τα 'Ερυθραίων τώ πλήθει κα: Αθηναίων και των [ξυ]νμά[χ]ων. [κ]αι σῶκ [ἀποσ]τήσομαι 'Αθηναίων τοῦ π[λ]ήθατις ούδε των Ευνμάχων των 'Αθησαιων, ούτ' αυτός έγω ουτ' ά λ λω πε-20 [imp[ai. ου]δ' αυτομολή σω] ουτ' αυτός έγνα ο[ΰ]τ' άλλφ [π]εί[σομαι οὐδὲ ἐνί. συνε των φ[ευ]γόν[των] δέξομαι οὐδ[ε]ένις υντ' αντός έγω ουτ' [άλλω] πείσο-[μ. ι. ι, των ές] Μήδους φυγό[ντω]ν, άνευ της γυώμη[s της 'Αθη] ναίων και του [δ]ήματα. ούδε των μενόντων εξελώ [ά]ren τής γυ[ώμης] τής 'Αθηναίων και του δήμηυ.

§ εαν δέ της αποκτείνη ... ως ετερον η ..., τεθ[ν]άτω. 30 ξ εάν δέ τζισι [.... κατα γνωσθη, φευγέτω άμα και την Άθη**raίων ξυγμαχ ων καί τ**]α χρήματα δημόσ[ια έσ]τω 'Ερυθραίων. ξ εάν δε τις ι λώ [προδι]δούς το[ί]ς τυράινοις τημ πόλιν [τ]ών 'Ερυθραί[ω] ν κ...., τεθνάτω [κ]a[i] παίδες οι εξ εκείνου, εα[r] 35 μη ω[s] έχ ν τες ol παίδες ol έξ [έ]κείν ου ές τον δημον τον] **Ἐρνθράιω[ν] «止 [τὸ]ν Ἀθηrαίων** ἀποφαιθῶσι. τὰ δὲ χρήματα ... as κατα[# - στας έχειν τού[ς π]αίδας το ήμισυ ... έσθω κατ[α τ] αἰτὰ καὶ ... έντων 'Αθηναίων ... 'Ερύθρασι

I have omitted a few fragmentary expressions at the beginning, which seem to require the Erythræans to send sacrifices and a deputation to the Panathenaic festival. The enlowone sent out by Amens to her subject cities answered to the Spartan apportal; their authority was purely civil, the garrison being

25

commanded by a $\phi \rho o \dot{\nu} \rho a \rho \chi o s$. In constituting a government upon the Athenian model, the $\beta o v \lambda \dot{\eta}$ was the most important feature. For the $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \dot{a}$ included, without restriction, all who had the franchise; but the senate met daily, had the power of initiating ($\pi \rho o \beta o \dot{\nu} \lambda \epsilon v \mu a$), and through the $\pi \rho v \sigma \dot{a} \epsilon v \kappa c n \sigma \sigma \dot{a}$, and yet being elected by lot ($\kappa v a \mu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a$) was a thoroughly democratic institution. On the employment of oaths and imprecations in Greek politics see No. 16. For the whole inscription comp. No. 28.

24.

List of the Quota of Tribute paid to Athena in the year B. C. 454.

The inscriptions commonly spoken of as 'Tribute-lists' fall into two classes. (1) Decrees of new assessment: see No. 47; (2) The accounts of the quota of Tribute yearly dedicated to the goddess as an drapy or first-fruits, her share being to the or pra dud tou talarrow (see C. I. A. i. 260). The lists of this latter sort were inscribed upon blocks and slabs of marble, many fragments of which have been found on the Akropolis, and have been pieced together by the successive labours of Rangabé (Antig. Hellen. i. p. 236 foll.), Böckh (Staatsk. ii. p. 369 foll.), Köhler (Urkunden und Untersuchungen zur Gesch. d. Delisch-Attisch. Bundes, Berl. 1870), and Kirchhoff (C. I.A. i. 226-272). Six of these marbles have been thus restored, which give the yearly accounts with scarcely any break from B.C. 454 (the year in which the Common funds were probably transferred from Delos to Athens) down to B.C. 421. The lists are a good deal broken in places, but as the same names recur, and often in a similar order, many of the lacung have been filled up with certainty. A peculiar interest attaches to the first list drawn up by the Hellenotamize after the transfer to Athens, which is here subjoined, from Kirchhoff, C. I. A. i. 226; Köhler, Urk. p. 6; cp. Böckh, Steatsh. ii. p. 423.

[Αίδε τῶν φόρων τῶν παρ]à τῶν Ἑλλ[ηνοτ]αμιῶν, ό[îs] [. ἐγραμμάτευε, ὑπὸ τῶν] τριάκς[ντα ἀπ]εφάνθη[σα]ν [ἀπαρχαὶ τ]-[ŋ θεφ ἐπὶ ᾿Αρίστωνος ἅ]ρχοντος ᾿Α[θην]αίοις, μνᾶ ἀ[πὸ τοῦ ταλά]-[ντου].

(Column 1 on	the marble.)	(Column 2 on	the marble.)
			• • • • • •
	• • • • • •		• • • • • •
· · · · · ·	• • • • • •		• • • • • •
• • • • • •	• • • • • •		••••
• • • • • •	• • • • • •	••••	••••
· · · · · ·	••••	••••	• • • • • •
• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •
	•••••	••••	
• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	ГРНН
• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	НННН
• • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • •	H
• • • • • •	• • • • • •		I™HHHH
• • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • •	ΔΙ
· · · · · ·	•••••	• • • • • •	ℍℍℙ⅃Ω℧⊦
• • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • •	••••
••••	•••••	••••	•••••
• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	•••••
•••••	••••	• • • • • •	••••
lucun	a.	lacu	na.
	• • • • • •	[Πεπαρήθιοι ?]	HHH
. • • • • •	• • • • • •	[Κολοφών]ιοι	ННН
· · · · · ·	•••••	Νοτ[ι]η̂s	ΔΔΔΗΗΗΙ
••••••	• • • • • •	Διοσερίται	
• • • • • •	•••••	Σπαρτάλιοι	HH
· · · · · · [ĕ](^{\$}	Αἰραῖοι	HHH
· · · · · · · · · ·	·) [=]==::::::	Λινδίων Οδιάται	רן מן
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		'Αστακηνοί	HPP
[Χεβφονησ]ίται	×™HHH	Νεοπολίται	
HH	ℍℍ⅃⅃⅃⅃ℾ	Μαιάνδριοι	₽∆₽⊦₩

GREEK INSCRIPTIONS. PART II.

(Column 3 on	the marble.)	Σίγγιοι	нн[ннг⊦]⊦⊦∥
[Μαρ]ωνίται	HPP	Θάσιοι	ННН
[Λ[]νδιοι ["ΗΗΗ	∆∆∆∆[+IIII]	Mvool	ΔΔΔ+[++]
[Ol]vaîoı év 'I-	}HDDDFFF[II]	Πίκρης Συαγ[γελ	ເໜົຣ]
кáрy		Κεδριήτα[ι]	• • • • • •
"Ησσιοι	Н	Κεράμιοι	
Νεάνδρεια	ΔΔΔ+++[II]	Βουθειής	• • • • • •
Λαμπώνεια		Κυλλάνδι[οι]	• • • • • •
'Ал кар-)		0	• • • • • •
νασσής)			• • • • • •
Στρεψαῖοι	н	lac	una.
Γαλήψιοι	HPP		
Κυρβισσός	ΔΔΔΗΗΗ	•••••	
Διδυμοτει-		••••	
χîται ∫		••••	•••••
[Δικ]αιοπο-)	ГН]ННН	••••	• • • • • •
[λîται] 🖇	լոյորո	••••	• • • • • •
• • • • • •	• • • • • •	••••	
• • • • • •		••••	•••••
Г асня	ia.	•••••	•••••
Λί[παξos ?]		• • • • • •	• • • • • •
Κλαζομέν[ιοι]			•••••
'Αργίλιοι	XP	(Colnma 5 c	n the marble.)
Καρβασυανδής		Να[ρι]σ[βαρῆs]	
Φασηλîται	Г°H	Mv& o]res	• • • • • •
Τερμερής	HHPP	Kia[r]oi	∆[₽ +IIII]
Κεβρήνιοι	ннн	Α[ρ]τακητο[ί]	• • • • • •
Κασολ[αβῆs]		[N]ea[=]olis	
Δíκ[aιa]) :	[e]r [Op]án	} ∆ר[וווו]
πα[ρ' Αβδηρα	.] } · · · · ·	Βερί ύ]σιοι ύπο	} <u>\</u>
(Column 4 on	the marble.)	τŷ ["]δŋ	j Δi Filli
'Αβ[δηρί]ται	XHHPAAAP	Αύλιάται Κάρες	ቦኑኑ[ኑዘ]
'Ολύτθ[ιοι] Σκα	1	'lât a ı	Н
βλαΐο[ι'Ασ]σ		Παριατοί	н
ρίται		[Δ]ασκύλειον	}
ριια Σερμυλ[ιῆs]	XTHHPAAFF	[er] Προποντίδι	∫ I TTTU
Σερμυλ[[ης] Μηκυπερ[ra]ιοι		[Α]ໄγιεήται	XXX
Στώλιοι	}⊓∣	Μιλήσιοι)	ннн
Χασταί	ήμα[ΔΔ]ΔΗΙ	[ἐ]ξ Λέρου 🥤	1 TO 18 6
65WV I W9			

28

[Μι]λήσιοι [ἐν Τ]ειχιούσση }	
Гасина.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•••••	•••••
•••••	•••••
•••••	•••••

FROM PERSIAN TO PELOPONNESIAN WARS, 29

The series of documents of which this is a specimen is of great importance to the student of Greek history. Their results have been admirably drawn out first by Böckh in the 2nd volume of his *Staatshaushallung*, and more completely by Köhler in his special work on the subject. Among other things, we recover (1) the list of Tributary states; (2) the precise years during which they each belonged to the Confederacy; (3) the amount of the yearly $\phi \delta \rho o_5$, which is arrived at in each case by multiplying the quota given by δo ; (4) the various changes made in the tribute; and (5) the mode of its administration. As to the names in this list and Nos. 30, 35, 47, 48, the reader is referred to Böckh (*l.c.*) or Köhler's Urkunden above quoted, or to the map of the Athenian Confederacy in Kirchhoff's C. I. d. vol. i. Two or three interesting facts may however be mentioned here.

The earliest quota-list in B.C. 454 most likely marks the date of the transference from Delos to Athens. Until then probably a similar $d\pi a\rho_X \eta$ had been paid in to the Delian Apollo, which was now simply transferred to Athena.

In the first eight lists the tributary states are enumerated with little regard to geographical order. From the 9th year (B.C. 446) onwards, they are distributed into five Regions, 'Iwriki's $\phi \phi \rho \sigma$, 'EAA $\eta \sigma \pi \delta \nu \tau i \sigma \sigma \phi$, 'E πi (once $\Delta \pi \delta$) $\Theta \rho \delta \kappa \eta s \phi$., Kapiko's ϕ ., N $\eta \sigma i \omega$ - $\tau i \kappa \delta s \phi$. (see No. 30). After the new assessment made B.C. 437, the Ionian and Karian Regions are grouped under one head, first as 'Ionian' and afterwards as 'Karian;' the order being *Ionian* (or Karian), Islands, Hellespont, Thrace. This geographical grouping of the tributaries in B.C. 446-5 is probably to be connected with the negotiations preceding the Thirty Years' Truce in B.C. 445, when the treaty of peace certainly included a list of the confederate cities on both sides (Thuk. i. 40, $\Delta \gamma \rho \delta \phi \omega \tau \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$, and 31, $\delta \sigma \epsilon \rho \delta \psi \sigma \tau \sigma \delta \epsilon \omega \tau \sigma \delta s$). Traces of this distribution are 30

found in the historians (see Thuk. ii. 9, Καρία . . 'Ιωτία, Έλλήσποντος, τὰ ἐπὶ Θράκης, νῆσοι: cp. Plut. Per. 17).

Respecting the assessment of the tribute something will be said on No. 47, which is the only known example of the kind.

The number of the Tributaries named in the lists amounts to under 290: the only known estimate of their number is in Aristophanes (Wasps, 707, acted B.C. 422, $\epsilon l\sigma(\nu \gamma \epsilon \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon_{15} \chi(\lambda \iota a, at <math>\nu \nu \nu \tau \delta \nu \phi \delta \rho o \nu \eta \mu \nu \delta \pi \delta \gamma \nu \nu \sigma \nu$), where 1000 is manifestly an exaggeration, but yet not intended to be beyond the limits of credibility. Doubtless many of the towns named in the lists paid for themselves and for smaller places connected with them ($\sigma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}_s$): this, with other considerations, is suggested by Böckh (Slaatsh. ii. p. 660 foll.), who supposes that the sapient Bdelykleon reckoned that for every city down in the published lists there were three that really paid; and thus in round numbers 300×3 are set down as $\chi(\lambda \iota a \iota$.

The total amount yearly received as assessed by Aristides ($\delta \epsilon \pi$ ' 'Apistetion $\phi \delta \rho \rho s$, Thuk. i. 96; v. 18. § 5) was 460 talents. At the opening of the Peloponnesian War Perikles is made to estimate it (Thuk. ii. 13) at '600 talents upon the average.' These figures agree with the inscriptions: see the notes on No. 30.

The heading of the list before us should be compared with the shorter heading of No. 30. The board of 10 Hellenotamize having received the tribute, the Logistze, 30 in number (see No. 37 A), appointed yearly by lot (oi rpidkovra, $\dot{\eta} d\rho_X \dot{\eta}$), assisted by their secretary, calculated and paid over the quota to Athena, and drew up the documents of which this is a specimen.

25.

The Sicilian Cities: Selinus (and Egesta?). About 452 B.C.

A broken block of tufa discovered among the ruins of the Temple of Apollo at Selinus, in March 1871. I give it from the copies of Gregorio Ugdulena (Sopra una iscrizione Selinuntina, 1871), and O. Benndorf (Die Metopen von Selinunt, 1873. p. 27 foll.).

> [Δι]à τοὺς θεοὺς τού[σ]δε νικῶντι τοὶ Σελινούν[τιοι, δι]à τὸν Δία νικῶμες καὶ διὰ τὸν Φόβον [καὶ] δ[ιὰ] Ἡρακλέα καὶ δι' Ἀπόλλωνα καὶ διὰ Π[οσ]ε[ιδâ]να καὶ διὰ Τυνδαρίδας καὶ δι' Ἀθ[α]-

5 ráav καὶ διὰ Μαλοφόρον καὶ διὰ Πασι[κ]ρά[τ]ειαν καὶ δι[ὰ τ]οὺς ἄλλους θεοὺς [δ]ιὰ δ[ὲ] Δία μάλιστ[α]· φιλία[s] δὲ γενομένας, ἐνχ[ρ]υσέου[s] ἐλά[σα]υτα[s καὶ] ὀνύματα ταῦτα κολάψαντ[as ἐs] τὸ ᾿Α[π]ολ[λ]ώνιον καθθέμε10 r, τὸ Διὸ[s προ]γρά[ψα]υτες. τὸ δὲ χρυσίον

έξήκ[ουτα τ]αλάντων [έ]μεν.

The people of Selinus dedicate gold statues of certain deitics, as a thankoffering for a victory, upon the conclusion of peace (line 7). The Italian editor is probably right in supposing the defeated enemies to be the Egestans, the two states having a standing feud respecting a strip of territory (Thuk. vi. 6). The writing is not later than 450 B.C. Diodoros (xi, 86) speaks of a war between Egesta and 'Lilvbæon' in 452 B.C. Lilvbæon was not founded till a century later, and Grote (pt. 2. ch. 57) suggests that the war was between Egesta and Selinus. In this Benndorf agrees, and believes this to be the war alluded to in the inscription. (This opinion is not to be set aside by the suggestion of Köhler in the Mittheilungen d. arch. Instit. 1879. p. 30, where he publishes a fragment of an Attic decree about an embassy from Egesta which mentions the ['AAI] avaious: these he would substitute for the Lilvbæans in Diod. l.c.) In B.C. 450 Selinus was at the height of her prosperity (Curtius, Gr. Gesch. ii. p. 515). Malopópos kai Паσικράτειa are Demeter and Persephone (Pausan. i. 44. 4 - Selinus was a Megarian colony). Φόβοs represents Apps (cp. Plut. Cleam. 8; Thes. 27), who is unnamed as being the consort of Aphrodite the patron-goddess of Egesta and Eryx. Artemis also is omitted, perhaps for rimilar reasons. For Zeùs àyopaîos at Selinus see Herod, v. 46. The syntax of lines 8-10 is irregular.

26.

Athenian defeat at Koroneia (?): B.C. 447.

Two fragments of Pentelic marble, the one discovered on the Akropolis in 1864, the other in 1876: Foucart, Bullet. de Corresp. Hellenique, i. p. 303; Kirchhoff, C. I. A. Suppl. to vol. i. p. 9.

aì ᾿Αθήναιον τοὺς Θεσπιᾶς ἀναγρ-[ά]ψαι προξένους καὶ εὐεργέτα5 [s ʾA]θηναίων καὶ τοὺς παῖδας τοὺς [ἐκείνω]ν ἐμ πόλ[ε]ι ἐν στήλη λιθί-[νη. οἱ δὲ] πωλητ[α]ὶ ἀπομισθωσάντων τὴν στήλην. τ]ὸ δὲ ἀργύριον [δόντων οἱ κωλακρ]έται.

The date of the document is fixed by the characters. We may take these men of Thespize to be persons who stood faithful to Athens after the defeat of Koroneia, and were exiled from Bœotia for their pains. The name of the fourth betrays the Athenian sympathies of his family. The friendship of Thespize for Athens was strengthened by a jealousy of Thebes (cp. Herod. vii. 222; Thuk. iv. 133; vi. 95).

27.

Athenian conquest of Eubcea, B.C. 445.

A base of white marble, broken on right and left, was discovered on the Akropolis and described with a facsimile in Monateber. d. Berl. Akad. 1869, p. 406 foll. It contains the following inscription (cp. C.I.A. i. 334) :--

(entire at top)	
ENAIONEPAM/	(The inscription was in two lines,
	each containing a distich.)
(racunt)	

```
Έθτεα Βοιωτῶν καὶ Χαλκιδέων δαμάσαντες
παίδες 'Αθηναίων ἔργμα σιν ἐν πολέμου,
δεσμῷ ἐν ἀχλυόεντι σιδηρέψ ἔσβεσαν ῦβριν
τῶν Γ΄,ππους δεκ άζτην Παλλάδι τάσδ' ἔθεσαν.
```

Comp. Pausan. i. 28. 2, καὶ ắρμα κεῖται χαλκοῦν ἀπὸ Βοιωτῶν δεκάτη καὶ Χαλκιδέων τῶν ἐν Εὐβοία. The characters however of the inscription are not older than Perikles' time. Therefore it was he who employed the tithe, previously dedicated, for the purposes of this monument; he did so probably upon the conquest of Eubœa in B.C. 445, thus connecting his own victory with the victory of 60 years before. In that year, 445, Perikles would leave no means untried to reanimate the failing hopes of his countrymen (Grote, pt. 2. ch. 45).

28.

Athenian conquest of Eubœa: Settlement of Chalkis. B. C. 445.

An entire slab, discovered on the Akropolis in 1876: the appearance of the stone reveals that a companion stell originally was attached to it on the left, both being surmounted by one pediment, on which probably was the name of the $\gamma_{PP,PPare's}$, whose omission is otherwise peculiar. Published by Kumanudes, 'Adirator, v. p. 76 ff.; Foucart in *Revue archeologique* 1877, i. p. 242; *C.I.A.* Suppl. to vol. i. p. 10. The lost stell contained the $\psi/\phi_{PP}\sigma_{PP}$ alluded to in § 3. The inscription dates from immediately after the reduction of Eubora, of which Chalkis was the key; this is not part of the $\delta_{PO}\lambda_{O}\gamma_{I}a$ mentioned by Thuk. i. 114, but gives rather certain modifications of that $\delta_{PO}\lambda_{O}\gamma_{I}a$, conceded by the Athenians upon the petition of the Chalkidians.

Ileading. "Εδοξεν τῆ [β]ουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ. 'Αιτιοχὶs ἐ[=ριτ]άνευε, Δρακ[ον]τίδης ἐπεστάτει.

§ 1. Resolution carried by Diognetos.

Διόγιητος είπε Oath to be taken by κατά τάδε [τ]ον δρκου δμόσαι 'Αθηναίων τ-Athenian βουλή and ην βουλην και τους δικαστάς ουκ έξελω Χαdinastai. 5 λκιδέας έχ Χαλκίδος οὐδὲ τὴν πόλιν ἀνάστατον ποήσω, οὐδὲ ἰδιώτην οὐδένα ἀτιμώσω ούδε φυγή ζημιώσω ούδε ξυλλήψομαι οὐδὲ ἀποκτενῶ οὐδὲ χρήματα ἀφαιρήσομαι ἀκ[ρ]ίτου οὐδενὸς ἅνευ τοῦ δήμου τοῦ Ἀθ-10 ηναίων, οὐδ' ἐπιψηφιῶ κατὰ ἀπροσκλήτου ούτε κατά του κοιγού ούτε κατά ίδιώτου οὐδε ένός, και πρεσβείαν ελθοῦσαν προσάξω πρός βουλήν και δήμον δέκα ήμερων, δταν

D

		πρυτανεύω, κατὰ τὸ δυνατόν ταῦτα δὲ ἐμπ-
	15	[ε]δώσω Χαλκιδεῦσιν πειθομένοις τῷ δή-
Who are to		[μ]ψτῷ ἀΑθηναίων. όρκῶσαι [δ] επρεσβεία-
administer this oath.		[ν] έλθοῦσαν έχ Χαλκίδος μετά των δρκωτώ-
		ν 'Αθηναίους και απογράψαι τους δμόσαντ-
		as. δπως δ' ar [δ]μόσωσιν απαντες, επιμελ-
	20	όσθων οί στ[ρ]ατηγοί
Oath to be		κατά τάδε Χαλκιδέας δμόσαι ούκ άπο[σ]τή-
taken byall Chalki-		σομαι άπὸ τοῦ [δ]ήμου τοῦ ᾿Αθηναίων οὕτε τέ[χ]ν-
dians of		η ούτε μηχανή οὐδεμιά οὐδ ἔπει οὐδε
age.		ξργφ, οὐδὲ τῷ ἀφισταμένφ πείσομαι, κ-
	25	αὶ ἐὰν ἀφιστῆ τις, κατερῶ ἀΑθηναίοισι, κ-
	-3	αί του φόρου ύποτελώ Άθηναίοισιν δυ
		αν πείθ <u>ω 'Αθηναίους</u> , και ξύμμαχος έσομα-
		ι olos αν δύτωμαι άριστος και δικαιότ-
		ατος, καὶ τῷ δήμφ τῷ Ἀθηναίων βοηθήσ-
	30	ω καὶ ἀμυνῶ, ἐἀν τις ἀδικῆ τὸν δῆμον τὸν
	5-	'Αθηναίων, καὶ πείσομαι τῷ δήμφ τῷ 'Αθ-
		ηναίων. δμόσαι δε Χαλκιδέων τοὺς ήβῶντ-
Penalty of		as δπαντας. δε δ' αμ μη δμόση, άτιμον αντ-
not swear-		ον είναι καί τα χρήμ[α]τα αὐτοῦ δημόσια, καὶ
ing.	35	τοῦ Διός τοῦ ἘΟλυμπίου τὸ ἐπιδέκατον ἱερὸ-
Who are to	22	[ν] έστω των χρημάτων. δρκωσαι δε πρεσβε-
administer		ίαν 'Αθηναίων έλθοῦσαν ές Χαλκίδ[α] μετά τ-
this oath.		ών δρκωτών τών έν Χαλκίδι και άπογράφ-
		σαι τούς δμόσαστας Χαλκιδέων.
		§ 2. Resolutions carried by Antikles.
	40	'Αντικλής είτε· ἀγαθή τύχη τή 'Αθηναί-
The oath to		ων, ποείσθαι τον δρκου Άθηναίους και Χαλ-
at stated		κιδέας καθάπερ Ἐρετριεῦσι ἐψηφίσατ-
times (1).		ο ό δημος ό Άθηναίων. δπως δ' αν τάχιστ-
		a γίγνηται, επιμε λόσθων οί στρατηγοί.
Five extra	45	οίτινες δε εξορκώσουσι ἀφικόμενοι ε-
commia- sioners.		s Χαλκίδα έλέσθαι τον δημον πέντε άνδρ-
•		as aὐτίκα μάλα. περί δὲ τῶν ὁμήρων ἀποκ-
The Athe-		ρίνασθαι Χαλκιδεῦσιν, ῦτι νῦμ μὲν ἀΑθη-
nians will retain		ralois δοκεί έαr κατά τὰ έψηφισμένα,
Chalkidian	50	όταν δε δοκή, βουλευσάμενοι ποήσουσι τη-
heatages.		

34

-	ν διαλλα[γ]ην καθότι αν δοκη επιτή[δ]ειο-	-
	ν είναι 'Αθηναίοις καὶ Χαλκιδεῦσιν. τοὺς δ-	
	è ξένους τοὺς ἐν Χαλκίδι, ὅσοι οἰκοῦντες	Exemp-
	μη τελούσιν 'Αθήναζε καὶ εἴ τφ δέδοται	tions from Φύρος.
55	ύπὸ τοῦ δήμου τοῦ Ἀθηναίων ἀτέλεια, τοὺς δὲ ά-	<i>* * * *</i>
	λλους τελείν ές Χαλκίδα καθάπερ οι άλλο-	
	ι Χαλκιδέες. τὸ δὲ ψήφισμα τόδε καὶ τὸν	How and
	δρκου αναγράψαι Αθήνησι μευ του γρα-	where this decree is to
	μμ[α]τέα της βουλης έ στήλη λιθίνη και κ-	be inscrib-
60	αταθείναι ές πόλιν τέλεσι τοις Χαλκιδέ-	ed.
	ων εν δε Χαλκίδι εν τῷ ίερῷ τοῦ Διὸς τοῦ	
	Ολυμπίου ή βουλή [ή] Χαλκιδέων ἀναγράψασ-	
	α καταθέτω. ταῦτα μὲν ψηφίσασθαι Χαλκ-	
	ιδεῦσιντὰ δὲ ίερὰ τὰ ἐκ τῶν χρησμ-	
63	ών ύπερ Εύβοίας θύσαι ώς τάχιστα μετά	Sacrifices
	Ίεροκλέους τρείς άνδρας ούς αν έληται	in obedi- ence to
	ή βουλή σφών αὐτών. ὅπως δ' αν τάχιστα τυθ-	χρησμοί οί
	ή, οί στρατηγοί συνεπιμελόσθων καί τ-	Hierokles.
	δ άργύριον ές ταῦτα [π]αρεχόντων.	
. Si	upplement to Antikles' resolutions, carried by Archestratos.	
70	'Αρχέστρατο[s] είπε· τὰ μὲν ἄλλα καθάπερ ['A]- ντικλῆς· τὰς [δ]ὲ εὐθύνας Χαλκιδεῦ[σ]ι κατ-	The Chal- kidian ma-

gistrates accountable to their own courts, with certain exceptions. The Eubrean cities to be allowed all freedom compatible with the military mfetv of Euber.

§ 3.

80	Оркоз.
	ώς βέλτιστα 'Αθηναίοις.
	θαι ώς αν δύνωνται άριστα, όπως αν έχη-
	λακής Εύβοίας τους στρατηγούς έπιμέλεσ-
	ετών κατά το ψήφισμα τοῦ δήμου. περί δε φυ-
75	ι 'Αθήναζε ές την ηλιαίαν την των θεσμοθ-
	ου και άτιμίας. περί δε τούτων έφεσιν είνα-
	ήνησιν Aθηναίοις, πλην φυγής και θανάτ-
	•
	à σφών αὐτών είναι έν Χαλκίδι καθάπερ 'Aθ-
	ντικλής τας [δ]ε εύθύνας Χαλκιδεύ[σ]ι κατ-
70	'Αρχέστρατο[s] είπε τὰ μὲν ἄλλα καθάπερ ['A]-

Line 4: the Athenian $\beta ov\lambda \dot{\eta}$ is not to deal with Chalkis as it had just dealt with Hestizea (Thuk. i. 114), and as it had with Chalkis itself in B.C. 509-4 (Herod. v. 77). Line 6: this applies rather to the Athenian dikasts, when trying a case brought to them from Chalkis; axp(rov ovdero's is to be understood with all these verbs. Line 8: unfair sentence of death and confiscation is meant. The ultimate sovereign power is reserved for the omnipotent $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu os$. Line 10: this applies to members of the Bouln, who are to act like Sokrates when they are $\pi \rho u \tau d \nu \epsilon is$, and not put to the vote an unfair vijourua. Line II: compare the sweeping decree against the Mytileneans (Thuk, iii. 36). Line 12: envoys with petitions from subject states or others could only be introduced to the dinuos by the nourdress, who were not always above suspicion of abusing their power by taking bribes, or by repelling unpopular applicants (Arist. Peace, 905; Thesm. 936; [Xenophon], Resp. Ath. iii. 3); the words rata to duvator show that sometimes an embassy could not be introduced, owing to urgent business, or to the occurrence of a national holiday (insyciola, Arist. Peace, ibid.). Line 20: this was the usual form of the 3rd pers. pl. imp. pres. pass, at this date (see Kirchhoff, C. I. A. suppl. to vol. i. p. 12). Line 27: this shows that the subject cities could and did appeal against unfair assessment of pópos (cp. No. 47). Line 30: a contingent from Chalkis served with the Athenians in Sicily (Thuk. vii. 57). Line 40: this is probably the same Antikles who commanded in the Samian war ('Thuk. i. 117). Line 41: Kirchhoff concludes, from the use of the present tense, that Antikles' object was to repeat the oath at stated intervals, and that this had been ordered in the lost psephisma concerning Eretria. Lines 43, 44: from this expression, and advika mata below, we gather that the support had only just been concluded with Eubora (Thuk. i. 114). Line 46 : as all the adult population of Chalkis had to swear, the five extra commissioners would be needed. Line 53 foll.: the sentence will not construe : either the stonecutter, or the MS. from which he worked, was at fault. Kirchhoff suggests rows ev Χαλκίδι οίκοῦντας, δσοι μη τέλουσιν 'Αθήναζε (i.e. κληροῦχοι at Chalkis, who, as citizens of Athens, paid no tribute; see Ælian, Far. H. vi. 1) καὶ εἴ τφ . . . ἀτέλεια, [ἀτελεῖς εἶναι], τοὺς δὲ ἄλλους к.т.). Each subject state paid its poops in a lump sum to Athens; the local authorities raised it by an individual assessment. Line 64 foll.: for Hierokles and his xonouol see Arist. Peace, 1043 foll.; perhaps he had received a grant of land at Oreos as a reward, upon the fulfilment of his predictions of success in the Eubœan expedition: cp. the prophesyings about the Sicilian expedition (Thuk, viii, 1). That such µápres

accompanied the Athenian armies we know from No. 19. Thukydides speaks slightingly (ii. 21) of these floating $\chi\rho\eta\sigma$ - μol , but they were believed in by the people, and this psephisma shows that they were recognised by the state. Line 80: here the formula of the oath was rehearsed in the MS. draft, but the stoneeutter does not repeat it, as it has already occurred as part of Diognetos' motion.

29.

Athenian Colonies in Thrace; Brea, B.C. 444-440.

Two fragments of Pentelic marble, found 1833, 1847, in the Erechtheion: publisbed 1853, by Böckh, Monateb. d. Berl. Akad. p. 147, and by Sauppe, Transortions of Sar. Lit. Society, 1853, p. 33. I follow Kirchhoff's revised text, C. I.A. i. No. 31. See E. Curtius, Gr. Gesch. ii. p. 228, 'noch heute ist uns auf alter Steinurkunde der Volkebeschluss erhalten, in Folge dessen der Stadt Brea im Lande d. r Bisalter, in der wasserreichen Berggegend, nördlich von der Chalkidike und rüdlich vom Strymon, zum Wohnsitze einer Attischen läurgergemeinde eingerichtet worden ist.'

A. Decree of the people, carried by Demokleides.

(The beginning is lost).

... η n a φa[(ιη η

γράφηται, $\epsilon \sigma$]αγέτω. $\epsilon \delta r$ δε εσάγη, ετεχ[υραζέτω αὐτ..] δ φήνας η δ γραψάμειος. πο[ίμιια δε αἰγώ]ν αὐτοῖς παρασχόντων οι $\delta \pi$ [οικιστ-

- 5 αὶ καλλ]ιερῆσαι ὑπερ τῆς ἀποικίας, [ὅποσα ἀν αὐτο]ῖς δοκῆ. γεωιόμους δε ελέσθ[αι δέκα ἀνδρας], ένα εχ φυλῆς. οὖτοι λε νειμάιτ[ων τὴν γῆν. Δημ]οκλείδην δε καταστῆσαι τὴν ἀ[ποικίαν αὐτο]κράτορα, καθότι ἀν δύνηται ἅ[ριστα. τ-
- 10 à δὲ τεμ]ένη τὰ ἐξηρημένα ἐῶν καθά[περ ἔστι καὶ ὅλ]λα μὴ τεμενίζειν. βοῦν δὲ καὶ [πρόβατα δύο ἀπά]γειν ἐς Παναθήναια τὰ μεγάλ[α καὶ ἐς Διονύσι]α φαλλόν. ἐὰν δέ τις ἐπιστρα[τεύη ἐπὶ τὴν γή]ν τὴν τῶν ἀποίκων, βοηθεῖν τὰ[ς πόλεις
- 15 ὑs ὀξύ]τατα κατὰ τὰς ξυγγραφάς, α[l ἐπὶ]του γραμματεύοντος ἐγένον[το περὶ τῶν πόλε]ων τῶν ἐπὶ Θράκης. γράψαι δ[ὲ ταῦτα ἐν στήλ]η καὶ καταθεῖναι ἐμ πόλει, πα[ρὰ δέ, η̂-

ν αν άλλην στήλην οι αποικοι σφων α ύτων ανέ]àr δέ τις επιψηφίζη παρά τη ν στήλαθώσι. 20 ην η δή τωρ αγορεύη η προσκαλεισθα ι έγχειρη αφαι]ρείσθαι η λύειν τι των επεψηφι[σμένων, άτιμον] είναι αὐτὸν καὶ παίδας τοὺς ἐξ [ἐκείνου καί τα χ]ρήματα δημόσια είναι και της [θεοῦ τὸ επιδέκα]τον, έαμ μή τι αὐτοί οἱ ἄποικ[οι περί 25 σφών δέ ωνται.-Οσοι δ' αν γράψωντα [ι έποικήσειν τω]ν στρατιωτών, έπειδάν ήκωσ[ι 'Αθήναζε, τριά]κοντα ήμερων έμ Βρέα είκαι έ[ποικήσουτας. ε]ξάγειν δε την αποικίαν τριά κοντα ή-30 μερών. Α]ίσχίνην δε άκολουθούντα άπο διδόνα-1 Tà Xpý]µata. B. Rider carried by Phantokles. Φ απτοκλής είπε περί [μ]εν της ές Βρέαν άποι-[κ]ίας καθάπερ Δημοκλ-[ε]ίδης είπε Φαντοκλέ-[α] δε προσαγαγείν την 'Ε-5 [ρ]εχθηίδα πρυτανεία-[ν] πρός την βουλην έν τη πρώτη έδρα. ès de [Β]ρέαν έχ θητών καί ζε-10 [υ]γιτών ίέναι τούς άπο-[l]kors.

This decree, concerning an almost forgotten event (see Hesych. and Steph. Byz. s.v. Bpéa), is the only known inscription relating to Greek colonization. The $d\pi \sigma \kappa \kappa (a, a \ colony \ planted \ amongst$ barbarians on foreign soil, is to be distinguished from $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \sigma v \chi (a,$ a settlement of Athenian citizens in the city and lands of an expelled Greek population, as at Hestize and Chalkis (see preceding), Samos, Myrina, etc. Observe that the connection with the mother city is to be maintained by $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho (a \ and \ contribu$ tions to the great Athenian festivals (cp. No. 23); and in thedivision of the land at Brea certain lands are to be reserved $<math>(\delta \xi \eta \rho \eta \mu \delta v a)$ for the endowment of temples $(\tau \epsilon \mu \delta v \eta)$. Further, it appears from B that by this colonization Perikles had in view not only the strengthening of Athens in the neighbourhood of Thrace, but also the relief of the poorer citizens (Plut. Per. 11). A. Line 2: certain imports had just been prohibited. Lines 4, 5: this word, if rightly restored, means the leaders of the $d\pi ourse \sigma \mu \delta s$. Line 15: the cities of the Athenian confederacy are to defend Brea: the covenant referred to is lost. Line 27: Athenians on military service may give in their names as colonists, but are not to make this a mere pretext for leaving service, without going to Brea. Line 30: this is the $\ell \phi \delta \delta i o v$, or grant of money from the home government for the establishment of the colony : see Introd. to Demosth. Cherson.; though that concerns a $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v \chi (a.$ B. The prytaneis of Erechtheüs, which was probably the next to follow, were to introduce Phantokles to the $\beta o v \lambda \eta'$: see note on preceding, line 12.

30.

List of the Quota of Tribute paid to Athena in the year B.C. 443.

Inscribed on the 1st of the blocks mentioned on No. 24. The text is given from Kirchhoff, C. I. A. i. 237, after Köhler, Urkunden, etc. p. 33; cp. Böckh, Staatså. ii. p. 456, 462.

Of the series of documents to which this belongs, something has been said on No. 24. The present list has a special interest for two reasons. First, we recognize the poet Sophokles in the last line as the chairman of the Hellenotamiæ, so that his command in the Samian expedition, B.C. 440, was not his first public appointment (Strabo, p. 638), nor his last, if we may believe Plutarch's story (*Nic.* 15).

In connection with Perikles this inscription has a further interest. The ostracism of Thukydides son of Melesias, this very year, B.C. 443, left Perikles supreme. His policy at once begins to leave its marks in the inscriptions. At home he proceeded to carry out the great works of building upon the Akropolis (see Nos. 33, 34, 36), while abroad his policy aimed at consolidating the Athenian confederacy and preparing for the inevitable struggle for supremacy between Athens and Sparta. Both his home and foreign administration depended upon finance (see Thukyd. ii. 13; cp. i. 141), and the existing Quota-lists, though they do not mention his name, bear witness to his policy. The tributary states appear to have been reassessed every four years, and the statement of the Xenophontic De Rep. Atk. ch. iii. 5 (δi érous $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi rou$) is confirmed by the marbles. From these lists we find that the assessments of B.C. 454, 450, 446 aimed at keeping the total tribute at the Aristidean level, the payment of individual states being lowered as the number of confederates grew. The list before us is the last which was drawn up on this principle. The assessment of B.C. 442 for the most part did away with such abatements to individual states, and so produced a larger total (cp. No. 35): at the opening of the Peloponnesian War it is said to average 600 talents (Thuk. ii. 13). In 440 an $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi o \rho d$, or additional payment, is required of certain Ionian and Hellespontine states, evidently to meet the increasing scale of expenditure.

In B.C. 438 the Parthenon was dedicated, and made the national treasury (Nos. 34, 50). The list of B.C. 436 (No. 35) shows on the whole an increase in the individual payments. In 435 were passed the two financial decrees (No. 37), which speak for themselves. In the meantime the Propylæa have been built, B.C. 437-433 (see No. 36, and Thukyd. ii. 13). In B.C. 434 the careful lists of the raµíai rîs 'Aθηνaías and the raµíai rûv $\delta\lambda\lambda\omega\nu$ θεῶν commence (see No. 50). Lastly, as No. 29 illustrates Perikles' scheme of colonization, so Nos. 39 and 40 show that not only by a sound and careful finance, but by judicious alliances, he was preparing for the struggle which he had long foreseen.

[Έπι	τη̂ς	ἀρχῆς	τη̂ς	δω]δεκά[τ]ης	'n	[Σ]οφιά[δη]ς	έγρα[μμάτ]ευ
				'Ελευσίν	ı(os	r).	

(Column 1 on th	e marble).	• • • • • •	• • • • • •
[Ιωνικοῦ φ	bópoul	• • • • • •	• • • • • •
L I		• • • • • •	• • • • • •
• • •, • • •	•••••	••••	• • • • • •
• • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • •	• • • • • •
• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •
• • • • • •	• • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • •
• • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • •	• • • • • •
• • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • •	• • • • • •

40

FROM PERSIAN TO PELO	PONNESIAN	WARS.	4 I
----------------------	-----------	-------	------------

•••••		[Περκώ]τη	∆₽⊦Ⅲ ₽
• • • • • •	• • • • • •	'Ελ[α ι]ούσιοι	г ННН
['F	• • • • • •	Προκοννήσιοι Κυζικηνοί	MHHHH
['Epv0paî]oı [Bov0eins]	• • • • • •		ΔΔΔͰͰͰΙ
	••••	'Aprakyv[ol]	
[Πολιχνî]ται [Πτελεούσι]οι	••••	Περίνθιο[ι]	^ Xጦ፼ΔΔ⊦
[Έλαιούσιοι]	• • • • • • •	Βυζάντι[οι]	
[Σιδούσιοι]	• • • • • •	F-1 Oct	
	• • • • • •	'Επὶ Θράκη	ις φορίου].
[Μυ]ή[σσιοι] Ποιποΐς Τ	• • • • • •	Νεοπολ[îτ]αι	∆⊓⊦Ⅲ
Πριηνή[s]	• • • • • •	Σκ[αψα]ῖοι	∆⊓⊦Ⅲ
Πυγελής Ί_΄		Σ[κι]άθιοι	[∆□]+IIII
Ίσίνδιοι	[ΔΓ·ト] [🖀 μ		אַמַ
Έφέσιοι Ελιτ	[M]]H	'Ο[λο]φύξιοι	ΔΔΔΗΗΗ
Κλαζομένιοι Μ	ца НЪр	Σταγι[ρîται]	
Μιλήσιοι	ы	Θύσσιο[ι]	Н
Έλλησποντίου	φόρου.	Διης ἀπ[ὸ τοῦ] "Αθα	υH
	• • •	(Column 3 on	the marble.)
Παλαιπερκώσι(οι)	Ͳͱͱͱͷ	Στρεψαῖοι	Н
(Column 2 on the	marble.)	Γαλήψι[οι]	ም
[Γεντίν]ιοι		Νεοπολί[ται]	函
[Δαυνι]οτειχίται		Αlγάντιοι	ΔΔΔΗΗΙ
[Διδυμ]οτειχίται		Μαρωνει[η]s	HP
[Λαμπ]ωνειής		Saraîoi	₽∆₽⊦Ⅲ
[Dap] dav ηs		Στώλιοι	₽∆₽⊦Ⅲ
Άρπαγιανοί		Σπαρτώλιοι	HH
Τενέδιοι		Πεπαρήθιοι	ННН
Σηλυμβριανοί		Σίγγιο[ι]	нн
Λαμψακηνοί		'Αφυτ[αῖοι]	н
Σιγε[ιη]s	[∆ □ ⊦1]	Μη[κυπερναίοι]	₽∆₽⊦Ⅲ
[Αβ]υδηνοί	ΔΔΗΤΙΙΟ	'Ο[λύνθιοι]	
Χαλ[κηδ]ότιοι		[Σκαβλαΐοι]	
• • • • • •		['Ασσ]η[ρ]ῖ[ται]	
• • • • • •		[Δι]καια 'Ε[ρετρι.]	
• • • • • •		[T]opurato[i]	ΓH
		[A] κάνθιο[1]	ннн
01		[Me]rdaîo[1]	
Παιση]νοί	ннн	['Apy](2.10[1]	H

.

GREEK INSCRIPTIONS. PART II.

Σκιω[ναίοι] Θραμ[βαΐοι] Φηγήτιοι Αἰνεᾶται Φαρβήλιοι 'Οθόριοι [Σε]ρμυ[λιῆς] Σ[αμοθρậκες] Αίν[ιοι] Ποτ[ειδαιᾶται]	РН ΔГ+IIII [HH]H Δ[Г+I]III Δ[Г+I]III Δ++IIII Р РН Х РН	[Πλαγαρη̂]s	
Α[Ισώνιοι]	ΔΔΓ		
		(Column 5 o	on the marble)
• • • • • •		[Λ]ηψυανδής	
		[K]apvavð[ŷs]	
[Καρικοῦ φ]	ó[ρ]ου.	Μαδναση̂ς	
(Column 4 on the	marble.)	[Π]ελεάτ[αι]	
Αὐλιῆτα[ι]		[Μύν]δ[ιοι]	• • • • • •
[K]aρβaσva[νδη̂s]		[Καλ]ύδ[νιοι]	• • • • • •
Κεδριήτα[ι]		[Τερ]μερ[η̂s	• • • • • •
[Κρυ]η̂ς	ΔΔΔΗΗΗ	• • • • • •	• • • • • •
[Χαλκει]âτα[ι]	ΔΔΔͰͰͰΙΙ		• • • • • •
[Πα]σ[α]νδη̂ς	pa -		
[K]λαύνδιο[ι]	Н	[Νησιωτ	ικοῦ φόρου.]
Φασηλîται	HHH	-	
'Ιηλύσιοι	[н]		• • • • • •
Καμιρής	IPH		•••••
Λίνδιοι	ГР.H		• • • • • •
Τηλάνδριοι	μ Γ	[Μυκότιοι]	H
Καύνιοι	pa l	[Νάξιοι]	┍┍н[┍╸△┌╌╢║]
[K]φοι	• • • • • •		HHH
[Kı]vðvýs	• • • • • •	· · · · · · · ·	HHH IPH
['Ασ]τυπαλαιής [Πεδ]ιής έλ Λίνδφ	•••••	[Ανδριοι] [Κατάστια]	אן רייין
[Καρ]πάθιοι	• • • • • •	[Καρύστιο]ι	
[Κερά]μιοι	• • • • • •	[Κεΐοι]	HHHH
[Κρίδ]ιοι		[Σερίφ]ιοι	Н
[Kapπ]άθου 'Αρκέσ	ei(a)	[Πάρ]ιοι	хпн
[Ναξι]ήται	••••	$\Delta[\iota]\hat{\eta}s$ àπờ Kηre	

FROM PERSIAN TO PELOPONNESIAN WARS. 43

'Αθήναι Διάδες	[ΔΔΔ++]+ΙΙ	[Χ]αλκιδής	
'Ι[ŷ]ται		[Μ]υριναΐοι	
'Ρηναΐοι		['H]φαιστιῆs	· · · · · ·
Στυρη̂ς	• • • • • • •	[΄Ιμ]βριοι	
[Έ]ρετριῆς	• • • • • • • •	[Αίγι]νηται	• • • • • •

άτυρος Λευκονοεὺς ξυνεγραμ[μάτευε. Σ]ο[φ]οκλ[η̂]ς Κολω[νηθεν Έλληνοταμία]ς ην.

31.

Covenant between CEanthia and Chaleion in the Korinthian gulf: about B.C. 440.

A bronze tablet, inscribed on both sides, A and B, found at Galaxidi (Eanthia). The tablet itself is entire, but it may be only a supplement to a lost treaty between the two towns. The text from Rangabé, Ant. Hell. 356 b, facsimile; cp. Ross, Alte Lokr. Inschrift ron Chaleion, Leipz. 1854; and esp. Kirchhoff, Studien, p. 92. From the Woodhouse Collection, now missing.

A.

Τὸν ξένον μὴ άγεν ἐ τâs Χαλείδος τὸν Olarθέa, μηδὲ τὸν Χαλειέα ἐ τâs Olavθίδος, μηδὲ χρήματα aĩ τι συλῷ. τὸν δὲ συλῶντα, ἀνὰ τὸ συλῆν τὰ ξεικὰ ἐ θαλάσας ἁγεν ἄσυλον, πλὰν ἐ λιμένος τῶ κατὰ πόλιν· aĩ κ' ἀδικοσιλῷ, τέ-

5 τορες δραχμαί αί δε πλέον δέκ ἀμαρᾶκ έχοι τὸ σῦλον, ἡμιόλιον ὀφλέτω Fότι συλάσαι. Al μετα Foικέοι πλέον μηνὸς ἡ ὀ Χαλειεὺς ἐν Olaνθέq ἡ Olaνθεὺς ἐν Χαλείω, τῷ ἐπιδαμίῷ δίκῷ χρήστω. Τὸν πρόξενον, al ψεύδεα προξενέοι, διπλŷ ol θψήστω.

B.

- 10 ΑΙ κ' ἀνδιχάζωντι τοὶ ξενοδίκαι, ἐπωμότας ἐλέστω δ ξένος ὠπάγων τὰν δίκαν ἔχθος προξέιω καὶ Γιδιοξένω ἀριστίνδαν, ἐπὶ μὲν ταῖς μιαῖαίαις καὶ πλέον, πέντε καὶ δέκ' ἁνδρας, ἐπὶ ταῖς μειόνοις ἐννέ' ἀνδρας· αἴ κ' δ Γασστός ποι τὸν Γ-
- 15 αστόν δικάζηται, κατὰς συνβολὰς δαμιωργώς ἐλέσται τὼς δρκωμότας ἀριστίνδαν τὰν πεετορκίαν ὀμόσαντας τοὺς ὀρκωμότας τὸν αἰτὸ-» ὅρκον ὀμνύεν, πληθὺν δὲ νικῆν.

44

The main object of these provisions is to prevent either state from injuring foreign merchants who visited the other's port : and we have here an instance of that kind of treaty called by the Greeks tà σύμβολα, and suits tried as here provided were δίκαι άπὸ συμβόλων. The translation below will clear up most points. At τ_i $\sigma_i \lambda_{\hat{w}}$ is for at $\tau_i \varsigma$ $\sigma_i \lambda_{\hat{w}}$, line 2; and $\theta_{\hat{w}}$, $\theta_i \sigma_i \sigma_i \omega$ in line 9 for θωή έστω. To understand lines 3-6, bear in mind the meaning of σύλα διδόναι in Greek international law, as spoken of by Demosthenes in Lacritum, p. 927, 931 (cp. arg. of the speech in Timocr. p. 695), and explained by Böckh, Staatsh. i. 194. When one state declared war with another, it gave its own citizens the right of seizing the cargo of any vessel belonging to the citizens of the other ('letters of marque'); so Thuk. V. 115: καί Λακεδαιμόνιοι δι' αύτο τας μέν σπονδας ούδ' ως αφέντες έπολέμουν αυτοίς, εκήρυξαν δε είς τις βούλεται παρά σφών 'Αθηvalous Antleobal. A merchant therefore bound for Eanthia might, if from a city at enmity with Chalcion, be liable to seizure by freebooters from Chaleion ; and rice versa. This freedom is limited by the present convention. A court was provided, both at Chalcion and Eanthia, before which a foreigner who had unjustly suffered seizure might get redress : certain rules for this court are given in lines 4-9. The Eevoolkan (line 10) are the assessors of this court. The dialect is Æolo-Dorian; the aspirate is often dropped, though inserted in $\delta_{\gamma \epsilon \nu}$ and $\delta_{\gamma} \theta_{\sigma s}$ = ξ_{xros} . Kirchhoff warns us not to date this treaty too early: the style of speech is rude, but is characteristic of the manners of the people. The people of these regions were born freebooters : Thuk. i. 5: Polvb. xvii. 4-5.

Translation. A. 'No Eanthian shall rob a foreign merchant on Chaleian soil, nor a Chaleian rob a merchant on Eanthian soil; nor shall either Eanthian or Chaleian seize a merchant's cargo within the territory of the other city. Whoso makes such seizure, and seizes a stranger's cargo on the sea, he shall be allowed to carry off his seizure, without attack from the other city; only not from the actual harbour of the other city. If he make unlawful seizure, four dr. be the penalty; and if he retain the goods so seized beyond ten days, let him be fined to the value of half the goods (besides restoring all). If a Chaleian sojourn over a month in Eanthia, or an Eanthian in Chaleion, he must (as if a $\mu \ell \tau \sigma \iota \kappa \sigma s$) sue and be sued in the courts of the city of his sojourn. If his proxenos, in performing his duties as such, perverts the truth deliberately, let his fine be doubled.'

B. 'If the assessors are divided in opinion, let the plaintiff, if he be a foreigner, choose additional jurors out of the highest class of citizens, (only not the proxenos of his own city, nor his own private proxenos), fifteen for claims of a mina or more, nine for less. If a citizen of one of the two towns sue a citizen of the other, then, according to the existing agreement between them, the magistrates shall swear by the five gods and choose the jurors from the highest class, and the jurors shall take the same oath, and a majority of their votes shall be final.'

32.

Thurii and Tarentum: B.C. 440-430.

On a bronze spear-head found at Olympia in 1878: published by A. Furtwängler, Archäol. Zeit. 1879, p. 149.

> Σκῦλα ἀπὸ Θουρίων Ταραντῖνοι ἀνέθηκαν Διὶ ἘΟλυμπίφ δεκάταν.

33.

Building of the Parthenon: B.C. 438.

The text is from Kirchhoff, C.I.A. i. 298 (see Supplement to vol. i. p. 37).

Θεοί. 'Αθηνά. Τύχη[.] Κιχήσιππος έγραμμάτευε ἀγάλματος ἐπιστάτησι Μυζδινούσιος. λῆμμα παρα

We may conjecture that this image was the chryselephantine statue of Athena sculptured by Pheidias B. C. 438. The sacredness of the work will account for the solemn invocation with which the account begins. The funds were no doubt supplied from the $\tau a\mu(a \tau \hat{\eta}_{S} \theta co\hat{v})$ (see the next).

34.

Building of the Parthenon: B.C. 438.

The text is from Kirchhoff, C.I.A. i. 299: comp. Böckh. Staated. ii. 343 foll.

The beginning is lost, but the tenour of it may be restored from similar documents : viz. Táde $\delta \lambda a \beta ov$ kai $dv \eta \lambda \omega \sigma av$ of $\delta \epsilon ives$ $\delta \pi \iota \sigma \tau a \tau a$. The existing fragment proceeds thus :—

		[ό δείνα ἐγρα]μ[μ]άτευ-
		[ε τοῖς ἐπιστάτ]ησι· χρυσοῦ
		[καὶ ἀργυρί]ου ἐπὶ τῆς βουλ-
		ης [ή]ονι . os 'Αλωπεκη-
5		θεμ [πρ]ώτος έγραμμάτευε
		λημμα παρά ταμιών έκ πόλεως,
	444	[οί]ς Δεινία[ς] Εὐάγους
	TTTT	Φ[ί]λαίδης έ[γρ]αμ[μά]τευε
		ταμ[(]aι δε Φ[ιλ]όνεως 'Ιδ[0]-
10	ххг	με νέ ως Κηφι σι εύ ς], 'Αρίσ-
	HHH.	τυλ[λ]os 'Ελ[λησπου]τίου 'Ερχι-
	ЮГ	εύ[s], Γλαυκ[ίας Αλ]σχίνου
	[+]+11	Κυ[δ]αθηνα[ιεύς, Δ]ημοχάρης
	[·]·	Σι[μ]ύλου Πο[τά]μ[ι]os, Τεισί-
15		μα[χ]os Τει[σίου] Κεφαλήθεν,
-3		Χάρισος [Μελα]νθίου 'Αχαρν[εύς],
		$\Delta[f]$ oyzes Zerokhéovs $\Phi\lambda v$ -
		ηθεν, Διονύσιος Εὐκλεί[δου]
		Πειραιεύς, Χαιρελεί[δης]
20		Χα ριξένου 'Αφιδνα[îos, 'Επιχ]-

αρῖνος Ἐπιχαρ [ʾΑνα]λώματα ΦΦΦΤ χρυ[σοῦ ΤΤΤΧ . . . 25 .HH....

There are strong reasons (for which see Kirchhoff, *l.c.*) for connecting this document also with the statue of Athena. The *instance* or commissioners for the execution of the work receive more than 34 talents, 2858 drachmas, 2 obols in one year for the purchase of gold (and silver?): of this no less than 34 talents, and 1300 (? or 2200) drachmas, appears to have gone for the purchase of gold. Line 17: probably mistake for $\Delta loyves$.

35.

List of the Quota of Tribute paid to Athena in the year B. C. 436.

The text is given from Kirchhoff, C. I.A. vol. i. 244.

A comparison of the various lists seems to show that Perikles aimed at increasing the total of Tribute, by allowing no abatements (as a rule) to individual cities as more members joined the alliance: on the contrary, in some cases an additional payment ($i\pi_i\phi_{op}a$) is levied. The reader is referred to the notes on Nos. 24 and 30, and is invited to compare the sums enumerated in the several lists. In the present list the order of regions is altered, and Ionia and Karia are grouped together (see note on No. 24). The last two headings in the list are explained by reference to the mode of making the reassessments, as described in No. 47 (see notes). Πόλεις αὐταλ ταξάμεναι are cities which appealed against their assessment, and secured the reduction which they claimed; cp. No. 28, line 26 foll. Πόλεις, as of Bierai eréppayar popor pépeir are those whose assessment was fixed at the suggestion, not of the rarral nor members of the Bouly, but of private Athenian citizens who volunteered advice to the βουλή (cp. Andok. de Myst. 84 and note on No. 47).

	Έπὶ [τῆς μιᾶς κοστ[ῆς ἀρχῆς φιλε ἐκτου ἐγραμ[μάτευε. ληνοτ[αμίας ἦν	, ἡ] · · · · · Έλ]-	
c	ονύσιος	• •	
(Column 1 on the	marble.)	(Column 2 on	the marble).
['Ι]ωνικός φα	όροs.	• • • • • •	• • • • • •
Καύνιοι		•••••	· · · · . I
Τηλάνδριοι	• • • • • .	[Τενέδιοι]	[HH]ℙΔΔ[Δ.]
[Π]ασανδής		[Δασκύλειον]	}
[Kapβaσ]vavδη[s])		[ἐν Προποντίδι]	,
[παρὰ Κα]ῦνο[ν] ∫		••••	[Δ]ΔΔ+++1[1]
	<u>.</u>	· · · · · · ·	} ++
	¬++[+II]	[ἐπιφορâs])
		••••••	
• •		•••••	ጮዘ ጦ
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	K I	••••	
	^	[Χεβρονησιται]	`
[ek Alvo]ov }	°202++++11	[å#' dyopâs]	}н
	нн	[Ελαιούσιοι]	, b
	∆] □ +IIII		ΔΔΔͰͰ[ͰΙΙ]
	י]וארוןי	•••••	ΔΔΔ++ͱ[ιί]
'[σίνδ]ιοι .		[.\αμπωrειη̂s]	[ΔP]HIII
Κ[ολοφ]ώνιοι .		Λ[αμπωνειης επιφ	opâs] HIII
•••••		Π[αριατοί]	Н
[Πελεά]ται .		Π[ροκοπτήσιοι]	HHH
•••••		••••	[Δ]ΔΔͰͰͰΙΙ
••••••	••••	[Κυζικηνοί]	(PHHHH
• • • • • •	•••••	Λαμφ[σα]κη[νοί]	
['Ελαιέα]		Bυζά[ν]τιο[ι]	
[παρά Μ]ύριναν)		Σηλυμβρια'roi]	
[Θερμαί]οι		• •	φόρ <i>ο</i> [ς].
[ἐξ Ἐκάρ]ου β .	1	Γαλήψιοι	

FROM PERSIAN	TO	PELOPONNESIAN	WARS.	40
				77

(Column 1 con	utinued.) 1	(Column	2 continued.)
[K]aµ[upη̂s]		Νεοπολîτα[ι]	, }[Δ]Γ+ΙΙΙΙ
Χαλ[κεάται]		παρ' 'Αντισ άρα	ינ⇔ <u>ו</u> {[יין א] {
Κυμ[αίοι]	[г¤ннн]н	[Αι]νεâτα[ι]	•••••
Τήΐ[οι]		['Ολοφύ]ξ[ιοι]	
'Ιη[λύσιοι]		['Ολύνθιοι]	HH
Φα[σηλίται]	[ጦ]H	Μ[ηκυπερναῖοι]	н
Νισ[ύριοι]	•••••	Νεοπ[ολίται]	ه
Έρυθ[ραΐοι]		Μενδαί[ων]	F
кай X S	. ∆⊓[⊦]⊦ ⊦ ∥	Σκαψαῖοι	ΔPHIII
Μυρι[vaîoι] }		[Θύσσιοι]	[H]
παρὰ [Κύμην] }	н	Βεργαΐοι	ןֿ₽ ּ רו⊦
Olva[îoi])u i	Σκιάθιοι	[בנייד]
[i]{ ['Iκάρου]	}н	Πεπαρήθιοι	
[K]ψo[ι]		'Αργίλιοι	[Δ ΓΓΕ]]]]
[κ]αλύ[δνιοι]	••••	Δικαιοπολîτ[αι]	
[I] 17a [vaîoi]	[[]][][]][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][]['Ερετριών	} • • • • • •
[Π]ιτα[ναιοι]		Σερμαΐοι	[[]++]+
[i]#14 [opâs]	[ריו]ווכ	[] η̂s ἐκ τοῦ "Α	θω
•••••	$[\Delta]\Delta\Delta FF[FII]$	["IKI]OL	
		[Σαμο]θρậκες	
[ě]πιφ[opâs] }	FIIII	Θάσιοι	[XX]X
Π		Μαρωνίται	
Γε	• • • • • • •	Φηγήτιοι	
Μι[λήσιοι]	· · · · · ·	Αἰγάιτιοι	
Εφ[έσιοι]	[I ^{III} HH]]	Θραμ, 3αῖοι	
•••••		Αἰσώνι[οι]	
Αλικαρ[νασση̂s]	ſH₽]∆ Γ ⊦IIII	'Ακάνθ[ιοι]	
Δάτμιο[ι]	[ੱਜ]	Στρεψα[ιοι]	
laoĥs	ុំ អាំ 🔅	Αβδηριτ[αι]	ХГ ^н
ladra[σŷs]	ו וֹשֹ	Ποτειδεά[ται]	хг
Νησιωτικός	[dopok.	Σκαβλαΐοι	ΔΔΓ
Εερίφιοι		Ασσηρίται	μ α
αλκιδής	.HH	Σπαρτώλιοι	нннреени
Icîor	[н]ннн	Sara îoi	н
бугнон	(้หค่)ค	Σίγγιοι	н
	[ጦ]⊣Ͳ∆Ͳ⊦Ⅲ	Πόλεις	αύταὶ
Αυκόπιοι		ταξάμενα	11.

,

GREEK INSCRIPTIONS. PART II.

(Column 1 cont	inued.)	(Column 2	continued.)
Σίφνιοι	гнјнн	Σαρταΐοι	ΔΔΠ΄
Σύριοι	[ֿ⊿]∆ר	'Αμόργιοι	н
Στυρής Έρετριής		'Ετεοκαρπάθι[οι] έκ Καρπάθου	}
Γρυνχής	[Δ] □ FIIII	Κάσιοι	
Υηναιής	<u>г</u>	Αίολîται	Г
Αθηνίται	[Δ]ΔΔ+++	Μιλκώριοι	P
Διη̂s ἀπὸ Κηναί[ου]		Φαρβήλιοι	ՐԻԻԻՈ
'Ιή[τ]αι	ן ש ן	Καλλιπολιτα[ι]	[Δ] 冖 ⊦IIII
Α[ἶγ]ινῆται	. ннн	Χεδρώλιοι	[ֹ∆רֹּי <i>ר</i> וו]וו
		$\begin{bmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \hat{\eta} \end{bmatrix}$ s	
		Πόλεις,	åc
		οι ιδιώτ	
		ἐτέγραψ	av
		φόρο[ν]	
		Kλ[ε]wral	ָ ורי]++רוו
		∆[īa]κρη̂s	<u>,</u>
		[ἀπὸ] Χαλκι[δέως	ן }∆+++⊪
		[Σύμη]	۵۵۵
			PFFFI
[Έλλησπόντιο	e dégael	[Síros]	ΔΔΓ
		L	ΔΔΔΗΗΗ
Κ[αλχηδόνιοι]	ſ¤Η		
Π[ερίνθιοι]	X		
Διδ[υμοτειχίται]			
Δαυνιο[τειχίται]			•
$\Delta a \rho \delta a r[\hat{\eta} s]$	Н		
- αροα. [.7,5] 'Αζειής	 PHIII		

36.

Building of the Propylea: B.C. 437-433.

On a statue-base found in sits during the excavation of the Propylma: cp. Ross, Arch. Aufs. i. 188; Kirchhoff, C. I. A. i. 335.

'Αθηναίοι τῆ 'Αθηναία τῆ 'Υγιεία. Πύβρος ἐποίησεν 'Αθηναίος.

Plutarch shall tell us the current story of this statue (Pericl. 13): τὰ δὲ Προπύλαια τῆς ἀκροπόλεως ἐξειργάσθη μὲν ἐν πενταετίς (B.C. 437-433) Μυησικλέους ἀρχιτεκτουοῦντος· τύχη δὲ θαυμαστη συμβάσα περὶ την οἰκοδομίαν ἐμήνυσε την θεον οὐκ ἀποστατοῦσαν, ἀλλὰ συrεφαπτομένην τοῦ ἔργου καὶ συνεπιτελοῦσαν. ὁ yàp ἐνεργότατος καὶ προθυμότατος τῶν τεχνιτῶν ἀποσφαλεὶς ἐξ ῦψους ἔπεσε καὶ ἀιἐκειτο μοχθηρῶς, ὑπὸ τῶν ἰατρῶν ἀπεγιωσμένος. ἀθυμοῦντος δὲ τοῦ Περικλέους ἡ θεὸς ὄναρ φανεῖσα συrέταξε θεραπείαν, ῇ χρώμενος ὁ Περικλής ταχὺ καὶ ῥαδίως ἰάσατο τὸν ἄνθρωπου. ἐπὶ τούτφ δὲ καὶ τὸ χαλκοῦν ἅγαλμα τῆς Ὑγιείας ᾿Αθηνῶς ἀνέστησεν ἐν ἀκροπόλει παρὰ τὸν βωμόν, δς καὶ πρότερον ἦν, ὡς λέγουσιν. Cp. Pliny, N. H. xxxii. 44; xxxiv. 80. Perikles fulfilled his vow in the name of the Athenian people.

37.

Administration of Perikles: two Financial Decrees of, B.C. 435.

A slab inscribed on both sides; now in the Louvre. The text is given from Kirchhoff, C. I. A. i. No. 32; comp. Böckh, Stautskausk. ii. 49 full. The paleography of the documents proves that, though the decrees belong to B. c. 435, they were not inscribed until some fifteen years later.

As the Treasure-lists (see No. 50) began in B.C. 434, Kirchhoff convincingly argues that these decrees must have been passed the year before, viz. in B.C. 435: see his Bemerkungen zu d. Urkunden d. Schatzmeister der anderen Götter (Abhandlungen d. Berl. Akad. 1864). The policy of Perikles was based upon careful finance. In B.C. 438 the Parthenon was finished, itself a magnificent dradyna to Athena, and intended to be both the centre of the national festival, and the Treasury of the state. The treasures belonging to Athena had been for some time managed by ten rapias elected yearly, one from each tribe, each great Panathenaic festival (the third year of each Olympiad) marking the end of a financial period (see on No. 50, and B. § 5). Upon the completion of the Parthenon, Athena's treasures were deposited there, the moneytreasure in the Opisthodomos (a chamber behind the rao's or cella), the treasures in kind in the Pronaos, Parthenon proper, and Hekatompedos (see No. 50). The lists of the Treasurers of Athena begin in 434, and present an almost perfect series for thirty years.

In the decrees before us we perceive the mind of Perikles, bent on investigating and reorganizing all the property of the state, and making all her resources available for national pur-It appears that, during the military efforts of the last poses. few years, large sums had been borrowed from the treasuries of the 'Other Gods.' In 445, however, peace had been purchased by the 'Thirty years' truce; ' and, in spite of the Samian expedition in B.C. 440, a period of comparative repose had increased the Athenian resources. It is decided in the first of these decrees (A. \S 2) to repay at once the sums due to the 'Other Gods,' 3000 talents having now accumulated in the treasury of Athena; ¿s πόλιν = the Akropolis (Thuk. ii. 15; No. 28, l. 60; No. 29, l. 18). ξ 3. The repayment is to be made out of $\phi \delta \rho \sigma \sigma$ now in hand, or just coming into hand, and from the tenth of the spoils of war paid to Athena. § 4. Of the *loyioral* we have heard in No. 24. For the Bouln comp. Andok. de Myst. 15; autoroatwo = ' without consulting the Exchnoia.' § 5. Search is to be made for all receipts and accounts, and the priests and curators of each temple are to give all information. § 6. A board of Treasurers (probably five in number) are to be appointed yearly, to take charge of the treasures belonging to the 'Other Gods,' like the Treasurers of Athena : see on No. 50. These treasures consisted chiefly of gold and silver, coined or bullion, and gold and silver vessels; they were henceforward to be kept in the Opisthodomos (B. § 4), and carefully registered year by year (A. § 7, § 8). Lastly, in § 9 it is provided that what is over of the sums specified in § 3, after payment of the debts, shall be spent on the docks and the fortifications.

The opening of B is mutilated: it was a decree of the same year, but a little later; and deals with the Treasures of Athena only. In § 1 certain sums seem to be voted for the beautifying of the Akropolis and the furnishing of the Panathenza. § 2. Henceforward the Treasures of Athena are to accumulate, and no sum above 10,000 drachmas may be voted even for this purpose, without a bill of indemnity. This resembles the later enactment of B.C. 431 (Thuk. ii. 24; cp. viii. 15) respecting the reserve fund of 1000 talents. § 3. If Kirchhoff's restoration is right, it is ordered that the surplus of the yearly $\phi \delta \rho \sigma s$ shall be deposited among the treasures of Athena. It should be remembered that in the Athenian mind there was no distinction between Church and State. Athena's treasures were available for national purposes, and the national surplus is regarded as part of Athena's treasure (cp. Thuk. ii. 13; Böckh, *Staatsh.* i. 579). § 4 has been already explained, and § 5 is plain enough. Whatever treasures of Athena are still unweighed, are to be weighed, not only by the present $\tau \alpha \mu (\alpha \iota$, but by all the former $\tau \alpha \mu (\alpha \iota$ who are living and present in Athens: $\Delta \epsilon \iota$ is important for the sense, and more certainly right than some other of Kirchhoff's restorations, which however I have reproduced, as giving the probable drift of the decree.

A.

- § Ι. [Εδ]οξεν τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ· Κεκροπὶς ἐπρυτάνευε, Μυησίθεος ἐ-[γ]ραμμάτευε, Εὐπείθης ἐπεστάτει. Καλλίας εἶπε· § 2. ἀποδοῦναι τοῖς θεοῖς [τ]ὰ χρήματα τὰ ὀφειλόμενα, ἐπειδὴ τῆ ᾿Αθηναία τὰ τρισχίλια τάλαιτ-[α] ἀνενήνεγκται ἐς πόλιν, ἁ ἐψήφιστο, νομίσματος ἡμεδ[α]ποῦ. § 3. ἀποδι-
- 5 [δ]όται δὲ ἀπὸ τῶν χρημάτων, ἁ ἐs ἀπόδοσίν ἐστιν τοῖς θεοῖς ἐψηφισμ-[ℓ]να, τά τε παρὰ τοῖς Ἑλληνοταμίαις ὅντα νῦν καὶ τάλλα ἅ ἐστι τούτων [τῶν] χρημάτων, καὶ τὰ ἐκ τῆς δεκάτης, ἐπειδὰν πμαθῆ. § 4. λογισάσθων δὲ [οἱ λ]ογισταὶ οἱ τριάκοντα οἶπερ νῦν τὰ ἐφειλόμενα τοῖς θεοῖς ἀκρ-[ιβῶ]ς, συναγωγῆς δὲ τῶλ λογιστῶν ἡ βουλὴ αὐτοκράτωρ ἔστω. § 5. ἀποδόντων
- 10 [δε τ] α χρήματα οἱ πρυτάνεις μετὰ τῆς βουλῆς καὶ ἐξαλειφόντων, ἐπει-[δὰν] ἀποδῶσιν, ζητήσαντες τά τε πινάκια καὶ τὰ γραμματεῖα καὶ ἐάμ π-[συ άλ]λοθι ἢ γεγραμμένα. ἀποφαινόντων δὲ τὰ γεγραμμένα οῖ τε ἰερ-[ῆς κ] aὶ oἱ ἰεροποιοὶ καὶ εἴ τις ἄλλος οἰδεν. § 6. ταμίας δὲ ἀποκυαμεύει-[ν το]ύτων τῶν χρημάτων, ὅταμπερ τὰς ἄλλας ἀρχiς, καθάπερ τοὺς τῶν ἰ-
- 15 [ερῶ]ν τῶν τῆς ᾿Αθηναίας. οὖτοι δὲ ταμιευόντων ἐμ πόλει ἐν τῷ ἀπισθ-[οδό]μῷ τὰ τῶν θεῶν χρήματα, ὅσα δυνατὸν καὶ ὅστων, καὶ συνανοιγόντων καὶ συγκληόντων τὰς θύρας τοῦ ἀπισθοδόμου καὶ συσσημαικόσθων τοῖς τῶν τῆς ᾿Αθηναίας ταμίαις. § 7. παρὰ δὲ τῶν νῦν ταμιῶν καὶ τῶν ἐπιστατῶν καὶ τῶν ἰεροποιῶν τῶν ἐν τοῖς ἱεροῖς, οἱ νῦν διαχειρίζου[σι]-
- ν , ἀπαριθμησάπθων καὶ ἀποστησάσθων τὰ χρήματα ἐναντίον τῆς βουλ[η]s ἐμ πόλει καὶ παραδεξάσθων οἱ ταμίαι οἱ λαχόντες παρὰ τῶν ινῦ[ν] ἀρχόντων καὶ ἐν στήλῃ ἀναγραψάντων [μ]ιậ ἅπαντα καθ ἕκαστόν τε τὸν θεὸν τὰ χρήματα ὅπόσα ἔστιι ἐκάστῷ καὶ συμπάντων κεφάλαιον, χωρίς τό τε ἀργύριον καὶ τὸ χρυσίον. § 8. καὶ τὰ λοιπὸν ἀraγραφόντων
- 15 οἱ aἰεὶ ταμίαι ἐς στήλην καὶ λόγον διδόιτων τῶν τε ὅντων χρημάτων καὶ τῶν προσιόντων τοῖς θεοῖς καὶ ἐάν τι ἀ[π]αναλώτκηται κατὰ τὸν ἐνιαυτὸν πρὸς τοὺς λογιστὰς καὶ εὐθύνας διδόντων, καὶ ἐκ Παναθηναί-

ων ἐς Παναθήναια τόλ λόγον διδόντων, καθάπερ οἱ τὰ τῆς 'Αθηναίας τ-[α]μιεύοντες. τὰς δὲ στήλας, ἐν αἶς ἂν ἀναγράψωσι τὰ χρήματα τὰ ἱερ-30 [ά, θέ]ντων ἐμ πόλει οἱ ταμίαι. § 9. ἐπειδὰν δὲ ἀποδεδομένα ἢ τοῖς θεοῖς [τὰ χρ]ήματα, ἐς τὸ νεώριον καὶ τὰ τείχη τοῖς περιοῦσι χρῆσθαι χρήμασ-[ιν].

В.

	2.
§1.	
•	ινα καὶ τὰς Νί[κας τὰς χρυ]σᾶς καὶ τὰ π[ομπεῖα
35	ηθη παντελώς
	κατὰ τὰ ἐψηφι $[σμένα ἐπ]$ ι την ἀκρ $[δ]π[o]$ λι $[ν$
	αμένα και έπι χρυσίον?
	ξκαστα καὶ ἐπισκευα[σ
40	μετ]à τών ἀρχιτεκ[τόνων?
40	μετὰ τῶν [ἐπιστα]τ[ῶ]ν, ὅπως ἄριστ[ά τε καὶ κάλλισ-
	τὰ κοσμηθ]ήσεται ή ἀκ[ρόπολις] καὶ ἐπισκευασθή, σεται τὰ πομπε-
	a. § 2. τοῖs δὲ] ǎλλοιs χρήμασ[ιν τοῖ]s τῆs 'Aθηνalas, το[îs τε νῦν οῦσιν ἐ-
	μ πόλει κα]ι άττ' αν τ[ο] λο[ιπον αν]αφέρηται, μη χρησ[θαι μηδε απανα-
45	λίσκειν $d]\pi'$ αὐτών ές άλλο [τι, μηδέ] ές ταῦτα ὑπέρ μυ[ρίας δραχμὰς δ-
	οῦναι κελ]εύειν, ἐάν τι δέ[η· ἐς ἄλλ]ο δὲ μηδὲν χρησθα[ι τοῖς χρήμασι-
	r, έαν μη τ]ην άδειαν ψηφ[ίσηται δ] δημ[ο]s, κα[θ]άπ[ε]ρ έ[αν η σκέψις η
	περί έσφ]opâs. έαν δέ τις [εἴπῃ ἥ] ἐπιψηφ[ί]σῃ μὴ ἐ[ψηφισμένης π-
	ω της ἀδε]ίας χρησθαι το[ῖς χρήμ]ασιν τοῖ[ς] της 'Αθην[aίas, ἐνεχέσθ-
50	ω τοῖς aửτ]οῖς οἶσπερ ἐά[ν τι (?) ἐσ]φέρειν εἴ _ι π]ῃ ἡ ἐπι[ψηφίση. § 3. ἐκ δὲ
	των φόρω]ν κατατιθέναι κ[ατά τό]ν ένιαυτόν τα έκά[στοτε γενόμε-
	ra παρά το]îs ταμίασι των [τηs 'Αθ]ηraías τούς Έλληνο[ταμίας. § 4. επειδ-
	àν δè ἐκ τῶ]ν διακοσίων τα[λάντω]ν, & ἐs ἀπόδοσιν ἐ[ψήφισται δ δ-
	ημος τοις] άλλοις θεοις, a[ποδοθ]η τα οφειλόμενα, τα[μιευέσθω τα μ-
55	έν της 'Αθη] raías χρήματα [έν τφ] έπι δεξιά του όπισ[θοδόμου, τά δε τ-
	ών άλλων θ]εών εν τφ επ' άρ[ιστερ]ά.
	§ 5. όσα δε τω]ν χρημάτων των [ίερω]ν άστατά εστιν ή ἀν άριθμα, ἀπαρι-
	η το
	γου έκ Παυ]αθηναίων ές Πα[ναθήν]αια, ὑπόσα μεν χρυ[σα έστιν αὐτώ-
	Les en arme lande and a second land anona wel the for court and a

				•													
60	v ŋ	ἀργυρâ]	ή	ύπάργυρα,	στή[σ	artas	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

• • • • •

54

38.

Athenian administration of the Delian Temple. B. C. 434, 433.

The text is given from Böckh (Abhandl. d. Berl. Akad. 1834), Erklärung einer Attischen Urkunde über das Vermögen des Apollinischen Heiligthums auf Deles; C. I. A. i. 283. The marble remains at Athens.

The first six lines are sadly broken, but they seem to contain proper names, $\Delta i \phi [a \nu \tau \sigma s]$ or $\Delta i \phi [a \nu \eta s]$, [N] $\epsilon a \nu \theta \eta s$ or [K] $\epsilon a \nu - \delta v \theta \eta s$ $θ\eta s$, Βουλακλ ηs (?), Δημοθάληs, 'Avaξίδημos. These may be the Athenian board (elsewhere styled 'Audurtvóves) who were a kind of Ecclesiastical Commissioners for the management of the property of the Delian temple. The temple was more or less under Attic influence from the foundation of the Athenian alliance (B.C. 477): but the direct control of it was undertaken by them in B.C. 426, when the Athenians 'purified' Delos and re-established the Delian festival (Thuk. iii, 104). In B.C. 422 they expelled the Delians and occupied the island with Kleruche; but the next year they restored their lands (Thuk. v. 1. 32), and retained only the Temple. After the defeat at Ægospotamoi of course the Delians claimed their own temple once more (see Nos. 61 and 82); and this document (which was not inscribed before the archonship of Euklid) may have been inscribed at Athens at this time as documentary evidence of the Athenian right to the temple. The date of the original is fixed by the Athenian archons Krates and Apseudes. The inscription goes on-

§ 1. Lines 7–9. ... παρ]à $\Delta \eta \lambda i \omega r \delta \phi \epsilon \iota \lambda \delta r \tau [\omega r .. | ..] έγέ$ reto καὶ al παρα[.. | . κεφάλαιον ἀργυρίου] σύμπαν ΜΡΗΗΗΗΔ ..(55410 dr.)

§ 2. Lines 10-15. .. στον τὸ βαλατεῖοι ῶρισαν τ[ό?..|. ψκοδ]όμησαν, τὴν Ῥήνειαν ῶρισαν αν..|..ἐδάτεισαν ΠΤΤΤΤΔΔ ἐπιδε[κάτοις τόκοις πέντε ἔτη, ῶστε ἀπο διδόναι τοὺ]ς δανεισαμέrous ΑΤΤΤΧΧΧΔ[ΔΔ, τό τε ἀρχαῖον καὶ τοὺς τόκους ῶν | ἐδα]νείσαντο. χρόνος ἅρχει Μεταγειτνιῶν μὴν Ἀθήν[ησιν ἅρχοντος Κράτητος, | ἐν] Δήλφ δὲ Βουφοιιῶν μὴν ἅρχοντος Εὐπτέρους.

§ 1 sppears to refer to the recovery of loans due to the temple; cp. No. 82.

§ 2 refers partly to the measuring and marking the boundary of certain sacred lands and properties; and the lending of moneys. A few figures will prove Böckh's restorations true. Capital lent 9 tal. 20 dr. = 54020 dr.; which at $\frac{1}{10}$ th interest, $\frac{1}{10}$ th interest, $\frac{1}{10}$ th interest, $\frac{1}{10}$ th interest, $\frac{1}{10}$ th interest, Since $\frac{1}{10}$ p. c.) yields 5402 dr. yearly. For five years this equals 27010 dr.: add capital, and you get 81030 dr. = 13 tal., 3030 dr.

§ 3. Lines 16–20. [Τὴν γῆν τὴν ἐν Δήλφ τὴν | i]ερὰν ἐμίσθωσαν καὶ τοὺς κήπους καὶ τὰς οἰκίας καὶ [.. δέκα ἔτη. χρόνος ἄρ-| χ]ει Ποσιδηĩὼν μὴν ᾿Αθήνησι ἄρχοντος Κράτητος, ἐ[ν Δήλφ δὲ Ποσιδηĩὼν (?) μ'ὴ]ν ἄρχοντος Εὐπτέρους, ὥστε ἀποδιδόναι τὴμ μίσθωσ[ιν ἀπάντων τούτων τοὺς με'μ]ισθωμένους κατὰ τὰς ξυγγραφάς. μισθώσεως κεφ[άλαιον τοῦ μὲν πρώτου ἔτους] | ΓHHΔΓ+, τῶν δὲ ἄλλων ἔτων ΓΗΗΗ...

§ 4. Lines 20–24. [την γην την έν 'Ρηνεί]|α την ίεραν έμίσωσαν δέκα έτη. χρόνος [άρχει 'Αθήνησιν Θαργηλιών] | μην άρχοντος 'Αψεύδους, έν Δήλφ 'Ιερος [μην άρχοντος . .] | ρου, ώστε άποδιδόναι τομ μεμισθωμέ[ιον ξκάστου τοῦ ξτους την μίσθ]!ωσιν ΤΧΗΔ.

In § 3 the leases of temple lands in Delos are recorded, in § 4 the lease of temple lands in Rheneia. The 'Holy' Month would be that in which the birthday of Apollo and Artemis was celebrated at Delos, and this is known to answer to the Attic Thargelion or May.

§ 5. Lines 24–25. Την θάλατταν την πο ... | ... την έν [°]Ρηνεία εμίσθωσαν δέκα [έτη ...].

This is the lense of a fishery belonging to the Temple: so Strabo speaks (p. 6_{42}) of the fisheries of the Ephesian Artemis; cp. Pausanias (i. 38. 1) about the salt streams called 'Petrol sacred to the Eleusinian deities.

39.

Treaty between Athens and Rhegion. B.C. 433.

In the British Museum: see Greek Inser. in the B. M. No. v.; C. I. A. i. 33, and Suppl. to vol. i. p. 13.

[Θεοζ Πρέσβεις έκ 'Ρηγίου,] οι την ξυμμαχίαν [έποήσαυτο και τον δρκ]ου Κλέανδρος Ξεν-

56

	[, δ δείνα]τίνου, Σιληνδς Φώκου,
	[ό δείνα του δείνος. Ἐπὶ ᾿Αφ]σεύδους άρχοντος κ-
5	[αὶ τῆς βουλῆς, ῇ Κριτιά]δης πρῶτος ἐγραμμ-
	[άτευε, έδοξεν τη βου]λη και τφ δήμφ. 'Α-
	[καμαντίς επρυτάνευε, X]αρίας εγραμμάτευ-
10	[ε, Τιμόξενος επεστάτ]ει. Καλλί-
	[as είπε· ξυμμαχίαν είν]αι 'Αθηναίοις και
	['Ρηγίνοις. τον δε δρκο](ν) δμοσάντων 'Αθηνα-
	[ι̂οι κατά τάδε έσται πι]στά και άδολα και
	[άπλα άπαντα τὰ ἀπ' ᾿Αθην](α)ίων 'Ρηγίνοις κα-
	[ικαὶ ξύμ]μαχοι ἐσόμεθα πισ-
	[τοι και δίκαιοι και ίσ]χυροι και άβλαβείς
15	[καὶ] ὦφελήσομεν

From the identity of the names of the mover etc. it follows that this and the following Treaty were concluded on the same day. See Thuk. iii. 86, who speaks of the first interference of Athens in Sicilian affairs B.C. 427: of yap Supakóoioi kai Acourîvoi ês $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \nu a \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda_{015}$ kadéotaoau. Éúµµaxoi dè toîs Acourtívois ... 'Pŋyîvoi katà tờ Euyyevès Acourtívou. ès oùv tàs 'Adήvas $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi a v \epsilon s$ oi tâv Acourtívau Eúµµaxoi katả te $\pi a \lambda a (a v$ Euµµax (av kal oti "Iaves $\eta \sigma a v \pi \epsilon (000001 toùs 'A 0 \eta v a (ous <math>\pi \epsilon \mu \psi a i$ opioi vaüs k.t. λ . Gorgias of Leontini was one of the envoys on that occasion. Our inscription probably gives the 'old standing treaty' referred to by Thukydides (see Grote, ch. 57).

40.

Treaty between Athens and Leontini. B.C. 433.

Recently discovered near the Dionysiac Theatre at Athens; Kumanudes in 'Adjunce, v. p. 422 foll.; Foucart, Revue Archéologique, 1877, i. p. 384 foll.; C. I. A. Seppl. to vol. i. p. 13.

> [Θε]οί. Πρέσβεις έγ Λεον[τ]ίνων, οι τηγ ξυμμαχίαν έποήσαντο και τόν δρκου. Τιμήνωρ 'Αγαθοκ-5 λέους, Σώσις Γλαυκίου, Γέλων 'Εξηκέστου, γραμμα-

τεύς Θεότιμος Ταυρίσ-'Επ' 'Αψεύδους άρχοντкоυ. ος καί της βουλής, ή Κριτιάδης (πρώτος) εγραμμάτευε, 10 έδοξεν τη βουλή και τῷ δήμφ. 'Ακαμαντίς έπρυτάνευε, Χαρίας έγραμμάτευε, Τιμόξενος έπεστάτει. Καλλίας ε-15 ίπε τημ μέν ξυμμαχίαν είναι 'Αθηναίοις καί $\Lambda \in \mathcal{O}$ (ρ)κον δούναι και δέξα[σθαι. δμόσ]αι δε 'Αθηνα[ί-20 ους τάδε ξύμμαχ]οι εσ ομ*ϵθα*...*κ.τ.*λ.

See preceding Inscription, and notes. Line 10: $\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\tau$ is accidentally omitted on the stone.

41.

Expenses of the Expedition to Korkyra, B.C. 433.

The text is given from Kirchhoff, C. I. A. i. 179; cp. Suppl. to vol. i. p. 30; Bückh's Kleine Schriften, vi. p. 72.

> ['Αθηναίοι ἀνήλ]ωσαν ἐς Κόρκ[υραν τάδε. 'Επὶ 'Αψεύδους ἄρχο]ντος καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς βουλῆς, ἦ Κ-[ριτιάδης Φαείνου] Τειθράσιος πρῶτος ἐγραμμ-[άτευε, ταμίαι] ἱερῶν χρημάτων τῆς 'Αθηναία-

- 5 [s ἐκ Κερ]αμέων καὶ ξυνάρχοντες, οἰς [Κράτης Ναύτ]ωνος Λαμπτρεὺς ἐγραμμάτευε, [παρέδοσαν] στρατηγοῖς ἐς Κόρκυραν τοῖς [πρώτοις ἐκ]πλέουσι, Λακεδαιμονίφ Λακιά-[δη, Πρωτέα] Αἰξωνεῖ, Διοτίμφ Εὐωνυμεῖ,
- [ἐπὶ τῆς . . . ν]τίδος πρυτανείας πρώτης πρυ-[τανευούσης, τ]ρεῖς καὶ δέκα ἡμέραι ἐσεληλυ-[θυίας] ԽΤ ['Ἐπὶ 'Αψεύδους] ἄρχοντος καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς βουλῆς, [ἤ Κριτιάδης] Φαείνου Τειθράσιος πρῶτος ἐ-
- 15 [γραμμάτευε, ταμ]ίαι ίερῶν χρημάτων τῆς 'Α-

[θηναίας,]ης Ἐρχιεὐς καὶ ἐυνάρχου-[τες, οἶς Εὐθίας Αἴ]σχρωνος ἀναφλύστιος [ἐγραμμάτευε, παρέ]δοσαν στρατηγοῖς ἐς Κόρ-[κυραν τοῖς δευτέρ]οις ἐκπλέουσι, Γλαύκωνι 20 [ἐκ Κεραμέων Μεταγ]ένει Κοιλεῖ, Δρακουτί-[δη Βατήθεν, ἐπὶ τῆς] Αἰαντίδος πρυτανείας [... της πρυτανευούση]ς τῆ τελευ[ταία ἡμέ-[ρα τῆς πρυτανείας ?...]

This expedition is described by Thukyd. i. 45. 51. The arrival of the reinforcements in the nick of time just prevented a disaster. The story is misrepresented by Plutarch (*Pericl.* 29). Perikles would doubtless have sent a larger fleet at first, but he desired to avoid an appearance of aggression. Thukydides names Andokides the orator as if he were Glaukon's only colleague. The marble names Glaukon, [Metag]enes, and Drakonti[des]. Either Thukydides makes a slip, or Andokides was unofficially attached to the expedition. Drakontides may be the same who figures afterwards as one of the 'Thirty tyrants' (Xen. *Hedl.* ii. 3. § 2): the leaders of this expedition seem all to belong to the oligarchical party.

42.

Athenian victory before Potidæa: B.C. 432.

The marble is in the Brit. Museum: Grk. Inser. in the B. Museum, xxxvii; Kirchhoff. (' I. A. 442. The first three lines of the poem are past restoration: the λ in L. 7 is quite certain, and I owe the restoration $\{\lambda, \upsilon\theta(r)\} = \lambda \lambda i\theta \eta \sigma ar$ to the kindness of Dr. Otto Benndorf. Originally the marble was surmounted with a relief representing a battle scene. Since Fauvel first copied the inscription, several letters have been lost, which are here given in brackets in lines 2, 3.

5 νίκην ενπόλεμομ μνημ' έλ[αβον σ]φ[έτερον].

Αἰθὴρ μὲμ ψυχὰς ὑπεδέξατο, σώ[ματα δὲ χθῶν] τῶνδε· Ποτειδαίας δ' ἀμφὶ πύΛας ἔλ[υθεν]. ἐχθρῶν δ' οἱ μὲν ἔχουσι τάφου μέρος, [οἱ δὲ φυγόντες] τεῖχος πιστοτάτην ἑλπίδ' ἔθεντο [βίου].

10 Ανδρας μὲμ πόλις ῆδε ποθεῖ καὶ δῆ[μος Ἐρεχθέως], πρόσθε Ποτειδαίας οἱ θάνου ἐμ πρ[ομάχοις], παῖδες ᾿Αθηναίων· ψυχὰς δ' ἀντίβρο[πα θέντες] ἠ[λλ]άξαντ' ἀρετὴν καὶ πατρ[ίδ'] εὐκλ[έισαν].

The restorations are from the earlier editors, and are pretty certain. The poem is in three separate portions, and it closely accords with the narrative of Thukyd. i. 63: ἐπειδὴ δὲ διὰ τάχους ἡ νίκη τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἐγίγνετο . . . πάλιν ἐπανεχώρουν ἐς τὸ τείχος μετὰ δὲ τὴν μάχην τροπαΐον ἔστησαν οἱ ᾿Αθηναΐοι, καὶ τοὺς νεκροὺς ὑποσπώνδους ἀπέδοσαν τοῖς Ποτειδαιώταις· ἀπέθανον δὲ Ποτειδαιατῶν μὲν καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων ἀλίγῳ ἐλάσσους τριακοσίων, ᾿Αθηναίων δὲ αὐτῶν πευτήκοιτα καὶ ἐκατὸν καὶ Καλλίας ὁ στρατηγός.

PART III.

PELOPONNESIAN WAR.

B.C. 431-404.

43.

B.C. 431. Spartan list of Contributions to the War ($\epsilon l\sigma\phi opai$).

A slab inscribed on two sides, inaccurately copied by Fourmont, and now lost: C. I. G. 1511; I have adopted the date and corrections given by Kirchhoff, Stadien, p. 94 foll. Assigned to Tegea by Fourmont, but evidently Lakedæmonian. Compare Müller's Dorians, Bk. i. ch. 9. § 2.

A.

Δαρεικούς|. Λακεδαιμονίοις ποτ[τ]ὸν [πόλεμον| ενν]έα μνᾶς καὶ δέκα στατῆρας [τοῖς | Λακ]εδαιμονίοις Λυ[κ]είδα υἰὸς ...'. ποττὸν πόλεμον τριηρε [ἀργυρ|ί]ου μrῶς δύ[ο] καὶ τριάκοντα'.. τοὶ φίλοι τοὶ τῶν | στατῆρας Αἰγιναίους [το ῖ]ς Λακεδαιμονίοις ποττὸν [πόλεμον | τε]τρακισχειλίους καὶ ἀλλους [τετρ] ακισχειλίους καὶ [τά λ]αιτα ... (/uchui) ... | πολλὰ καὶ Δαρικούς όκτακατ[ίους | ἀργ]υρίου τρία τάλαιτα | εἶ[με]ν ποττὸν πόλεμον | τοι'άς]οτα καὶ μυρί[ας] Γεξή[κουτα οἱ ἐφέστιοι τοῦς Λακεδαιμ[οτίοις ποτ'τὸ]ν πόλεμον χιλίους Δαρ[εικούς.]

B.

"Έδον τολ Μά'λιοι τοις | Λακεδαιμονίοις | άργυρίου | F[l]κατι | μrâs. | "Εδωκε Μό'λο[β]ρος τοις | Λακεδαιμο|νίοις τάλαν'τα άργυρίου... | "Εδον τοι | Μάλιοι | τοις | [Λ]ακεδαι [μ]ονίο[ις...

This inscription is earlier than B.C. 416, when Melos was destroyed by the Athenians (Thuk. v. 116); for the Melians are mentioned in B as contributing to the Lakedæmonian war-funds. Probably this is a list of contributions towards the opening of the Peloponnesian War. The Spartans had amassed no treasure (Thuk. i. 80, 141), and when they engaged in war they appealed to their allies and to their own citizens for contributions in money and kind (Thuk. ii. 7, 10; iii. 16; vii. 18): but they had no regular taxation of their citizens, nor of their allies. The laws of Lykurgos forbade the possession of gold and silver money: but the state must have had such money in hand for the payment of war expenses abroad, etc., although, even after the wealth brought to Sparta by Lysander, private citizens were not allowed to accumulate money (Müller's Dorians, Bk. iii. 10. § 10, 11). Two individuals are named in the list, Aureida vios and Mólo[β]pos. The contribution of the first is probably in kind: the latter is perhaps the father of Epitades, who commanded the force against Pylos B.C. 425 (Thuk. iv. 8), and his possession of money was illegal (but see Athen. 233 F): Böckh takes ¿φέστιοι to mean µéroikoi.

44.

Methone and King Perdikkas: B.C. 428-426.

On a large slab of marble, broken at the bottom, and surmounted by a (broken) relief; a man seated in a dignified attitude extends his hand to a man standing in humble garb, followed by a hound. The group doubtless represents the Athenian $\delta \hat{q} \mu \sigma r$ befriending the Methonsens, who are pledged to obedient fidelity. The text is from C. I. A. i. 40; cp. Bückh, Staatek. ii. 748; Kirchhoff, Abkandl. Berl. Akad. 1861, p. 555 foll.; Köhler, *ibid.* 1869, i. p. 138.

Μεθωναίων ἐκ Πιερ[ίαs]. [Φ]αίνιππος Φρυνίχου ἐγραμμάτ[ευε].

First Decree. Probably July, B. C. 428.

 § 1. [Έδ]οξεν τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ. 'Ἐρεχθηἰs ἐπρ[υτάνευε,] Σκόπας ἐγραμμάτευε, Τιμωνίδης ἐπεστάτει. [Διοπεί]θης εἶπε· δι[a]χειροτονῆσαι τον δῆμον αὐτίκ[a προs M]εθωναίους είτε φόρον δοκεῖ τάττειν τον δῆμο[ν αὐτίκ]α μάλα ἢ ἐξαρκεῖν αὐτοῖς τελεῖν ὅσον τῆ θε[ῷ àπὸ τ]οῦ ψόρου ἐγίγ[ν]ετο, ὃν τοῖς προτέροις Παν[aθηναία-

62

ł

is] ετετάχατο φέρειν, τοῦ δὲ ἄλλου ἀτελεῖs εἶνα[ι. § 2. τῶν δὲ ἀφ-10 ει λημάτων, & γεγράφαται τῷ δημοσίφ τ[φ των Άθηναί ωμ Μεθωναίοι όφείλοντες, έαν ωσι έπιτ ήδειοι 'Αθη] ναίοις ώσπερ τε νῦν καὶ ἔτι ἀμείνους, ἐπι[τρέπειν τε τ] άξιν περί της πράξεως 'Αθηναίους και εα [ν κοινόν] ψήφισμά τι περί των όφειλημάτων των έν τή[σι στή-15 λη]σι γίγνηται, μηδέν προσηκέτω Μεθωναίο[ις, έαμ μη χ ωρίς γίγνηται ψήφισμα περί Μεθωναίων. 🖇 3. π[ρέσβεις δ] ε τρείς πέμψαι ύπερ πευτήκουτα έτη γεγου στας ώ]ς Περδίκκα[ν]. είπειν δε Περδίκκα, ότι δοκε[ι δίκαιου] είναι έαν Μεθωναίους τη θαλάττη χρήσθα[ι, μηδέ 20 έξ]είναι δρίσασθαι, και έαν είσεμπορεύεσθ[αι καθάπε]ρ τέως έ[ς] τ[η]ν χώραν, και μήτε άδικειν μ[η]τε [ά]δ[ικεισθαι], μηδε στρα[τ]ιάν διά της χώρας της Μεθ[ω]raίωr [διάγειν ά κόντωμ [Με]θωναίων. § 4. και έαμ μεν όμολ [ο] γωσιν [éĸάτερ]οι, ξυ[μβι]βασάντων οι πρέσβεις είαι δε μή, [πρεσ-25 βεί αν εκάτ [ερ]ο[ι] πεμπόντων ες Διονύσια, τέλος [έχοντας] περί ω[ν] αν διαφ[ε]ρωνται, πρός την βουλην καίι τόν δήμ]ον. ε[ί]πειν δε [Π]ερδίκκα ότι, εαν οι στρατ[ενόμενοι] έμ Ποσειδίφ έπαινωσι, γνώμας άγαθας έ[ξουσι περί] αὐτοῦ Ἀθη[ν]αῖοι. 👌 5. Ἐχειροτόνησεν ὁ δῆμος [Μεθων-30 alou]s τελείν [όσο]ν τη θεφ από του φόρου εγίγυε[το, όν τοί]s π[ρ]οτέρο[ιs] Παναθηναίοις ετετάχατο φ[έρειι, τοῦ δε ά]λλου ατε[λεῖς εί]ναι. Second Decree: B. C. 426 (passed in the first prytany). § 1. Εδοξεν τη βουλη καί [τω δήμ-[Ιππο[θ]ω[υτίς έ]πρυτάνευε, Μεγακλεώης [εγραμμάψ. 35 τευ]ε, Νι[κ]ο[.... έ]πεστάτει. Κλεώιυμος είπε Μ[εθωναίois] ε[ί]ν[αί τε έξ[ά]γειν έγ Βυζαντίου σίτου μέχ[ρι ακισχ]ιλίων μεδίμνων τοῦ ἐνιαυτοῦ ἐκάστου, οι [τε Έλλησπ]οντοφύλακες μήτε αύτοι κωλυόντων εξάγειν μ[ήτε άλ λον εώντων κωλύειν ή εύθυνεσθως μυρίαισι δρ[αχ-40 μαίσ] w έκαστος. γραψαμένους δε πρός τους Έλλησπ[οιτο φύλακας έξάγει [v] μέχρι τοῦ τεταγμένου. άζήμιος [δε έσ τω καί ή raûs ή εξάγουσα. § 2. ότι δ' år κοινόν ψήφ[ισμα π]ερί των ξυμμάχω[ν] ψηφίζωνται 'Αθηναίοι πε[ρί βοη θείας ή ά[λ]λο τι προ[σ]τάττο[ν]τες τήσι πόλεσι ή [περ45 ί σφ]ῶν [η] περὶ τῶν πό[λεω]ν, ὅτι ἀν ἀνομαστὶ περὶ τ[η̂ς πόλε]ῶς τη̂ s] Μεθωναίων ψηφίζωνται, τοῦτο προσή[κειν αὐτοῖ]ς, τ[α] δὲ ἄλλα μή, ἀλλὰ φυλάττοντες τὴν σφετ[έραν αὐτῶν ἐ]ν τῷ τεταγμένῷ ὅντων. § 3. ὰ δὲ ὑπὸ Περδ[ίκκου ἠδικῆσ]θαί φασι, βουλεύσασθαι 'Αθ[η]ναίους ὅτι ἁ[ν δο-50 κ]ŋ [ắξι]ον εἶναι περὶ Μεθωναίων, ἐπειδὰν ἀπαν[τήσω]σι ἐ[ς τὸ]ν δῆμον οἱ πρέσβεις οἱ παρὰ Περδίκκου, [οἴ τε] μετ[ὰ Πλ]ειστίου οἰ[χ]όμενοι καὶ οἱ μετὰ Λεωγό[ρου. § 4. περ]ὶ δὲ ['Hγ]ησιπόλε[ῶς χ]ρηματίσαι, ἐπειδὰν ἐσέλ[θῃ ἡ π]ρυ[ταν]εία ἡ δευτ[έρα] μετὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ νεωρίῳ ε[ὐθὺς] 55 ἔδρας] ἐκκλησίαν [πο]ήσαντες· συν[ε]χῶς δὲ ποεῖν τ[ὰς ἐκκλησία]ς, ἕως ἀν δι[απρ]αχθῃ, ἅλλο δὲ προχρημα[τίσαι τούτω]ν μηδέν, ἐὰμ μήτι οἱ στρατη[γ]οὶ δέωντα[ι].

Third Decree : B. C. 426 (passed in the second prytany). ["Εδοξει' τη] βουλη και τῷ δήμφ. Κεκροπις ἐπρυ[τάνευε,]ης ἐγραμμάτε[υ]ε, ['Ι]εροκλείδης ἐ[πεστάτει... 60.....] είπε' ἐπειδη ... (the rest is lost).

.1 fourth Decree must have originally come at the end, passed while Φαίνιππος ἐγραμμάτευε (see heading), i. e. B. C. 423 (decree in Thuk. iv. 118), when all these four documents were ordered to be inscribed together.

Methone remained faithful to Athens throughout the Peloponnesian war (Thuk. iv. 129; vi. 7): after Ægospotanoi the alliance was suspended for a time, but in the days of Timotheos and Kallistratos (B. C. 378) Methone once more joined Athens (Dinarch. in Dem. § 14), until in 353 it was forced to yield to the siege of Philip (Grote, ch. 87). Doubtless it was to the advantage of Methone to secure freedom of movement by land and sea by alliance with the maritime power of Athens; to Athens also Methone was a position of the greatest importance, as commanding the Thermaic Gulf.

The first Decree refers to the new assessment made in the previous year, probably B.C. 429 (cp. Köhler, Urkunden, p. 138), and proposes for the Methona (in § 1) to pay no tribute, but only the $\frac{1}{3}$ th due as $\frac{3}{4}\pi a\rho\chi\eta$ (see No. 24). In § 2 their arrears of

 $\phi \phi \rho \sigma$ are excused, and a special arrangement $(r \delta \xi \iota_s)$ is to be made about them. In § 3 envoys are to be sent to Perdikkas, requesting him to give the Methonzeans freedom to pursue their traffic in any direction they please by land or sea, and not to set them limits on the coast ($\delta \rho (\sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota)$, whether N. or S. of Methone, which they might not pass.

§4. If he declines this suggestion, Perdikkas and the Methongans are to send envoys to Athens by next Dionysia (= March 427) with full powers to settle their differences. If the Athenian forces now at Poseidion report favourably of Perdikkas' behaviour in these respects, he will earn the good-will of Athens.

§ 5. The proposed relief is voted to the Methonzans.

Second Decree: B.C. 426. § 1. The Methonæans are permitted to import corn from Byzantion, and the Athenian $i\pi l \sigma \kappa \sigma \pi o \iota$ or $\phi \nu \lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon s$ there (see No. 23) are to help them; and the ship they charter is not to be regarded as contraband.

§ 2. ἐν τεταγμένω ὄντων, 'let them stand to their post,' 'be in readiness,' cp. Xen. Cyrop. vi. 2. 37.

§ 3. Two sets of envoys had been sent to Perdikkas, and were expected back shortly: Leogoras may be the father of Andokides the orator.

§ 4. Who Hegesipolis was, and what the special circumstances alluded to, we know not. The Athenians promise immediate attention to the matter: but the $\beta ov\lambda \dot{\eta}$ just now was sitting down at the docks (Böckh, *Staatsh.* iii. p. 171 and 466) on business connected with the fleet; that ended, the prytanes will call the $\delta \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma ta$ together, and this matter shall be the 'first order of the day.'

45.

Surrender of Potidzea: B.C. 429.

Statue-base of Pentelic marble found on the Akropolis, C. I. A. i. 340.

'Εποίκων ές Ποτείδαιαν.

Thukyd. ii. 70, και υστερον εποίκους εαυτών επεμψαν ές την

Ποτίδαιαν καὶ κατψκισαν. The dedication was made to Athena by the colonists before leaving home.

46.

Repayment of moneys borrowed from the Temple-treasures : B. C. 426-423.

On eight fragments found at different times on the Akropolis. The text is given from Kirchhoff, C. I. A. 273; cp. Rangabé, Antiq. Hell. 116-117, 373; Böckh, Kleine Schriften, vi. p. 72.

This document shows that during the four years B.C. 426-423 the war expenses were so heavy that the ordinary income of the state (from $\phi \phi \rho \rho os$ and the other sources of revenue) was not sufficient to meet them. Recourse was therefore had to the accumulating χρήματα της 'Aθηralas and also των άλλων θεών. But it had been enacted B.C. 435 (No. 37 B) that these treasures should not be voted away for state-purposes without an indemnity-bill (adeia); and moreover they were at least in form dedicated to the gods. Accordingly they are here 'borrowed' at a nominal interest. It will be remembered that Perikles (Thuk. ii. 13) speaks of the lepà xphuara as all being available when necessary. In B.C. 422 the peace of Nikias brought relief to the Athenian exchequer, and about B.C. 420 the debt to the gods was repaid with the interest thereon, as here set forth. The interest is shown by Böckh to be calculated at the rate of $\frac{1}{3}$ of a drachma for every mina per diem. The normal rate of interest in Greece was tokos ent draxun, i.e. a drachma per mina per month, or twelve per cent. per annum. The formal interest payable to Athena was a tithe of this, or $1\frac{1}{4}$ th per cent., i.e. $\frac{1}{16}$ of a drachma per month, or (reckoning thirty days to the month) $\frac{1}{300}$ of a drachma per diem. This is not the place to do more than refer the reader to the calculations of Rangabé, *l.c.* i. p. 179 foll., and Böckh in his essay (1.c.). I shall ask the reader to take for granted their accuracy, and we will merely dwell upon the historical interest of the document.

Moneys borrowed from the Treasury of Athena: B. C. 426-423.

Heading. [Τάδε τοῦ τόκου? ἐλογίσαντ]ο οἱ λογιστα[ὶ ἐν τοῖς τέτ-] ταρσιν ἕτεσιν ἐκ Παναθηναίων ἐς [Παναθήνα|ια δφειλόμενα?]. On the λογισταί, or board of Control, see No. 24.

FIRST YEAR: B. C. 426-5.

First payment. [Τάδε ο]ί ταμίαι παρέδοσ[αν, 'Ανδρο]κλής Φλυεύς καὶ ξυνάρχοντες, Έλλ[ηνοταμία|ις]εί καὶ ξυνάρχουσι[ν, στρατ]ηγοῖς 'Ιπποκράτει Χολαργεί καὶ ξυ[νάρχουσιν, | ἐπὶ τῆς Κεκροπίδο]ς πρυτανείας δευτέ[ρας πρυ]τανευούσης, τέτταρες ἡμέραι ἐσε[ληλυθυίας, ἐπὶ τῆς βουλῆς, ἡ] Μεγακλείδης πρῶτο[ς ἐγραμ]μάτευε, ἐπὶ Εἰθύνου ἄρχοντος: ΦΦ. τόκος τ[ούτοις ἐ|γένετο: ΜΠΗΔΔ]ΔΠΗ.

The interest repaid together with the capital is for four years, which contained respectively 355, 354, 384, 355 = 1448 days: deduct from this all the days of this quadriennium which had passed before the loan, together with the day on which the loan was received, and you get the number of days for which interest was calculated. The summer of B.C. 426 was a busy one for Laches was continuing the operations in Sicily (Thuk. Athens. iii. 86. 90): Demosthenes and Prokles (ibid. 91.94) sailed round Peloponnese and attacked Ætolia: Nikias, with a fleet of thirty sail (ibid. 91), made descents upon Melos and Bœotia and Lokris, Hipponikos and Eurymedon marching at the same time on Tanagra: also Pythodoros is named (ibid. 115) as succeeding Laches in Sicily during the following winter. None of these orparyyol are named in our inscription : nor is Hippokrates, whom it does specify, named by Thukydides this year. But Thukydides (iv. 66) tells us that twice every year the Athenians invaded Megara, and that in B.C. 424 (ibid.) Hippokrates son of Ariphron was commanding there. Probably he did the same in 426, and, being near at hand, was the orparnyos who (in the name of all the ten colleagues) signed the receipt for the Treasures of the goddess. As the Attic year began with Hekatombeon (= July), and the prytany contained thirty-five or thirty-six days, this payment was made towards the beginning of August, after the fleets for the Peloponnese and for Melos had sailed.

Second payment. $\Delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon pa$ doors $\ell \pi [i \tau \eta s K] \epsilon \kappa po \pi loos d \epsilon v \tau \epsilon pas$ $<math>\pi p v \tau a v \epsilon v o v \sigma \eta s$. oi $| \ldots \tau \eta \pi p v \tau a v \epsilon l q P$. $\tau \delta \kappa o s \tau [o v \tau \omega v :] TT X PHHHHP \Delta \Delta$.

Third payment. Τρίτη δόσις ἐπὶ τῆς Παν[διονίδο|ς πρυτανείας] τετάρτης πρυτανευούσης, [ἐσεληλ]υθυίας πέντε ἡμέρα[ι] τῆς πρυτανείας· 4[4ΠΤΤΤΜΝΔΔΠΗΗ. τόκος τ]ούτων: ΤΧΜΗΔΠΗ ΗΗΔΠΗ

Fourth payment. $T[\epsilon\tau d\rho\tau]\eta$ dósis $\epsilon\pi i \tau\eta s$ 'Akaµavτldos πρυταvela[s dydóns|πρυτανευούσ]ηs, πέντε ηµέραι εσεληλυθ[vlas τη]s πρυτανείas 4444[T]TTTXXX. τόκος τούτω[v: TXXXXIPH $P\Delta$ ++1].

Fifth payment. [Πέμπ]τη δόσις ἐπὶ τῆς 'Ακαμαν[τίδος πρ]υταvelas δγδόης πρυτανευούσης, ἐσεληλ[υθυίας | ξξ ἡμέραι τ]ῆς πρυταvelas. Η. τόκος τ[ούτων:] ΤΤΤΡ [FHHHHΔΔΔΔ.

Sixth payment. "Εκτη δόσις ἐπὶ τῆς Ἐρε[χθηἰδος |πρυτανείας] δεκάτης πρυτανευούση[ς, ἐσεληλ]υθυίας ἕπτα ἡμέρας τῆς πρυτανείας, ΦΕΤ[ΤΤΧΧΧΕΡ|ΔΗ. (τόκος) τούτοις] ἐγένετο ΧΧΧΧΗΡΔΔ ΗΗ[IC].

Total of these payments with the interest thereon. [Κεφ]άλαιου τοῦ ἀρχαίου ἀναλώματος ἐπὶ τῆς ᾿Αιδρ[οκλέους ἀρχῆς καὶ ξυ]ναρχόιτων ΗΗΡΑΤΡΠΗ[ΔΔΔΔ. τ]όκου κεφάλαιον τῷ ἀργυρίψ τῷ ἀιαλωθέντ[ι ἐπὶ τῆς] ᾿Ανδροκλέους] ἀρχῆς καὶ ξυναρχόντω[ν: ΑΤ]ΡΔΔΔΔΠΗΗΗ.

The total is $4\frac{1}{2}$ obols short: there was doubtless a reason why these were not reckoned in.

SECOND YEAE: B. C. 425-4.

First payment. Τάδε παρέδοσαν οἱ τα[μίαι Φωκιάδης ἐξ Οί]ου καὶ ξυνάρχοντες ἐπὶ Σ[τρα]τοκλέους ἄρχοντος κ[αὶ] ἐπὶ τῆς βουλῆς ἢ Πλ[ειστίας | πρώτος ἐγραμ]μάτευε, στρατηγοῖς περ[ὶ Πε]λοπόννησον Δημοσθένει 'Αλκισθένους 'Αφιδ[raίψ ἐπὶ | τῆς ... ηίδος] πρυτανείας τετάρτης [πρυτα] reυούσης, τρίτη ἡμ[έ]ρα τῆς πρυτανείας ἐ[σεληλυθυί|ας, ἐκ τοῦ 'Οπισθ[οδόμου' ΦΦΦ. τόκος τούτο[ις ἐγέ]νετο ΜΠΗΗΗΔ.

The payment was made in October, i.e. after the taking of Pylos: probably Demosthenes still kept cruising in the neighbourhood of the Peloponnese. Observe that he is not himself called στρατηγφ: cp. Thuk iv. 2, δυτι ίδιώτη. The words έκ τοῦ 'Οπισθ. are to be understood in every payment throughout this inscription.

Second payment. Er[έ]ρα δόσις στρατηγοῖς [Νικία Ν|ικηράτου Κυδα]υτίδη καὶ ξυνάμιου[σιν ἐπὶ] τῆς Παυδιονίδος πρυτανείας ἐνάτης πρυτ[ανευούση]ς, πέμπτη καὶ] δεκάτη ἡμέρα τῆς π[ρυταν]είας ἐσεληλυθυίας· Η. πίκος τούτοις ἐγένε[το TTXXX|[FHHHH].

There is little doubt about Nikias' name: see fourth payment in first year of Na. 53. The payment was made in the early summer of D. C. 424. apparently towards the cost of the expedition against Kythems (Thuk. iv. 53).

Total of these payments with the interest thereon. [Κεφάλ]αιου τοῦ ἀρχαίου ἀναλώ[μισος] ἐπὶ τῆς Φωκιάδου ἀρχῆς καὶ ξυναρχόντων Η[444. τόκου κατυίλαιου τῷ ἀ]ργυρίψ τῷ ἀναλωθ[έντι] ἐπὶ τῆς Φωκιάδου ἀρχῆς καὶ ξυναρχόντων Τ[TTXXXI^THH|HΔ].

THIRD YEAR: B. C. 424-3.

First payment. [Γώε παρέδ]οσαν οἱ ταμίαι Θ[ουκυ]δίδης 'Αχερδούσιος καὶ ξυνάρχοντες ἐπὶ 'Ισά[ρχου ἄρχο|ντος καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς βουλῆς ἦ 'Αξ]ί[ο]χος (\mathcal{F} [πρώ]τος ἐγραμμάτευε, Έλληνοταμίαις ἕνοις δ[.....|...] Χαροπίδη Σκ[αμβ]ωνίδη καὶ ξυνάρχουσι[ν ἐπὶ τῆς ...|... ίῶυς πρυτανείας ... πρυτανε]υούσης, ἕκτη καὶ εἰκοστῆ τῆς πρυτανες[ας|... τόκος τού]τοις ἐγένετο XXXX[FHPΔ[111]]

Paid to the Hellenstamiæ of the year before (*ivos*); the payment had been promised, but never made, by the last year's Treasurers.

Third payment. [Γμίτη δ]όσις $i \pi i$ τῆς Ἐρεχθηίδος πρυτανείας i[...ης πρυταν|ενούστης...τῆς πρυτανείας..]. τόκος τούτοις $εγένετο <math>PH\Delta\Delta\Delta H - C$.

Fourth payment. **Τ**ε[τάρτη δόσις | επί τῆς ... (δος πρυτανείας ...] πρυτανευούσης, πριακοστῆ τῆς πρυται:[είας|τόκος τούτοις εγένετο..].

Total of these payments, and the interest thereon. [Κεφάλαιον] τοῦ ἀρχαίου ἀναλώματως ἐπὶ τῆς Θουκυδίδου [ἀρχῆς καὶ ξυ|ναρχάντων τόκου κεφάλαιον τής] αργυρίη τη αναλωθέντι έπι της Θουκυδ[ίδου αρχής και] ζυναρχόντων . .].

These payments cannot be distinctly connected with particular events of this eighth year of the war (Thuk. iv. 66 foll.).

FOURTH YEAR: B. C. 423-2.

First payment. [Τάδε παρ]έδοσαν οι ταμίαι Τιμοκλής Είτεαιος κ[αὶ ξυνάρχον/τες ἐπὶ ᾿Αμυνίου ἄρχοντος καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς βουλ]ῆς ἦ Δημήτριος Κολλυτεὺς πρώτος ἐγρ[αμμάτευε...|...Μυβό]ινουσίφ καὶ ξυνάρχουσι ἐπὶ τῆς ᾿Ακαμα[ντίδος πρυταν/είας...ς πρυτανευούσης,...ŋ] τῆς πρυτανείας. ԹΓΤΤΤΤΧΧΧΧΓΗΗΔΔ· τό[κος τούτοις ἐγ/ένετο...].

Second payment. $[\Delta \epsilon \upsilon \tau \epsilon \rho]a$ dos $\epsilon \pi i$ $\tau \eta$ s $\Pi a \upsilon d i o \upsilon l d o s \pi \rho \upsilon \tau a \upsilon - \epsilon \iota [as . . . | <math>\pi \rho \upsilon \tau a \upsilon \epsilon \upsilon \upsilon \upsilon \upsilon \sigma \eta s$, . . $\eta \tau \eta s \pi \rho \upsilon \tau a \upsilon \epsilon \iota a s$. .] $TT \square \square$. $\tau \delta \kappa o s \tau o \upsilon \tau \sigma v s$ $\delta \psi \epsilon \tau \sigma H \square \Delta + F + III.$.

Third payment. [Τρίτη δόσι]s | [ἐπὶ τῆs ... ίδος πρυτανείαs ..]της πρυτανευούσης, τετάρτη τῆς πρυτα[veίas ...]σαμ|[... τόκος τούτοις ἐγένετο ..] $\square\square \square \Delta \Delta \square$

Fourth payment. Τετάρτη δόσις ἐπὶ τῆς Alavτ[ίδος πρυτ]arei]-[as δγδόης πρυτανευούσης, δευτέρα καὶ] εἰκοστῆ τῆς πρυτανείας, Η. τόκος τούτο[ις ἐγέν]ετο $X \square H | [H \triangle \Delta \Delta \Delta]$.

Fifth payment. [Πέμπτη δόσις ἐπὶ τῆς Λεοντίδο]ς πρυτακείας δεκάτης πρυτανευούσης, τ[ετάρτ]η τῆς πρ|[υτανείας, . . . τόκος τούτοις ἐγένετο .] $H\Delta\Delta$ +HIC.

Total of these payments, and interest thereon. Κεφάλαιον τοῦ ἀρχαίου ἀναλώ[ματοs] ἐπὶ τῆs Τι|[μοκλέους ἀρχῆς καὶ ξυναρχόντων ΗΗ.]44ΤΤΧΠΗΔΔΔΔΗΗΙΟ. κεφάλαιον τόκου τ[οῖς ἀ]ναλωθεῖσι χρ|[ήμασιν ἐπὶ τῆς Τιμοκλέους ἀρχῆς καὶ ξυ]ναρχόντων ΤΓΗΗΗΔΗΗΙΟ.

This year, the ninth of the war, was one of severe strain upon Athens, owing to the successes of Brasidas and the defection of the Thrakian towns.

Grand totals for the quadriennium. Κεφάλαι[ου ἀν]αλώματος ξ[ύμπαντος..... ἐν τοῦς τέτταρσιν ξ]τεσιν ἐκ Παναθηναίων ἐς Παναθήν[αια Թ]ΗΗ 444 ΦΕΤΤΧ. |... κεφάλαιον τόκου ξύμπαντος 'Αθη]ναίας ἐν τοῦς τέτταρσιν ξτεσιν ἐ[κ Παν]αθηναίων ἐς Πα[[ναθήναια...]. Then follows (1) a statement of a loan from the Treasury of Athena Nike, made in the last year of the quadriennium, amount unknown, the stone being broken; (2) fragmentary accounts of loans made in the same year from the treasures of 'The Other Gods.' We gather that B.C. 423 was a year which seriously taxed the Athenian resources.

47.

Assessment of Tribute payable by the Athenian allies; τάξις φόρου: B.C. 425.

Put together out of thirty fragments found at various times on the Akropolis. Köhler, Urkunden u. Untersuchungen zur Gesch. d. Delisch-Attisch. Bundes, p. 63 foll.; C. I. A. i. 37.

(a) Heading and Title: $\Theta[\epsilon o l.] Td[\xi_i]s [\phi]\delta[\rho o v]$. Next followed two decrees of the Senate and People, concerning the assessment of Tribute, both passed in the prytany of the tribe Ægeïs and in the archonship of Stratokles. At the end was a list of the Tributary States with the sums payable that year, beginning with the Islands ($\nu\eta\sigma\iota\omega\tau\iota\kappa\delta s \phi\delta\rho\sigma s$) and ending with the Thrakian allies ($\Theta\rho \nota\kappa\iota s \phi\delta\rho\sigma s$), between which there came (but in what order is doubtful) the Ionian-Karian and Helles-pontine tribute ('Iwruk's $\phi\delta\rho\sigma s$, Kapuko's, 'E $\lambda\lambda\eta\sigma\pi\delta\sigma\tau\iota\sigma s$).

(b) First decree. "Εδοξεν τῆ [βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμφ. Αἰγηζε] επρ[υτάνευε,]ων εγρα[μμάτευε, επε] στάτει. Θούδι[ππος είπεν]

(d) The next few lines are hopelessly mutilated : $\delta\rho\kappa\bar{\omega}ra[\iota]$ are mentioned, who were to visit the allies and take their oath of adherence to this assessment. Cp. Xen. *Hellen.* vi. 5. 3; and No. 28.

(e) Penalties denounced against Prytanes who neglect to introduce before the assembly a proboulenma in accordance with this decree: (cp. No. 28, note). [tay dt of $\pi \rho v \tau dv \epsilon s$, $\mu \eta$ therefore $\delta \eta v \sigma s$, $\delta \eta \epsilon s$ λειν χιλίας δραχμὰς ἰερὰ]ς τῆ | [Aθ]ηνα[ίς ἕκαστον τῶν π]ρ[υτάνεων κα]ὶ τῷ δημοσίω ἐξήκοντα ἡ εὐθυνέσθω μυρί]ασι | [δρα]χμῆ[σι ἕκαστος τῶν πρ]υτά[νεων. κα]ὶ ἐάν τις ἄλλος δι[αχειροτονήσῃ ἡ εἴπῃ ἡ μ]ὴ εἶναι τ|[às] τάξ[εις] ἐπὶ τῆς πρυτανεί[as ἡ ầνπρυτα]νεύῃ, ἅτ|[ί]μος ἔσ[τω καὶ] τὰ χ[ρήματα] αὐτοῦ δ[ημόσι]α ἔσ[τ]ω καὶ τῆς θεοῦ [τὸ ἐπιδέκατον].

(f) The next few lines can be restored more certainly: [έξενε]γκέτω δὲ τ|αῦτα ἐς [τὸν] δῆμον [ἡ Αἰγη]ἐς π[ρ]υτα[νεί]α ἐπάναγκες, ἐπει[δὰν τάχιστα ἐσέλθη], ἐς τρίτην ἡ|μέραν [πρῶτ]ον μετ[ὰ τὰ ἰε]ρά. ἐ[ὰν] δὲ [μὴ δ]ιαπ[ρ]αχθῆ ἐν ταύ[τη, χρηματίσαι περ]ὶ τούτου πρῶ[τ]|ον τῆ [ὑσ]τεραία [ξυνε]χῶς [ἔ]ως [ἀν δ]ιαπ[ρ]αχθῆ ἐπὶ τῆ[ς εἰρημένης πρυτα]νείας. ἐὰν δ[ὲ μ]|ὴ ἐξε[νέγ]κωσι ἐς [τὸν δῆμ]ον ἡ [μὴ] δι[απράξω]σι ἐπὶ σφῶν α[ὐτῶν, εὐθυνέσθω μυ]ρίασι δρ[αχμῆ]|σιν ἕ[καστ]ος τῶμ [πρυτάν]εων, ἐὰν μὴ τὸ κατ]ακωλῦον ἐπιδ[είξωνται]as.

(g) Next came provisions for the summoning (προσκλήσιs) of backward states by means of δημόσιοι κλητήρες and κήρυκες (see Ar. Birds, 1422, κλητήρ υησιωτικός). This portion is hopelessly broken, but it ends by prescribing a salary to these officers: τοις δε κήρυξι τοις lougo τ|[δυ μισθδ]υ αποδ[όντων οι κωλακρέται?].

(k) Supplementary motion, providing for the hearing of appeals against assessments: [$\delta \delta \epsilon i \nu a \epsilon i \pi$] ϵ . Tà $\mu \epsilon [\nu]$ $\delta \lambda a \kappa a \theta \delta \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \eta$ $\beta o \nu \lambda \eta$. Tàs | [$\delta \epsilon \tau \delta \epsilon \epsilon s$], $\delta \sigma a i$ [.... to $\nu s \pi \rho$] $\nu \tau \delta \nu \epsilon \epsilon s$] ϵ , $\delta \tau a \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon$ $\tau \nu \gamma \chi \delta \tau m \rho \nu \tau [[a \nu \epsilon \nu o \nu \tau]]\epsilon s$, $\kappa a i \tau [o \nu s \delta \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma \delta a s \delta \sigma \delta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \delta \gamma \epsilon \nu$ $\tau \omega \nu$? $\delta s \tau]$ $\delta \delta i \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \nu \sigma \delta \tau$, $\delta \tau a \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \omega \nu \tau \delta \epsilon [[\epsilon \omega \nu \eta]]$, $\delta \pi \omega s \delta \nu \ldots$

(i) Second decree. "Εδοξ[εν] τη βουλή και τφ δήμφ. Α|[lyηts ε]πρυτάπευ[ε,.... ων εγραμμάτευε,....δ]ωρος επεσ[τάτει]. Θούδιππος είπε.

(j) The cities now assessed are to take part in the Great Panathenæa: (comp. No. 29): όπόσ|[ησι πό]λεσι φόρος [ετάχθη επί τ]ης [βουλης, ή Πλειστί]ας πρώτος [εγρα]μμάτευε, επί Στρατοκ]-[λέους] άρχουτος, βο[ῦν καὶ πρόβατα δύο ἀπάγειν ἐς Παναθ]ήναια τὰ με[γάλα] ἀπάσας. πεμπόντων | δ[ε εν] τη πομπή

(k) Heading of the list of cities as newly assessed: [Karà ráde $\xi = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2$

72

List of the Tribute as assessed B.C. 425.								
(l) Tribute from the Islands :— Νησιωτικός φόρο[s].								
Πάρι[ο]ι	444	30 tal.						
Nážioji	수단	15 tal.						
"Ανδρ[ιοι]	수단	15 tal.						
Μήλιο[ι]	수단	15 tal.						
Σίφνι[οι]	FTTTT	9 tal.						
[*] Ερετρ[ιη̂s]	수단	15 tal.						
Θηραί[οι]	F	5 tal.						
Kei[01]		10 tal.						
Καρύ[στιοι]	F	5 tal.						
Χαλκ[ιδής]	Ą	10 tal.						
Κύθν[ιοι]	FT	б tal.						
Τήν[ι] ο[ι]	수	10 ta l.						
$\Sigma \tau [v] \rho \eta s$	ТТ	2 tal.						
Μ[υ]κόνι[οι]	• • •							
$[\Sigma] \epsilon \rho(\phi, o[\tau])$	• • •							
'Ιήται	• • •							
Διης	• • •							
'Αθηνῖται	Т	ı tal.						
Σύριοι	Т	1 tal.						
Γρυγχης	XX	2000 dr.						
Pηναιης	X	1000 dr.						
Διακρῆς ἀπ[ò]	XX	2000 dr.						
Χαλκιδέων	~~	2000 ur.						
'Araφaîoı	X	1000 dr.						
Κέρια Δ		10 dr. 3 ob.						
Φολέγανδρος	XX	2000 dr.						
Βέλβινα	ННН	300 dr.						
Κίμωλος	X	1000 dr.						
Σικινήται	X	1000 dr.						
Ποσίδειον	н	100 dr.						
èr Euβolą }	••	100 ul.						
Δια[κρ]ιοι	тхх	1 tal. 2000 dr.						
<rl> εν E[ε[β]οία </rl>	• • • • •							
· «\$ }	TTTT	4 tal.						
		. 						

Bockh supposes the last name to be 'Hoaiorin's in Lemnos.

(m) Ionian and Karian Tribute :---

This column had a peculiar heading, which related to an $\ell\pi$, $\phi\rho\rho\phi$ or extraordinary contribution, which is removed by the present assessment. Kirchhoff restores it *exempli gratia* thus:—

[Όπόσησι τών πό-] [λεων ξ]υ[νεκεχώ-] [ρητο φέ]ρ[ειν μη] [ἅμα ξ]ύμπ[αντας,] [ἀλλὰ π]αρὰ μ[έρος] [τοὺς φό]ρους, ἐ[πὶ τῷ] [ἐπιφ]ορὰν [τελεῖν,] [ἅνευ] ταύτης [ξ]ύμπ-[ας δ] φόρος ἐτάχθη.

List of Ionian and Karian cities : the numerals are mostly lost :---

Λίνδιοι Μιλήσιοι Λέρ[ιοι] Τειχιοῦσσα Καμιρής Κυμαΐοι 'Εφέσιοι 'Ερυθραΐοι Τήΐοι Ιηλύσιοι Φασηλίται [Τ]ελεμήσσιο[ι] [Κλ]αυνδης [Kŵ]oı [Τηλά]νδριοι Kri dio li Κολο φώνι]οι Αίρ αιοι] Χερό[ονήσιοι] Λεβέ[διοι] Φωκα[ιη̂s] 'lovµ[ŋs] Týµr[101]

Κυλλ[άνδιοι]		
Αστυ[παλαιη̂s]		
Αλι[καρνάσσιοι]		
Πολ[ιχναΐοι] }		
'Ερυ[θραίων]		
Κλα[ζομένιοι] Καλ[άλιμα]		
Καλ[νόνιοι]		
Πρ[ιηνής] Πλαδ[αρής ? = Πλαγαρής]		
$\Pi v \gamma \epsilon [\lambda \hat{\eta} s]$		
Ληρισ[αι̂οι]		
Μυήσσ[ιοι]		
Τερμ[ερῆs]		
K.u[lona]	-	1
·Αρ[τεμι] }	Т	1 tal.
• • • • • •	TTT	3 tal.
Α		
M		
Kapva[vðŷs]	×	1000 dr.
Βρυκούντιοι	피	500 dr.
Ταρβανής	X	1000 dr.
Μύνδιοι	X	1000 dr.
παρά Τέρμερα }		
εδριής Υμησσής	Γī	б tal.
Κυρωμῆs) Τῆλοs	тт	2 tal.
Γηλος Κελένδερις	TT	2 tal. 2 tal.
`Ιτύρα	XXXX	4000 dr.
Σύμη	XXX	3000 dr.
Πιδαση̂ς	XXX	3000 dr.
Пдан я	XX	2000 dr.
'Ελαιούσιοι		
'Ερυθραίων 🖇	Н	100 dr.
. ερά παρά)		
vµéas S	• •	
••••\$	••	
[Πύριν]δος	••	
••••• virai	••	
••••	••	

-

• • • • •	atos	••	
[`Етєок (<i>Lacun</i> u	.ι αρ]πάθ ισ . α).	••	
(n) Tribute fi	om the Eillespontin	e States.	• •
••			lost.)
(The list is i N 74 A Tr 6 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	ncomplette, and mos εοπολίται. μρα Χερμμώνη[σον] μμναΐοι υρόδιζα αρείον παυά βρύ]λλειαν ια Αρταίον] τείχος επι τψ "Η ύνδακι μυσ[οι?] [ελ]ειᾶ[πτι] Παρι]ανω[.] Δαρδ]ανήτς Κεββ]ονηπτ[ται] [άπ' 'Αγ]ωμᾶς υλη ανίστιι Βρυλ]λειανοί Δαι]ούστιι [εν Χε]αμανήσφ Βύσβι]ανς	e States. at of the numerals are	lost.)
	\аµ] тырыя́ я атры . ка		
•	<i>พ</i> קิร		
	Περκ] ώστω [ι] Κιαν]οί		

.

.*

```
['Αβυδη]νο[ί] (or Παισηνοί)
[Τενεδ]ιο[ί] (or Σκάψιοι)
. . . . 🤃 . .
[Σιγει]ης
[Σήστι]οι
[Δαυνι]οτε[ι]χίται (οτ Διδυμοτειχίται)
[Καλλι ]πολίται
[Πρίαπ]ος
.... ápio[i]
[Κεβρή]νιοι
[Παλαι]περκ[ώσιοι]
. . . . . . . . [۱]
  (Lacuna).
Σου....
                             XXXX
                                               4000 dr.
'Αρταίο[ν? ἐπὶ τῷ
                             Х
                                               1000 dr.
 'Ρύνδακι
Οτληνοί
                             XX
                                               2000 dr.
Πυθοπολίτα[ι]
                             H
                                               100 dr.
Μητρόπολις
                             Т
                                               I tal.
 παρά Πρίαπου 🖇
Β[ι]σάνθη
                             ΓΤΙΤ
                                               2 tal.
['Ελλη[σ]ποντίου φόρ[ου] \[田田] ሞ수수수中門門ΗΗ..
 [κ]εφάλα[ι]ου
                                   295 tal., 5300 dr. etc.
  (0) [A\kappa] raîa: \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon is (cp. Thuk. iv. 52).
"Artar[8005]
                             .. TT
Poire [10v]
Νήσο[s]
  (p) Thrakian Tribute; (very imperfect.)
[Σ]τρεψαῖο[ι]
[Πί]ερες [ἐν]
[Περ]γάμ[ψ] }
  (Lacuna)
...a....
Σ....
0....
Σπ[αρτώλιοι]
'Αφ[υταίοι]
```

. .

.

$ \Pi \epsilon \pi [a \rho \eta \theta \iota o \iota] $ $ A \kappa \delta \nu [\theta \iota o \iota] $ $ A \kappa \delta \nu [0 \iota o \iota] (or A l \nu \epsilon \tilde{a} r a \iota) $ $ K o \sigma \sigma [a \tilde{\iota} o \iota] $ $ \Pi o \lambda \iota \dots $ $ \pi a \rho \delta \Sigma \dots (or $ $ \pi a \rho, "A \sigma [\sigma \eta \rho a]) $ $ [\Theta] \delta \sigma \sigma \iota [o \iota] $ $ [\Delta \iota] \eta s $ $ [\delta \kappa \tau o \tilde{v} "A \theta \omega $ $ (Lacuna) $		
a	тт	2 tal.
Οιον	TXXX	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		1 tal., 3000 dr.
K[(0as?]	X	1000 dr.
Π[0]σίδειον	ы	500 dr.
'Ακρόθψοι		
οί ἐν ἕΑθφ δ	• •	
Πλεύμη	••	
Θέστωρος	••	
. lwpos	••	
Σίνος	••	•
[Τριπ]oal	••	
	••	
η	• •	
dos	••	
• • • •	• •	

This document is the only extant example of its kind, and it is unfortunate that it is not entire. A comparison with the Quota-lists, Nos. 24, 30, 35, will show that this assessment largely increased the previous payments. The tribute from the Hellespont is nearly tripled; that of the Islands is about doubled. It is well known that Grote refused to believe in the duplication of the tribute, which is asserted by Æschines (F. L. p. 337), Andokides (de Pace, 9), Pseudo-Andokides (contr. Alcib. 11), and less strongly by Plutarch (Aristides, 24). Whether or no it should be ascribed to Alkibiades' influence may be questioned; but the fact of a large increase no longer need be doubted, nor

78

that it was the result of that ambitious policy which misled Athens after Perikles' death (B. C. 429).

Observe the method of making the new assessments. The process closely resembled the method adopted by the Athenians in the revision of their laws, whether at the annual revision described by Demosthenes (adv. Timocr. 706 foll.), or at the extraordinary revision B.C. 403 (see Andok. de Myst. 83). In other words, the assessment of tribute was not managed by a $\psi \eta \phi_{i\sigma\mu a}$ of the people, nor by a committee appointed by it, but was effected with the same solemnity as an alteration of the laws. First, the Example voted that a reassessment should be made. Next, the prytanes were bound, under penalty of fines (c, f) to prepare a $\pi \rho \rho \beta o i \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu a$ and bring the matter before the EKKAngla by a certain time. Thirdly, the EKKAngla had to appoint eight commissioners (rantal, c,) who were to assist the $\beta ov\lambda \dot{\eta}$ in revising the payments of the several cities; and also 500 dikasts to form a special court for hearing and deciding on appeals against the assessment. Just as in the revision of the laws, any private citizen might offer his suggestions to the $\beta_{ov\lambda\dot{\eta}}$ and the τακταί (see Andok. de Myst. 84, έξειναι δε και ιδιώτη τώ βουλομένω είσιόντι είς την βουλήν συμβουλεύειν ότι αν αγαθόν έχη περί των róµwv). This explains the heading at the end of No. 35, πόλεις às oi ίδιωται ενέγραψαν φόρου φέρειν. If a state appealed against the proposed assessment, the case was settled by the court specially provided; see the penultimate heading in No. 35. Where any states refused to pay the sum which was finally assessed, the eloaywyeis (1) were to proceed against them : this board is mentioned by Pollux, viii. 101, elgaywyeis of tas euunvous δίκας είσάγοιτες ήσαν δε προικός, ερανικαί, εμπορικαί.

48.

List of the Quota of Tribute paid to Athena in the year B. C. 425.

The text is given from Kirchhoff, C. I. A. 259: cp. Köhler, p. 76.

This list must be earlier than B.C. 424, when many of the cities here named under the $\Theta p \dot{q} \kappa \iota os$ $\phi \dot{o} \rho os$ were seduced by Brasidas from alliance with Athens. The sums however show

GREEK INSCRIPTIONS. PART III.

that they are calculated upon a larger scale; accordingly they may be the first list drawn up after the assessment of B.C. 425. In the later lists it seems that all the ten Hellenotamise were named; in No. 30 only their foreman is named.

[Επὶ τῆς τριακοσ	ττης άρχης, ή .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	γραμμάτει
Ελληνοταμίαι ής	ταν,	•••••••	· · · ,]
		τιο[s 'Αχαρ]νεύς, [.	-
-			
[μος, Αισχρ	ων Μαραθωνιος	, Φιλωτάδης Παλλ	η[νευς].
['Iwvike	65] ·	Merdaîoı	MHH
	- .	Μαρωνίται	HHH
01		Σκιάθιοι	
т]au		'Αφυταΐοι	HHH
[Θερμαΐο]ι έξ 'Ικάρο	υ	Θάσιοι	XXX
04		Πεπαρήθιοι	HHH
ι έξ [•] Ρόδου		Νεοπολîται Μενδαί	ων 🏳
[Πεδιής] εγ Λίνδου		Σκιωναΐοι	[PP
Καρπά]θιοι		Θύσσιοι	н
101		Σαμοθρậκες	HH
101		Τορωraîoι	XHH
		Σταγφîται	∆רוווו
[Χαλκει]âται		'Ακάτθιοι	ннн
[Πελειά]ται άπό Και	olas	Alreiâtai	ΔГНШ
[Ερυθρα]ιοι		Διῆς ἐξ ὅΑθω	н
[Βουθει]ης 'Ερυθραία	o v	Ολοφύξιοι έξ "Αθω	ΔΔΔΗΗ
[Πτελεόυ]σιοι 'Ερυθρ	alwr	'Αβδηρίται	X
[Ελαιούσι]οι Έρυθρ	alwr	'Αργίλιοι	∆⊓⊦Ⅲ
[Πολιχνα]ιοι 'Ερυθρο	ແພະ	Θραμβαΐοι	ΔΓͰͰͰϹ
[Σιδούσιο]ι 'Ερυθραία	ων	Αἰγάιτιοι	₽⊦⊦⊦⊦
		Saraîoi	
η̂]s		(Column 3 on t	he mandle)
• • •		(0000000 3 00 0	AC MG 000.)
παρά Καῦνον		Έλλησπό	»[T L OC]
[Καρβασυανδής παρά	Ka] vvo[v]		
(Lacuna.)		Καλχ[ηδόνιοι]	
(Column 2 on th	e marble.)	Kiar[ol]	
	, ,	Προ[κοντήσιοι]	
θράκιο		Kvs[umrol]	
IKIOL		'Αρτ[ακηνοί]	ΔΔΔͰͰͰΙΙ

80

•

.

Σιγε[ιη̂s] Τενέ[διοι] Λαμφ[σακηνοί] Βρν[λλειανοί] 'Έλα[ισύσιοι] Λαμ[πωνειη̂s] ['A]β[υδηνοί] Βν[ζάντιοι] Ση[λυμβριανοί]	ΔΓΗΙΙΙ ΗΗΡΔΔΔΓΙΙΙ ΧΔΔΔΔΓ Ρ ΔΓΗΗ ΔΔΗΗΗ ΚΧΗΡΓΗ Γ	•	
	I™HHHH X	(Lacuna.) (Column 4 on the marble. [Νησιωτικός] (Entirely lost.)	

49.

Capture of Pylos; Messenian hopes: B.C. 425.

On the base of the Nike of Paconics, one of the chief prizes that have rewarded the recent excavators : for a face inite see *Augerab. zw Olympia*, Part I; E. Curtius. *Arch. Zeit.* 1876, p. 178; the date has been discussed by J. Schubring, *Arch. Zeit.* 1877, p. 59 foll.

> Μεσσάνιοι καὶ Ναυπάκτιοι ἀνέθεν Διὰ ³Ολυμπίφ δεκάταν ἀπὸ τῶμ πολεμίων. Παιώνιος ἐποίησε Μενδαῖος· καὶ τἀκρωτήρια ποιῶν ἐπὶ τὸν ναὸν ἐνίκα.

Pausanias (v. 26. 1) tells the story of the statue thus :---Μεσσηνίων δε των Δωριέων οι Ναύπακτόν ποτε (B. C. 4.5.5, Thuk. i. 103) παρά 'Αθηναίων λαβόπτες άγαλμα έν Όλυμπία Νίκης έπι τώ κίονι ανέθεσαν. τοῦτό έστιν έργον μέν Μενδαίου Παιωνίου, πεποίηται δέ " άπό άνδρων πολεμίων," στε 'Ακαρνάσι και Οινιάδαις, έμοι δοκείν, έπολέμησαν. Μεσσήνιοι δε αύτοι λέγουσι το ανάθημά σφισιν από τοῦ έργου τοῦ έν τῆ Σφακτηρία νήσφ μετα Ἀθηναίων, και σύκ επιγράψαι το δνομα των πολεμίων σφάς τω άπο Λακεδαιμονίων δείματι, έπει Οινιαδών γε και 'Ακαρνάνων σύδένα έχειν φόβον. There was therefore a twofold tradition about its origin; and it is conceivable that it was dedicated after some of the operations in Akarnania (e.g. Thuk. ii. 102, 103; iii. 7, 94-98, 105, 114). But there is force in the Messenians' argument about the phrasing of the epigram, and, moreover, this splendid monument implies a splendid occasion. The capture of

Pylos sent a thrill through Greece, and to the Messenians it was a moment of exultation. Thuk. iv. 41 speaks of the ravages made upon Spartan territory by the Messenians when established at Pylos; and this is the most probable date of the monument. The artist, in subscribing (as usual) his name, takes the opportunity of recording that he was the successful competitor in designing the ornamentation for the ridge of the temple-roof at Olympia (cp. also Pausan. v. 10. 2). On this same pedestal, nearly three centuries later, the Messenians recorded their peaceful victory over the Lakedæmonians in the arbitration of the Milesians: No. 200 infra.

50.

Inventories of the Treasures in the Parthenon: B.C. 422, 421, 420, 419.

The text from Kirchhoff, C. I. A. 170-173. In four fragments, three of which are at Athens; the first and longest fragment was once said (see Böckh, C. I. G. 139) to exist among the Elgin marbles; but it is not so, and the fragment is now lost.

I. Ol. 89, 3; B.C. 422.

Θεοί έ[πικούριοι].

Τάδε παρέδοσαν αι τέτταρες άρχαι, αι εδίδοσαν τ όν λόγον εκ Παναθηναίων έ]ς Π[αναθήναια· τοῖς τα]|μίασι, οἶς Πρεσβίας Σημ[[ου Φη]γαιεὺς ἐγραμμάτευε, [οἱ δὲ ταμίαι, οἶς Πρεσ]βίας Ση[μίου Φηγαιεύς] | εγραμμάτευε, παρέδοσαν τοῖς ταμίασι, ois Νικέας Εὐ[θυκλέους 'Αλιμούσιος έ]γραμμάτ[ευε, Εὐφήμφ] | Κολλυτεῖ καὶ ξυνάρχουσι

έν τῷ Παρθενώνι

- (1) στέφανος χ[ρυσοῦς, σταθμόν τού]του Δ.
- (2) $\phi(a\lambda a[i \chi \rho v \sigma a\hat{i} \Gamma, \sigma \tau] a \theta \mu \delta v$ roving PHHP $\Delta \Delta \Delta FF$.
- (3) χρυσίον άσημον, σταθμόν τούτου [Η ...
- (4) καρχήσιον χρ[υσ]ούν τόμ πυθ[μένα ύπάρ] γυρον έχον, ίερον τού 'Ηρακλέους τοῦ ἐν Ἐλαιεῖ, σταθμὸν τούτ[ου ΗΔΔΔΓΗ]Η.
- (5) η λω δ[v]ο vπαργv[ρω καταχρ]|vσω, σταθμόν τούτοιν Η....++++.
- (6) πρόσωπου ύπάργυρου κατά [χρυσου, σταθ]μών τούτου ΗΔΓΙ.
- (7) $\phi[id\lambda a_i d_p]|_{yupal} H \Delta \Delta \Gamma III, k \in pas degree view, otabudy to i-$ TTXXXHHHPF[+. 'Αριθμον τάδ]ε

(8) ακινάκαι περ[ίχρυσοι [1]].

- (9) λήτομ περίχρυσον, στάχνες ΔΙ.
- (10) [κ]ανῶ ὑποξύλω καταχρύσω [!].
- (ΙΙ) θυμιατήρι]ον ύπόξυλον κα[τάχρυσον]||.
- (12) κόρη ἐπὶ στήλης κατάχρυσος [Ι].
- (13) κοίτη ὑπόξυλ[os] κατάχρυσοs Ι.
- (14) Γοργ]όνειον, κάμπη επίχ[ρυσα.
- (15) [ππ]|os, γρύψ, γρυπός προτομή, γρύψ, λέουτος κεφαλή, δ[ρμ]os αν[θέμων, δράκ]ων, ἐπίχρυσα ταῦτα.
- (16) κυνή ἐπίχ]|ρυσος.
- (17) ασπίδες επίχρυσο[ι] υπόξυλοι ΔΓ.
- (18) κ[λίναι Χιουρ]γείς [[]||.
- (19) κλίναι] Μιλησιουργείς Δ.
- (20) ξιφ[ομάχαιρα] |ι [] !!!!.
- (21) *ξίφη* Γ.
- (22) θώρακες Δ [[]].
- (23) ασπίδες επίσημοι [[]].
- (24) domide [s $d\pi (\chi a \lambda \kappa o \iota \Delta \Delta) \Delta$]
- (25) θ[ρ]όνοι ΔΙΙ.
- (26) 8100 or 1111.
- (27) oxrad]/las [] [].
- (28) λύρα κατάχρυσο[s] |.
- (29) λύραι έλεφάντιναι IIII.
- (30) λύραι [[]]
- (31) [τράπεζα ηλ]εφαντωμένη.
- (32) κράνη [χαλκâ III.
- (33) κ] |λινών πόδες [επ]άργυρο[ι Δ||].
- (34) π] $(\lambda[\tau]\eta$.
- (35) φιάλαι ἀργυραῖ ΙΙΙΙ, κύλι[κες ΔΙΙΙ ἀργ]υροῖ, ἕππος ἀργ[υροῦς, σταθμό]|» τούτων ΓΡΗΗΗΗ.
- (36) ἀσπίδε ἐπιχρύσω ὑποξύλω [Δ].
- (37) ἀκινάκης ἐπίχρ[υσος, ἇσ]ταθμος.
- (38) φιάλα[ι ἀργυραῖ ΓΙΙΙ, στ] αθμόν τούτων ΓΗΗΗΓΗ.
- (39) ποτήρια Χαλκιδικά άρχυρα ΙΙΙΙ, σταθμόν [τούτων] ΗΔΔΗΗΗ.
- (40) συβή[νη ή παρά Μηθυ]μναίων έλεφαντίνη κατάχρυσος.

- (41) ασπίς έγ Λέσβου έπίσημος χρυ[σή |.
- (42) έγ Λ]έσβου Ίλλυρικ[δυ χαλκούν.
- (43) φιάλ|a] ἀργυρâ ΙΙ, καρχησίω ἀργυρθ [Ι]Ι, σταθμόν τούτων 下口公公
- (44) [Λε]σ[β]ιοι [κότυλοι] ἀργυροί ΙΙΙ, σταθ[μου τούτωνΗΗ]|ΗΡΔΔ.
- (45) στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμόν τούτου ΔΠΗΗ.
- (46) στέφανος χρυσού[ς, σταθ]μόν τούτου ΔΔΠ++[++.
- (47) 'Aθηναίας N]|ίκης στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμών τούτου ΔΔΠΗΗΗ.
- (48) $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu os \chi \rho v \sigma [o \hat{v} s, \sigma \tau a \theta] \mu \partial \nu \tau o \nu \tau o \Delta \Delta + + [+.$
- (49) 'Αθηναίας Ν]ίκης στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμὸν τούτου ΔΔΔΗΗ.
- (50) τετράδραχμον [χρυσούν, σ]ταθμών τούτου Π[++11C.
- (51) ovu ξ tò] ν daktúlion churouv [ξ] χ [ωp , δ] σ ta $\theta \mu o$ [s.

II. Ol. 89, 4; B.C. 421.

Τάδε ο[i] ταμίαι [των ἱερῶν χρη]μάτων τῆς ᾿Αθηναίας, Εὕφημ[os Κολλυτε]ὑ[s] καὶ ξυν[άρχοντες, οἶς Νι|κέ]as [ˁΑλιμούσιος ἐγραμμάτε]υε, π[ap]έδοσαν το[îs] ταμίασι, [ois Ἐπιγέν]ης Λυσ[άνδρου Αἰγιλιεὺς ἐγρ¦αμ]μάτε[υε, Εὐφιλήτω Κηφισιεῖ καὶ ξυν]άρχου[σι, παραδεξάμενοι παρὰ τῶν προτέρων ταμιῶν, ois Π]|ρεσβίας [Σημίου Φηγαιεὺς ἐγραμμάτευε,

> έν τῷ Παρθενώνι[.] (The inventory for this year is lost).

III. Ol. 90, I; B. C. 420.

[Τάδε οἱ ταμίαι τῶν ἱερῶν χρημάτων τῆς ᾿Αθηναίας, Εὐφίλητος Κηφ]ισιε[ὺς καὶ ξυτάρχοντες, οἶς | Ἐπιγένης Λυσάνδρου Αἰγιλιεὺς ἐγραμμάτευε, παρέδοσαν τοῖς ταμ]ίασι, [οἶς Λυσίδικος.....]. Χολαργεὺς ἐγραμμάτευε, Λύκωνι Πρασιεῖ καὶ ξυνάρχουσι, παρ]αδεξάμ[ενοι παρὰ τῶν προτέρων ταμμῶν, οἶς Νικέας ᾿Αλιμούσιος ἐγραμματέυε,

έν τῷ Παρθενώνι:]

L

- στέφανο[s χρυσοῦs, σταθμὸν τούτου PΔ.
- (2) φιάλαι χρυσαί Γ, σταθμών τούτων ΓΗΗΡΔΔΔΗ.
- (3) χρυσίον άσημον, στ]αθμόν τ[ούτου Η...
- (4) καρχήσιου χρυσοῦν | τ]ὸμ πυθμένα ὑπάργ[υρου ἔχου, ἱερὸν τοῦ Ἡρακλέους τοῦ ἐν Ἐλαεῖ, σ]ταθμ[ὸν τούτου ΗΔΔΔΓΗΗ.

- (6) πρόσωπου ύπάργυρου κατάχρυσου, σταθμών τούτ]|ου ΗΔΓΓ.
- (7) φιάλαι ἀργυραῖ ΗΔΔΔ[ΓΙΙΙ, κέρας ἀργυροῦν, σταθμὸν τούτων ΤΤΧΧΧΗΗΗΓΗ.

'Αριθμόν τάδε

- (8) ακινάκα] ι περίχρυσοι ΓΙ.
- (9) λήτομ περίχρυ[σον, στάχυες Δ].
- (10) κανώ ύποξύλω καταχρύσω !!.
- (ΙΙ) θυμιατήριον ύπόξυ] Νου κατάχρυσου Ι.
- (12) κόρη έπι στήλη s κατάχρ υσ os.
- (13) κοίτη ύπόξυλος κατάχρυσος Ι.
- (14) Γοργόνειον, κάμπ]|η επίχρυσα.
- (15) Ιππος, γρύψ, γρυπός προτομή, γρ[ύψ, λέουτος κεφαλή, δρμος ανθέμων, δράκων, επίχρυσα] | ταῦτα.
- (16) κυνή ἐπίχρυσος.
- (17) ασπίδες επίχρυσοι [ύπόξυλοι Δ].
- (18) κλίναι Χιουργείς [⁻]]].
- (19) κλίναι Μιλησιουργείς] | Δ.
- (20) ξιφομάχαιραι Γ!!!!.
- (21) ξίφη Γ.
- (22) θώρακες ΔΓ1.
- (23) doπ[[des επίσημοι [].
- (24) dotaldes $d\pi (\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa o \iota \Delta \Delta \Delta)$.
- (25) θρόνοι Δ||] |.
- (26) δίφροι IIII.
- (27) ordadlar [111].
- (28) λύρα κατάχρυσος Ι.
- (29) λύραι [ελεφάντιναι ||||.
- (30) λύραι []]].
- (31) τράπεζα ήλεφαντωμένη.] |.
- (32) κράνη χαλκâ !!!.
- (33) κλινών πόδες επάργυροι Δ!!!.
- (34) **±**έλτη.

- (35) φι[άλαι ἀργυραΐ ΙΙΙΙ, κύλικες ἀργυροΐ ΔΙΙΙ, ἕππος ἀ]|ργυροῦς, σταθμόν τούτων ΓΗΗΗΗ.
- (36) ασπίδε επιχρύσω ύπ[οξύλω ΙΙ.
- (37) ακινάκης επίχρυσος, ασταθμος.
- (38) φιάλα ι] ἀργυραί ΓΙΙΙ, σταθμών τούτων ΠΗΗΗΓΗ.
- (39) ποτήρια Χαλ κιδικά άρχυρα ΙΙΙΙ, σταθμών τούτων ΗΔΔΗΗΗ.
- (40) συβ] ήνη ή παρά Μηθυμναίων ελεφαντίνη κατάχρυσος.
- (41) ασπ[ίς ἐκ Λέσβου ἐπίσημος χρυσή Ι.
- (42) ἐκ Λέσβου Ἰλλυρι] κόν χαλκούν.
- (43) φιάλα άργυρά ΙΙ, καρχησίω άργυρώ ΙΙ, σταθμό[ν τούτων ΠΡΔΔΔ.
- (44) Λέσβιοι κότυλοι άργυροί ΙΙΙ, στ]αθμόν τούτων ΗΗΗΡΔΔ.
- (45) στέφανος χρυσοΰς, σταθμόν τούτου [ΔΓΗΗΗ.
- (46) στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμόν τούτου ΔΔΓ]|++++.
- (47) 'Αθηναίας Νίκης στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμοντούτου [$\Delta\Delta$ P++++.
- (48) στέφανος χρυσοώς, σταθμών τούτου ΔΔ] ΔΗΗ.
- (49) 'Αθηναίας Νίκης στέφανος χρυσοῦς,σταθμόν τούτου [ΔΔΔΗΗ.
- (50) τετράδραχμον χρυσούν, σταθμόν τούτ]ου ΠΗΗΟ.
- (51) όνυξ τον δακτύλιον χρυσούν έχων, άσταθμος.

IV. Ol. 90, 2; B.C. 419.

Τάδε οἱ ταμίαι τῶν ἰερῶν χρημάτων τῆς 'Αθηναία[s], Λύκων Πρασιεὺς καὶ ξυνάρχοντες, [ois Λυσίδικος Χολαργεὺς ἐγραμμάτευε, παρέδοσαν τοῖς ταμίασι, ois Φορ]μίων Κυδαθηναιεὺς ἐγραμμάτευε, Χαρίνψ 'Αλεξιμάχου [Π]ήληκι (καὶ ξυνάρχουσι omitted), παραδεξάμενοι παρὰ [τῶν προτέρων ταμιῶν, ois Ἐπιγένης Αἰγιλιεὺς ἐγραμμάτευε,

έν τῷ Παρθε]νώνι

- στέφανος χρυσοΰς, σταθμόν τούτου PΔ.
- (2) φιάλαι χρυσαί Γ, σταθμόν τούτων ΠΗΗΡΔΔΔΗ.
- (3) χρ υσίον άσημον, σταθμόν τούτου Η...
- (4) καρχήσιου χρυσούν του πυθμένα υπάργυρο] ν έχου, ίερον τού 'Ηρακλέους τού έν 'Ελαεί, σταθμόν τούτου ΗΔΔΔΠΗΗ.
- (5) ήλω δύο ύπαργύρω κατ αχρύσω, σταθμών τούτοιν Η....++++.
- (6) πρόσωπου ύπάργυρου κατάχρυσου, στ]|αθμόυ τούτου ΗΔΠΗ.

(7) φιάλαι ἀργυραΐ ΗΔΔΔΓΙΙΙ, κέρας ἀργυροῦν, σταθμὸν τούτων ΤΤΧΧΧΗΗΗΠ[ΗΗ.

'Αριθμόν τάδε

- (8) ακινάκαι περίχρυσοι ΓΙ.
- (9) λήτον περίχρυσον, στάχυες ΔΙ.
- (10) καν] ω ύποξύλω καταχρύσω ||.
- (ΙΙ) θυμιατήριον υπόξυλον κατάχρυσον Ι.
- (12) κόρη έπι στήλης κα[τάχρυσος.
- (13) κοίτη ύπόξυλος κατάχρυσος Ι.
- (14) Γοργόνειον, κάμπη επίχρυσα.
- (15) [] ππος, γρύψ, γρυπός προτομή, γρύψ, λέουτος κεφαλή, δρμος ανθέμων, δράκων, ἐπίχρυσ[α ταῦτα.
- (16) κυνή επίχρυσος.
- (17) ασπίδες επίχρυσοι υπόξυλοι Δ.
- (18) κλίναι Χιουργείς ["|||] |.
- (19) κλίναι Μιλησιουργείς Δ.
- (20) Eicopaáxaipai [7]
- (21) ξίφη Γ.
- (22) $\theta \omega \rho a \kappa \epsilon s \Delta \Gamma I.$
- (23) ἀσπίδες ἐπίσημοι [[].
- (24) dorides $i\pi(\chi a \lambda x o \iota \Delta \Delta \Delta)$.
- (25) θρόνοι ΔII.
- (26) dippor IIII.
- (27) oxradías [?!!!!.
- (28) λύρα κατάχρυσος Ι.
- (29) λ]|ύραι έλεφάπτιναι !!!!.
- (30) λύραι [[]]
- (31) τράπεζα ήλεφαντωμένη.
- (32) κράνη χαλκâ III.
- (33) κλινών πόδες ε[πάργυροι ΔΙΙΙ.
- (34) **πέλτη**.
- (35) φιάλαι άργυραι ΙΙΙΙ, κύλικες άργυροι ΔΙΙΙ, ίππος άργυρους, στα]θμών τούτων ΓΗΗΗΗ.
- (36) ασπίδε επιχρύσω ύποξύλω !!.

(37) ακινάκης επίχρυσος ασταθμο[s.

- (38) φιάλαι άρχυραί ΓΙΙΙ, σταθμών τούτων ΓΗΗΗΓΗ.
- (39) ποτήρια Χαλκιδικά άρχυρα ΙΙΙΙ, σταθμ]ίον τούτων ΗΔΔ++++.
- (40) συβήνη ή παρά Μηθυμναίων έλεφαντίνη κατάχρυσος.
- (41) ασπίς [έκ Λέσβου επίσημος χρυσή].
- (42) ἐκ Λέσβου Ἰλλυρικόν χαλκοῦν.
- (43) φιάλα ἀργυρâ ΙΙ, καρχησίω ἀρ] γυρθ ΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΠΡΔΔΔ.
- (44) Λέσβιοι κότυλοι ἀργυροί ΙΙΙ, σταθμών τούτων ΗΗΗΡ[ΔΔ.
- (45) στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμών τούτου ΔΓ+++!!!.
- (46) στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμών τούτου ΔΔΓ++]++.
- (47) 'A θηναίας Níkys στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμον τούτου $\Delta\Delta\Gamma$ ++++.
- (48) στέφανος χρυσοῦς, [σταθμὸν τούτου ΔΔΔΗΗ.
- (49) 'Αθηναίας Νίκης στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμών τούτου ΔΔΔΗΗ.
- (50) τε]|τράδραχμου χρυσοῦν, σταθμόν τούτου ΠΗΙΙΟ.
- (51) όνυξ τον δακτύλιον χρυσούν έχων, ά[σταθμος].

In 438 B.C. the Parthenon was dedicated to serve as the central point of the national festival, and as the bank of the The ground-plan of the building comprised four main state. divisions. At the East you entered the Pronaos (mportes), in which was stored a large collection of sacred objects, chiefly of silver. From the Pronsos a massive door opened into the Hekatompedos (or cella), so called from its length : here were kept a number of chaplets ($\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a r o \iota$) and other objects, chiefly golden. A portion of this Hekatompedos was railed off, and formed a separate compartment, termed the Parlkenon proper; so named probably because it was here that the virgin goddess kept a quantity of articles employed at festival-time, e.g. over 150 piákau doyupaî, chairs, weapons, musical instruments, etc. Lastly, balancing the Pronaos, there was the Opisthodomos at the West or rear of the temple, where the money treasure of the state was kept, and other more sacred funds (see No. 37). Herodotus (viii. 51) speaks of treasures being kept on the Akropolis by the raulas row loow. And for some time before the Parthenon was dedicated in 438 B.C. there had been a board of ten raulau - των lepwn χρημάτων της 'Adyralas elected yearly by lot (one from

each tribe) from among the pentakosiomedimni. The Panathenza were celebrated yearly on a small scale; but it was every fourth year (the third of each Olympiad) that the grand festival took place. Accordingly, the accounts of these Treasurers, although audited yearly, were inscribed for a $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \rho ls$ at a time, $\epsilon \kappa \Pi a \nu a \theta \eta \nu a l \omega \nu$ ès Navabhvaia. The blocks containing these inventories have been found at various times on the Akropolis, and they present an almost complete series from B.C. 434 down to the fall of Athens in 404 B.C. These accounts refer only to the treasures in kind in the Pronaos. Hekatompedos, and Parthenon, giving separate inventories of each collection year by year (see Kirchhoff, C. I. A. i. 117 foll.). I have given specimens of each kind in Nos. 50, 51, and 54, of which the two last are in the British It is comparatively easy to restore the lacuna in Museum. these inventories, since the same objects recur in each. Thukydides reveals his familiarity with the system by which the Athenian treasures were kept, in the words he puts into the mouth of Perikles (ii. 13). In that review of the resources of Athens, after the tribute and the coined money in the Opisthodomos, we hear of the avabhuara in the Pronaos, Hekatompedos, and Parthenon: youpis de xovolov domuou kal dopuplou en re άναθήμασιν ίδίοις και δημοσίοις και δσα ίερα σκεύη περί τε τας τομπάς και τους άγωσας και σκύλα Μηδικά και εί τι τοιουτότροπου, ούκ ελάσσονος η πεντακοσίων ταλάντων. Next he alludes to the treasures of the 'other gods' (see No. 37): Eri de kai tà ek tŵy άλλων ίερων προσετίθει χρήματα ούκ όλίγα, ols χρήσεσθαι αὐτούς. Lastly, he mentions the gold plates which formed part of the chryselephantine statue itself : καl ην πάνυ εξείργωνται πάντων, και αυτής της θεού τοις περικειμένοις χρυσίοις κ.τ.λ. The statue is not included in the regular lists of the treasurers : Köhler (Mittheil. d. deutsch. Inst. in Athen, 1879, p. 89) proves that a separate inventory of the statue, at least from 385 B.C., was kept in the temple, and the treasurers contented themselves with certifying every year that the statue and its belongings were all safe karà the othane. The inventories, after the fall of Athens 404 B.C., reveal the losses which the treasuries had sustained; and it was reserved for the orator Lykurgos to endeavour to rearrange and make the best of the treasures still remaining.

51.

Inventories of the Treasures in the Hekatompedos: B.C. 422, 421, 420, 419.

Slab in the British Museum : the text from my own copy, Greek Inser. in the B. M. i. No. 27; Kirchhoff, C. I. A. i. 153-156.

[Θ]ε[ol].

Ι. Οl. 89. 3; Β. C. 422. Τάδε παρέδοσ[αν al τέτταρες άρχα][. al έδ]ίδοσ[αν τόν λόγον ἐκ Παναθηναίων ἐς Παν]αθήνα[ια τοῖ]ς τα]μίασι [οις Πρεσβίας Σημίου Φηγαι]ε[νς] ἐγραμ[μάτευε, οἱ δὲ ταμίαι οις Πρεσβί]α[ς Ση]μίου Φ[ηγα|ιεν]ς ἐγραμ[μάτευε παρέδοσαν τοις ταμίασι] ο[ις Νικέας Εὐθυκλέους 'Αλιμούσιος ἐ]γ[ραμ]μάτε[υε, Ε]ὐφήμ]ψ Κολλ[υτεῖ καὶ ξυνάρχουσι,

έν τῷ νέ]ψ [τῷ 'Εκατομπέδψ'

(Ι) φιάλαι χρυσαί ΙΙΙ, σταθμό]ν το[ύτ|ων ΧΧ]ΠΔΔΔΔ[++++.

(2) κόρη χρυσή έπι στήλης, άστ]αθ[μος.

(3) [άποβραντήριον άργυροῦν, άσταθμον].

(4) στε]φάνω [χρ|υσθ ||], σ[τ]αθμ[δν τούτοιν ΡΔΔΔ.

(5) [στέφανος χρυσούς δυ ή Νίκη έχει, σταθμόν τούτου [ΔΔ].

(6) φιάλαι] ἀργυρ[αῖ | ΓΙΙΙ], σ[τ]αθμ[δυ τούτων ["ΗΗΗ.

(7) [καρχήσιου άργυ]ροῦ[υ, σταθμών τούτου ΗΗ].

(8) καρχήσιον άργυροῦν Διὸς Πολ]ι[ω]ς], σ[τα]θμὸ[ν τούτου ΗΗ].

(9) [στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμό]ν [τούτου . . . +++!!].

(10) [στεφάνη χρυσή, σταθμών ταύτης ΡΔΗΗ].

(11) στέ]φανο[ι χρυσοί ΙΙΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΗΔΔΔ]Π[ΙΙ. [Επέτεια ἐπεγένετο ἐπὶ τῶν ταμιῶν, οἶς Πρε]σβία![ς Ση]μ[ίου Φ]ηγα[ιεὺς ἐγραμμάτευε

(12) [στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμών τούτου ΔΠΗΗ!!].

(13) [XPUTIDE II, $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu$] dy [τ |outo] in [H]HP[$\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta$ +++III.

(14) [χρυσίς, σταθμόν ταύτης ΗΔΔΔΓΗΗ.]

II. Ol. 89. 4; B.C. 421 : Τάδε ο[ί] τα[μ]ί[αι τῶν ἱερῶν χρημά-
των της 'Αθηναίας Εύφημος Κολλυτεύς και ξυνάρχοντες, οις Νι]-
των της Ασημαίας Ευφήμος Κοιοιτος και ζουαρχουτες, οις [11]- κέας Εύθυ κλέους Αλιμούσιος έγραμμάτευε, παρέδοσαν τοις ταμίασι
ois Ἐπιγένης Λυσάνδρου Αίγιλ]ιεύς [ἐγραμμάτευε Εὐφιλήτω Κη-
φισιεί και ξυνάρχουσι, παραδεξάμενοι παρά των προτέρ ων ταμιών,
[έν τῷ νέφ τῷ Έκατομπέδψ.]
(Ι) [φιάλαι χρυσαΐ ΙΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΧΧΠΔΔΔΔΗΗΗ].
(2) κόρη χ ρ]υσή ἐπὶ στήλ[ης, ἄσταθμος.
(3) [ἀποφραντήριον ἀργυροῦν, ἄσταθμον].
(4) στεφάνω χρυσώ ΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτοιν[P]ΔΔΔ.
(5) στέφανος [χρυσοῦς δν ή Νίκη έχει, σταθμόν τούτου ΡΔΔ.
(6) φιάλαι ἀργυραΐ ΓΙΙΙ, σταθμὸν τούτων Π Η]ΗΗ.
(7) καρχήσιον [άργυροῦν, σταθμόν τούτου ΗΗ.
(8) [καρχήσιον άργυροῦν Διός Πολιώς, σταθμόν τούτου ΗΗ].
(9) στέφα]νος χρυσοῦς, [στα]θ[μὸν τούτου +++].
(10) σ[τ]εφά[νη χρυσῆ, σταθμὸν ταύτης ΡΔ+++.
(ΙΙ) στέφανοι χρυσοῖ ΙΙΙΙ, $[\sigma]$ τ $[aθ]$ μόν τούτω $[ν H \Delta \Delta \Box \Box$ Ι.
(12) στέφανος χρυ]σοῦ[s], στ[αθμόν τούτου ΔΠΗΗΗ.
(13) χρυσίδε δύο, σταθμόν τούτοι ν] ΗΗ[Ρ]ΔΔΔΔΗΗΗ[ΙΙ.
(14) χρυσίε Ι, σταθμό]ν [ταύτ]ης ΗΔΔ[ΔΓΗΗΗ.
Ἐπέτεια ἐπεγένετο ἐπὶ τῶν ταμιῶν ols Nik [éa]s Εὐθυκλέουs
['Αλιμούσιο]s έγραμμ[ά]τενε
(15) χρ[υ]σ[ίς, σταθμόν ταύτης ΗΔΓΗΗΗ.
III. Ol. 90. 1; B.C. 420: [T]άδε οι ταμία[ι τ]ῶ[ν ι]ερών
χρημ[άτω]ν της 'Αθη[valas Εὐφίλητος Κηφισιεύς και ξενάρχοντες,
ο[[] s' Ε[π]ιγένης Αυ[σά]νδρου Αιγ[ι]λι[ενς] εγ[ραμμά]τε[νε, παρέ-
δοσαν τοις ταμίασι οις Λυσίδικος . ου Γ[αρ]γητ. έγραμ-
[μ]άτευε Λύκων[ι του δείνος] Πρ[α. καλ ξυνάρχουσι, παραδεξάμενοι
ταρά των προτέρ ων [τ]αμιών οί[s N]ικέας Αλιμού[σιος εν]ραμμά-
[TEVE,

[έν τῷ νέφ τῷ Ἐκατομπέδφ·]

- (Ι) φιάλαι χρυσαΐ] | τρεί[s], σταθμόν τούτων ΧΧΙ ΔΔΔ [+++.
- (2) κόρ]η χρυ[ση έπι στήλης, άσταθμος.
- (3) απορραντήριον αργυρούν, ασ] ταθμ[ο]ν.

(4) στεφάνω χρυσῶ ΙΙ, στα[θμ]δ[ν τούτ]ο[ι]ν ₽Δ[ΔΔ.

- (5) στέφανος χρυσοῦς δυ ή Νίκη έχει, σταθμόν τούτου []]ΔΔ.
- (6) φ[ιά]λαι ἀργυρ[αῖ] δ[κ]τώ, [στ]αθ[μ]δ[ν τούτ]ων ΠH[HH.
- (7) [καρχήσιον ά|ργυροῦν, σταθμών τούτου ΗΗ].
- (8) καρχήσιον $dρ\gamma$]υρ[οῦ]ν Διὸς [Πολι]ŵς, [σ]ταθ[μ] δ [ν] το[ύ]τ[ου] H[H.
- (9) στέφα[νος χρυσοῦς, σταθμόν τούτου . . . +++||.
- (10) στεφάνη χρυση, σ|τα]θμ[δ]ν ταύτης Ε[Γ+++.
- (II) στέφανοι χρυσοί] IIII, σ[ταθμόν τούτων ΗΔΔΔΓΙΙ.
- (12) στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμόν τού του] ΔΓ[+]++!!!.
- (13) χρυσ [ίδε δύο, σταθμόν τούτ]οιν Η[ΗΡΔΔΔΔ+++!!!.
- (14) [$\chi\rho\nu\sigma$ is I, $\sigma\tau a\theta\mu \partial\nu \tau a\nu \tau ns$ HDDP+++II].
- (15) $\chi \rho \sigma \sigma]$ (s, $\sigma [\tau] a \theta \mu \delta \nu \tau a \nu \tau [\eta] s [H \Delta \Box + + + ,]$

IV. Ol. 90. 2; B.C. 419: [Τά]δε οἰ ταμίαι τῶ[ν ἰερ]ῶ[ν χρημάτων τῆς ᾿Αθηναίας Λύκων Πρασιεὺς καὶ ξυνάρχοντε|ς.] οἶ[ς] Λυσίδικο[s] Γ[αργήτ]τ[ιος ἐγραμμάτευε, παρέδοσαν τοῖς ταμίασι οἶς Φορμίων Κυ|δ]αθηναιεὺς ἐγρ[α]μμ[άτευ]ε, [Χαρίνψ ᾿Αλεξιμάχου Πήληκι καὶ ξυνάρχουσι, παραδεξάμ]|ενοι παρὰ τῶν πρ[οτ]έ[ρων] τα[μι]ῶ[ν οἶς Ἐπιγένης Αἰγιλιεὺς ἐγραμμάτευε,

έν τῷ νέψ τ] φ 'Εκατονπέδψ'

- (I) [φ]ιά[λαι] χρ[υσαῖ τρεῖς, σταθμόν roύτων XXII ΔΔΔΔ++++.
- (2) κόρη χρυσή έπι στ] ήλης, δσταθμος.
- (3) à[=]ορ[ρa]ντήρ[ιου άργυροῦυ, άσταθμου.
- (4) στεφάνω χρυσώ ΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτοιν 🖻 🔼
- (5) στέφανος χρ[υ]σοῦ[ς δ]ν [ή Νίκη ἔχει, σταθμὸν τούτου ℙΔΔ.
- (6) φιάλαι ἀργυραΐ ΠΙΙ, σταθμών τού] των ΠΗΗΗ.
- (7) καρχήσ[ι]ον [άργ]υροῦ[ν, σταθμόν τούτου ΗΗ.
- (8) καρχήσιον ἀργυροῦν Διός Πολιώς, σταθμ] όν τούτου ΗΗ.
- (9) στέφ[α]νο[ς χρ]υσού[ς, σταθμόν τούτου ... +++!!.
- (10) στεφάνη χρυσή, σταθμόν ταύτης 🗛 🗠
- (1 Ι) στέφανοι χρ[υσ]ο[î ΙΙΙΙ, σ]ταθμών [τούτων ΗΔΔΔΓΙΙ.
- (12) στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμών τούτου ΔΠΗΗΗ].
- (13) $\chi \rho \nu \sigma$](de dúo, $\sigma \tau a[\theta \mu] d[\nu] \tau [o] \dot{\nu} \tau o \nu H[HP \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta + H]$
- (14) [χρησίε Ι, σταθμών ταύτης ΗΔΔΔΠΗΗΗ].

- (15) [χρυσίς, στ|αθμόν] ταύτης ΗΔ[Γ+]+++.
 'Eπ]έτε[ι]α ἐ[πεγένετο'
- (16) στέφανος χρυσοῦς, σταθμόν τούτου ΔΔΠΗΙΙ].
- (17) deputes, oral $\mu \partial \nu$ rai $[\tau \eta]_s$ $[HP \Delta \Delta] \Delta \Delta [HH.$
- (18) [θυμιατήριον άργυροῦν, σταθμόν τούτου ×].

See notes on No. 50. 'Enéreia are additions during the year.

52.

Alliance between Athens, Argos, Mantines, and Elis: B.C. 420.

A fragment recently discovered near the Dionysiac theatre. C. I. A. Supplement to vol i. No. 46 b: cp. Kirchhoff in Hermes, xii. 368 (cp. 472).

E		0	N
	E A L L A A E N		
ΣΚΑΙ		- I N	
N M A N T I	X Ο Σ Τ Ν Ε Α Σ		
ΛТΟ	• •	A T	ΑΤΟ
ΑΤΑ	MANTLYEN	ΙΔΕ	MEE
	ΝΑΡΛ	NE I	ONE
	ΑΝΔΥ ΕΝΓ(ΥΤΟ) L I	
	'EAN		НАП
20	НАГ	ΑΣ	
		ΛΕ	LAE
		A I E T	ΛΙΝ ΕΙΣ
25	I	ST. OS.	

Part of the original monument copied by Thukyd. v. 47. I have therefore given it in uncials only. In line I we recognise the end of the heading, probably [' $A\rho\gamma\epsilon(\omega\nu, Ma\nu\tau\iota\nu\epsilon\omega\nu, 'H\lambda)\epsilon[\ell]\omega\nu$. There are several slight discrepancies from Thukydides : in line 2 he omits $\pi\rho\delta$ s $d\lambda\lambda\eta\lambda\sigma\nu$ s : in line 7 Thuk. inverts the order, giving 'H $\lambda\epsilon$ (ovs kal Martureas, ' $A\theta\eta\nu\alpha$ (e, and similarly lines 8, 13. In line 24 the stone read [$\dot{\eta} \ \mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\pi\epsilon\mu\psi\alpha\mu\epsilon$] $\nu\eta \ \tau\hat{\eta} \ \sigma$ [$\tau\rho\alpha\tau$ ($q \ \chi\rho\eta\sigma\theta\omega$ $\dot{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\mu\sigma\epsilon\dot{\nu}\sigma\sigma\sigma$]. This fragment does not afford sure ground for estimating the value of our present text of Thukydides. The copyists may well have been more conscientious in transcribing the text of the historian, than in the text of documents merely cited by him, or he may have copied the marble inaccurately himself.

53.

Payments from the Treasures of Athena for public purposes: B.C. 418-415.

The text is mainly from Kirchhoff, C. I. A. vol. i. 180-183; cp. Suppl. to vol. i. p. 32; Rangabé, Ant. Hell. 119-122; Böckh, Staatsh. ii. 26 foll.; Greek Inser. in the B. M. No. xxiii.

FIRST YEAR: B.C. 418-7.

First payment. [Αθηναίοι ἀνήλωσαν ἐπὶ ᾿Αντιφῶντος ἄρχοντος καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς βουλῆς, §πρῶτος ἐγραμμάτευε. τ]a[μ]lau | [ἰερῶν χρημάτων τῆς ᾿Αθηναίας, Πυθόδωρος ʿΑλαιεὺς καὶ συνάρχοντες, οἶς Φορμίων ᾿Αριστίωνος Κυ]δαθηναιε|[ὺς ἐγραμμάτευε, παρέδοσαν ἘΑληνοταμίαις, Ἐργοκλεῖ ᾿Αριστείδου Βησαιεῖ καὶ ξυ]νάρχουσι, καὶ παρέδροις, | [Ἱεροκλεῖ ᾿Αριστείδου Βησαιεῖ καὶ ξυ]νάρχουσι, καὶ παρέδροις, | [Ἱεροκλεῖ ᾿Αριστείδου Βησαιεῖ καὶ ξυ]νάρχουσι, καὶ παρέδροις, | [Ἱεροκλεῖ ᾿Αριστείδου Βησαιεῖ καὶ ξυ]νάρχουσι, ἐπὶ τῆς .. ίδος .. ς πρυτα]νευσύσης καὶ ἡμέρα δευτ [[έρα καὶ εἰκοστῆ τῆς πρυτανείας ... οὖτοι δὲ ἐδοσαν τοῖς ἐπὶ τὰς ὅπλιταγωγ]οὺς τοῖς μετὰ Δημοσθένους. Ἐ[[.... ἀποδοῦνα]ι τοὺς ἘΑληνοταμίας καὶ [τ¦οὺς παρέδρους τοῖς ταμίαις τῆς] θεοῦ, Πυθ[οδώρφ ʿΑλαιεῖ καὶ ξυτάρχουσι, καὶ τοὺς ταμ]ίας τῆς θεοῦ πάλιν παραδοῦ[r|aι τοῖς ἘΑληνοταμίαις κ]aὶ τοῖς παρέδ[ροις. οὖτοι δὲ ἔδοσαν στρατηγοῖς ἐπὶ Θ]ράκης, Εὐθυδήμφ Εὐδήμου. |

Demosthenes was commissioned to remove the Athenian garrison from Epidauros this year (Thuk. v. 80, cp. 75. 77), owing to the altered condition of things after the battle of Mantinea. He seems to have been delayed in starting: the grant was recalled, and then paid out again to Euthydemos and the forces in

94

Thrace. For Euthydemos cp. Thuk. v. 19, 24; vii. 16, 69. The general sense of this clause is clear: see next payment. The $\pi d\rho \epsilon d\rho \rho \alpha$ are 'assistants,' 'assessors.'

Second payment. [Ἐπὶ τῆς ... ίδος πρυτα]νείας δευτέρας [πρυτανευούσης Ἐλληνοταμίαις, Ἐρ]γοκλεῖ ᾿Αριστείδου Βησαιεῖ | [.... Alχ]σωνεῖ καὶ συνάρχου[σι, καὶ παρέδροις, Ἱεροκλεῖ ᾿Αρχε]στράτου ᾿Αθμονεῖ καὶ συν[ά]ρ[χ|ουσι, τριακοστῆ ἡμέρα] τῆς πρυτανείας π[αρέδομεν....χρυσί]ου Κυζικηνοῦ στατῆρ[α]ς ××××... |... ἀργύριον τούτων ΙΙ. τοῦτο τὸ χρυσίον παρέδομ[ε|ν τοῖς ἐπὶ τὰς ὅπλιταγ]ωγοὺς τοῖς μετὰ Δημ[οσθένους, ψηφισαμένου τοῦ δήμου τὴν] ἄδειαν.

The sum granted was set down first in staters, and then in Attic money. There is little doubt about $[\delta\pi\lambda\iota\tau\alpha\gamma]\omega\gamma\sigma\sigma$, 'transports.' The &deca required by No. 37 B was first voted, and then the Treasurers of Athena paid out the money, without promise of interest or of repayment.

Third payment. [Επὶ τῆς ... ίδος ..]ης πρυτανευούσης δ[γδόη καὶ.. τῃ ἡμέρα τῆς πρυτ]ανείας στρατηγοῖς παρέδομ|[εν ...]δῃ, Αὐτοκλεῖ ᾿Αναφλ[υστίφ...

For Autokles see Thuk. iv. 53, 119.

Fourth payment. [Επλ τῆς ... ίδος ..]της πρυτανευούσης τ[..τη καl..τη ἡμέρα τῆς π]ρυτανείας παρέδομεν τοῦ ἐξ | [...] πελθόντος Ἐλληνοτ[αμίαις, Ἐργοκλεῖ ᾿Αριστείδου Βησ]αιεῖ καὶ ξυνάρχουσι, καὶ παρ[[έδροις Ξ]ενο[φάνει?, ʿ1]εροκλεῖ ᾿Αρχεστράτου ᾿Αθμονεῖ [... οὐτοι δὲ ἐδοσαν σ]τρατηγοῖς, Νικία Νικηράτ][ου Κυδαντ]ίδη, Λ[υσιστρά]το Ἐ[μ]πέδου Ὁῆθεν κ...

Nikias led a force against Amphipolis this year: Thuk. v. 83.

SECOND YEAR: B.C. 417-6.

First payment. 'Αθηναίοι ἀνήλωσαν ἐ[πὶ Εὐφήμου ἄρχον]τος καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς βουλῆς ῇ [..... πρ]ῶτος ἐγραμμάτευε. ταμίαι ἰεἰρῶν χρημάτων τῆς 'Α[θηναίας, 'Αναξικράτης Λαμπτρεὺς καὶ ξυνάρχαντες, ois] Εύξενος Εὐφάνους Προσπάλτ|ιος ἐγραμμάτευε, π[αρέδοσαν ... στρατηγῷ ἐς] τὰ ἐπὶ Θράκης, [X]a[ι]ρ[ήμ]ονι X|apiκλέους Παιανιε[î, ἐπὶ τῆς . . . ίδος . . ς πρυτανευούσης, ἡμέρα δευτέ]ρα καὶ εἰκοστῆ τῆς πρυτα|νείας, ψηφισαμέν[ου τοῦ δήμου τὴν άδειαν . . .].

Thukydides does not tell us anything about this.

Second payment. Ἐπὶ τῆς Αlaντίδο[s..ς πρυτανευούσης παρέδομεν στρατηγοῖς ἐς Μῆλον, Τεισί]ą Τεισιμάχου Κεφαλῆθεν, | Κλεομήδει Λυκο[μήδους Φλυεῖ, ἡμέρą...τῆς πρυτανείας, ψηφισαμέν]ου τοῦ δήμου τὴν ἄδειαν Α.

Tisias and Kleomedes commanded the famous expedition against Melos, Thuk. v. 84.

Third payment. Ἐπὶ τῆς Ἀντιοχί[δος . . . ς πρυτανευούσης ἘΕλληνοταμίαις παρέδομεν, . .]φ Α[ὖρ]ίδῃ, Τιμάρχφ Παλ|ληνεῖ καὶ στρα[τηγοῖς ἐς Μῆλον, Τεισία Τεισιμάχου Κεφαλῆθεν, Κλεομήδει Λυκομήδ]ους Φλυεῖ, τρίτῃ καὶ δεκ[[άτῃ ἡμέρα τῆς πρυτανείας . .].

Total payments for this year. [Kepálatov dvalúµaros ro \hat{v} \hat{e}] $\pi \hat{i}$ r $\hat{\eta}$ s | [d ρ x $\hat{\eta}$ s . . .].

THIRD YEAR: B.C. 416-5.

First payment. [Αθηναίοι ἀνήλωσαν ἐπὶ ᾿Αριμνήστου ἄρχοντος καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς βουλῆς ῇ πρῶτος ἐ[γραμμάτευε. ταμία[ι | ἰερῶν χρημάτων τῆς ᾿Αθηναίας, Δεξίθεος Φυλάσιος καὶ ξυνάρχοντες, οἶς Λυσικλῆς Δ]ρακοντίδου Βατῆ[θεν] ἐγραμμάτευε,

Раутенt * | Nıklą Nı]кηράτου Κυδαυτίδη και παρέδρο .. | ...

Payment * * [Επὶ τῆς ... ίδος ...ς πρυτανευούσης ... ἡμέρα τῆς πρυτανείας στρ]ατηγοῖς ἐς Σικε[λίαν, 'A]λκιβιάδῃ, Λαμάχψ [..... 'Αντι]μάχψ 'Ερμείψ 4..

Payment * * * [Επὶ τῆς . . ίδος . . ς πρυτανευούσης . . . ἡμέρα τῆς πρυτανείας] στρατηγοῖς ἐς Σικελ[ίαν, ᾿Α]λκιβιάδῃ, Λαμάχφ | ᾿Αντιμάχφ Ἐρμείφ <code> ΑΤΤΤΤΧ . . . Η.</code>

Payment * * * * [Επὶ τῆς . . ίδος . . ς πρυτανευούσης . . . τῆς πρυτανείας ἡμέρ]α στρατηγοῖς ἐς Σικελίαν, ᾿Αλκιβιάδῃ, Λαμάχφ |.....ἀντιμάχφ Ἐρμείφ χ[ρ]υσίου Κυ[ζ]ικη[νοῦ] στατῆρ[a¦ς ... + + + HIIC.

These payments were for the Sicilian expedition (Thuk. vi.): unhappily they are much broken. I do not know that Antimachos is elsewhere mentioned.

96

Total payments for this year. [Kepálaiov dvalúµa] ros roû $\ell \pi l$ r $\hat{\eta}s$ | [d $\rho\chi\hat{\eta}s$...] $\Delta \Box$ | 1111.

FOURTH YEAR: B.C. 415-4.

First payment. ['Αθηναΐοι ἀνήλωσαν ἐπὶ Χαβρίου ἄρχουτος καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς βουλῆς ἦ . . .]ίδης πρῶτος ἐγραμμάτευε. ταμίαι ἱερῶν χρημάτων | [τῆς 'Αθηναίας Λεωχάρης καὶ ξυνάρχουτες, ols Teλέa]s Teλενίκου Περγασῆθεν ἐγραμμάτευε, παρέδοσαν στρ[a]τηγοῖς Τηλεφόνω [καὶ] παρέδρω Φερεκλείδῃ Πειραιεῖ, ψηφισαμένου τοῦ δήμ[[ου] τὴν ἄδειαν, ἐπὶ τῆς Αἰαντίδος τρί[της πρυτανευούσης . . . ῃ ἡμ]έρα τῆς πρυτανείας ΑΤΧΧΧΙ[™][H]Η[™]ΔΔΔ[™]HHIIIC τε καὶ χρυσίου | Κυζικηνοῦ ΗΗΔΔΔΔ[™]ΣΣΣ. τιμὴ τούτων γίγν[εται . . .

The portion containing most of the fourth year is in the British Museum. The payment was made partly in Kyzikene staters and partly in Attic money (the seven sigmas are interlined as an omission): then the total value $(\tau \mu \eta)$ was expressed in Attic money. Telephonos' command is unknown.

Loans from the treasure of Athena: First loan. 'Ελληνοταμίαις καὶ παρέδροις ἐδανείσα[μεν...] 'Αριστοκράτει Εὐωνυμεῖ καὶ ξυνάρχουσι, FTTTT. οῦτοι δ]ὲ ἔδοσαν ἀθλοθέταις ἐς Παναθήναια, 'Αμέμπτψ [... καὶ] ξυνάρχουσι, ἐπὶ τῆς Ἐρεχθηίδος δευτέρας πρυτανευούσ[η]]ς εἰκοστῆ ἡμέρα τῆς πρυτανείας.

This was to supplement the expenses of the (lesser) Panathenæa just celebrated in the first month, Hekatombæon.

Second loan. 'Επί τῆς Κεκροπίδος τετάρτης πρυτανευούσης έ[κτη ημέρα τ]ης πρυτανείας Έλληνοταμίαις και παρέδροις, 'Αριστοκρ_ιάτει Εύωνυμεί και ξυνάρχουσι, στρατιώταις ε[μ Μήλφ?.] ΔΔ.

Third loan. Ἐπὶ τῆς Ἀντιοχίδος ὀγδόης πρυτανευούσης δεκά[τῃ ἡμέρα τῆς] πρυτανείας Ἐλληνοταμίαις καὶ παρέδροις, Ἀριστοκρ[ά];τει Είωνυμεῖ καὶ ξυνάρχουσι, στρατιώταις ἐμ Μ[ήλφ?..

These soldiers may be those 'sent afterwards' to Melos under Philokrates, see Thuk. v. 116.

Fourth loan. Ἐπὶ τῆς Ἀντιοχίδος ὀγδόης πρυτανευούσης τρίτ[η ἡμέρε τῆς πρυ]τανείας Ἐλληνοταμίαις καὶ παρέδροις, Ἀριστοκρ[άτ][ει Εὐωνυμεῖ καὶ ξυνάρχουσι, ΗΗΗ. οὐτοι δ' έδοσαν [τῆ ἐν Σικελίε στ]patia. 98

Fifth loan. Ἐπὶ τῆς Ἀντιοχίδος δγδόης πρυτανευούσης εἰκοσ[τῆ ἡμέρα τῆς πρ]υτανείας Ἐλληνοταμίαις καὶ παρέδροις Ἀριστοκρ[ά]|τει Εὐωνυμεῖ καὶ ξυνάρχουσι, ἐς τὰ(ς) ναῦς τὰς ἐς Σι[κελίαν διακομιούσας] τὰ χρή[μ]ατα ΤΤΤΤΧΧ.

These restorations are sufficiently certain : see Thuk. vi. 93 fin., where the wording resembles our inscription.

Sizth and Seventh Loan. Ἐπὶ τῆς ᾿Αντιοχίδος δγδόης πρυτανευούσης δευτέ[ρα ἡμέρα τῆς πρυτα]νεία[s] Ἐλληνοταμία καὶ παρέδρω Φιλομή[λω M]|αραθωνίω καὶ στρατηγῶ ἐν τῷ Θερμαίω κόλπω[... τῆ] αὐτῆ ἡμέρα Ἐλληνοταμία κ[αὶ παρέ]|δρω, Φιλομήλω Μαραθωνίω, καὶ στρατηγῶ ἐν Ἡ[ϊόνι?...

The general was perhaps Euction (Thuk. vii. 9).

Total of payments and loans made this year. Kepálauov $dva[\lambda \dot{\omega}-\mu aros \tau]o\ddot{v} \ell\pi l[\tau \hat{\eta}s] | d\rho \chi \hat{\eta}s HHHPTTT...$

54.

Inventories of the treasures in the Pronace: B.C. 414, 413, 412, 411.

On a broken block in the British Museum. The text from my copy, Greek Inserin the B. M. i. No. 26; Kirchhoff, C. I. A. i. 133-136. See notes on No. 50.

Ι. Οl. 91. 3; Β. C. 414: [Τάδ]ε παρέδ[ο]σαν al τέτταρ[ε]s àp-[χαί, al έδίδοσαν τον λόγον έκ Παναθηναίων ές Παναθήναια, | τοῖ]ς ταμί[α]ις Τεισαμενῷ Παιαν[ιεῖ καὶ ξυνάρχουσιν, ois Πολυμήδης Κηφισίωνος 'Ατηνεὺς ἐγραμμά]τευε, οi δὲ ταμίαι, ois Πολυμήδης Κηφισίωνος 'Ατηνεὺς ἐγραμμάτευε, παρέδοσαν τοῖς ταμίαις] Πολυξενίδη 'Αχαρνεῖ καὶ ξ[υνάρχουσιν, ois Λευκαῖος Κωμάρχου 'Αφιδναῖος ἐγραμμάτευε,]

έν τῷ] Πρόνεψ.

(Ι) φιάλη χρυσή, έξ ής α[ποββαίνονται, άσταθμος.

(2) φιάλαι άργυραϊ ΗΔΔΙ, σταθμόν του των ΤΤΗ ΗΗΗΔΔΔΗΗ.

(3) κέρατα άργυρά ΙΙΙ, [σταθμόν τούτων ΠΔΔΠΗΗ.

(4) [ποτήρια άργυρά Γ, σταθμόν τούτων | ΗΡΔΓΗ].

(5) λύχνος άργυροῦς, σταθμὸν τ[ούτου ΔΔΔΓΗΗ.

(6) φιάλαι άργυραι ΓΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΓΗΗ].

(7) στέφαν ος χρυ]σοῦς, σταθ(μ)ὸν τούτου ΔΔΔΗΗΗ.

(8) φ[ιάλα ἀργυρά ΙΙ, σταθμον τούτοιν ΗΗ.

- (9) φιάλαι ἀργυραί ΙΙΙΙ, σταθμών τ|ούτων Η]ΗΗΔΔΓΗΗΗ.
- (10) ποτήριον αργυρού[ν, σταθμόν τούτου ΔΔΔΔ.
- (11) [φιάλαι ἀργυραῖ ΓΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΓΗΗΗΗΔΔ].
- (Ι2) φι]άλαι ἀργυραΐ ΙΙΙΙ, σταθμόν τ[ούτων] ΗΗΗΗΔΔ.
- (13) ποτήρ[ιον άργυροῦν, σταθμόν τούτου ΔΔΔΔ.
- (14) φιάλαι ἀργυραΐ] ΠΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΠΗΔΔΔΔ ΗΗ[ΗΙ.
- (15) φι]άλαι ἀργυραί Ι[ΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΗΗΡ-
- (16) ποτήριον ἀργυροῦν, | σταθμ] δν τούτου ΦΔΠΗ.
- (17) λύχνος άργυροῦ[ς, στ]αθμόν τούτου ΔΔ+[+.
- (18) [φιάλαι ἀργυραΐ ΙΙΙ, σταθμών τούτων ΗΗΡΔΔΔ/ΔΗΗΗ].
- (19) φι]άλαι άργυραί Γ, σταθμον [τούτω]ν ΗΗΗΗΔΗΗ.
- (20) ἀργ[υρίς, σταθμόν ταύτης ΗΔΗ.
- (21) ποτήριον ἀργυροῦν ΔΔΔΔ] ΠΗ.
- (22) ἀργυρίς Ι, σταθμόν τα [ύτης] Δ.
- (23) ποτήριον αρ[γυρούν, σταθμόν τούτου ΔΔΔΓ++++.
- (24) ἀργυρίς Ι, σταθμον τ]αύτης ΗΡΗΗ.
- (25) ποτήριον ά[ργυρ]ουν, σταθμόν τού του ΔΔΔ.
- (26) [άργυρίδες ΙΙΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΗΗΗΡΔΔ Δ.Δ.
- (27) κύλι]ξ ἀργερâ, åσταθμος.
- (28) φιά[λη ἀργ]υρâ, σταθμόν τ[αύτης ΗΡΔΔΔΔΗΗΗ.
- (29) ἀργυρίδες ΙΙΙΙ, σταθμόν | τούτων Π]ΗΗΡΔΔΔΠΗΗ. Ἐπέτεια ἐπ[εγέν]ετο ἐν τῷ Πρόν[εῳ·
- (30) [ἀργυρίδες ΙΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΠΗΗΔΠΗΗ].

II. Ol. 91.4; B.C. 413: [Τάδε οἰ] ταμίαι τῶν ἰερῶν χρη[μάτων] τῆς ᾿Αθηναίας Π[αλυξενίδης ᾿Αχαρνεὺς καὶ ξυνάρχοντες, | οἰς Λευ]καῖος Κωμάρχου ᾿Αφιδνα[ῖος ἐγ]ρα[μ]μάτευε, παρ[έδοσαν τοῖς ταμίαις, οἰς Αὐτοκλείδης | Σωστράτ]ου Φρεάββιος ἐγραμμάτ[ευε, Κα]λλαίσχρφ Εὐπ[υρίδη καὶ ξυνάρχουσι, παραδεξάμενοι | παρὰ τῶν] προτέρων ταμιῶν, οἰς [Πολυ]μήδης Κηφισίων[ος ᾿Ατηνεὺς ἐγραμμάτευε,

έν τῷ Πρ**όνεψ**·

- (2) φιάλαι ά]ργυραί ΗΔΔΙ, σταθμόν το [ύτ]ων ΤΤΗΗΗΗΔΔΔΗΗ.
- (3) «[έρατα άργυρά ΙΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΠΔΔΓΗΗ.]
- (4) ποτήρια ά]ογυρά Γ, σταθμόν τούτω[ν ΗΡ]ΔΓΗ.
- (5) λύχνος άργευροῦς, σταθμὸν τούτου ΔΔΔΓΗΗ.

- (6) φιάλαι ἀργυ|ραί ΓΙΙ, στα]θμου τούτων ΓΗΗ.
- (7) στέφα [νος] χρυσοῦς, σταθμών [τούτου ΔΔΔΗΗΗ.
- (8) [φιάλα ἀργυρῶ ΙΙ, σταθμόν τομίτοιν ΗΗ].
- (9) φ]ιάλαι ἀργυραί ΙΙΙΙ, σταθ[μον] τούτων ΗΗΗΔΔΓ+[+++.
- (10) [ποτήριον αργυροῦν, σταθμόν τούτου ΔΔΔΔ].
- (ΙΙ) φιάλαι ἀρ]γυραί ΓΙ, σταθμών τούτ[ων Γ]ΗΗΗΗΔΔ.
- (12) φιάλαι ά[ργυραί ΙΙΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΗΗΗΗΔΔ.
- (13) ποτήριο ν άργυροῦν,] σταθμόν ΔΔΔΔ.
- (14) φιά[λα]ι ἀργυραί ΓΙΙ, στα[θμών τούτων ΓΗΔΔΔΔΗΗΙ.
- (15) φιάλαι άργυραί ΙΙΙ, | σταθμόν τ]ούτων ΗΗΡΓ.
- (16) ποτήριον ἀρ[γυ]ροῦν, σταθμόν τού[του ΕΔΓΓ.
- (17) [λύχνος ἀργυροῦς, σταθμόν τούτου ΔΔ++].
- (18) φιάλαι ἀρ]γυραί ΙΙΙ, σταθμών τούτων [Η]ΗΡΔΔΔΔΗΗΗ.
- (19) φιάλ[αι ἀργυραί Γ, σταθμόν τούτων ΗΗΗΗΔΗΗΗ.
- (20) ά ργυρίς Ι, σ]ταθμόν ταύτης ΗΔΗ.
- (21) ποτ[ή]ριον άργυροῦν, στα[θμόν τούτου ΔΔΔΔΓ++.
- (22) [ἀργυρίς Ι, σταθμόν ταύ¦της ΡΔ].
- (23) ποτ]ήριον ἀργυροῦν, σταθμὸν [τ]ούτου ΔΔΔΠΗΗΗ.
- (24) ἀρ[γυρίς Ι, σταθμον ταύτης ΗΡ+++.
- (25) ποτήριον αργυρούν, στ]αθμών τούτου ΔΔΔ.
- (26) ἀργυρί[δες] ΙΙΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτ[ων ΗΗΗΡΔΔΔΓΗ.
- (27) [κύλιξ ἀργυρᾶ, ἄσταθμος].
- (28) φι άλη άργυ]ρά, σταθμόν ταύτης ΗΡΔΔΔ[Δ]++++.
- (29) άργυρίδες ΙΙ[ΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΠΗΗΡΔΔΔΠΗΗ.
- (30) ἀργυρίδες | ΙΙΙ, σταθμό]ν τούτων ΓΗΗΔΓΗΗ.

'Επέτε[ια] ἐπεγένετο· (31) ἀργυ[ρίς, σταθμόν ταύτης . . |

III. Ol. 92. I; B. C. 412: [Τάδε οἰ] ταμίαι τῶν ἰερῶν χρημά[τ]ων τῆς 'Αθηναί(α)ς. Κά[λλαισχρος Ενπυρίδης καὶ ξυνάρχοντες, | oἰς Αὐτ]οκλείδης Σωστράτου Φρεά[β]ρίος ἐγραμμάτευ[ε, παρέδοσαν τοῖς ταμίαις oἰς | ί]ωνος Εὐωνυμεὺς ἐγραμμ[άτ]ευε, παραδεξάμε[νοι παρὰ τῶν προτέρων ταμιῶν oἶς Λευκ αῖος Κω]μάρχου 'Αφιδναίος ἐγραμμ[άτ]ευε,

ἐν τῷ Προνηίψ.

- (2) φιάλαι ἀργυραΐ ΗΔΔΙ, σταθμότ τούτων | Τ.Τ.ΗΗΗΗ]ΔΔΔΗ.
- (3) κέρατα ἀργυρά ΙΙΙ, στ[αθ]μον τούτων ΠΔΔΓΗΕ[Η.

- (4) ποτήρια άργυρά Γ, σταθμόν τούτων Η ΔΙΓΗ.
- (5) λύχνος άργυροῦς, σταθμον τού[του] ΔΔΔΠΗΗ.
- (6) φιάλαι ἀρ[γυραί ΓΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΓΗΗ.
- (7) στέφα νος χρυ σοῦς, σταθμον τούτου ΔΔΔ++[+1]].
- (8) φιάλα άργυρα δύο, σ[ταθμών τούτοιν ΗΗ.
- (9) φιάλαι άργυραί ΙΙΙΙ, στ αθμών τ]ούτων ΗΗΗΔΔΠΗΗΗ.
- (10) ποτήρ[ιο]ν ἀργυροῦν, σταθμὸν [τούτου ΔΔΔΔ.
- (ΙΙ) φιάλαι ἀργυραί ΓΙΙ, σταθμὸν | τούτων] ΓΗΗΗΗΔΔ.
- (12) φιάλαι ἀργυρα[î ΙΙΙΙ], σταθμόν τούτων Η[ΗΗΗΔΔ.
- (13) [ποτήριον άργοροῦν, σταθμόν τούτου | ΔΔΔΔ].
- (14) φι]ά[λ]αι ἀργυραῖ Γ'ΙΙ, σταθμὸ[ν τ]ούτων ℡ΗΔΔΔΔΗΗΗ.
- (15) [φιάλαι ἀργυραί ΙΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτων ΗΗΡΗ].
- (16) ποτήρι]ου άργυροῦν, σταθμόν τού[του] ΡΔΓΗ.
- (17) λύχνος άργυροῦ[ς, σταθμόν τούτου ΔΔ++.
- (18) φιάλαι ἀργυραῖ ΙΙΙ, | σταθμ]δυ τούτων ΗΗΡΔΔΔΔΗΗΗ.
- (19) φ[ιά]λαι ἀργυραῖ Γ, σταθμ[ον τούτων ΗΗΗΗΔΗΗ.
- (20) άργυρίς Ι, σταθμόν τα]ύτης ΗΔΗ.
- (21) ποτήριον άργυ[ροῦν], σταθμόν τούτου ΔΔΔΔ[ΓΗ.
- (22) [ἀργυρίς, σταθμών ταύτης 🗛].
- (23) ποτήριον | ἀργυρ]οῦν, σταθμὸν τούτου ΔΔΔΠ++[++.
- (24) α]ργυρίς, σταθμόν ταύ[της ΗΡ+++.
- (25) ποτήριον άργυροῦς, σταθμον | τούτ]ου ΔΔΔ.
- (26) άργυρίδες ΙΙΙΙ, σταθμ[ον τ]ούτων ΗΗΗΡΔΔΔΠΗ.
- (27) κύλι [ξ άργυρα, άσταθμος.
- (28) φιάλη ἀργυρâ, στ'αθμό]ν ταύτης ΗΡΔΔΔΔΗΗΗ.
- (29) ἀργ[υρίδ]ες ΙΙΙΙ, σταθμόν τούτω[ν ΠΗΗΡΔΔΔΓΗΗ.
- (30) αργυρίδες ΙΙΙ, σταθμόν | τούτ]ων ΠΗΗΔΠΗΗ.
- (31) ἀργυρίς, στ[αθμόν ταύτης . . |

IV. Ol. 92. 2; B. C. 411 : [Τάδε] οι ταμίαι των ίερων χρημά[των της 'Αθηναίας 'Ασωπόδωρος Κυδαθηναιεύς και ξυνάρχοντες οις ... |]ίωνος Εύωνυ[μεύς εγραμμάτευε, παρέδοσαν τοις ταμίαις κ.τ.λ.

55.

The Hermokopids; their goods confiscated: B.C. 414-413.

Four fragments, relating to the same subject, but not all from one stone. The text is from C. I. A. i. 274-277 and Suppl. to vol. i. p. 35: comp. Kirchhoff in Jahn's Jahrbücher, 1860, p. 238 foll.; Monatsber. d. Berl. Ak. 1865, p. 545.

The panic about the mutilation of the Hermæ and the rumoured profanation of the mysteries took place B.C. 415 (Thuk. vi. 27 foll.). Many were arrested and imprisoned on suspicion; until Andokides turned 'Queen's evidence,' and gave what was then considered the true account (Thuk. vi. 60), naming himself and certain others as the perpetrators. He was himself rewarded with free pardon, but the others whom he named were put to death or outlawed, and their goods confiscated. Axiochos. Adimantos, Euphiletos, Conias, Panætios, Polystratos, Kephisodoros were among this number (see Andok. de Myst.): and here is part of the account given by the Poletæ of the sale of their property (δημιόπρατα, Ar. Wasps, 659). In all sales of real property, by auction or otherwise, one per cent. was payable to the state by the buyer as an ἐπώνιον (ἐκατοστή; see Böckh, Staatsh. i. 440; cp. the Roman centesima rerum venalium). This percentage is also set down in the account.

First Fragment: (the beginning is lost).

 Δρυϊνών κ[al] π[ρ]ινά οἰκία ἐν καὶ πίθο 		Percentage.
[" ἐν τῆ οἰκία. Κυδίμαχον, Δόλων, 'Α	ХҧӈНН	[ΔՐ]⊦⊦⊦
	and slave) $H\Delta\Delta\Delta\Gamma$	[+]
Total: Κεφάλαιον σύμπαν	₽₽∆⊦⊦⊦	

B. [T]άδε επράθη επί της Ἐρεχθηίδος εβδόμης (?) πρυταιευούσης,

- § Ι. [Γ] αμηλιώνος ξβδόμη ίσταμένου 'Αξιόχου τοῦ 'Αλκιβιάδου
 Σκα[μβωνίδου]. |
 - "Olas dirip (name of slave?) $HP \triangle \triangle \triangle \square$ FF
- § 2. Ἐνάτῃ φθίνοντος Γαμηλιῶνος ᾿Αξιόχου τοῦ ᾿Αλκιβιάδ[ου Σκαμβ.]. |
 Μεσσήνιος ἀνήρ
 ΗΔΔΔ

§ 3. Έκτη φθίνοντος Γαμηλιώνος 'Α 'Επικαρπία τῆς γῆς τῆς ἐν 'Οφρυνείῳ ἐ Κεκρ[υφαλείς?]	Price. F	ευκολοφ[ίδου.] Percentage. -
Total : Κεφάλαιον σύμπαν ΗΗΗΡΔ	∆Ր⊦⊦⊦⊦⊪.	
C. Τῶμ περὶ ἀμφότερα (the meanin ἔκτῃ φθίνοντος: Εὐφιλήτου (το	•	
οικία ἐς Σημαχι[δῶν]	HP	FIII
χωρίου έγ Γαυ	HP	FIII
χωρίον έμ Μυ[βρινούττη		1 1 114
καί]	HH₽ Δ	FF111 [1]
χωρίου Total : Κεφάλαιου σύ[μπαυ] ΗΗΗΗ		111
Grand total: Kepáhaw duporépov (v		· 7•) [편님님님 ^ III
Second Fragment : (the beginnin		
• • •	• •	
Α κα] εἰκο[στῆ τῆς πρυτα	•	
§ Ι. 'Αξιόχου τοῦ ['Αλκιβιάδου Σ Κεφ[αλλην ἀνήρ?]	۳۵۵۵۵۹ (۱۳۵۵ (۱۳۵۵) ۲۳۵۵۵۹ (۱۳	[++]
§ 2. 'Αδειμάντο[υ τοῦ Λευκολοφίδ Σάτ[υρος] (a slave ?)	ου Σκαμβωνίδου]. ΗΡΔΔ	· 1 FF
Total: Κεφάλαιον	••	
Grand total: Κεφάλαιον συ[ν ξπωνίο	us]	
B. Τάδ' ἐπράθη ἐπὶ τῆς Ἀντιο[χίδος καὶ εἰκοστῆ τῆς πρ[υτανείας].	ς πριτανευοι	ύσης] όγ[ξ]όη
§ Ι. [•] Αξιόχου τοῦ •Α[λκιβιάδου Σι	καμβωτίδου].	
ή ἐ[πικαρπία?] ταῖς	$\Delta\Delta$	Ш _.
§ 2. Οἰωνίου τοῦ Οἰωνο		
ẻκ τ[ŷs?] ψυθ αμφ	∆∆⊦	111
§ 3. πέμπτη καl εl[κοστή τής πρυτ	ανείας κ.τ.λ.].	
Third Frogment: (the beginning καλ ε[Ικοστή τής πρυται		
 δ 1. ['Aδειμάν]του τοῦ Λε[υκ]ολοφ 	-	
ζ Ι. [Ασειμαν] 100 100 Λε[σκ] 5004 ανήρ [Αρ]ιστόμαχος (a slave		~~]·
àγρòs [ἐν] Θάσψ ἐν · ·	· / · ·	• •
kal oik[ía].	HHP	[++]
έπεστιν [πίθ]οι έν [τη οἰκία]][

and the second s		
5 ύγιεῖς Δ[Δ] δθρο[ι?] ≷π	ult-	
μa[τα ξχοντες (lids)]		Percentage.
οίνου ἀμφο[ρης]	₽[HΔ]ΔΔ	44
Γ"[Η]ΔΔΔΔ		
τρ		
§ 2. [Π]apaιτίου.		
οίσου άμφορ[ης .] καθαρο	ŵ • -	• •
HIIII &[v		
σμήνη ἐν τῷ [ἀγρῷ] τῷ ἐν Ἰσ	••••	••
βόε έρ[γατα .] βόε δύ[ο .].	• •	• •
Fourth Fragment : (the beginning	g is lost).	
δ Ι. ἐπικαρπί[α] Θρία	∆⊓⊦⊦⊦	ווןו
επικαρπία Αθμονοί	$\Delta\Delta$	້ຳເງົ່າ
Total: Κεφάλαιον συν έπωνί[οις]	ххххгрнндд	+++11111.
§ 2. Πολυστράτου τοῦ Διο '.		
Πίστος (a slave?)	HHFF	[+]+I
ξπικαρπία 'Αγ κυλησι	ΔΔΔΔΗ	[]]. ·
<i>Total</i> : Κεφάλαιον σὺν ἐπωνίο[should be 245 dr. 4 ob.)		PFFI (wrony
§ 3. Κηφισοδώρου μετοίκου έμ Πε		
Θρậττα	ℍℙ∆ℾ	+[+]
Θρậττα	ዘΔΔΔΓ	FIII
Θρ ậ ξ	Η₽ΔΔ	[+]+
Σύρος	ΗΗΔΔΔΔ	++11[1]
5 Káp	HP	[F]III
ἱλλυριό s	Η[ℙ]Δ⊦	FF
Θρậττα	ΗΗΔΔ	FFIII
O pậ £	ΗΔΓ	FIII
Σκύθης	ΗΔΔΔΔΗΗ	
10 Ίλλυριός	ΗΔΔΗ	FIII
Κάλχος		FF
Κάρ παῖς		++
Καρικόν παιδίον Στίστο	₽∆∆⊦⊦	F
Σύρος Malaman ()	HHH► H[₽]F	FFF1
15 Μελιττ[ηνόs or -ή]		[+]+
[Λ]υδή	[H]PAA.	-[-]- -[-]

•

ŀ

56.

Assassing of Phrynichos: B.C. 410-409.

The text is from C. I. A. i. 59; cp. Rochl, Hermes, xi. 378 foll.; Kirchhoff, Monateb. d. Berl. Ak. 1861. p. 601 fol.

> [Έπὶ Γλαυκί]ππου ἅ[ρ]χον[τ]ος. [Λόβων ἐκ] Κηδῶν ἐγραμμάτευε.

§ Ι. [Έδοξεν τῆ] βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμφ. ἱΙπποθωντὶ-[s ἐπρυτάνε]υε, Λόβων ἐγραμμάτευε, Φιλιστίδη-

5 [s ἐπεστάτει], Γλαύκιππος ἦρχε. Ἐρασινίδης εἶπ-[ε ἐπαινέσα]ι Θρασύβουλον ὡς ὅντα ἄνδρα ἀγαθό-[ν περί τὸν δῆ]μου τὸν ᾿Αθηναίων καὶ πρόθυμον π-[οιεῖν ὅτι δύνα]ται ἀγαθόν, καὶ ἀντὶ ῶν εῦ πεπο-[ίηκεν τήν τε βουλὴν] καὶ τὸν δῆ[μο]ν τὸν ᾿Αθηναίω-

10 [ν στεφανώσαι αὐτὸν χρυσῷ στε]φάνῳ. ποιῆσα-[ι δὲ τὸν στέφανον ἀπὸ χιλίων δρ]αχμών οἱ [δὲ Ἐλληνοταμίαι δόντων τὸ ἀργύρι]ον. καὶ [ἀνειπεῖν Διονυσίων τῷ] ἀγώνι, ὥν ἕν-[εκα αὐτὸν ὁ δῆμος ἐστεφάνωσ]ε.

[τὰ μὲν άλλα καθάπερ τῆ βουλῆ·] είναι δὲ Θρασυ-
[βούλφ φυλής τε είναι και δήμου κ]ai φρατρίας, ω-
[ν αν βούληται]ν και τάλλα τα ε-
[·····Θρασυβούλφ
[π]αρά 'Αθηναίων κ-
[·····π]ερί ων εὐεργέ-
[τησεν τον δημου του 'Αθηναίων], και αναγράψα-
[ι έν στήλη λιθίνη τα δεδογμ]ένα. ελέσθαι δ-
[ε åνδρας αὐτί]κα μάλα, οίτινε-
[s] δι[κάσουσιν Άπολλοδώρφ μέ]ρος το γιγνόμεν-
ον. τούς [δε άλλους, όσοι τότε εῦ ε]ποίησαν τον δή μον τον 'Αθη[ναίων,]ιν και 'Αγόρατο- ν και Κώμωνα [και] και Σιμον κα-
ì Φιλίνον κα[i]a, εὐεργέ[τα]s [ἀν]αγράφ-
σαι έμ πόλε[ι έν στήλη λ]ιθίνη τον γραμ[μα]τέ-

- 30 α τ[ή]ς βουλής. [καὶ ἐγκτησι]ν εἶναι αὐτοῖς ὡμπερ 'Αθηναίοις [καὶ γηπέδω]ν καὶ οἰκίας, καὶ οἴκησιν 'Αθήνησι, [καὶ ἐπιμέλ]εσθαι αὐτῶν τὴν βουλὴν τὴν αἰεὶ β[ουλεύουσαν κα]ὶ τοὺς πρυτάνεις, ὅπως ὰν μὴ ἀδ[ικῶνται. τὴν δὲ σ]τήλην ἀπομισθωσάντω-
- 35 [ν οί πωληταί ἐν τῆ βου]λῦ τοὺς δὲ Ἑλληνοταμ-[ίας δοῦναι τὸ ἀργύριον.] ἐὰν δὲ δοκῆ αὐτοὺς καὶ [ὅλλου τυχεῖν ἀγαθοῦ, τὴν] βουλὴν προβουλεύσασαν [ἐξενεγκεῖν εἰς τὸν δῆμ]ον.
- § 3. Rider mored by Eudikos :---Εύδικος είπε· τὰ μέν [άλλα καθάπερ Διοκλής· περί] δὲ τώ[ν] δωροδοκησ-
 - 40 [άντων ἐπὶ τῷ ψηφίσματι] δ ἐψηφ[ί]σθη ᾿Απολλ-[οδώρῳ, τὴν βουλὴν βουλεῦσ]αι ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ ἔδ-[ρα ἐν τῷ βουλευτηρί]ψ, καὶ κολάζειν τῶν [δ]ωρο-[δοκησάντων καταψ]ηφιζομένην, καὶ εἰs δι[κ]ασ-[τήριον αὐτοὺs εἰσάγει]ν, καθότι ἂν δοκῇ αὐτῇ. τ-
 - 45 [ῶν δὲ δικαστῶν τοὺs] παρόντας ἀποφαίνειν ἅ[ττα ἐδικάσθη καὶ ἐάν] τίς τι ἄλλο εἰδῆ περὶ τ[ούτων. ἐξεῖναι δὲ καὶ] ἰδιώτη, ἐάν τις βούλητα[ι].

The murder of Phrynichos, one summer evening B. C. 411, hastened the downfall of the 400. Thukydides (viii. 92) does not name the assassin, but calls his confederate, who was caught and tortured, 'Apyeios areputos: they both belonged to the foreign soldiery in the pay of the oligarchs. Lysias (contra Agoral. § 71 ff.) says: Φρυτίχω γάρ ... κοινή Θρασύβουλός τε ό Καλυδώνιος και Άπολλόδωρος ό Μεγαρεύς επεβούλευσαν επειδή δε έπετυχέτην αυτφ βαδίζοντι, ό μεν Θρασύβουλος τύπτει τόν Φρύνιχον καὶ καταβάλλει πατάξας, δ δὲ Ἀπολλόδωρος οὐχ ήψατο, αλλ' έν τούτφ κραυγή γίκεται και φχουτο φεύγουτες. He also cites the decree before us to prove that Agoratos had no share in the deed. Lykurgos (contra Leocr. § 112) speaks thus: Φρυνίχου γάρ αποσφαγέντος νύκτωρ παρά την κρήνην την έν τοις οισύοις ύπο 'Απολλοδώρου και Θρασυβούλου κ.τ.λ. Thukydides says it was done iv th dropp algoving, which is not to be understood as a note of time, but of place only, and so does not contradict virtup (Curtius, Gr. Gesch. ii. p. 659, and sole 80).

4

And Apollodoros may have been a citizen both of Megara and of Argos. This decree was passed in the spring of B.C. 409, i.e. within the first few months of the restored democracy, in the eighth prytany of Hippothontis: see Böckh, *Staatsh.* ii. 18.

In § 1, which is the probouleuma, it is proposed to honour Thrasybulos with a crown and public proclamation. The mover, Erasinides, was one of the commanders at Arginusæ, who was afterwards put to death (Xen. Hell. i. 5. 16; 6. 29; 7. 2). Why is not Apollodoros coupled here with Thrasybulos? Probably it had been originally proposed to reward both of them with citizenship and other honours: to this intention Andokides seems to refer (de Red. suo, § 23): $\delta\rho\omega$ dè $\nu\mu$ as $\pi o\lambda\lambda$ akis kal doú- λ ous $dx\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma_{15}$ kal $\xi\epsilon\nu\sigma_{15}$ $\pi a\nu\tau\sigma\sigma\sigma\pi\sigma_{15}$ $\pi o\lambda\iota\tau\epsilon$ la didóvtas te kal ϵ is $\chi\rho\eta\mu\alpha\taua$ $\mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha\lambda$ as $\delta\omega\rho\epsilon$ ds, of $d\nu$ $\nu\mu$ as $\phi a(\nu\omega\nu\tau\alpha)$ $\pi oico \nu\tau\epsilon$ s te dyadóv. (Similarly Lysias, pro Polystr. § 19: kal el μ ℓ ϵ vos τ_{15} $\epsilon\lambda\theta\omega\nu\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.) That proposal, Kirchhoff suggests, was hindered by a $\gamma\rho\alpha\phi\eta$ $\pi a\rhoar \phi\mu\omega\nu$, but the objection was pressed only in the case of Apollodoros: so that here the probonleuma recommends Thrasybulos for reward.

§ 2 is proposed in the $\delta\kappa\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma fa$ by Diokles, who is not likely to be the Diokles afterwards one of the Thirty (Xen. *Hell.* ii. 3. 2). Thrasybulos is to have the citizenship, and other honours; and a sort of judicial commission is to be appointed to determine what share of the sums, previously proposed for the reward of both Apollodoros and Thrasybulos, is to be awarded to Apollodoros. We know that he received a grant of land, though he seems to have been denied the citizenship (Lysias, *Pro sacra Olea*, § 4). The rest of the conspirators, of whom Agoratos is one, are to have the right of holding property in Athens.

§ 3. Eudikos proposes that the $\beta ou\lambda \eta$ shall investigate the proceedings of that $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ $\pi a \rho a \nu \delta \mu \omega \nu$ which hindered Apollodoros from receiving the reward originally voted him ($\hat{o} \ \hat{\epsilon} \psi \eta \phi (\sigma \theta \eta)$). The dikasts are broadly accused of corrupt motives, and their prosecution is demanded. I have not been able to consult Gilbert, Beiträge zur inneren Gesch. Athens im Zeitalter d. Pel. Krieges, 1877, p. 346 foll., where this inscription is discussed.

57.

Neopolis in Thrace commended for fidelity to the Athenian cause: B.C. 410.

On seven fragments, six of them recently discovered on the Akropolis : C. I. A. Supplement to vol. i. p. 16 foll.

§ 1. First Decree, passed in the winter of B.C. 410, in honour of Neoπoλιτŵν τŵμ παρὰ Θάσον, a city to be identified with what the Quota-lists (Nos. 24, 35) call N. ϵv Θράκη or παρ' 'Αντισάραν. It was on the coast just opposite Thasos, of which it was probably a colony.

τ μωμ παρά Θάσζον. "Ε]δοξεν τῆ β[ο]υ[λῆ] καὶ τῷ δήμφ. Λεωντὶς ἐπρυτά[νευεν],

5 Σιβυρτιάδ[ης έγρα]μμάτευεν, Χαιριμένης έπεστ[άτει, Γλ]-

- αύκιππος ήρχ[εν....]θεος εἶπεν [ἐπ]αινέσαι τοῖς Νεοπ[ολίταις τοῖς]
 - παρὰ Θάσον [πρῶτον μ]ἐν ὅτι συνδιεπο[λέμησ]αν τὸν πόλεμον μετὰ Ἀθηναίω[ν καὶ ὅτι πολιο-

ρ]κούμενοι [ὑπὸ Θασίων (?)] καὶ Πελο[πονν]ησίων οὐκ ἠθ[ύμησαν ? . .]s τὴν a ων ἅνδ[ρεs ἀγαθοὶ] ἐ**γένο[ντο . . .**

10..]τ....[δη]μου....

The Thasians revolted B.C. 411 (Thuk. viii. 64), and were reduced afterwards by Thrasybulos in the winter of 408 (Xen. *Hell.* i. 4. 9; cp. i. 1. 32). Neopolis, their colony upon the opposite shore, remained faithful throughout that interval, and suffered in consequence. This decree in their honour dates from B.C. 410 (winter). Line 7 has been chiselled out, and inscribed again : the Neopolitans were not satisfied with the terms employed concerning them : and in § 4 we shall see that some time (perhaps a year or two) later, they procured a change in the wording.

§ 2. The next few lines are too fragmentary to be reproduced; they decreed *konorary privileges* to the Neopolitans.

§ 3. This decree to be set up at Athens and Neopolis.

. . . . καὶ τὸ ψήφισμα τόδε ἀναγρ[άψαντα τὸν γραμματέα]

τής βουλής έ στιώνη λιθίνη καταθ[είναι έμ πόλει τέλεσι τοί]- s Νεοπολιτών έσ δε Νέα πόλη (sic) αὐτοὶ [Νεοπολίται καταθ]- έντων ἐν τῷ ἱερῷ τῆς Παρθένου ἐ στήλ[η λιθίνη. καὶ καλέσαι] 5 ἐπὶ ξένια τὴμ πικεσβείαν ἐς τὸ πρυτα[νείον ἐς αὕριον. δοῦναι δὲ (?)]
Οἰνοβίφ Δεκελεες στρατηγῷ ΤΤΤΡΗ[Η].
 § 4. Second Dearree, perhaps a year or so later than the preceding; but this is uncertain, as the heading is omitted. 'Afloxos elπe' Emuvéσaι τοιs Νεοπολίταιs τοιs ἀπὸ [Θράκηs(?), δτι]
ές τε την στρατιώσ και τημ πόλιν την 'Αθηναίων και δτ[ι φύλα]-
κες (?) όντες μεττέ Αθηναίων, και ότι ξυνναυμαχουντ[ες και συν-
πολεμούντας διετέλεσαν τον πά]-
υτα χρόνου και πε άλλα ότι ευ ποιούσιν 'Αθηναίου[s' και άντι
το]ύτων [τών εὐεργετημάτων δπαυτα παρ' 'Α]-
Ξ θηναίων είναι απτρίς καθάπερ εψήφισται Σ[ερμυλι]ε[υσ]ι (?),
δπως ἁμ μ[η ἰδικῶνται μηδὲ ὑφ' ἑνὸς μήτ]-
ε ύπο Ιδιώτου μήπε ύπο κοινοῦ πόλεως τούς τε σ[τρατηγού]ς ο
ầν έκάστοτε Ε ρχοντες τυγχάνωσιν έπιμέ]-
λεσθαι αύτων δτι Ιν δέωνται, καὶ τοὺς ẵρχ[οντ]as τοὺς Ἀθηναίων
οί αν έκ[άστηστε ἄρχωσι]
ου τημ πόλιυ Μαπολίτας φυλάττουτα[s] και προθύμους όυτας
ποιείν ότι απ [λύνωνται άγαθόν. είναι δέ]
καί νῦν εύρίσκεστίνει αὐτοὺς παρά τ[οῦ δ]ήμου τοῦ Ἀθηναίων ὅτι
αν δοκή αγαμ ίν άλλο ότου αν δέωνται. περί]
10 δε της άπαρχης $\overline{\tau}$ Παρθένφ, [η π ερ κ]αι τέως εγίγνετο τη [θε] $\hat{\varphi}$, εν τ $\hat{\varphi}$ δήμ φ
τούς. ἐς δὲ τὸ ψήφισμα τὸ πρό[τερον ἐ]πανορθώσαι τὸγ γραμ-
ματέα τῆς βωαλῆς [και ἀναγ-
ράψ]aι (?) αυτι *
μησαν τόμ πωλεμον μ[ετά 'Αθηναίων.' τοις δε πρέ-
σβεσι] α και Γ[και Δι]οφάντφ επαινέσαι ά
τε νύν λέγσαμαν κ[al
] πρόθυμοί αισι ποιείν ότι δύνανται ά[γαθόν
15καθ]άπερ πο πρότερου. καλέσαι δε και επι ξ[ένια ες το
πρυτανείον].
I should imagine that this decree (moved by Axiochos the friend

•

of Alkibiades) was passed soon after the reduction of Thasos, early in 407 B.C., in return for the assistance the Neopolitans had rendered Thrasybulos. They had sent envoys to ask (1) that certain expressions might be improved in the earlier decree, especially that they might not be styled 'a colony of Thasos:' they had enjoyed independence during the three years that Thasos had been in revolt, and now that the island was Athenian again, they did not want to return to dependence. (2) They want to be allowed to pay the $d\pi a\rho\chi \dot{\eta}$ of the $\phi \phi \rho os$ ($\frac{1}{80}$ th, see No. 24) not to Athena, but to their own Virgin Goddess. Perhaps, like the Methonæans in No 44, they had been already excused the payment of tribute, and only asked to pay the δoth : their request that the 60th may be paid to their own goddess seems another way of asking to be let off altogether. They are told to apply on this point to the $\delta \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma ia$ ($\delta v \tau \phi \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \phi \dots$).

§ 5. Rider to second Decree, giving the reply of the Athenian $\delta \eta \mu os$ to the request about the $d\pi a p \chi \eta$.

[Ο δεΐνα εἶπε· τὰ μὲν ἄλλα καθάπερ τῆ] βουλῆ· τῆ δὲ Παρθένψ ἐξαιρε[. δ δ]ῆμος ε[ὕ]ξηται.

The Athenians granted the request of the Neopolitans, which appears to have been grounded upon a vow made to their $\Pi \acute{ap}$ - $\theta \epsilon vos$.

58.

Selymbria recovered by Alkibiades: B. C. 409.

The text is from C. I. A. Supplement to vol. i. 61 a, p. 18.

The capture of Selymbria was a brilliant exploit seconding to Plutarch, Alcil. 30; cp. Diod. xiii. 66. Xenophon (Ilell. i. 3. 10) simply says: ' $\lambda\lambda\kappa\iota\beta\iotad\delta\eta s$ de rois $\delta\rho\kappa\sigma\iota s$ (the convention with Pharnabazos) où κ ervy $\chi ave \pi a\rho \omega v$, $d\lambda\lambda \lambda \pi e\rho i \Sigma\eta\lambda v\mu\beta\rho iav <math>\eta v$ ereform $\tau v \delta'$ ervy $\lambda v \pi \rho \delta s$ robust $\delta v \tau cov \eta \kappa ev$, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. The present decree prescribes the conditions upon which Selymbria is restored to the Athenian alliance. The earlier lines are much broken; and the heading is lost. After other provisions, the document proceeds thus:— § 1. Remission of debts owing to *Atlans*: [δσα δε δφ]είλει τὸ κοινόν τό Ση![λυμβριανών η ίδιω]τών ταις Σηλυνβ[ρ]ιανών τῷ | [κοινῷ, ἀφεῖσθαι].

§ 3. Property of Athens or of the satures left at Selymbria, and lost or spent in the war, not to be required back again : $[\delta\sigma]a \delta \tilde{\epsilon}$ $\tilde{a}\pi \omega \lambda \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\nu} \tau \tilde{\psi} \pi o \lambda \tilde{\epsilon} \mu \psi | [\chi p \eta \mu a \tau a 'Add \mu u i] \omega v \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v \sigma v \mu \mu d \chi \omega v, \eta$ $\tilde{\epsilon} t \tau i d \phi \epsilon (\lambda | [ov ros \eta \pi a p a \kappa] a \tau a \theta \eta \kappa \eta v \xi \chi u r to s \tau v \xi \pi p a \xi a | [v ol a p -$ $\chi ov r \epsilon s,] \mu \eta \epsilon l v a i \pi p a \xi v \pi \lambda \eta v \gamma \eta s \kappa a l a l [\kappa (a s].$

§ 4. Private contracts not dissolveed: [τὰ δὲ ἅ]λλα ξύμβολα [τ]à προτοῦ ἐν τοῖς ἰ![διώταις πρ]ὸς τοὺς ῶιώτα[ς] ἢ ἰδιώτῃ πρὸς τὸ κ[[οινὸν ἢ κοι]νῷ πρὸς ἰδιώτη[ν] ἢ ἐἀν τ. ἅ[λ]λο γίγ![εηται, δια]λύειμ π[ρ]ὸς ἀλλήλους· ὅτι δ' ἂν ἀμφισ±η![τῶσι, δίκα]ς εἶναι ἀπὸ ξυμβόλων.

§ 5. These provisions to be inscribed : oaths interchanged : ras $\delta \in \{vv\theta\eta\kappa|[as \, dva\gamma\rho a\psi]avras \, \epsilon s \, \sigma r\eta m \theta \epsilon vai \, \epsilon s \, ro \, i \epsilon \rho o i [v \, ro v$ $`A \pi \delta \lambda b w o] s (?). <math>\mathbf{\omega}[\mu o] \sigma av$ `A $\theta \eta v a (\omega v \, \sigma s \, \sigma r \eta \sigma \eta \gamma o) | [\kappa a i o i \, \tau \rho i \eta \rho - a \rho \chi o] i \kappa a i o i \, \delta \pi \lambda i ra i \kappa i \, \epsilon i \, r i |[s \, \delta \lambda \lambda i \gamma \, `A \theta \eta v a (\omega v \, \pi] a \rho \eta v , \kappa a i \, \Sigma \eta \lambda v \mu [\beta \rho] a v o i \, \pi |[av \delta \eta \mu l].$

§ 6. Decree of Alkibiades, confirming the above agreement, and adding certain provisions :

['Αλ]κιβ[ιάδη]ς είπε καθ ά ξαπέτωτο Ση-[λυμβριαι]οι πρ[ος 'Αθ]ηναίους, κιτά ταῦτα ποιείς, [και καταθ]είναι έμ[πόλ]ει ἀναγγραμαντας τούς τ-[ε δρκους και τ]ας συνθή[κ]ας ματί τοῦ γραμματέως τ-

- 5 [ής βουλής] (here something has been erased) έν στήλη λιθι-[νη τέλεσ]ι τοις αὐτῶν [κ]αὶ τὸ ψηφισμα τόδε. ['Απολλόδω]ρον δὲ τὸν Ἐμπέδου ἐταινέσαι, καὶ ἀφεῖ-[ναι αὐτὸν τῆ]ς δμηρε(l)ας, καὶ [[τɨ] ξαλείψαι τὰ δνόμα-[τα τῶν δμήρ]ων τῶν Σηλυμ[β] μασῶν καὶ τῶν ἐγγυη-
- 10 [τών είναι κ]ύριον τον γραμ[μα]]τώς της βουλής, [δ]π[όσοι είσι γεγ]ραμμένοι, έναντίον πώι πρυτάνε-[ωνμ]αχου δε τον Σηλαμμ²ρια]νον άναγρ-[άψαι έν τη] αύτη στήλη πρόξε[[νω 'A]θηναίων²

[είναι δε κα]ι 'Απολλοδώρφ τημ προ[ξε]νίαν κα-

15 $[\theta d \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \tilde{\varphi}]$ πατρί αὐτοῦ. τοὺς δὲ πρέσβ[εις καὶ] ᾿Απολ-[λόδωρου κ]αλέσαι ἐς πρυτανεῖον ἐπ[ὶ ξέν]ια ἐ-[ς αξριον].

Compare No. 28. Alkibiades arrived at Athens B. C. 408, on the day of the Plynteria, twenty-fifth of Thargelion (June), and stayed until the Eleusinia were over (Sept.); within this time this decree was passed. I follow the chronology of Curtius, Gr. Gesch. ii. 680.

59.

Revision of the Laws: B.C. 409.

The text is from C. I. A. i. 61; Suppl. to vol. i. p. 18; cp. Köhler, Hermes, ii. 27 foll.

The next lines, though much mutilated, are restored by Köhler by help of Demosth. in Macarlatum, p. 1069, as follows :--[aldéσασθαι δ', έαν μεν πατήρ] ή ή άδελφό[s] ή ύης, άπα[ντας], ή τό[ν One of the measures which followed the restoration if the democracy, in the summer of B.C. 411, was a revision of this laws. This appears from Lysias (in Nicom. init.), who accuses Nikomachos, one of the draypaphies of this decree (§ 1), of having been six years about the business (cp. Grote, ch. 66; and p. 79, mpra). This revision was interrupted by the calamities which sawa followed: but the work was revived upon the restoration under Thrasybulos, B. C. 403 ; see Andok. De Myst. 83,- Εδοξε πο δήμφ. Τισαμειώς είπε πολιτεύεσθαι 'Αθηναίους κατά τα πάτρια σύμοις δε χρήσθαι τοις Σόλωνος, και μέτροις και σταθμοις, χρήσθαι δε και τοις Δράκοιτος θεσμοις, οίσπερ έχρώμεθα έν τώ πρόσθεν γνύνω, κ.τ.λ. The mover of our decree, Xenophanes, was probably one of the draypapins of B.C. 411; it authorizes the ypappuarers of the Bouln (who changed with every prytany) to give them a true copy of Drako's law, that it might be inscribed and set up in the usual place : cp. Harpokration, s. v. κύρβειs' draymaineres δε τούς νόμους είς τας κύρβεις, έστησαν εν τη στος τη βασωλείς. On Drako's law on involuntary homicide see Meier and Schömann, Der All. Process, pp. 15 foll.; and on the peculiar number of the Ephetæ, 51, which has been variously accounted for, see Schömann, Antiq. juris pub. Græc. p. 171. It is well known that Solon retained Drako's laws respecting homicide (Pinzurch, Sulur, 17).

Æginetans restored by Lysander: soon after B.C. 404.

A marble found on the site of the temple of Athena at Ægina, and now at Munich: Böckh, C. I. G. ii. 2139.

The writing is later than the archonship of Euklid, but not much later. In B. C. 431 Ægina was occupied by Athenian settlers (Thuk. ii. 27): in the winter of 405 Lysauder restored it to the Æginetans (Xen. Hell. ii. 2. 9). How came this inventory to be drawn up by an Attic hand a year or two later? Böckh's explanation is this. During the Attic occupation, the temple was under Attic management. It was some time before the island became thoroughly Dorian once more; and this document is part of the inventory of temple furniture drawn up when the temple finally passed from Athenian to Æginetan hands.

- § Articles of iron (the beginning is lost): $\dot{a}\lambda\dot{v}$. σει ||. σιδήρια $\dot{e}\xi$ δπη̂s (iron window-bars) ||||. καρκίνω ||. § Wooden articles: Ξύλινα τάδε $\dot{e}\xi\dot{a}\lambda\epsilon_{i}$ πτρον |. κι-5 βωτοι |||. ἰκρία περί τὸ ξδος ἐντελη̂ (railing round the image). θρόνος |. δίφρος |. βάθρα ||||. θρόνος μικρός |. κλίνη σμικρὰ |. βάθρον ἀνάκλι-10 σιν ξχον |. κιβώτια μι-
- κρά ΙΙΙ. βάθρον υποκρατήριον Ι. κιβώτιον πλατύ Ι. § Articles in the sacristan's room : εν τῷ ἀμφιπολείφ τάδε· χαλκίον θερμαν-
- τήριον (a boiler) Ι. χειρόνιπτρον
 φιάλα ΙΙ. πέλεκυς Ι. μοχλός Ι. μαχαίρια ΙΙΙ. κλίνα ΙΙ. χαλκίον έγλουτήριον (a rinsing bowl) Ι. ἀρύστιχο-
- 20 sl. ήθμόsl.

Delos freed from Athenian control: soon after B.C. 404.

Lately discovered in the French explorations, and published in the Bulletin de Correspondance Hellén. iii. p. 12.

.... καὶ θ[ιῶ ν] καὶ να Ϝῶ ν καὶ τῶν χ ρημάτων τ ῶν τοῦ θιοῦ.
 Ἐβασίλευον·
 Ἄγις, Παυσανίας·
 Ἔφοροι ἦσαν·
 Θυιωνίδας
 ᾿Αρχίστας
 Σωλόγας
 Φειδίλας.
 Ἐν Δήλ[φ δ' ἦρχεν ? ...

The date is fixed by the kingship of Agis and Pausanias. Agis appears to have died B.C. 397 (Xen. Hell. iii. 3. 1). and Delos was in Athenian hands until Ægospotami. This document can hardly be anything else than a decree of the Lakedæmonians instating the Delians in the full possession of their own temples and temple treasures. After the two kings, the five ephors are named. On the relations between Athens and Delos see Nos. 38 and 82; and Mr. Jebb's paper on Delos in the Journal of Hellenic Studies, vol. i. p. 7, and esp. pp. 23, 58.

PART IV.

FROM THE ARCHONSHIP OF EUKLID

TO CHÆRONEA.

в. с. 403-338.

62.

Restoration of a monument destroyed by the Thirty: probably B.C. 403.

Found on the Akropolis. The text from C. Curtius, Hermes, iv. 404; Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 3.

Θεοί.

- [Αμύντο]ρος, Εἰρυπύλου, [Αργεί]ου, Λόκρου, 'Αλκίμου. [Έδοξε] ν τῆ βουλῆ Οἰνηὶς ς [ἐπρυ]τάνευε, Δεξίθεος ἐγ-[ραμ]μάτευε, Δημοκλῆς ἐπε-[σ]τάτει, Μονιππίδης εἶπε· 'Αμύντορι καὶ Εὐρυπύλψ καὶ 'Αργείψ καὶ Λόκρψ κα-10 ὶ [Ά]λκίμψ τοῖς [Ά]πημάντου παισί, ἐπειδὴ καθηρέθη
 - ή στήλη [ε]πί των τριάκοντα εν ή ή[ν α]ύτοις ή προξεν
 - ί[a, å]ν[a]γράψ[aι] την στήλην
- 15 τόγ γραμμα[τέα τ]ής βουλής τέλεσι τοῖς Εὐρυπύλου· καλέσαι δὲ καὶ ἐπὶ ξένια Εὐρύπυλον ἐς τὸ πρυτανεῖον ἐς αὕριον.

'Aµúvrwp'Aπµávrov occurs in a fragmentary decree (C. I. A. ii. 4) containing a list of names apparently of *Thesians* who had been exiled for their Athenian sympathies. The sons of Apemantos had probably been exiled from Thasos in the revolution of B. C. 411 (Thuk. viii. 64 supra No. 57), and had been rewarded by the Athenians with a decree of proxenia (comp. also Lysander's treatment of Thasos, Nepos, Lysand. 2; Grete, ch. 65). Eurypylos happening to be at Athens, discovers that this decree has been destroyed by the Thirty, and obtains permission to restore it. (Comp. Xen. *Hell.*, i. 4. 9; Dem. *Lept.* 474.)

63.

Colonists from Opuntian Lokrians at Naupaktos : B.C. 403 (?).

A bronze tablet from Naupaktos in the Woodhouse Collection; it should have come to the British Museum, but it has disappeared. I give the text from W. Vischer, Rhein. Museum, 1871, pp. 39 foll.; cp. A. Riedenauer, Hermes, vii. 111; Bréal, Rezue Archéol. 1876, p. 115.

§ 1. [Obverse side.] Έν Ναύπακτον κατόνδε ά 'πιΓοικία. Λοφρόν τόν Υποκναμίδιον, ἐπ|εί κα Ναυπάκτιος γένηται, Ναυπακτίων ἐόντα ὅπω[s] ξένος, ὅσια λανχάν|ειν καὶ θύειν ἐξεῖμεν ἐπιτυχόντα, εἴ κα δείληται· αἴ κα δείληται θύειν καὶ λ|ανχάνειν κἦ δάμω κἦ φοινάνων αὐτὸν καὶ τὸ γένος καταιΓεί. τέλος το|ὺς ἐπιΓοίφους Λοκρῶν τῶν Υποκναμιδίων μὴ φάρειν ἐν Λοφροῖς τοῦ|ς Υποκναμιδίοις, φρίν κ' αῦ τις Λοφρός γένηται τῶν Υποκναμιδίων. αἰ | δείλετ' ἀνχωρεῖν καταλείποντα ἐν τῷ ἰστία παῖδα ἡβατὰν ἦδελφεὸν ἐξ|εῖμεν ἄνευ ἐνετηρίων. αἴ κα ὑπ' ἀνάσκας ἀπελάωνται ἐ Ναυπάκτω Λοφ|ροὶ τοὶ Υποκναμίδιοι ἐξεῦμεν ἀνχωρεῖν· ὅπω Γέκαστος ἦν, ἅνευ ἐ|νετηρίων. τέλος μὴ φάρειν μηδέν, ὅτι μὴ [μ]ετὰ Λοφρῶν τῶν Γεσπαρίζων.

Α. Ένορφον τοῖς ἐπιΓοίφοις ἐν Ναύπακτον μήποστâμεν ἀ[π' 'O]ποιτίων | τέκνα καὶ μαχανά μηδεμιά Γεφόντας τον ὅρφον ἐξείμεν, αι κα δεί λωιται, ἐπάγειν μετὰ τριάφοιτα Γέτεα ἀπὸ τῶ ὅρφω ἐκατον άνδρας 'O'ποντίοις Ναυπακτίων, καὶ Ναυπακτίοις 'Οποντίους.

B. Όσστις κα λιποτελέη | έγ Ναυπάκτω των έπι^Γοίφων άπο Λοφρών είμετ, έντε κ' άποτείση τὰ νόμια Ναυπακτίοις.

Γ. ΑΙ κα μη γένος έν τα ίστια η έχέπαμον των έπι Γοίςων η (sic) έν Ναυπάκτφ, Λοςρών των Υποκναμιδίων του έπάνχισ του κρατείν, Λοςρών δπω κ' η, αυτον ίδντα, αΙ κ' ανηρ η ή παις, τριών μηνών al δε μή, τοις Ναυπακτίοις νομίοις χρησται.

Δ. Έ Νανπάκτω ἀνχωρέ]οντα ἐν Λοφρούς τοὺς Υποκναμιδίους ἐν Ναυπάκτψ καρῦξαι ἐν τά|γορῷ κὴν Λοφροῖς τοῖ[s] Υποκναμιδίοις ἐν τῷ πόλι ὥ κ' ϳ καρῦξαι ἐν | τἀγορῷ.

Ε. Περφοθαριών και Μυσαχέων, επεί κα Ναυπάκτι[ός τι]ς γένηται, αυτός και τα χρήματα την Ναυπάκτω τοις εν Ναυπάκτω χρήσται, | τὰ δ' ἐν Λοφροῖς τοῖς Υποκναμιδίοις χρήματα τοῖς Υποκναμιδί|οις | [Reverse side] νομίοις χρήσται, ὅπως ἀ πόλις Γεκάστων νομίζει Λοφρών τών Υποκν|αμιδίων. αί τις ὑπὸ τῶν νομίων τῶν ἐπιΓοίκων ἀνχωρέει Περφοθαριά|ν καὶ Μυσαχέων, τοῖς αὐτῶν νομίοις χρήσται κατὰ πόλιν Γεκάστους.]

F. Αἴ κ' ἀδελφεοὶ ἔωντι τών Ναύπακτου ^Γοικέοντος, ὅπως καὶ Λοφρώ|υ τῶυ Υποκναμιδίων Γεκάστων νόμος ἐστί, αἴ κ' ἀποθάνῃ, τῶν χ|ρημάτων κρατεῖυ τὸυ ἐπίΓοιφου, τὸ κατιφόμενου κρατεῖυ. |

Ζ. Τοὺς ἐπιΓοίφους ἐν Ναύπακτον τὰν δίκαν πρόδιφον ἀρέσται ποτοὺς δἰκαστῆρας, ἀρέσται καὶ δόμεν ἐν ἘΠόκυτι κατὰ Γέ[τ]ος αὐταμαρόν. Λοφίρῶν τῶν Ὑποκυαμιδίων προστάταν καταστᾶσαι, τῶν Λοφρῶν τῶπιΓ|οίφψ καὶ τῶν ἐπιΓοίφων τῷ Λοφρῷ, οίτινές κα Ἐπι[Γε]τès (?) ἔντιμοι ἕ[ωντι].]

Η. Όσσ|τις κ' ἀπολίπῃ πατάρα καὶ τὸ μέρος τῶν χρημάτων τῷ πατρί, ἐπεί κ' | ἀπογένηται, ἐξεῖμεν ἀπολαχεῖν τὸν ἐπίΓοιφον ἐν Ναύπακτον. |

Θ. "Οσστις κα τὰ ΓεΓαδηκότα διαφθείρῃ τέχνα καὶ μαχανῆ καἰὶ μιῷ, ὅτι κα μὴ ἀνφοτάροις δοκέῃ, Ὁποντίων τε χιλίων πλήθἰα καὶ ΝαΓπακτίων τῶν ἐπιΓοίρων πλήθα, ἄτιμον εἰμεν καὶ χρήματα παματοφαγείσται· τώνκαλειμένψ τὰν δίκαν δόμεν τὸν ἀρίχόν, ἐν τριάροντ' ἀμάραις δόμεν, αι κα τριάροντ' ἀμάραι λείπωντίαι τῶς ἀρχῶς· αι κα μὴ διδῷ τῷ ἐνκαλειμένψ τὰν δίκαν, ἄτιμον εἰμεν καὶ χρήματα παματοφαγείσται. τὸ μέρος μετὰ Γοίκιατῶν διομόσαι δρουν τὸν νόμιον· ἐν ὑδρίαν τὰν ψάφιίζειν εἰμεν καττὸ θέθμιον τοῖς Υποκναμιδίοις Λορροῖς. Ταὐίτὰ τέλεον εἰμεν Χαλειέοις τοῦς σὺν ᾿Αντιφάτα Γοικηταῖς.

This is a law passed by the Opuntian Lokrians to regulate the precise relations which should exist between their colonists, who were leaving to settle at Naupaktos, and the old country at home. At the time of this enactment the Lokri Opuntii and Hypoknemidii (= Epikn.) formed one aggregate, composed of a number of separate cities, which regarded Opus as their $\mu\eta\tau\rho \phi$ - $\pi o\lambda \iota s$. The writing and style are rude, as might be expected from this region of Greece; but the dialect is certainly later than that of No. 31. It is therefore most probable that the colonists spoken of were sent to Naupaktos soon after the end of the Peloponnesian war, when the Messenians were ejected from Naupaktos by the Spartans: Pausan. x. 38. 5, rà $\delta \epsilon \mu \omega i$ Naurax- $\tau (\omega \nu, \dot{\omega} s \tau o \hat{i} s i l 0 \dot{\omega} \eta \eta \nu \dot{d} \pi o \tau \hat{a} \sigma \iota \sigma \dot{\mu} \dot{\phi} \tau \dot{\phi} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon$ - ٢,

δαίμονι 'Αθηναΐοι Ναύπακτον ἐνοικῆσαί σφισιν ἐδοσαν ἀφελόμενοι roùs Λοκρούς (Ozolian), καὶ ὡς roῦ 'Αθηναίων ὕστερον πταίσματος roῦ ἐν Αἰγὸς ποταμοῖς Λακεδαιμόνιοι τοὺς Μεσσηνίους ἐδίωξαν καὶ ἐκ τῆς Ναυπάκτου, τάδε μὲν ἐπεξῆλθέ μοι καὶ ἐς πλέον ἡ Μεσσηνία συγγραφή (iv. 24. 3; 26. 2). ἐκλιπόντων δὲ ὑπὸ ἀνάγκης τῶν Μεσσηνίων, οὕτως οἱ Λοκροὶ συrελέχθησαν αὖθις ἐς τὴν Ναύπακτον (cp. Thuk. i. 103). Among the 'Lokrians who flocked back again to Naupaktos' there were, according to this inscription, some from Opuntian or E. Lokris.

The commencement seems wanting, for there is no date or heading : and $\kappa a \tau \delta v \delta \epsilon$ is harsh for $\kappa a \tau \lambda \tau \delta v \delta \epsilon$ ($\tau \delta v v \delta \mu o v$). After the first paragraph, the rest are numbered in the original (A, B, Γ , etc.). We will adopt these divisions in the following notes.

§ 1. 'Terms of colonization to $(\ell v = \ell s)$ Naupaktos. After a Lokrian has become a citizen of Naupaktos, he shall retain his home rights as if he were no more than a Eévos at Naupaktos, and may take part in all rightful (ora=sacra, which he has a right to as a Lokrian) sacrifices whatsoever $(\partial \pi \tau \tau v \gamma \delta \nu \tau a = \tau a)$ τυχόντα), if he wishes $(=\beta_0 i \lambda_\eta \tau a_i)$, himself and his family for ever ;---sacrifices, whether of the people or of brotherhoods (in sourâres the reference is to the sacra of the gens or of the tribe etc. $\kappa \eta = \kappa \alpha i$ é for ék.). The colonists not to pay taxes to E. Lokris, unless they return and become E. Lokrians again. If a colonist returns, leaving an adult son or brother in his place, he may be enrolled on the E. Lokrian registers without entrance-fee (elouripia), at whatsoever town ($\delta \pi \omega = \delta \pi \delta \theta \epsilon r$) in E. Lokris he came from. Similarly if the colonists are ever ejected by enemies. They are to pay taxes only as citizens of W. Lokris, (i.e. not to pay any perolator at Naupaktos, but to be full citizens)."

§ A. 'The colonists to swear to remain for ever allied with E. Lokris: and thirty years from this swearing, the Opuntians ' may call upon one hundred Lokrians of Naupaktos to swear the oath for the colonists again, and the Naupaktian Lokrians may likewise call upon the Opuntians.'

§ B. 'A colonist who returns from Naupaktos in debt to the colony, not to be received into E. Lokrians again, until the debts be paid.'

§ Γ . 'If a colonist dies, and leaves no issue to succeed him at Naupaktos ($i\chi\epsilon\pi\dot{a}\mu\omega\nu=i\pi\epsilon\pi\dot{a}\mu\omega\nu=i\pi\epsilon\dot{a}\mu\lambda\eta\rho\sigma$), then his next of kin in his native town of E. Lokris may go in person and claim the estate, within three months of his death : otherwise the property must fall under the usual Naupaktian laws for such cases.'

§ Δ . 'A colonist returning from Naupaktos must notify the fact by the herald in the *agora* of Naupaktos, and in the town to which he belongs in E. Lokris' ($\Delta = \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$).

§ E. This refers to two gentes (?) of E. Lokris whose names are peculiar: $\Pi \epsilon \rho \rho o \theta a \rho lai$ suggests $\pi \epsilon \rho i \kappa d \theta a \rho \mu a$, and $M v \sigma a \chi \epsilon \epsilon s$ $\mu v \sigma \sigma s \cdot d \kappa \epsilon \tilde{i} \sigma \theta a i$. It is evident that these two gentes or tribes stood in some respects on a different footing from the other E. Lokrians, but whether the difference lay in special privileges or in certain disqualifications, does not appear. Whatever their peculiar position was, it was not to follow them to Naupaktos: it had to do with the possession and inheritance of property.

§ f. 'If a colonist at Naupaktos leave brothers in E. Lokris, and one of his brothers dies, the colonist-brother is to take possession of the property—i.e. his share of it.'

§ Z. 'In law-suits between a colonist and an E. Lokrian, the colonists are to bring the case before the courts at Opus within one year from the day of the offence: and they are to have their case heard before others ($\pi\rhoo\delta\iota\kappa(a: \dot{a}\rho\epsilon\sigma\tau a\iota = i\lambda\epsilon\sigma\sigma\theta a\iota : cp. \lambda a\mu\beta\dot{a}-\nu\epsilon\iota\nu \delta\iota\kappa as$ Hdt. v. 83). Such colonists of E. Lokrians as are magistrates for the year are to appoint $\pi\rhoo\sigma\tau\dot{a}\tau a\iota$ in the respective countries, an E. Lokrian $\pi\rhoo\sigma\tau\dot{a}\tau \eta s$ for the colonists who may be staying in Lokris, and a Naupaktian $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\tau\dot{a}\tau \eta s$ for the E. Lokrians who may visit Naupaktos.'

§ H. 'A colonist who leaves a father behind him, from whom he has expectations, shall be entitled to his share $(d\pi o\lambda a\chi \epsilon i\nu)$ upon the father's death ' (unless, of course, disinherited altogether).

§ Θ . 'These statutes ($f \epsilon f a \delta \eta \kappa \delta \tau a$, pf. from $\dot{a} \tau \delta \dot{a} \tau \omega$) under no pretext to be broken, under the heaviest penalties ($\pi a \mu a \tau \sigma \phi a$ - $\gamma \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \sigma \tau a$: clearly = $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \iota \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$). Anyone charging another with breach of them is to have immediate hearing. The "party" accusing ($\tau \partial \mu \ell \rho \sigma$ s) to swear that he is telling truth with imprecations upon himself and his household. The dikasts to give their vote by ballot. The above regulations with regard to colonists from E. Lokris are to hold good mutatis mutandis for colonists from Chaleion under Antiphates.'

۲.,

This free translation will explain most of the difficulties. It seems as if both at Naupaktos and in E. Lokris the chief legislative power lay with a kind of limited $i \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ of one thousand citizens ($\chi \iota \lambda i \omega \nu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma a$), reminding one of the 'five thousand' at Athens under the oligarchy (Thuk. viii. 72 etc.).

64.

Honours to the Samian Demos for their fidelity: B.C. 403.

Found at Athens. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. pp. 393 foll.

Of the first decree only a few syllables remain.

Second decren, § I. [Έδοξεν τῆ βούλη καὶ τῷ δήμψ Πανδ]ιοris ἐπρυτάνευε, 'Αγύβριος Κ[ολλυτείς | ἐγραμμάτευε, Εὐκλείδης ἦρχε, Ka]λλίας "Ωαθεν ἐπεστάτει' Κηφισοφῶν [εἶπεν | ἐπαινέσαι τοὺς Σαμίους ὅτι εἰσὶν] ἄνδρες ἀγαθοὶ περὶ 'Αθηναίους, καὶ ἀπ[αιτα | κύρια εἶναι ἁ πρότερον ὁ δῆμος] ἐψηφίσατο ὁ 'Αθηναίων τῷ δήμφ τῷ Σ[αμίων |....

For Agyrrhios cp. Dem. in Timocr. 742.

§ 2. The Athenians to assist the Samians in their embassy to Spurta. τοι κελεύουσιν ές Λακεδαίμονα δυτινα σδέονται 'Αθηναίων συνπράττειν προσελέσ[θαι | συμπρατ]τόντων τοῖς Σαμίοις ὅτι ἀν δύνωνται ἀγαθώ[ν.] | ... ἐκείνων. § 3. Praise of Ephesos and Notion and —? for harbouring the Sumin exiles: ἐπαινοῦσι δὲ 'Αθηναῖοι Ἐφεσίους καὶ Νοτ[ιῆς | ὅτι] Saμίων τοὺς ἕξω ὅντας. § 4. Further compliments: προσαγαγεῖι δὲ τὴν πρεσβεί[αν | τῶν Σαμίων ἐς τὸν δῆμ]ον χρηματίσασθαι ἐάι του δέωνται καλέσαι δὲ καὶ ἐπὶ | [δεῖπνον τὴν πρεσβ]είαν τῶν Σαμίων ἐς τὸ πρυτανεῖον ἐς αῦριον. § 5. Kephisophon (Xen. Hell. ii. 4. 36) mores the cordial adoption of the above proposals: Κηφισοφῶν | [εἶπε. τὰ μὲν ἕλλα κ]αθάπερ τῆ βουλῆ[°] ἐψηφίσθαι δὲ 'Αθηκαίων τῷ δήμφ κύρια | [εἶναι τὰ ἐψηφισμ]ένα πρότερον περὶ Σαμίων καθάπερ ή βουλη προβουλεύσασα | [ἐς τὸν δη̂μον ἐσ]ήνεγκεν καλέσαι δὲ τὴν πρεσβ**είαν τῶν** Σαμίων ἐπὶ δεῖπνον | [ἐς τὸ πρυτανεῖο]ν ἐς αὖριον. |

Third decree, in honour of Poses. § 1. ['Edofev tŷ Boudŷ] καί τῷ δήμφ· 'Ερεχθηίς επρυτάνευεν, Κηφισοφών Πα[ιανι]εύ[ς]] [εγραμμάτευεν, Ευκλ]είδης ήρχε, Πύθων εκ Κηδών επεστάτει, Ευ-[... είπε | επαινέσαι Ποσήν τον] Σάμιον ότι ανήρ αγαθός εστιν π ερί 'Aθηναίους, καὶ ἀνθ' ῶν[..., δοῦναι αὐτῷ τὸν δημο]ν δωρειάν πεντακοσίας δραχμάς [[....οί δε ταμί]αι δόντων το άργύριον προσαγαγείν δε αὐτό[ν | εs τὸν δη̂μον καὶ εὐρέσθαι πα]ρὰ τοῦ δήμου δτι αν δύνηται αγαθόν το δε βιβλίον | (= ψήφισμα, Ar. Av. 1024) [.... αὐτ]ῷ τὸγ γραμματέα τῆς βουλῆς αὐτίκα μάλα | [καλέσαι δε έπι ξέρια Σαμίους τ]ους ήκοντας ές το πρυτανείον ές aύριον.] § 2. Rider to the above probouleuma: [O δείνα είπε τὰ μὲν ἄλλα καθά]τερ τῆ βουλῆ, ἐπαινέσαι δὲ Ποσῆν τὸν | [Σάμιον καλ τοὺς ὕεῖς, ἐπειδη ἄνδρες ἀγ]αθοί είσιν περλ τὸν δημον τὸν Ἀθηναίων, | [καὶ κύρια εἶναι τὰ ἐψηφισμένα πρότερ]ον ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου τοῦ 'Aθηναίων καὶ ἀraypá [[ψαι ... ἐν στήλη] λιθίνη, οἱ δὲ ταμίαι παρασχόντων | [τὸ ἀργύριον . . δοῦναι δὲ . . Πο]ση δωρεάν τὸν δήμου χιλίας δραχμας [..., από δ]ε των χιλίων δραχμών στέφανον ποήσα [ι καί επιγράψαι τούτφ στεφανούν αύ]τον τον δήμον ανδραγαθίας ἕνεκα καὶ | [φιλίας τῆς ἐς ᾿Αθηναίους· ἐπιγράψαι δὲ] καὶ Σαμίους ότι είσιν άκδρες άγαθοί | [[να δε εύρωνται και άλλο άγαθον παρά] του δήμου, προσάγειν αυτούς τούς πρυτ άνει ε ές την πρώτην έκκλησίαν μετά τα ίερ]ά· προσαγαγείν δε και τους ύεις το[υς Ποσέου | τούς πρυτάνεις ές την βουλην ές την πρώτ]ην έδραν καλέσαι δέ κ[aì...]. και Σαμίων τοὺς έπ....

65.

The Korinthian War: alliance between Bœotia and Athens: B.C. 395.

From the Akropolis. Köhler in Hermes, v. 1; C. I. A. ii. 6. $[\Theta \epsilon]ol.$ $[\Sigma \nu \mu] \mu a \chi la Boiw[\tau \hat{w} \nu \kappa a l'A \theta \eta \nu a l w \nu es \tau o <math>\nu d \epsilon l] \chi \rho \delta \nu o r.$ $[Ed \nu \tau] ls l \eta e \pi [l \pi o l e \mu v e \pi' A \theta \eta \nu a l o v s \eta$ 5 κarà] $\gamma \eta \nu \eta \kappa a \tau [d e d \lambda a \tau \tau a \nu, \beta o \eta \theta e i \nu \beta o i w-$

k.

τ]ούς [π]αντὶ σθ[ένει καθότι ἀν ἐπαγγέλλωσιν] 'Αθηναῖο[ι κατὰ τὸ δυνατόν· καὶ ἐάν τις ἴ]ŋ ἐπὶ [πολέμϣ ἐπὶ Βοιωτοὺς ἢ κατὰ γῆν ἢ] κ[α]τὰ θάλατταν, βοηθεῖν 'Αθηναί-10 [ους . . . κ.τ.λ.]

The lacunæ are easily restored, as the formulæ are well known. For the history see Xen. Hell. iii. 5. 3-16; Lysias, pro Mantitk. § 13: $\pi\rho\tilde{\omega}rov\ \mu\epsilon v\ yd\rho$, $\delta\tau\epsilon\ \tau\eta v\ \sigma\nu\mu\mu\alpha\chi(av\ \epsilon\pi oinfoa\sigma\theta\epsilon$ $\pi\rho\deltas\ rows$ Bouwrows kal els 'Allaprov $\epsilon\delta\epsilon\iota\ \betao\eta\theta\epsilon v$, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. The decree was proposed by Thrasybulos (see Grote, ch. 74). Observe that the 'Beotians' are spoken of throughout, for at this time Thebes was supreme in Beotia: after the peace of Antalkidas the Beotian towns were declared independent (Xen. Hell. v. 1. 32 foll., cp. vi. 3. 18 foll.).

66.

Fragment of a Treaty between Athens and Eretria: B.C. 395.

Found at Athens: the text from Köhler, Mittheil. d. deutsch. Inst. 1877, p. 213.

- κατὰ] τὸ [δ]υνατό[ν ὅτι δ' ἀν ὅοκῆ ἀμεινον εἶναι τ]οῦν πολέοιν κοινῆ [βουλευομέναιν, τοῦτ]ο κύριον εἶναι· [δ]μόσα[ι δὲ 'Αθηναίων μὲν τ]οὺς στρατηγο[ὺς καὶ τὴ-5 ν βουλὴν καὶ τοὺς ἱ]ππέας, Ἐρετρίεων δὲ τ[οὺ-5 στρατηγοὺς καὶ τ]ὴν βουλὴν καὶ το[ὺς ἱπ]π[έας καὶ τὰς ἁλλας] ἀρχάς· ὑπάρχειν δ[ὲ...]σ. [....] ν ὀμνύναι δὲ τ[ό]ν [ν]όμ[ι]μ[ον ὅρκον ἐκατέρο]υς τὸν παρά σφισιν αὐτ[ο-10 ῖς· ἐλέσθαι δὲ πρ]έσβεις αὐτίκα μάλ[α] τὴ[ν β-
- ο ες εκεσσαι σε πριεσρεις αυτικα μαλίαι τηιν βουλην δέκα άνδριας, πέντε μεν εκ της βοίυλης, πέντε δε εξ ίδιιωτων, οίτινες άποίληψοεται τούς δρκους παιρά Ἐρετ[ριέω]ν, κ.τ.λ.

Xenophon, in his catalogue of the forces on the side of Athens in the Korinthian war, says: $\kappa a \downarrow \mu \eta \nu \ \ell \xi \ E \vartheta \beta o (as \ a \pi d \sigma \eta s \ o v \kappa \ell \lambda a \tau roos \tau \rho \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda (u e l l. iv. 2. 17). So Diod. xiv. 82: evols y a a a v rois <math>\eta \tau \epsilon \ E \vartheta \beta o (a \ a \pi a \sigma a \ \pi \rho o \sigma \ell \theta \epsilon ro, \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. This fragment is assigned to this date chiefly from the style of the writing.

Korinthian War: alliance between Athens and the Lokrians: B.C. 395.

Köhler, Hermes, v. 2; C. I. A. ii. 7. From the Akropolis.

... **'** Αθη]ναίων καὶ Λ[οκρών ..

..... έάν τις ίη ἐπ' 'Αθ]ηναίους ἐπὶ πολέμ[ψ ἡ κατὰ γῆν ἡ κατὰ θάλατταν, βοηθ]εῖν Λοκροὺς παντὶ σθέν[ει καθότι ἀν ἐπαγγέλλωσι 'Αθηνα]ῖοι κατὰ τὸ δυνατόν. [καὶ ἐ-5 άν τις ἵη ἐπὶ Λοκροὺς ἐπὶ πολέ]μψ ἡ κατὰ γῆν ἡ κατὰ [θάλατταν, βοηθεῖν 'Αθηναίους παντὶ] σθένει καθότι ἀν ἐ[παγγέλλωσι Λοκροὶ κατὰ τὸ δυνατόν]. ὅτι δ' ἀν άλλο δοκῆ 'Α[θηναίοις καὶ Λοκροῖς συμβουλευομέ]νοις τοῦτο κύριο[ν εἶναι].

The Opuntian Lokrians are meant, who are termed $\Lambda orpoi$ without further qualification by Herod. (vii. 132) and Thuk. (ii. 9). The Korinthian war arose out of a quarrel between Lokris and Phokis concerning $\chi \omega \rho as dup \omega \sigma \beta \eta \tau \eta \sigma (\mu ov (Xen. Hell. iii. 5. 3),$ the Lokrians being supported by Thebes, the Phokians by Sparta; the underlying cause being the Theban reaction against Lakedæmonian supremacy. See Xen. Hell. iv. 3. 15 and 2. 17. It is probable that the treaty before us was concluded before the battle of Haliartos, at the same time with the preceding. See Grote, ch. 74.

68.

Battle of Korinth and Battle of Koroneia: July, August, B.C. 394.

Οΐδε ίππεῆς ἀπέθαιον ἐν Κορί**νθψ· | φύ**λαρχος Ἀντιφάνης, | Μελησίας, ἘΟιητορίδης, Λυσίθεος, Πάνδιος, Νικόμαχος, | Θεάγγελος, Φάνης, Δημοκλέης, Δεξιλέως, Ἐνδηλος. | Ἐν Κορωνείς· | Νεοκλείδης.

Alluded to by Pausanias, i. 29. 8: $\kappa \epsilon i \nu \tau a \iota \delta \epsilon$ (on the road from the Dipylon to the Akademy) $\kappa a \iota \delta \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota K \delta \rho \iota \nu \theta \sigma \nu \pi \epsilon \sigma \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$ έδήλωσε δὲ σὐχ ἥκιστα (so he moralizes) ὁ θεὸς ἐνταῦθα καὶ αὐθις ἐν Λεύκτροις τοὺς ὑπὸ Ἑλλήνων καλουμένους ἀνδρείους τὸ μηδὲν ἄνευ τύχης εἶκαι κ.τ.λ. Xenophon (Hell. iv. 2. 17) says that the Athenian cavalry at Korinth numbered six hundred; he does not give the number at Koroneia (ibid. 3. 15). In both battles, especially in the latter, the hard fighting was between the foot-soldiers; the cavalry were scarcely engaged. See the next inscription.

69.

Battle of Korinth: B.C. 394.

A beautiful steld, discovered in 1863, just outside the Dipylon. Above the inscription is a fine relief: a youthful horseman is striking his fallen foe with a spear. Figured in Dyer's *Athens*, p. 497; Kumanudes, 'Estyp. $4\pi\tau\tau\mu\mu\beta$. No. 540.

Δεξιλέως Λυσανίου Θορίκιος έγένετο ἐπὶ Τεισάνδρου ἄρχοντος ἀπέθαςε ἐπ' Εὐβουλίδου ἐγ Κορίνθφ τῶν πέντε ἱππέων.

Among the thousands of Attic tombs we know of, this one alone bears a date. Dexileos, named also in the preceding monument, was born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C. 414 when Tisander was archon (wrongly called $\Pi\epsilon$ is a born in B.C

70.

Honours to Konon, at Erythree in Ionia: B.C. 394.

Inscribed eroxysis on a stell in a church at Erythrae: the text from Le Bas-Waddington, Voyage Archiol. Pt. v. 39.

> [Έδοξεν] τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ [δήμφ· K]όιωνα ἀναγράψαι [εἰεργ]έτην Ἐρυθραίων [καὶ π]ρόξειον, καὶ προε-5 [δρί]ην αἰτῷ ε(ί)ναι ἐν Ἐρυ-[θρ]ῆσιν καὶ ἀτέλειαν [πά]στων χρημάτων καὶ [ἐ]σαγωγῆς καὶ ἐξαγωγῆς

126

[και] πολέμου και είρήνης. 10 [κ] αι Έρυθραιον είναι [αν] βούληται· είναι δε [ταυ]τα και αυτφ και εκ-[νόνοι]ς· ποιήσασθαι δε [αντοῦ ε] ἰκόνα χαλκῆν 15 [ετ(χρυσον] και στήσαι [δημοσία] Κόνωνι. ['Η βουλη] και [δ δήμος.]

This exactly accords with Xen. Hellen. iv. 8. I-2: Pharnabazos and Konon, after the victory of Knidos, went on a cruise round the islands and the maritime cities, expelling the Lakonian harmosts, and assuring the cities that their citadels should not be garrisoned nor their liberty interfered with: of d' $d\kappa o v'$ ources raura hours to kell informer k.r. So Diod. xiv. 84.

71.

Honours to Dionysios I. and his court: very early in B.C. 393.

A stele found in the Disnysiac theatre, broken at the bottom and right, surmounted by a relief; Athena, with her shield and serpent, gives her hand to a woman holding a sceptre or torch, who represents Sicily. Köhler in Hermes, iii. 157; C. I. A. ii. 8.

Ἐπ' Εὐβουλίδου ἄρχοντος, ἐπὶ τῆς [Πανδιο]νίδος ἕκτης πρυτανευούσης, ἡ Πλάτων Νικοχάρους Φλυεὺ[ς ἐγρα]μμάτευε. 5 Ἐδοξεν τῆ βουλῆ Κινησίας εἶπε π[ερὶ ῶν Ἀν]-

5 Ευσζεν τη βουλη Κισησιάς είκε κ[ερί ων Αν]δροσθένης λέγει, ἐπαινέσαι Δι[ο]ν[ύσιον τόν Σικ]ελίας άρχ[ο]ντ[α] καὶ Λεπτίνην [τὸν ἀδελφὸ]ν τὸν Διονυ[υ]σ[ίου κα]ὶ Θεαρίδην τὸ[ν ἀδελφὸν] τὸν Διονυσ[ίου καὶ Φιλ]όξενον τ[ὸν....

The proposer is Kinesias the dithyrambic poet, whose lean figure and profligate life made him the favourite butt of Aristophanes and the comedians: the 6th prytany would be about the time of the Lensea (Gamelion). Dionysios I. was all along an ally, not very active, of Sparta (Grote, ch. 83; Xen. *Hellen.* V. 1. 26, 28; vi. 2. 4, 33). But after the victory of Knidos (midsummer 394), when Kimon sailed with Pharnabazos to the Saronic Gulf early in 393 (issue Xen. Hellen. iv. 8. 7 foll.), so fast were Athenian hopes expanding, that Konon caused an Athenian embassy to be despatched the Dionysios at Syracuse, with the view of detaching him firon Sparta, and allying him with Athens (Grote, ch. 75 init.)). Lysias the orator, and two others, were sent (Lysias, xix. de: innis Ar. 19 foll.). Perhaps they carried with them the $\psi \eta \phi \mu \sigma \mu a$ before us: it is moved by a poet, who would probably be known to Dionysios, and certainly to Philoxenos the famous ditthy ambie poet, who is here named amongst the tyrant's househout (see Nos. 84, 88).

72.

Honours to Evagorass king of Kypros: B.C. 393.

Fragment found near the Diomysia: theatre. Köhler, C. I. A. ii. p. 397.

... Κόνω[ν ἐπαιν]έσαι δὲ αὐ[τῶν καὶ στεφανώσαι χρυσφ στεφ]άνφ, δ δὲ κ[ijμηζ ἀναγορευσάτω ἐν τῷ θεάτρφ] ὅτ[α]ν ο[ί] πρωίγφδοι ῶσι ὅτι δ δημος δ 'Α-5 θη]ναίων Εὐαγόρ[αμ: ττεφανοί ἀρετῆς ἔνεκεν τ]ῆς ἐς 'Αθηναίο[ως: εἶναι δὲ αὐτὸν 'Αθηναῖον α]ὐτὸν καὶ τοὺς ἐκ[γμωσυς], κ.τ.λ.

The formulæ are easily ressured. Evagoras materially helped Konon at the battle of Knidos, and received honours upon Konon's arrival at Athens: Isnkrates, *Erag.* 54-57; cp. Pausan. i. 3. 1; Lysias, xix. *de bonis A*. 20; Demosth. p. 161.

B.

Commercial Treaty with Phaselis: B.C. 393-392.

Edited by Köhler, Herras, vii. 159; C. I. A. ii. 11.

- § I. ['Εδο]ξεν τῆ [[Ε] μυλῆ καὶ τῷ δ[ήμφ' 'Α]καμαντῶ [ἐ]πρυτάνευε, ['O]νάσιππος ἐγραμμάτευεν, δης ἐπεαιτάτει, Λέω[ν ε]ί-
 - 5 [πε § 2. το] τω Φασηλίταις το ψ[ήφ]ι-[σμα αν]αγράψμα, υτι αμ με[ν] 'Αθ-

[ήνησι συμβό]λαιον γένηται [προς Φ]ασηλι[τ]ών τινα, 'Αθή[νησι τὰς δ]ίκας γίγνεσθαι π[αρ-10 à τώ πολ]εμάρχω καθάπερ Χ-[lois καί] άλλοθι μηδε άμου. § 3. τω-[ν δε άλλων] από ξυμβόλων κατ-[à τàs Χίων] ξυμβολàs πρός Φα-[σηλίταs] τàs δίκας ε[îva]ι, 15 τàs [δε]ο. ἀφελείν. § 4. έ[àν] δε τ-[ών ἀλλαχο]ῦ ἄρχ[ω]ν δ[ε]ε[η]ται δ-[ίκην κατά] Φασηλιτών τ[ι]νος, [τοῦτον μη τίν]ειν καταδίκας, $[d\lambda\lambda' η μεν δ(κη] ά[κυρο]s έστω. § 5. έ-$ 20 [av be erspin]a[1] b[or]n tà eun- $[\phi_{i\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu a}, \delta\phi]\epsilon_{i}[\lambda]\epsilon[\tau]\omega[\mu]v\rho[l]as \delta[\rho$ αχμάς ίερ]άς τη 'Αθηναία. § 6. Τ-[δ δε ψήφισμ] a τό δε] ἀνα[γρ] aψά-[τω δ γραμμ]ατεύς δ της βουλής 25 [ε στήλη λιθί]νη και καταθ-[έτω έν πόλει τ]έλεσι τοις τω-[ν Φασηλιτών].

No archon is named in § 1, but the date is soon after the battle of Knidos. It was then that Chios expelled the Spartan garrison and rejoined the Athenian alliance (Diod. xiv. 84), with Mitylene, Ephesos, and Erythræ. It was Chios that had introduced Phaselis into the Delian confederacy in Kimon's days (Plut. Kim. 12); and now again the two states act together. Phaselis, though a Dorian colony, remained one of the most faithful of the allies of Athens. It was the furthest member of the league to the East, and, lying on the highroad to Egypt and Phœnicia, enjoyed a prosperous trade, as its assessment at ten talents shows (Plut. l. c.; cp. Thuk. ii. 69). It was likely that suits would arise between merchants of Phaselis and of Athens: treaties providing for such cases between the citizens of two towns were common enough (σύμβολα), and suits conducted in accordance with such provisions were δίκαι άπο συμβόλων. Usually the case was heard in the defendant's city: but it is ruled in § 2 that all cases were to be heard at Athens before the

archon polemarch, at least all cases $\pi\epsilon\rho \partial \sigma\nu\mu\beta\delta\lambda\alpha\mu a$, or businesscontracts. § 3. $\delta(\kappa\alpha) \ d\pi\partial \sigma\nu\mu\beta\delta\lambda\omega\nu$ about other subjects are to be heard in accordance with the provisions already made in the treaty with Chios. § 4. This treaty in no way concerns disputes between Phaselites and non-Athenians $\tau[\hat{\omega}\nu \ d\lambda\lambda\alpha\chi o]\hat{\upsilon}$. It refers to cases between Athenians and citizens of the allied states. Only these were to be heard at Athens, and of these perhaps only the important ones. § 5. Fine threatened if the archon disobeys. The limitation was an important one for the allied city, as it limited the supremacy of Athens.

74.

Treaty between Amyntas III. (father of Philip) and the Chalkidians: B.C. 390-389.

A marble found at Olynthos, and now at Vienna. It is broken at the bottom, and inscribed on both sides A and B, not $\sigma \tau \alpha \chi \eta \delta \omega r$. The text from Le Bas-Waddington, Voyage Archéol. Pt. iii. No. 1406 (uncials only), and H. Sauppe, Inser. Macedon. quattuor, Weimar, 1847.

> Συνθήκαι προς 'Αμύντας τον 'Αρριδαl[ov.] Συνθήκαι 'Αμύντας τῷ 'Ερριδαίου καὶ Χαλκιδεῦσι· συμμάχους εἶν[aι] ἀλλήλοις κατὰ πάντας ἀνθρώπου[s] 5 ἔτεα πεντήκοντα, [ἐὰν δέ τι]ς ἐπ' 'Αμύ[ν]ταν ἴη ἔστ[ω ὅμοίως ἐμ π]ολέμ[φ] ἐπὶ Χα[λκιδέας, ἐὰν δέ τις ἐπὶ] Χαλκιδέa[s ἵη ἔστω καὶ ἐμ πολέμφ ἐπ' 'Αμύνταν, κ.τ.λ.]

> > B.

'Εξαγωγή δ' έστω πίσσης καὶ ξύλων [o]-Ικοδομιστηρίωμ πάντων, ναυπηγησίμων δε πλην ελατίνων, δτι άμ μη τό κοινόν δέηται τῷ δε κοινῷ καὶ τούτων 5 είν (sic) εξαγωγήν, εἰπόντας 'Αμύντα πριν εξάγειν, τελέοντας τὰ τέλεα τὰ γεγραμμέν[a·] καὶ τῶν άλλων εξαγωγήν δε είν (sic) καὶ δια[v]-

σι έκ Μακεδονίης και Μακεδόσιν έκ

10 Χαλκιδέων. πρός 'Αμφιπολίτας, Βοττιalovs, 'Ακανθίους, Μενδαίους μη π[οιει̂σθ]αι φιλίην 'Αμύνταμ μηδε Χαλκιδ[έας χωρίς] εκατέρους άλλα μετα μια[ς γνώμης εαν ά]μφοτέροις δοκή κοιν[ή σπεί15 σασθαι πρός εκεί]σους. Όρκος συμμ[αχίας. 'Εμμενώ τή συμμαχία κατα τα συγκεί]μενα Χαλκι[δεῦσι...

····· `Αμ]ύ**νταν** ····

130

The restorations are chiefly from Sauppe. Line I foll. the variation between 'Ebolaios and 'Abolaios is singular. The dialect is on the whole Ionic (cp. No. 98). eiv in A l. 3, B ll. 5 and 7, must be intended for *eiral*. The authorities for Amyntas' reign are Diod. xiv. 89. 92; xv. 19; Xen. Hellen. v. 2-3; Isokrates, Or. iv. (Paneg.) 126; vi. (Archid.) 46. Grote gives a spirited account of the rise and fall of the Olynthian confederation (ch. 76), but we must follow the chronology of Droysen, Hellen. i. 1. 78. The reign of Amyntas III. was chequered with vicissitudes which show how far Macedon then was from being a secure or important power. His accession was in B. C. 390. After one year's reign he was driven by Illyrian invaders from his kingdom, and shortly afterwards restored by the Thessalians. Again the same enemies forced him to fly, and upon the ruins of Macedonia the Olynthian confederation (τὸ κοινὸν τῶν Χαλκιδέων) soon grew into importance. Amyntas' only hope was from the Greek cities. In 382 B.c. he was helped back to his throne by Athens and Sparta (see No. 77); but he was not safe until the downfall of the confederation in 379 B.C. It was in 383 B.C. that Akanthos and Apollonia, refusing to join the Olynthian league, applied to Sparta for help; and Sparta, together with Amyntas, finally broke up the league in 379 B.C. Amyntas died in 370-69 B.C. Sauppe is probably right in referring our treaty to the early years of his reign: at no later date would he be in league with the Olynthians, nor the Olynthians be at enmity with Mende or Amphipolis. The article of the treaty about timber, etc. reminds us that Southern Macedonia, the Chalkidic peninsula, and Amphipolis were the chief sources whence Athens (e. g.) derived the timber for her dockyards (cp. the following

^{....}Χαλ[κιδ....

passages, Xen. Hell. v. 2. 16, ξύλα ναυπηγήσιμα έν αὐτη τη χώρα eori, i.e. within the territory of the league; Thuk. iv. 108: when Macedon became mistress of these regions the Athenians were at a loss for timber, see Diod. xx. 46; Plut. Demetr. 10; Böckh, Staatsh. i. 97. 351. 543). This treaty appears to be chiefly to the commercial advantage of the league. Probably however Amyntas would want to import more timber, etc. from Chalkidike, than the league would from Macedonia. Amyntas gains the right to import as much timber (except pine) as he wants, unless the league have present need of it. The league are permitted similarly to import from Macedonia, and even pine-timber upon giving prior notice to Amyntas. And also as the Olynthian league, as long as it lasted, was a powerful check upon Macedonia, by the possession of the chief ports and avenues of trade between Macedonia and the rest of Greece, it was a gain to Amyntas to secure a safe export, import, and transport of all goods through the Chalkidic ports (¿ξαγωγήν καί δια[r] αγωγήν).

75.

Phanokritos of Parion rewarded for giving information of the enemy's fleet: B.C. 390-380 (?).

The stone is in the Louvre. Böckh. C. J. G. 84, cp. p. 897; Köhler, C. J. A. ii. 38; Kirchhoff, Abhandl. d. Berl. Ak., kićt. phil. kl. 1861, pp. 599 foll.

End of probouleuma.

. δήμ[ου Φαν]οκ[ρίτου] την εὐεργεσ[ίαν ἀν]αγρά[ψαι ἐν στήλη] λιθίνει εἰς [ἀκρ]όπολ[ιν]. κ[αλέσαι] δὲ αὐτὸν ἐπὶ ζέν[ια] εἰς τὸ πρυτανεῖον εἰς αὕριον.

Decree of the people, moved by Kephalos by way of amendment.

ν]εών τοῦ παράπλου καὶ εἰ οἱ στρα[τηγοὶ] ἐπίθοντο, ἑάλωσαν ἀν α[ἱ τριήρειs] αἱ πολέμιαι· ἀντὶ τούτων εἶναι [μὲν τ]15 ἢν προξενίαν καὶ τὴν εὐεργεσί[αν κ]αὶ καλέσαι αὐτὸν ἐπὶ ξένια εἰs τ[ὸ π]ρυτανείον εἰs αὕριον, με[ρ]ίσαι δὲ [τ]ὸ ἀργύριον τὸ εἰρημένον τοὺs ἀποδέκταs ἐκ τῶν καταβαλλομένων χρημά20 [τ]ων ἐπειδὰν τὰ ἐκ τῶν νόμων μερ[ίσωσι].

Böckh was inclined to refer this inscription to the time of the battle of Naxos, B.C. 376; but Kirchhoff observes that the writing is not later than B.C. 390-380. The incident here recorded was something of this kind. The Athenian admirals had offered a reward (to doyupion to elonuévor, l. 18) 'for information which would lead to the capture of the enemy's ships.' Phanokritos gave information, which was not acted upon. He claims the reward, but the admirals refuse it. He goes to Athens and appeals to the Bouln. The senate frame a probonlenma proposing honours to Phanokritos as an everyerns, but not mentioning the reward, for fear of offending the generals. In the exchnoia an amendment is moved by Kephalos (the famous orator?), ordering the reward to be paid, and censuring the admirals for not acting upon the intelligence received. The apodekta, or 'Receivers general,' are to pay the sum out of the ordinary revenues of the state, when they make the grants payable by law. As Phanokritos is of Parion on the Hellespont, Kirchhoff suggests that the admirals referred to may be Iphikrates and Thrasybulos or Agyrrhios, who at the date named above were serving in the Hellespontine waters. Rangabé, Antiq. IIell. 1164, publishes a dedication copied by M. Pittakys upon the Akropolis: $\delta \delta \hat{\eta} \mu os | \Phi a \nu \delta \kappa \rho_i r ov \Phi a r on \lambda \ell ovs | \Pi[a \rho_i a \nu \delta \nu ?$ $d\rho \in \tau \eta [s]$ freker. Lines 2, 6, 9, $\lambda \iota \theta l \nu \epsilon \iota$, $\beta o \upsilon \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$. HI and El were frequently interchanged in the inscriptions of this period, and probably in pronunciation also.

ţ

Negotiations between Athens and Klazomenæ, just before the peace of Antalkidas: B.C. 387.

The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. pp. 397 and 423.

[Θεόδοτος ηρ]χε, Παράμυθος Φιλάγρου Ἐρχιεὺς ἐγρ[αμμ]άτε[υε. Ἐδοξεν τῷ δήμ]ῳ· Θεόδοτος ήρχε, Κεκροπὶς ἐπρυτάνε-[υε, Παράμυθος ἐγ]ραμμάτευε, Δαΐφρων ἐπεστάτει, Πολι-[.... εἶπεν· ἐπαιν]έσαι μὲν τὸν δήμον τὸγ Κλαζομενί-5 [ων ὅτι πρόθυμός ἐστι]ν ἐς τὴμ πόλιν τὴν Ἀθηναίων κ[αὶ νῦν καὶ ἐν τῷ πρόσθεν] χρόνῳ, περὶ δὲ ῶλ λέγουσι Δε...

The remainder of the two fragments is so much broken as to vield no continuous sense. It appears that provision was made in the treaty for the conveyance of corn to Klazomenæ (from the Hellespont?): -volev σιταγωγούνται Κλα(ομε[v_1 , .]. And the Athenians pledge themselves not to banish political opponents from the town: $[\tau]$ ούς φεύγοντας κατάγειν ά[νευ τοῦ δήμου τοῦ Κλαζομε] τίων μήτε τωμ μενόντων μηδ[ένα έξαιρειν]. Also the mention of $[K \lambda a(\rho \mu] \epsilon \nu i \rho i \pi \hat{\rho} \lambda \nu \tau \hat{\rho}, and \tau o \hat{\nu} s \epsilon \pi \hat{\iota} X \nu \tau \hat{\rho}, illus$ trates a passage from Ephoros cited by Steph. Byz. s.v. Xuróv. χωρίον ήπείρου. Έφορος έννεακαιδεκάτω. Οι δ' έκ Κλαζομενών κατψκισαν της ηπείρου το Χυτον καλούμειον, and Arist. Pol. viii. (V). 3. 15, Στασιάζουσι δε ενίοτε αι πόλεις και δια τους τόπους, δταν μη ειφυώς έχη ή χώρα πρός το μίαν είναι πόλιν, olov έν Κλα**ζομεταΐs ol έ**πὶ τῷ Χύτρφ (lege Χυτῷ) πρὸς τοὺς ἐν ιήσφ. It would seem then that Klazomenæ was negotiating an alliance with Athens,-one of the results probably of Iphikrates' successes at the Hellespont in 389 B.C. (Xen. Hell. iv. fin.). But these hopes were cut short by the 'peace of Antalkidas,' whereby the Asiatic Greek cities were summarily handed over to the Great King: 'Aprafépens Basideus voullei Olkaior tas uev er tô 'Asla πόλεις ξαυτοῦ είναι καὶ τῶν νήσων Κλαζομενὰς καὶ Κύπρον (ib. v. 1. 31). Clinton, ad annum 387, places the promulgation early in Theodotos' year, 'about autumn.'

The Athenians assist Amyntas III. to recover his kingdom: B.C. 382.

Two fragments found near the Dionysiac theatre: Köhler. C. I. A. ii. pp. 397, 423.

... άνδρ]as οίτ[ινες, i.e. Athenian envoys .] ορ[...π]αρὰ 'Αμύ[ντου.... τῆ]s στήλης, ὅπως ἁ[ν τελεσθῶσι τὰ ἐψηφ-5 ισ]μένα τῷ ὅήμφ· ἐ[π]αινέσαι δ[ἐ 'Αμύνταν] καὶ τοὺς πρέσβε[ις] τοὺς ἐλθόντ[ας παρ'] αὐτοῦ Πτολεμαῖο[ν κ]αὶ 'Α[ν]τήνορα κ[αἰ] .. σωνα· ἐπαινέσαι [δὲ κ]αὶ τοὺς πρέσβε[ις] τοὺς πεμφθέν[τας ὑπ]δ τοῦ δήμου εἰς M[aκ]-10 εδονίαν περὶ τ[ῆς συ]μ(μ)αχίας· δοῦναι δ[ὲ] το[ῖ]s πρέσβεσ[ιν τοῖ]ς αἰρεθεῖσιν εἰ[ς] (Μικεδονίαν?) [ἐφ]όδια ΔΔ δρ[αχμὰς ἐ]κάστῷ τὸν ταμί[αν τ]οῦ δήμου· κ[αλέσαι κ]αὶ ἐπὶ ξένια τοὺς π πρέ]σβεις [τοὺς παρ' 'Αμ]ύντου καὶ τοὺς π[ε-15 μφθέ]ντα[ς ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου] ἐπὶ δεῖπνον εἰς

[τδ πρυτανείον είς αύρ]ιον.

Appended in two columns were the names of those who swore to the treaty on the part of Athens and of Amyntas; only a fragment remains. . . $[\Phi i \lambda a] \rho \chi o i \dots \kappa \lambda \eta s$ ' $E \rho \chi i \dots \delta \rho \eta s$ $\Pi a i a \dots ['A \mu i \nu \tau a] s$ ' $A \rho i \delta a (ov, ['A \lambda \{ f a \nu \delta] \rho o s$ ' $A \mu i \nu \tau \sigma v$.

That Amyntas recovered his throne is stated by Isokrates, vi. Archid. 46; Diod. xiv. 92. He co-operated with Sparta against the Olynthian confederacy (Diod. xv. 19), and we can understand his receiving assistance from that quarter. The Schol. on Æschin. Fals. Leg. 26 affirms that the Athenians also helped to restore him: of this fact our inscription is witness. The date is probably B.C. 382. See Schäfer, Demosthemes and seine Zeit, ii. p. 7. The Alexander here named was the eldest son of Amyntas, who succeeded him. For an outline of Amyntas' reign are No. 74, his treaty with Chalkidians.

The new Athenian confederacy; Byzantion received: B.C. 378.

Two fragments, published by Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 19; see id. in Hermes, v. p. 10.

- (a) . . . 'Αθηνa]ίων καὶ [νῦν καὶ ἐν τῷ πρό]σθεν χρόν[ড় ὅντες διατελ]οῦσιν, ἐψηφ[ίσθαι τῷ δήμφ]
 5 εἶναι Βυζα[ιτίους 'Αθηναίων] συμμάχους κ[αὶ τῶν ἅλλων συ]μμάχων· τὴν [δὲ κ.τ.λ.]
- (β) ... [καλέσαι δὲ τοὺς πρέσβεις τῶν Βυζ]αν[τίων ἐπὶ ξέν-
 - 10 ια] ές τὸ πρυτανεῖον ἐς [αὕρ]ιον. ἀ καγράψαι δὲ τὴν σ[τήλ]ην τὸν γραμ(μ)ατέα τῆς βουλ[ῆς]. Οἶδε ἦρέθησαν πρέσβε[ις] 'Ορθόβουλος ἐκ Κεραμέω[ν]'
 15 Ἐξηκεστίδης Παλληνεύς' Ξενόδοκος 'Αχαρνεύς' Πύβῥανδρος 'Ακαφλύστιος' 'Αλκίμαχος 'Αγγελῆθεν. Οίδε ἐπρέσβευον Βυζαντ[ί]20 ων' Κύδων, Μενέστρατος, 'Ηγήμων, Ἐστιαῖος,

Φιλίνος.

The date is a little earlier than No. 81; C. I. A. ii. 18 is a yet more fragmentary treaty of the same date with Mytilene (Diod. xv. 28. 29). Comp. Grote, ch. 77; Isokr. xiv. Plat. 27. 28. Some of the envoys are well known. Orthobulos was taxiarch of Akamantis at the battle of Haliartos (Lysias, xvi. pro Mant. 13). Pyrrhander is named in No. 81, § 7, as one of the envoys sent to Thebes: this is referred to by Æschines (in Cles. 139), who says ℓ_{TL} sal $v\bar{v}v$ $\zeta \bar{\eta}$, in B. C. 330, so that he lived to a great age; cp. No. 79, l. 7. Kydon the Byzantine is also known to us as one of those who contrived the surrender of Byzantion to Alkibiades and the Athenians in B.C. 408 (Xen. *Hellen.* i. 3. 18). When Lysander regained the town after Ægospotami, Kydon fled to Athens and received the citizenship (*ib.* ii. 2. 1). He must have returned to his native place after the restoration of the democracy there by Thrasybulos in 390 (*ib.* iv. 8. 27), and now undertakes this mission in the Athenian interest.

79.

Alliance between Athens and Chalkis in Eubœa: B.C. 378-377.

A stell recently discovered near the Dionysiac theatre : Köhler, C. I. A. ii. p. 398.

[Αρ]ιστοτέλης Εὐφιλήτου ['Αχ]αρνεύς έγραμμάτευε[ν]. ['Επ]ι Ναυσινίκου άρχοντος. [Εδοξ]εν τη βουλη και τφ δήμφ. Λεωιτί[s 5 επρυτ ανευεν, 'Αριστοτέλης εγραμμάτευ-[εν, τῶν π]ροέδρων ἐπεψήφιζεν Παντάρετο-[s....]ιεύς Πύρβανδρος είπεν περί ων λ-[έγουσιν] οι Χαλκιδής, προσαγ[α]γείι αὐτοὺς προς του δημου ές την πρώτην εκκλησίαν, γ-10 [νώμην] δε ξυμβάλλεσθαι της βουλης ό[τι] δο-[κεί τη] βουλη δέχεσθαι την συμμαχία[ν] π-[αρὰ τῶν] Χαλκιδ[έω]ν τύχη ἀγαθή καθὰ ἐπαγγ[έλλον]τα[ι ο] [Χαλκιδής δμόσαι δε τ[ην] πό[λιν Χαλ]κι[δεῦ]σ[ι]ν καὶ τοὺς Χαλκιδέα[ς 'A]-15 $\theta[\eta valois] \kappa a[\lambda dv] a \gamma p d \psi a i e \sigma \tau \eta \lambda \eta \lambda i \theta i [v]$ η [καί στ]ησα[ι 'Αθή]νησι μέν έν ακροπόλ[ει, έ]ν [δε Χαλ]κίδ[ι εν τ]φ ίερφ της 'Αθηralas [τόν δρκον] καί [τάς σ]υνθήκας είναι δε συ[νθήκας 'Αθ]ηναίο[ις κ]αὶ Χαλκιδεῦσιν τάσ[δε· 20 συμμαχί α Χαλ κιδ έων των έν Εύβοία [κα] 'Αθηναίων' έχει[ν τη]ν έαυτοῦ Χαλκιδέ[ας έλευθέρ]ους όντα[ς καί] αντονόμους καί ς μήτε φρουράν ύποδεχομένους [μ]ήτε φόρον φέροντας μήτε. 25 ... [δ] εχομένους παρά τά δόγματ [α τ $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ ν συμμάχων· έαν δέ] τις [ίŋ] έ[πι] πο[λ]έ[μ]φ [έπl την χώραν κ.τ.λ.

Compare the preceding inscription: the present is slightly later. See Diod. xv. 30, $\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\tau_{al}$ dè kai $\pi\rho\sigma\theta\nu\mu\sigma\sigma_{a}$ at karà $\tau\eta\nu$ Eußouav olkoùvau, $\chi\omega\rho$'s Evrualas. It is interesting to refer to the earlier settlement of Chalkis in B. C. 445: No. 28.

80.

Treaty with Chios; new Athenian confederacy: B.C. 378-377.

Put together out of various fragments; the text is from Köhler, Mittheilungen, etc., ii. 138 foll.

	(The beginning is lost).
	. 0
	ταῦτα με[κο]
	ινών λόγων [άγαθ]ών τοις Έλλ-
	ησιν μέμνην[ται] καθάπερ
5	'Αθηναΐοι την εί[ρήνην και την φι]λίαν
	καί τούς δρκους καί [τας ούσας συνθήκας]
	ας ώμοσεν βασιλεύ[s] κα[ì 'Αθηναίοι καί]
	Λακεδαιμόνιοι καί ο[ί] άλλο[ι Έλληνες],
	καὶ ῆκουσιν ἀγαθὰ ἐπαγ[γ]ελλόμενο[ι τῷ]
10	δήμφ τφ 'Αθηναίων κα[l] ἁπάση [τῆ 'E]-
	λλάδι καλ βασιλεî, [έψηφί]σθαι τῷ δήμ-
	φ, ἐπαινέσαι μὲν τ[ὸν δῆμον τὸν τ]ῶν Χί-
	ων καί τούς πρέσβεις [τούς ήκοντ]as, ιπάρχ-
	<[ιν δ]ε την ειρήνην κa[ι του]s δρκους και τας
15	σ[υνθήκα]ς [τ] àς νῦ[ν] οὕ[σας], συμμάχους δὲ ποι-
	εῖσ[θα]ι Χίους ἐπ' ἐλευ[θε]ρία και αιτοι-
	ομί[q] μη παραβαίνοντας των έν ταις σ-
	τήλαις γεγραμμένων περί της είρηνης
	μηδέν, μήδ' ἐάν τις ἅλ[λ]os παραβαίιη π-
20	ειθομένους κατά τό δυ[να]τόν στησαι δε σ-
	τήλην έν ακροπόλει [πρό]σθεν τοῦ αγάλμ-
	ατος ¹ , ές δε ταύτην άνα[γρ]άφειν, έάν τις ί-
	η έπ' 'Αθηναίους, βοηθε[îr] Χίους παιτί σθέ-
	[עב]ו גמדא דא טטשמדטי, [גמו] לאי דוג וא [לא-
25	l X(]ous, βοηθείν 'Αθηναίου[s π]αντί σθέ[νει
	κατὰ τ]ό δυνατόν ομόσα[ι δ]ε τοις [ήκουσι-
	¹ f. c. of Athena Polias.

ν Χίοις] μέν τημ βουλην κ[a] τούς [στρατηγούς και τα]ξιάρχους, έγ Χί[φ] δε.....
.... ας άρχάς έ[λέσθαι δε πέντε
30 άνδρας, οίτι]νες πλεύ[σ.....]
.... πόλιν κ.τ.λ.
A fragment of the conclusion of the ψήφισμα.
[καλέσαι δε την πρεσβεία]ν τῶν Χί[ων ἐπὶ ξένια ἐς το πρυτανε]ῖον ἐς αὕρι[ον. οίδε

[†]ρέθησαν πρ]έσβειs[•] Κέφαλο[s Κολλυτεύs · . . .] 'Αλωπεκήθεν, Αἴσιμο[s · . . .],

5 · . . . s Φρεάβριοs, Δημοκλε[ίδηs · . . . , . . . • οίδ]ε ἐπρέσβευον Χίων[•] Βρύων, 'Απε-[. . . κ]ριτοs, 'Αρχέλαs.

The Chians, ever since the peace of Antalkidas, had been very favourably disposed to Athens, and were the first to join the new confederation, together with Mitylene and Byzantion. See Nos. 78, 79: and cp. Isokr. xiv. Plataic. 28; viii. de pace 16: φημι δ' οὖν χρῆναι ποιεῖσθαι τὴν εἰρήνην μὴ μόνον προλ Χίουs καὶ 'Ροδίουs καὶ Βυζαντίουs ἀλλὰ πρὸs ἅπανταs ἀνθρώπουs, καὶ χρῆσθαι ταῖs συνθήκαιs μὴ ταύταιs als νῦν τινὲs γεγράφασιν, ἀλλὰ ταῖs γενομέraιs μὲν πρὸs βασιλέα καὶ Λακεδαιμονίουs, προσταττούσαιs δὲ τοὺs "Ελληναs αὐτονόμουs εἶναι κ.τ.λ. (written B. C. 356).

81.

Formation of the new Athenian confederacy: B.C. 378-377.

A large steld put together out of twenty fragments : Meier, Commentatio epigraph. i. Halle, 1851 ; Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 17.

Ἐπὶ Ναυσινίκου ἄρχοντος.
Καλλίβιος Κηφισοφώντος
Παιανιεὺς ἐγραμμάτευεν.
Ἐπὶ τῆς Ἰπποθωντίδο[ς ἐβδό]μης πρυταϛ νείας ἔδοξεν τῆ βου[λῆ καὶ τῷ] δήμψ.
Χαρῖνος ᾿Αθμον[εὺς ἐπ]εστάτει.
᾿Αριστοτέλη[ς] εἶ[πεν τύχ]ῃ ἀγαθῆ τῆ ᾿Αθηναίων καὶ [τ]ῶν [συμμ]άχων τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων, ὅπως ἀν Λα[κε]ð[αιμό]νιοι ἐῶσι τοὺς Ἔλλη-

	10	vas ἐλευθέ[ρ]ovs [καὶ] αὐτ ονόμουs ἡσυχίαν
		άγειν τ[ην χώραν] έχοντας έμ βεβαίω τη-
		[v tautwv (the next three lines were anciently erased)
Ş	1.	. έψηφί]σθαι τῷ δήμω, έάν τις βούλ-
-		[ηται των Έλ]λήνων η των βαρβάρων των έν
	15	[ήπείρφ εν]οικούντων η τών νησιωτών, δσ-
		[οι μη βασι]λέως είσιν, Αθηναίων σύμμαχ-
		[os είναι κ]αι των συμμάχων, εξειναι αύ[τ]-
		φ [έλευθέρ]φ δυτι και αυτονόμφ, πολι-
		τ[ευομέν]φ πολιτείαν ην αν βούληται, μή-
	20	τε [φρουρ]αν είσδεχομένη μήτε άρχοντα
		ύπο[δεχ]ομένω μήτε φόρου φέροντι, έπι
		δέ τ[οι̂s] αὐτοι̂s ἐφ' οἶσπερ Χι̂οι καὶ Θηβαι-
		οι κα[l] οι άλλοι σύμμαχοι. § 2. τοις δε ποιησ-
		αμέν[οι]ς συμμαχίαν πρός 'Αθηναίους καί
	25	τούς συ[μμ]άχους άφειναι τόν δημον τὰ έγκ-
		τήματα ό[π]όσ' ἁν τυγχάνη ὄν[τα η ἴδι]α [η δ]-
		ημόσια 'Αθ[η]ναίων έν τη χ[ώρα των ποιου]-
		μένων την συμμαχίαν κ[αὶ περὶ τούτων π]-
		ίστιν δούναι ['Αθηναίουs' έαν δε τυγ]χάν[ŋ]
	30	τῶν πόλεων [τῶν ποιουμένων τ]ὴν συμμαχ-
		lav πρός 'Αθην[alous σ]τ[ηλαι] οῦσαι 'Αθήνησ-
		ι ανεπιτήδειο[ι, τ]ημ βουλην την αεί βουλε-
		ύουσαν κυρίαν ε[lv]αι καθαιρείν [d]πό δε Ν-
		αυσινίκου άρχο[ντ]ος μη έξειναι μήτε ίδ-
	33	ία μήτε δημοσ[ί]α `Αθηναίων μηθενὶ ἐγ-
		κτήσασθαι ἐν τ[α]îs τῶν συμμάχων χώραι-
		s μήτε olklav μήτε χωρίον μήτε πριαμέ-
		νφ μήτε ὑποθε[μ]ένφ μήτε ἄλλφ τρόπφ
		μηθενί · έαν δέ τις ώνηται η κταται η τί-
		θηται τρόπφ δτφοῦν, ἐξεῖναι τῷ βουλο-
		μένφ τών συμμάχων φήναι πρός τούς συν-
		έδρους των συμμάχων οι δε σύνεδροι άπο-
		[δ]όμενοι αποδό[ν]των [τὸ μὲν η]μ(ι)συ τῷ φήναντι, τὸ δὲ ά-
		[λλο κοι]νον [έστ]ω τών συ[μμ]άχων. § 3. έαν δέ τι-
4		s [[η] ἐπὶ πολέμφ ἐπὶ τ[οὺ]s ποιησαμένουs
		την συμμαχίαν ή κατά γ[η]ν ή κατά θάλαττ-
		αν, βοηθείν 'Αθηναίους και τους συμμάχους
		τούτοις καὶ κατὰ γῆν καὶ κατὰ θάλαττα-

§ 4. đày đế Tν παντί σθέρει κατά τό δυρατόρ. 50 ις είπη η επιψηφίση η δρχων η ί[δ]ιώτης παρά τόδε τὸ ψήφισμα ὡς λύειν τι δεῖ των έν τώδε τώ ψηφίσματι είρημένων, ύ]παρχέτω μ[εr] αὐτῷ ἀτίμφ εἶναι καὶ [τὰ χρ]ήμα[τα αὐτ]οῦ δημόσια ἔστω καὶ τῆς θ[εοῦ 55 τ]δ επιδ εκα]τον και κρινεσθω εν 'Αθην αίο] is καί τ[ois] συμμάχοις ώς διαλύων τή[ν] συμμαχία [r. (]ημιούντων δε αυτόν θανάτω $\hat{\eta} \phi v \gamma \hat{\eta} o \hat{v} [\pi \epsilon \rho] A \theta \eta vaio \kappa a l o i o i u μ a χo$ ι κρατούσι [ν έαν] δε θανάτου τιμηθή, μή τα-60 φήτω έν τη ['Αττι]κή [μ]ηδε έν τη των συμμάχων. § 5. το δ[ε ψήφι]σμα τόδε δ γραμματεύς ό της βουλή [ς άναγρ]αψάτω έν στήλη λιθίνη και καταθέ[τω] παρά τόν Δία τόν Έλευθέριον το δε άρ γύριον δουναι είς την άν-65 αγραφήν της στ[ήλη]ς εξήκοντα δραχμάς έκ των δέκα ταλ[άν]των τούς ταμίας της θεοῦ. § 6. εἰς δὲ τὴν στήλην ταύτην ἀναγράφειν τών τε οὐσ[ῶ]ν πολέων συμμαχίδων τà διόματα καί [η]τις αν άλλη σύμμαχος γί-70 (γ)νηται. § 7. ταῦτα [μ]εν ἀναγράψαι, ελέσθαι δε τον δήμος πρέσβεις τρείς αντίκα μάλ-[a] els OnBas, [o] l'rives neloovor OnBalous 8-[τι] αν δ[ύ]r[ων]ται αγαθόν. οίδε ήρέθησαν. [Α]ριστοτέλης Μαραθώριος. Πύβρανδρο-75 s'Araφλύσ[τ]ιος Θρασύβουλος Κολλυτεύς. § 8. 'Αθηκαίως τόλεις αίδε σύμμαχοι | Χίοι, Τενέδιοι, | Μυτιλη[ν]αιοι, | [Μηθιμν]αιοι, | 'Ρόδιοι, Ποιήσσιοι, | Βυζάντιοι, | Περίκθιοι, | Πεπαρήθιοι, | Σκιάθιοι, | Μαρωκίται, | Διής, | Πάρ[ι]οι, Ο..., | 'Αθην[î]ται, Π..., | Θηβαΐοι, | Χαλκιδής, | 'Ερετριής, | 'Αρεθούσιοι, | 'Καρύστιοι, | 'Ικιοι, | Πα[λη̂s?] | (here five names are Then follows the beginning of another decree proposed by the lost. same Aristoteles : and on the left side of the slab the names of the confederate cities are continued as follows: - [Kepku]palwr | [o on]μος, | ['Αβδη]ρίται, | [Θάσι]οι, | [Χαλκι]δής | άπο [Θρήκης]. | Αίποι, | Σαμοθράκ[ες], | Δικαιοπολίται, | 'Ακαρνάνες, | Κεφαλ-Afrer | Πρώrros, | 'Alkéras, | Neozrólepos, | [a name an-

viently cresed], | "Aripson, | [T] foron, | [Eo] reacts, | Mu[x] órion, |

.

140

'Αντισσαίοι, | Ἐρέσιοι, | ᾿Αστραιούσιοι, | Κείων | Ἰουλιήται, | Καρθαιείς, | Κορήσιοι, | Ἐλαιούσιοι, | Ἐλμόργιοι, | Σηλυμβριανο[ί], | Σίφνιοι, | Σικινήται, | Διείς | ἀπὸ Θράκης, | Νεοπολίται, | Ζακυν-[θ]ίων | δ δήμος | ὁ ἐν τῷ Νήλλ|φ.

For a fuller treatment see Busolt, Das Zweite Athenische Bund ; A. Schäfer, De sociis Atheniensium Chabriæ et Timothei ætate, etc. Lips. 1856. But for the most part Diod. xv. 28-30, and Grote. ch. 77 will suffice. We will note a few minor points. § 1. The βάρβαροι οι έν [ηπείρω έν]οικούντες are such as Alketas king of the Molossi, and his son Neoptolemos, who are named below: Neoptolemos succeeded his father, dividing the kingdom with his brother Arybbas (see No. 113), and his daughter Olympias was the mother of Alexander the Great. The provisions in \S_2 , directed against any approach to the system of kanpovy (as, are well discussed by Grote, l. c. § 3. The alliance is defensive only. § 4. Observe the large powers given to the federal representatives; they may condemn an Attic citizen to banishment or to death as an ispoorchos. § 5. The statue of Zeus Eleutherios was in the Keramikos; see Pausan. i. 3. 1, who mentions statues of Konon, Timotheos and Evagoras the Kyprian, at the same spot. Thirty drachmæ was the usual cost of inscribing a decree: but this is a long one, and names were to be added from time to time. On this reserve fund of ten talents see No. 87. § 6. The list is given below. § 7. For Pyrrhander see No. 78; Thrasybulos, namesake and comrade of the liberator, is mentioned by Xen. Hellen. v. 1. 26, as commanding the fleet, and by Dem. de Cor. p. 301, as an orator of mark; cp. Aristot. Rhet. ii. 23; Dem. adr. Timocr. 742. § 8. The list of confederates. From personal examination of the stone, Köhler says that the Chians, Mytileneans, Methymmeans, Rhodians, Byzantines, appear to be inscribed in the same hand with the preceding decree. This tallies with Diod. xv. 28 : πρώτοι δε πρός την απόστασιν υπήκουσαν Χίοι καλ Βυζάττιοι, μετα τούτους 'Ρόδιοι και Μυτιληναΐοι, και των άλλων τινες mourer. A second hand inscribed the Tenedians, Thebans, Chalkidians, Eretrians, Pœessians, Arethusians, Karystians, A third hand added the Perinthians, Peparethians, Skiathians, Maronitæ, Dieis, Ikians, Palês. The rest were added afterwards, as the alliance extended. Thus Abdera joined after the battle of Naxos (Diod.

xv. 36). Similarly Hestize comes low down in the list: see Diod. xv. 30. Also the three towns of Keos, Iulietze, Karthzea, Koressos are separated from the fourth, viz. $\Pi origotic original form of Zakynthos, apparently established outside of the city in a$ Zakynthian village called Nellon, is enrolled as an ally of Athens, $like the 'Samian <math>\delta \eta \mu os$ ' of the old confederacy. Xen. Helles. vi. 2. 2, and Diod. xv. 45, tell us of Timotheos' dealings with the Zakynthian factions: see Grote, ch. 77. Observe that no cities of Asia Minor are here eurolled: the 'peace of Antalkidas' had left them in the hands of the great king (§ 1), until Alexander freed them. Else one might have looked for Phaselis in the list (see No. 73). There are several names wanting which might be expected: e.g. Naxos. It is clear from this document that Diodoros' estimate is true enough (xv. 30): roîs 'Adηvalous els $\sigma \nu \mu a \chi (a \sigma \sigma \nu v \ell \beta \eta \sigma a v \ell \beta \delta o \mu \eta' korra \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon us.$

82.

Accounts of the Athenian Commissioners of the Delian Temple funds, from B.C. 377 to B.C. 374.

The 'Sandwich marble,' in the Library of Trinity Coll., Cambridge : where I have re-read it. See Bückh, C. I. G. 158; Staatch. ii. pp. 78 foll.

Some account has been given on No. 38 of the relations bctween Athens and Delos. The formation of the Delian confederacy under Athens, and the placing of the common funds in the temple, had tended to subordinate the religious interests of Delos to the political. The transfer of the treasury to Athens in 454 B.C. (No. 24) would still further diminish its prestige : so that amid the troubles of the Peloponnesian war, though the Athenians and the islanders still continued to send their Oewoia, in B. C. 426 the splendour of the festival had dwindled almost to nothing (see Thuk. iii. 104). In 426 the Athenians re-established the Delian festival, and the magnificent θ_{empla} of Nikias (Plut. Nic. 3) belonged to the second or third celebration. Henceforward the Athenians undertook the direct management of the temple and its treasures. If we are right in concluding from No. 61 that after Ægospotamoi the Athenians were for a time dispossessed, we may hazard the conjecture that their influence in Delos was restored (not without some opposition, as this inscription testifies) at the formation of the New Athenian Con-

federacy in B.C. 378. The Delian Commissioners were called 'Audurtúores, because in theory they were the deputies of the 'Ιώνων τε καὶ περικτιόνων υησιωτῶν (Thuk. l. c.) to whom the festival pertained from olden times. The great festival took place on the sixth and seventh of Thargelion (May) in the third year of each Olympiad : a lesser festival took place yearly. The financial term dated from one great festival to another, and this inscription accordingly gave the accounts of the four years in which Kalleas, Charisander, Hippodamas, and Sokratides were archons, i.e. B. C. 377-6 to 374-3 (see § 8). But it is observable that none of the payments are accounted for later than Thargelion in Hippodomas' year, i.e. B.C. 374. The following paraphrase will explain the heading δI : 'In the name of the gods. Sums called in by the Athenian Amphiktyons (i.e. Delos-Commissioners) from Kalleas' archonship down to Thargelion in Hippodamas' year (-according to Attic reckoning, but in Delian reckoning. from Epigenes' archonship down to Thargelion in Hippias' year-) during the term for which each Amphiktyon held office (viz. one year each); their secretaries being Diodoros, son of Olympiodoros of Skambonidæ (third year of the $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon$ rnols),-Idiotes, son of Theogenes of Acharnæ, during Charisander's archonship (second year), down to the commencement in Hekatombæon of Hippodamas' archonship-Sosigenes, son of Sosiades of Xypetè (first year), during the year of Kalleas' archonship: (the Amphiktvons being) Epigenes, son of Metagenes of Koile, Antimachos, son of Euthynomos of Marathon, Epikrates, son of Menestratos of Pallene.' From this heading we learn (1) that each Amphiktyon administered the temple for one year, beginning with the month Hekatombæon, the first month of the Attic civil year; (2) the receipts for the three first years only are here recorded, the fourth year's receipts being left for the accounts of the next quadriennium; so that (3) only three Amphiktyons are here named, and their three respective secretaries: (4) as these accounts could not be made up till the close of the third year, the secretary of the third year names himself first, as having drawn up the earlier portion of these accounts, § 1-4. The remainder, including the expenses of the festival in the fourth year, was drawn up by the fourth secretary: the account thus completed was then inscribed on this marble.

§ 1. Heading. [Θ]εο[ί]. Τάδε ἕπραξαν 'Αμφικτύονες 'Αθηναίων ἀπὸ Καλλέου ἄρχοντος μέχρι τοῦ Θαργηλιῶνος μηνὸς τοῦ ἐπὶ Ἱπποδάμαντος ἅρχοντος 'Αθήνησι, ἐν Δήλφ δὲ ἀπὸ Ἐπιγένους ἄρχοντος μέχρι τοῦ Θαργηλιῶνος μηνὸς τοῦ ἐπὶ Ἱππίου ἄρχοντος, χρόνον ὅσον ἕκαστος αὐτῶν ἦρχεν, οἶς Διόδωρος 'Ολυμπιοδώρου Σκαμβωνίδης ἐγραμμάτευεν, ἀπὸ Χαρισάνδρου ἄρχοντος 'Ιδιώτης Θεογένους 'Αχαρνεὺς μέχρι τοῦ Ἐκατομβαιῶνος μηνὸς τοῦ ἐπὶ Ἱπποδάμαντος ἅρχοντος, Σωσιγένης Σωσιάδου Ξυπεταιῶν ἐνιαυτὸν ἐπὶ Καλλέου ἅρχοντος. Ἐπιγένη[s M]εταγένους ἐκ Κοίλης, 'Αντίμαχος Εὐθυνόμου Μαραθώνιος, Ἐ[π]ικ[ρ]ά[τη]ς Μενεστράτου Παλληνεύς.

§ 2. Interest paid by cities on loans borrowed from the Temple:— Alde των πόλεων τ[ου] τόκου απέδο[σ]αν

Μυκόνιοι	XHH₽∆
Σύριοι	ХХННН
Τήνιοι	Т
Κεΐοι	
Σερίφιοι	
Σίφνιοι	XXXH₽∆∆∆∆IIII
'Ιήται	ГРННН
[Πάριο]ι	XX℡ℍℍℍℙ∆∆
Οίναιοι έξ Ίκάρου	XXXX
Θερμαΐοι έξ Ίκάρου	ннн[н

Κε]φάλαιου τόκου παρὰ τών πόλεων ΤΤΤ[Τ]ΧΧΧΡΗΗΗΗΡΔΔ ΔΔΗΗΗΙC.

The cities are all from the neighbouring islands: on loans from temple funds, see No. 46.

§ 3. Interest similarly paid by individuals (citizens of Delos and Tenos) :--

Ο[δ]ε τῶν ἰδιω(τῶ)ν¹ τοῦ τ[ό]κου ἀπέδοσαν[.] Αρίστω[ν] Δήλιος ὑπὲρ ᾿Απολλοδώρου Δηλίου... [^PΗΗΗΗ ᾿Α[ρ]τυσί[λ]εως Δήλιος ὑπὲρ Γλαυκέτου Δηλίου... [^PΗΗ Ύψοκλέης Δήλιος ΗΗΗ ᾿Αγασ[ι]κλεὴς Δήλιος ὑπὲρ Θεοκύδους Δηλίου... ΗΗΔ[ΔΓ] Θεόγνητος Δήλιος ὑπὲρ Υψοκλέους Δηλί[ου]... [^PΗΗΗΔΗΙΙΙ ᾿Αντίπατρος Δή[λ]ιος ὑπὲρ Υψοκλέους Δηλίου... ΗΗΡΔΔΔΓΗΗ[ΙΙ] Πολυ...ς Τήνιος ὑπὲρ Μ... μένους Τηνίου... ΗΗΗΗ Λευκῖνος Δήλι[ο]ς ὑπὲρ Κλειτάρχου Δηλίου... [^PΗΗ[ΗΔ]ΔΔΔ

¹ TΩ absunt a lapide, neque spatium vacat.

k.,

Κλε[o] ¹ φῶν Δήλιος ὑπερ Πιστοξένου Δηλίσε ΗΗΗΡ Πατροκλέης [Δήλ]ιος ὑπερ Ύψοκλέους Δηλίου ΗΗΗ 'Αριστείδης Τήνιος ὑπερ Οικάδου Τηνίου ΗΗΔ
[Κ]εφάλαιον τόκου παρὰ τῶν ໄ[δ]ιωτῶν² 🎮 (?)ΗΗΗΔΔΓ
§ 4. Other miscellaneous receipts:-
Είσεπράχθη μηνυθεν εκ τών Ἐπισθένους Δηλίου . ΗΗ[Η]ΡΔΔΔ Είσεπράχθη μηνυθε[ν π]αρὰ Πύθωνος Δηλίου ΧΗ(?) Ἐκ τών ἐνεχύρ[ω]ν τῶν ὦφ[λ]ηκότων τὰς δέκα[ς], τιμῆς κε[φ]άλαιον ΧΓΗΗΗΔΔΔΔΓ
Μισθώσεις τεμενών έξ Ρηνείας έπὶ ἀρχόντων ᾿Αθήνησι Χαρισάνδρου, Ἱπποδάμαντος, ἐν Δήλφ
δε Παλαίου, 'Ιπ[πί]ου
Οἰκιῶν μισθώσεις ἱΙπποδάμαντος ἄρχοντος Ἀθήνησι, ἐr Δήλφ δε ἱΙππίου ΗΗΡ[Δ]ΔΔΔΓͰΗ Λήμματος κεφάλαιον ΓΓΤΤΧΧΧΧΙΓΗΔΔΔΔΗΗΗΙΟ.

 $M\eta rv\theta \ell v$ is part of a confiscated estate which was concealed by the offender and afterwards claimed by the magistrates upon information given: see §§ 9, 10. 'Eréxcepa are sums recovered by distraint from persons who have neglected to pay a debt proved in court; $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ are rents of houses $(o \ell \kappa \iota \hat{\omega} v)$ or of lands belonging to the temple $(\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon r \hat{\omega} r)$.

§ 5. Expenses of the Festival (May, 373):-

'Από τούτου τάδε άνηλώθη.

ļ

¹ kpis Ω.
² signa numeralia in hoc lapide interdum incertiors sunt.
³ litera una abest, fracto lapide.
⁴ TEI lapis.

Most of § 5 will be clear to anyone who will refer to Thuk. iii. 104 and Plut. Nicias, 3; $\kappa o\mu \delta \dot{\eta}$ is 'cost of carriage:' $\pi \epsilon p - \tau \eta \kappa o \tau \eta'$ is export duty of two per cent.: the $\xi \dot{\nu} \lambda a$ were possibly to make a bridge (like Nikias') between Rheneia and Delos, the $\pi \epsilon \tau a \lambda a$ were for gilding the horns of the oxen sacrificed.

§ 6. Further sums which ought to have been paid by certain cities as interest due,—a portion only having been paid (see § 2):—

Αίδε τών πόλεων τοῦ τό[κ]ου, δυ ἔδει αὐτὰς ἐπὶ τῆς ἡμετέρας ἀρχῆς ἀποδοῦναι, [ε]νέλιπο[ν], καὶ οὐκ ἀπέδοσαν τών τεττάρων ἐτών.

Κεΐοι	ϫϫϫϫͱϭϪͲͱͱͱ
Μυκόνιοι	нннаа
Σύριοι	ХХХХ№НННН
Σίφνιοι	XX₽∆∆∆⊓⊦⊦⊦⊦∥
Τήνιοι	ХХНННН
Θερμαΐοι έξ Ίκάρου	ннн
Πάριοι	ΤΤΤΤΧΓΡΗΗΗΔΔΔ
Οίναῖοι έξ Ίκάρου	Τ₽ΔΔΔ

§ 7. Arrears of interest owed by cities which paid no portion of their interest during the four years :--

Αίδε των πόλεων τόν τόκον οὐκ ἀπέδοσαν τὸν ἐπὶ τῆς ἡμετέρας ἀρχῆς τεττάρων ἐτῶν ἐπὶ ἀρχόντων Ἀθήνησι Καλλέου, Χαρισάιδρου, ἹΙπποδάμαντος, Σωκρατίδου, ἐν Δήλφ δὲ Ἐπιγένους, Παλαίου, Ἱππίου, Πυβἑαίθου

§ 8. Arrears of interest not paid by individuals :---

Οίδε των ίδιωτων τόν τόκον οὐκ ἀπέδοσαν τὸν ἐπὶ τῆς ἡμετέρας ἀρχῆς τεττάρων ἐτῶν ἐπὶ ἀρχόντων ᾿Αθήνησι Καλλέου, Χαρισάνδρου, ἹΠποδάμαντος, Σωκρατίδου, ἐν Δήλφ δὲ Ἐπιγένους, Παλαίου, ἹΠπίου, Πυβραίθου.

'Αγάθαρχος 'Αρίστωνος Δή[λι]os ΗΗΗΗ

'Αγακλέης Ύψοκλέους Τήνιος ΗΗ
Εὐφραίνετος Εὐφ[ά]ντου Δήλιος ΗΔ
Αλκμεωνίδης Θρασυου Άθηναιω ΠΔ
Γλαύκιππος Κλειτάρχου ΔήλιοςΗΗΗΗΔ
ων Καρύστιος
Σκυλλίας "Ανδριος
Ύψοκλέης Θεο[γρή]του Δήλιος ΗΗΗΗ
Πριανεύς Σύριος Γαλήσσιος ΔΔΔΔΓΗ
κλείδης Θρασυννάλου Δήλιος ΡΗΗ
"Αβρων Θράσωνος [Σφήτ]τιος ΗΗΡΔΔΔ
Λάχης Λάχητος Στειριεύς ΠΗΗ
· μαισι Νυμφοδώρου Δήλιος ΗΔΔΔΔ
Θράσων "Αβρωνος Σφήττιο[s]
['Α]ριστ[ε]ίδης Δεινομένους Τήνιως πέρ Οινάζου
Κλεο [T]ηνίου ΗΗΔ

§ 9. Fines inflicted but not yet paid ::-

Οίδε ῶφλον Δηλίων ἀσεβείας [ἐπὶ Χο μισάνδρου ἀρχοιτος ᾿Αθήνησι ἐν Δήλω δὲ Παλαίου. τ[ίμημα] πο ἐ]πιγε[γ]ραμμέτον [κ]αὶ ἀειφυγία, ὅτι [κ]a[ι] ἐκ τοῦ ἰερ[οῦ τῶῦ Α]πόλλωνος τοῦ Δηλίου ἡγον τοὺς ᾿Αμφικτύονας καὶ ἐτυ[πτον Ἐ]πιγένης Πολυκράτους Μ. Πύβραιθος ᾿Αντιγόνου Μ. Πατρο[κλέ]]ης Ἐπισθένοις Μ. (Here a name is erased). ᾿Αριστοφῶν Λ[ευκί]πποι Μ. ᾿Αντιφῶν Τύννω[ν]ος Μ. [O]δοιτέλης ᾿Αντιγ[όν]ου Μ. Τημλ]-φάν]ης Πολυάρκους Μ.

In the second year of the quadrienmiun, eight (?) Delians had been fined 10,000 dr. each $(\tau l \mu \eta \mu a)$, as is uppended to their names $(\tau \delta \epsilon \pi i \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon' \nu \sigma \nu$, i.e. M.), and sentences τ_0 perpetual banishment, for assaulting the Amphiktyons and drugging them out of the temple. One of the names is erased, leaving seven. Of these, two bear the names of the Delian archons of the first and fourth years, —Epigenes and Pyrrhæthos. Doubtless they are the selfsame men. But how could Pyrrhæthos be elected in whon at Delos after the sentence of $\delta \epsilon \iota \phi \nu \gamma l a$? Quite well: for Delos was not a part of Attic territory or of the confederation, τ_0 which alone the $\delta \epsilon \iota - \phi \nu \gamma l a$ would refer; and what more likely than that the patriotic party at Delos should select as their arcium a man who had even violently challenged the Athenian occupation of the temple? For the Delians resented it bitterly, and repeatedly claimed the restoration of their rights, as the $\Delta\eta\lambda (a\kappa o) \lambda\delta\eta o c$ of several of the orators (written in defence of the Athenian occupation) prove (see Hyperides, *Frag.* xiii). How long after the time of Demosthenes the Delians remained deprived of their temple we do not know. Philip and Alexander cannot have refused the petition of the Delians against Athens. In 166 B.C. the Romans made over Delos again to Athens (Polyb. xxx. 18; xxxii. 17; Böckh, *Staatsh.* i. 541). Its devastation under Mithridates is recorded by Pausan. iii. 23, 2.

§ 10. List of houses dedicated to Apollo :--

Olκ[laι] ἐν Δή[λφ ἰ]εραὶ τοῦ ᾿Απόλλωνος τ[οῦ Δη]λloυ. Oἰκla ἐν Κολω[rῷ]. ἢ ἢν Εὐ[φάν]του, ϳ γείτων "Αλεξος, [τὰ] κεραμεῖα, ὰ [ἠ]ν Εἰφά[πτου], ο[ἰ]ς γε[ῖτον] τὸ βαλανεῖον τὸ ᾿Α[ρίσ]τωνο[ς] ἐμ πεδίφ. οἰ[κία], ἢ ἦν Λευ[κίπ]που, ϳ [γ]ε[ίτ]ων Ὑπεσ ...ς. οἰκία, ἢ ἦν Ἐπισθέ[roυ]ς, ϳ γε[ίτων] Πο[σῆ]ς. χαλκεῖον, ὃ ή[ν] Λ]ευκίππου, ῷ γείτων Ι.....δεων ο[ἰ]κήματα . οἰκία ... [ο]ἰκί[a, ἡ] ἢτ Ἐπισθένου[ς, ϳ γείτων] [at ἦσαν Λ]ευκίππου, [α]ἰς γεί[των] ίτω[ν], οἴκημ[α] ἁ ἦν Εὐ[φάντου] ιεο ..

These are no doubt confiscated properties. Some of the names are the same as in § 9. Olkla is a dwelling-house, olknµa a building. Xalkelov is a bronze foundry, for the Delian bronze was famous (Pliny, N. II. xxiv. 2).

83.

The Korkyræans, Akarnanians, and Kephallenians join the Alliance: B.C. 375.

Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 49; cp. Schäfer, De Sociis Atheniensium, etc., p. 12. $\begin{bmatrix} \Phi \end{bmatrix} i \lambda o \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \leq \Omega \begin{bmatrix} . . . & \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \upsilon \epsilon \nu. \\ \dot{\epsilon} E \end{bmatrix} \pi i `I \pi \pi \sigma \delta \dot{a} \mu a \begin{bmatrix} \nu \tau \sigma \leq \dot{a} \rho \chi \sigma \nu \tau \sigma \leq \dot{\epsilon} \pi i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \leq (dos dec) \end{bmatrix} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s \pi \rho \nu \tau a \nu \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon i a s, & \dot{\eta} & \Phi i \lambda \sigma \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \leq \Omega & \dots \\ \cdot & \dot{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \gamma \rho a \mu (\mu) \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \upsilon \epsilon \cdot & \dot{\epsilon} \partial o \begin{bmatrix} \xi \epsilon & \tau \hat{\eta} & \beta \sigma \upsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \leq \Omega & \dots \\ \cdot & \dot{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \gamma \rho a \mu (\mu) \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \upsilon \epsilon \cdot & \dot{\epsilon} \partial o \begin{bmatrix} \xi \epsilon & \tau \hat{\eta} & \beta \sigma \upsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \leq \Omega & \dots \\ \cdot & \dot{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \gamma \rho a \mu (\mu) \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \upsilon \epsilon \cdot & \dot{\epsilon} \partial o \begin{bmatrix} \xi \epsilon & \tau \hat{\eta} & \beta \sigma \upsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \leq \Omega & \dots \\ \cdot & \dot{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \sigma \dot{\epsilon} r \kappa \epsilon \rho i & \dot{\omega} \nu \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \sigma \upsilon \sigma \upsilon \nu & \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} & \beta \sigma \upsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \end{bmatrix} o I \pi \begin{bmatrix} \rho \end{bmatrix} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \iota - s \\ \epsilon \iota \end{array}$ $s = t \delta \sigma \kappa \epsilon \rho \kappa \upsilon \rho a (\mu \epsilon \nu \tau \tau [o \upsilon s \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s K \epsilon \rho \kappa] \upsilon \rho a (\omega \tau \tau \kappa a \lambda \kappa \epsilon \rho a \lambda [\lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu, & \delta \tau \iota \epsilon l \sigma \lambda a \nu] \partial \rho \epsilon s \begin{bmatrix} \dot{a} \end{bmatrix} \gamma a \begin{bmatrix} \partial \\ - \partial \\ \tau \epsilon \rho \\ \tau \partial \tau & \partial \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu \tau \partial \nu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \partial \eta \nu a (\omega \nu \kappa a \lambda \tau \sigma \upsilon s) \end{bmatrix} \sigma \upsilon \mu \mu \dot{a} \chi \sigma \upsilon s \begin{bmatrix} \kappa \\ - \end{array}$ $s = t \delta \tau \kappa a \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \rho \delta \sigma [\theta \epsilon \nu \chi \rho \delta \tau \psi \cdot \delta \cdot \delta] \delta \tau \pi \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\iota} \end{bmatrix}$

ĩ

ών δέονται, προσαγαγε[ιν αὐτοὺς ἐς τὸν δ]ῆμον, γν[ώ]μ[η]ν δὲ ξυμβάλλεσθαι τῆς [βουλῆς, ὅτι δοκεί] τῆ βουλῆ ἀνα[γ]ράψαι τῶν πόλεων τ[ῶν ἡκοισῶν τὰ ό]νόματα [ἐ]ς τὴν στήλην τὴν κοινὴν τῶ[ν συμμάχων τὸν] γραμμα[τ]έ-15 α τῆς βουλῆς καὶ ἀποδοῦνα[ι τοὺς ὅρκους τα]ῖς πόλε[σι] ταῖς ἡκούσαις τὴν βουλὴν [καὶ τοὺς στρατηγοὺς (?) καὶ τ-

où]s i $\pi\pi$ éas kal toùs σ uµµµ χ the rest is broken.

This decree dates from the autumn of B.C. 375, immediately after Timotheos' visit to Korkyra (Xen. *Hell.* v. 4. 64). The result of this decree was that the names of Korkyra, Kephallenia, and Akarnania were inscribed upon the list (No. 81); and an alliance was made with them, of which the treaty with Korkyra is extant in a mutilated condition C. I. A. ii. pp. 399 foll. I give the form of the oaths, which can be restored without difficulty:--

Athenian Oath.

Βοηθήσω Κ[ορκυραίων τῷ δήμφ παντί σθ]ένει κατὰ τὸ [δυνατὸν ἐάν τις ἶŋ ἐπὶ πολ]έμφ ἢ κατὰ γ[ῆν ἢ κατὰ θάλατταν ἐπὶ τὴν χ]ώραν τὴγ Κορκ[υραίων καθότι ἀr ἐπαγγέλ]-5 λωσι Κορκυραῖο[ι, καὶ περὶ πολέμου καὶ ε]ἰρήνης πράξ[ω καθότι ἀν τῷ πλήθει τῶν σ]υμμάχων δοκῆ [....τὰ δ]όγματα τῶν συ[μμάχωννὴ τὸν] Δία καὶ τὸν ᾿Απόλλω [καὶ τὴν Δήμητρα· εὐο-10 ρ]κοῦντι μέμ μοι εἴη πο[λλὰ καὶ ἀγαθά, εἰ δὲ

μή,] τάναντία.

Korkyræan Oath.

[Βοηθήσω 'Αθη]ναίων τῷ [δή]μψ [παντὶ σθένει κατὰ τὸ δυνα]τὸν αἴ [κά] τις [ἶῃ ἐπὶ πολέμψ ἢ κατὰ γῆ]ν ἢ κατὰ [θ]άλασσ[αν ἐπὶ τὰν 15 χώραν τὰν 'Αθην]αίων κα[θό]τι κ' ἐ[π]αγ[γέλλωντι 'Αθηναῖ]οι, καὶ περ[ὶ] πολέμ[ο]υ κ[αὶ εἰρ]ή-[νας πράξω καθότι] κα 'Α[θ]ηναίο[ι]ς κ[α]ὶ [τῷ] π-[λήθει τῶν συμμά]χων [δο]κῆ, κ[αὶ] σ[. τὰ δόγμα]τα 'Αθηνα[ίω]ν κα[ὶ τῶ]ν 20 [συμμάχων]ατα· κα[ὶ τ]ὸν Δία [κα]ὶ [τδν 'Απόλλωνα καὶ τὰν Δα]μάτ[ρα]· εὐορ[κοῦντι μέμ μοι είη πολλά καὶ ἀγαθά], εἰ δὲ μή, [τάναντία].

The Akarnanians, after the alliance they concluded with Athens at the opening of the Peloponnesian war (Thuk. ii. 68), were among the staunchest of the Athenian allies, with the exception of one town (Thuk. ii. 102, Olviddas del nore nodeulous όντας μόνους 'Axaovávων, cp. i. 111). Their personal affection for the Athenian general Phormio is testified by Thuk. ii. 81 foll., 102 foll.; iii. 7 (cp. No. 118). Not less cordial were their relations with Demosthenes (Thuk. iii. 94 foll., 107 foll.). So on the Athenian side in the Syrakusan expedition (Thuk. vii. 57), ral 'Ακαρνάνων τινές άμα μέν κέρδει, το δε πλέον Δημοσθένους φιλία και 'Αθηναίων ευνοία ξύμμαχοι όντες επεκουρησαν. Again they fought for Athens in the Korinthian War (Xen. Hell. iv. 2. 17; cp. iv. 6. 1 foll.). In B.C. 389 Agesilaos forced them into alliance with Sparta (Xen. Hell. iv. 7. 1; Ages. 2. 20). Our inscription records their return to their old allies, and Korkyra and Kephallenia with them.

The following epitaph, found in the Kerameikos, may refer to these negotiations (Kumanudes, $E\pi_{i\gamma\rho}$. $E\pi_{i\tau\nu\mu\beta}$. 14; Arck. Zeit. 1871, p. 28).

Ἐνθάδε Θέρσανδρον καὶ Σιμύλον, ἄνδρε ποθεινώ πατρίδι Κερκύρα, δέξατο γαῖα τάφψ· πρέσβεις ἐλθόντας κατὰ συντυχίαν δὲ θανόντας παΐδες ᾿Αθηναίων δημοσία κτέρισαν.

84.

Honours to Dionysios I. of Syracuse, from Athens: B.C. 369-368.

Text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 51; cp. id. in the Mitheilungen d. deulech. Inst. in Athen., i. pp. 13 full.

['Επί Λ]υ[σι]στράτου άρχοντος ἐπὶ [τῆς ίδ]ος δεκάτης πρυτακε[ί]ας, [ŋ] 'Εξη[κ . . . τοῦ δεῖνος] 'Αζηνιε[ῦ]ς ἐγραμμάτε[υεν. τῶν προ]έ[δρων] ἐ[πε]ψή[φι]ζ[ε . . . 5 . .]ίδιος εἶπεν. περὶ ῶν οἱ πρέσβεις ο[ἰ παρὰ] Διοιυ[σ]ίου ῆκοκ[τε]ς λέγουσι[ν], δεδ[όχθαι τῆ] ,3ουλῆ. περὶ μὲν τῶν γρα[μ]μά[των &ν έπε]νψεν Διονύσιος, [της] ο[ἰκ]οδομ[ίας
roῦ νε]ὼ καὶ της εἰρή[ν]ης, roùs συ[μ]μά[χους
δόγμ]α ἐξενε[γ]κε[ῦν εἰς] τὸν δημον, ὅτι ἂν
[aὐτο]îs βουλευ[ο]μ[έ]νοι[ς δ]οκη ἄρι[στον
εἶνα]ι· προσαγαγεῖν δὲ τ[οὺ]ς πρέσβε[ις εἰs τὸν] δημον εἰ[ς] τὴν πρώτ[ην] ἐκκλ[ησίαν προσκ]αλ[έσ]αντ[α]ς τοὺς συμμ]άχ[ο]υς [roùs π15 ροέδ]ρους [κ]αὶ χρ[η]ματ[ί]ζ[ει]ν [π]ερὶ ῶν [λεγουσιν, γ]νώμ[ην] δ[ὲ σ]υμβάλλε[σθ]αι τ[ης βουληs ἐς τ]ὸν δημον, ὅτι δο[κ]εῖ τῆ βου[λη, ἐπαινέσ]αι μὲν Διονύσιον τό[ν] Σικε[λίας ἄρχοντ]α κ[α]ὶ τοὺς ὑεῖς τοὺς [Δι]ονν[σίου Δι20 ονύ]σιο[ν] καὶ Ἐρμόκριτον, ὅτι ε[ἰσὶν ἄνδρες] ἀγαθοὶ [π]ερὶ τὸν δημον τὸν ['Aθηναίω-

- ν καὶ] τοὺς συμμάχους καὶ βοηθ[οῦσιν τậ βασ]ιλέως εἰ[ρή]νῃ ῆν ἐποήσα[υτο ἀθηναῖοι] καὶ Λακεδαιμόνιο[ι] κ[a]ὶ [οἱ ἄλλοι Έ-
- 25 λληνες·] καὶ [Δ]ιο[ν]υσίφ μὲν [ἀποπέμπειν τὸν στέφανον] δν ἐψηφίσ[α]το ὁ [δῆμος, στεφανῶσαι δὲ τοὺς ὑ]εῖς τοὺς Διον[υσίου χρυσφ στεφάνφ ἐ]κ[άτ]ερον [ἀ]πὸ χ[ιλίων δραχμῶν ἀνδραγαθί]ας [ἕ]νε[κα καὶ φιλίας· εἶν-
- 30 αι δὲ Διονύσι]ο[ν καὶ] το[ὺ]ς ὑ[ϵῖς αὐτοῦ ᾿Αθηναίους αὐτοὺς] κα[ὶ] ἐκγόνου[ς καὶ φυλῆς καὶ δήμου καὶ φ]ρατρίας ῆ[ς] ἅ[ν βούληται, τοὺς δὲ πρυτάνε]ις [τοὺ]ς [τ]ῆ[ς Ἐρεχθηίδος δοῦναι τὴν ψῆφον πε]ρὶ α[ὐτοῦ κ.τ.λ.

Dionysios the elder had all along been a faithful, though not very active, ally of Sparta (see Lysias, xix. de bonis Ar. § 20; Xen. Hell. v. 1. 26-8; Diod. xv. 23. 47; Xen. Hell. vi. 2. 4. 33; Diod. xvi. 57). The attempt made by Athens in B.C. 394-3 (No. 71) to win Dionysios to their side had not been at all successful. But after the important congress of B.C. 371 (Xen. Hell. vi. 3), when Athens and Sparta became allied against Thebes, Dionysios was reconciled to Athens (Xen. Hell. vii. 1. 20. 28; Diod. xv. 70); and at the Lenzea B.C. 367 he gained the first prize for his Tragedy $\lambda i \tau \rho a$ "Extopos, having previously stood second and third (Clinton, F. H. ad ann.). This decree and the next following make an important addition to our scanty knowledge of the transactions briefly spoken of by Xen. Hell. vii. 1. 27-28; Diod. xv. 70 (cp. Grote, ch. 79). The second expedition of Epaminondas into the Peloponnese took place in 369 B.C., when the Spartans received assistance not only from the Athenians but also from Dionysios. Probably the crown mentioned in 1. 26 was voted at that time. The decree before us was passed in the early summer of B.C. 368. At this same season Ariobarzanes' envoy Philiskos, acting in the name of the great king, on the strength of the peace of Antalkidas, convened the congress at Delphi with a view to a general peace, perhaps at the suggestion of Athens (Grote, ibid.). Little or nothing came of it, as the Spartans stoutly demanded that they should have Messene restored to them. Xenophon names the Athenians and Spartans, and their allies, and the Thebans, as represented at the congress, but says nothing of Dionysios. It is clear however from this decree that he was an important agent in these proceedings. Without believing the statement of Ephoros (quoted by the Schol. on Aristides, Panath. 177. 20,who confuses Dionysios I. and II.) that Dionysios was in league with the Persians against the liberties of Greece,-which represents the diplomatic gossip of the time,-we may yet believe that he was very willing to enlarge his own influence by acting with the Persians as a mediator between the contending Greek states.

The following points in the decree require comment. Line 5 foll.; Dionysios was sending envoys to the Delphian congress; he also sends envoys and a letter to Athens, recommending peace. The temple referred to is doubtless Delphi. Just as it was a foremost provision of the treaties of 423 and 421 B.C. (Thuk. iv. 118, v. 18) that the Delphian temple should be neutral, so here in the negotiations for a general peace, it seems to be suggested that each state shall contribute to some building now in hand at Delphi, as a pledge of *lowa fides* in the movement for peace. Lines 9-16: the synod of the allies convened at Athens is to consider Dionysios' suggestions, and report thereon to the $\delta \eta \mu os$. The $\beta ov \lambda \eta'$ further frames this $\pi \rho o \beta o u \lambda e \mu v a$ mitted to the $\delta \eta \mu os$ without delay, the deputies of the allies and the envoys of Dionysios being also invited to the $\delta \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma a$. Lines 16 foll.: the Athenians praise Dionysios for his zeal in

152

maintaining the provisions of the peace of Antalkidas ($\tau \hat{\eta} \beta a \sigma i \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \omega s \epsilon l \rho \hat{\eta} s \eta$), and grant him and his sons the freedom of their city (cp. Demosth. p. 161). The decree of the *people*, approving this $\pi \rho o \beta o \nu \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu a$, is lost.

85.

Honours to Mytilene for fidelity to Athens: B.C. 369 and 368.

The text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. pp. 400 foll.

§ A. Decree of the senate and people, B.C. 368:-

[Θ]εοί.

[Μυτ]ιληναίων.

[Ναυσιγ]ένης ήρχεν, Αlaντis έπρυ-

[τάνευε]ν, Μόσχος Κυδαθηναιεύς έ-

5 [γραμμά]τευεν, 'Αρίστυλλος 'Ερχι[ενς ἐπεστ]άτει.

[Έδο]ξεν τη βουλη και τω δήμω.

... ϕ [.... ε] $i\pi\epsilon\nu$ [π] ερι $\omega\nu$ οι πρέσβεις οι έχ Λέσβου η κοιτ[ες] λέ-

[γο]υσις [έψηφ]ίσθαι τη βουλη, προσαγαγείν αυτούς είς τον δη-

10 [μου τοùs] προέδρους οι αν λάχωσιν προεδρεύειν είς την πρώ[τη-

- ν έ]κκλησίαι, γνώμην δε συββάλλεσθαι (sic) της βουλής els [τον] δ[ημο-
- ν ό]τι δοκή (sic) τη βουλή, έπ [ει]δη Μυτιληναίοι άνδρες [άγαθοί ε-
- ίσι] π[ε]ρ[ί] τόν δήμον τόν Αθηναίων κα[ί νῦν] κ[α]ί ἐν τῷ [πρόσθετ χ-
- ρόιψ] έ[π]a(ι)ιέσαι τον δημον τόμ Μυτιληναίων άρε[τ]ης έ[ιεκ]a [π-

15 ερί τὸν δήμον] τὸν Ἀθηναίων, καὶ εἶναι πρόσο[δον α]ὐτοῖς . .

- · · πρός τή[ν] βουλήν ή τόν δήμον πρώ[τοις] μετ[à τὰ ίε]ρ[ά·
- ἐπαιτέσ]αι δὲ καὶ 'lepo[ί]ταν ὅτι ἐστὶν ἀ[νὴ]ρ ἀγαθὸς περ[ὶ τ]ὸ[ν δη̂]μον τὸν 'Αθηναίων καὶ τὸν Μυτ[ι]λη[να]ίων. ἀraγράψαι δ[ἐ τ]όδ-
- [ε τὸ ψ]ή[φι]σμα τὸν γραμματέα τῆς [βου]λ[ῆς] ἐν στήλῃ λιθίνῃ >ο [καὶ σ]τῆσαι ἐν ἀκροπόλει. [ἀν]αγράψαι δὲ κ[αὶ] τ[ὸ] ψήφι-[σμα] ε-

[ls την a]ντην στήλην δ απε[κρ]ίνατο δ δημος τοις πρέσβεσι

[τοιs Mu]τιλην[alwv] το[is] μετά ['Ie]polt[a (viz. the decree appended below.) ei]s de the draypaqήν τής στή λης (δούναι) [τ] δν ταμίαν του δήμου τώ γραμματεί τ- $[\hat{\eta}$ s βου]λ $\hat{\eta}$ s ΔΔ δραχμάς. Επαινέσαι δε τους πρέσβεις τους 25 [πεμφ]θέντας εls Μυτιλήνην και καλέσαι έπι δειπνον εls [τό πρυ]τανείον είς αύριον. καλέσαι δε και τους συνέδρο υs roùs] Murilyvalwy $\epsilon \pi i \xi \epsilon \nu i a \epsilon ls ro \pi \rho v r a v [\epsilon] i o [v] \epsilon i s a v [\rho$ κ]αλέσαι δε και τούς συνέδρους τωμ Μηθυμναίων [καί 'Α]ντισσαίων και Έρεσίων και Πυβ[β]αίων επί ε[ένια 30 els τ]ò $\pi \rho \upsilon \tau a \nu e i o \nu e i s a <math>\upsilon \rho \iota o \nu$. § B. Rider moved by Autolykos :-Αυτόλυκος είπεν τα μέ άλλ]α καθ[άπ]ερ τη βουλη, επαινέσαι δε τούς πρέσβεις [τού]ς πεμφθέντας είς Λέσσβον (sic) Τιμόνοον και Αὐτόλυκ-[ον κ]αί 'Α[ρ]ιστοπείθην και καλέσαι αυτούς έπι δειπνον εί-[s τ]ο πρυτανείον είς αύριον. § C. Decree of the year before, appended as ordered above :---35 ['Επί Λυ]σιστράτου άρχοντος έδοξεν τη [βουλή] και τῷ δήμω. Καλλίστρατος [είπεν έπα]ινέσαι μέν τον δήμου τομ Μυτ[ιληναίω]ν ότι καλώς και προθύμως συ νδιεπολέμη σα [ν] τύμ πόλεμον τόν παρελθό [ντ-40 α, αποκρίνασ]θα[ι] δε τοις πρέσβεσι[ν . . . έρ της έλευθερία]s των Έλλήνων κα[τα οι επεστράτευο[ν.. · · · · παρά τ]ούς δρκους και τά[ς σπο-45 [voas κ.τ.λ.] (the rest is very imperfect). Mytilene was one of the first to join the new confederacy in

B.C. 378 (see No. 81); the particular services referred to in the appended decree, \S C, are not known, but probably Lesbian ships had helped Chabrias at the battle of Naxos in B.C. 376, and accompanied Timotheos in his Ægean cruise the following year. Doubtless Mytilene shared the growing hopes of further maritime supremacy for Atheus (Grote, ch. 79): but more than this we cannot say towards connecting our inscription with the history of the time. Pape-Benseler cite the name Hiercetas from coins of Mytilene. The σύσεδροι are the deputies of the

cities in the league, sent to the synod at Athens. In § B the mover was perhaps near kinsman of his namesake the envoy.

86.

Negotiations between the Athenians and Leukadians: B.C. 368.

The text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. p. 400.

The beginning is lost; the end of a treaty remains :---

.... ης. ἐππάρχω Νικη ικλῆς. στρατηγοί· Φορμ[ίων], Σπουδίας. Λευκαδίων οίδε ώρκωσαν. Ἰσόδημος, Όλυμπί-5 aðaς.

Beginning of a fresh decree, dated :-

['Ε]πὶ Ναυσιγένους ἄρχοιτος ἐπὶ τῆς Κεκρ-[οπίδο]ς πρώτης πρυτανείας· Μνησίβουλο-[ς....έ]γραμμάτευε, Φίλιππος Εἰρ[εσίδης ἐπεστάτει, ὁ δεῖκα εἶ]πεν· περ[ὶ 10 ὦν κ.τ.λ.

The Leukadians were allied with Sparta in 373 B.C. in the expedition against Korkyra (Xen. Hell. vi. 2, 3, 26). No peace or treaty between the Athenians and Leukadians is mentioned by the Historians : but as Zakynthos and Kephallenia were already enrolled in the Athenian alliance (No. 81), possibly Leukas also followed them, especially when, after the battle of Leuktra (B.C. 371), the hopes of Athens rose higher than before (Grote, ch. 79).

87.

Honours from Athens to Straton, king of Sidon: B.C. 370-360.

Found on the Akropolis; now at Oxford, where I have collated it afresh. The top only is imperfect. Böckh, C. I. G. S_1^- ; Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 86.

[...καταστ(?)]αθήναι...αs(?), ἐπεμελ[ήθη] ὅπως ὡς κάλλιστα πορευθήσονται οἱ πρέσβεις ὡς βασιλέα οἱς ὁ δήμος ἔπεμψ156

- 5 εν' καὶ ἀποκρινάσθαι τῷ ῆκοντι παρὰ τοῦ Σιδωνίων βασιλέως ὅτι καὶ ἐς τὸν λοιπὸν χρόνον ὣν ἀνὴρ ἀγαθὸς περὶ τὸν δῆμον τὸν ᾿Αθηναίων οὐκ ἔστι ὅτι ἀτυχήσει παρὰ ᾿Αθηναίω-
- 10 ν ῶν ῶν δέηται· εἶναι δὲ καὶ πρόξεν-[o]ν τοῦ δήμου τοῦ ᾿Αθηναίων Στράτωνα τὸν Σιδῶνος βασιλέα καὶ αὐτὸν καὶ ἐκγόνους· τὸ δὲ ψήφισμα τόδε ἀναγραψάτω ὁ γραμματεὺς τῆς βουλῆς
- 15 [ἐ] στήλῃ λιθίνῃ δέκα ἡμερῶν καὶ καταθέτω ἐν ἀκροπόλει, ἐς δὲ τὴν ἀναγραφὴν τῆς στήλης δοῦναι τοὺς ταμίας τῷ γραμματεῖ τῆς βουλῆς Δ ΔΔ δραχμὰς ἐκ τῶν δέκα τάλαντων π-
- 20 οιηπάσθαι δὲ καὶ σύμβολα ἡ βουλὴ πρὸς τὸν βασιλέα τὸν Σιδωνίων ὅπως ἂν ὁ δῆμος ὁ ᾿Αθηναίων εἰδῆ ἐἀν τι πέμπῃ ὁ Σιδωνίων βασιλεὺς δεόμενος τῆς πόλεως, καὶ ὁ βασιλεὺς ὁ Σ-
- 25 ιδωνίων είδη σταμ πέμπη τινα ώs αὐτὸν ὁ δήμοs ὁ ᾿Αθηναίων καλέσαι δὲ καὶ ἐπὶ ξένια τὸν ῆκοντα παρὰ τοῦ Σιδωνίων βασιλέωs ἐs τὸ πρυτανεῖον ἐs αὕριον.

Rider proposed by Menexenos :---

30 Μενέξενος είπεν· τὰ μὲν ἄλλα καθάπερ Κηφισόδοτος· ὁπόσοι δ' ἀν Σιδωνίων οἰκοῦντες ἐς Σιδῶνι καὶ πολιτενόμενοι ἐπιδημῶσιν κατ' ἐμπορίαν 'Αθήνησι μὴ ἐξεῖναι αὐτοὺς μετ-35 οίκιον πράττεσθαι μηδὲ χορηγὸν μηδένα καταστῆσαι μηδ' εἰσφορὰν μηδεμίαν ἐπιγράφειν.

The preamble of the decree, of which only a few words are preserved in lines 1-3, probably dealt with honours voted to Straton for his various services, and his kindness in helping forward the Athenian envoys, who had come to him at Sidon, in proceeding yet further to the Persian court (ws Baouléa, line 3). In line 1 the Σ though probable is not quite certain. and the letter before it may be Λ or A: also, as Straton is throughout called & Didwos B., or & Didwriwr B., I prefer construing is Baoilia in its usual sense, and make the subject of $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \eta$ Straton himself. All we know of Straton is from a citation from Theopompos in Athen. 531: Oia yap roùs Φαίακας Ομηρος ποιείν μεμυθολόγηκεν έορτάζοντας και πίνοντας καί κιθαρωδών και βαψωδών ακροωμένους, τοιαύτα και δ Στράτων διετέλει ποιών πολύν χρόνου . . . έτι δε μάλλον πρός τόν Νικοκλέα φιλοτιμούμενος (Nikokles, the son of Evagoras, -- see Nos. 72, 1 36, —prince of Kypros).... οίγε προήλθου είς τοσαύτην άμιλλαν, ώς ήμεις ακούομεν, ώστε πυνθανόμενοι παρά των αφικνουμένων τάς τε παρασκευάς των οίκιων κ.τ.λ. εφιλογείκουν ύπερβάλλεσθαι τοις τοιούτοις άλλήλους . . ού μην περί γε την του βίου τελευτην διηυτύχησαν, άλλ' ἀμφότεροι βιαίω θανάτω διεφθάρησαν. Both Straton and Nikokles were of course only subject-kings under the Persian government (cp. Ælian, Var. Hist. vii. 2). By σύμβολα (in line 19) are meant merely 'tickets of admission' to public hospitality, which will secure the bearer the favour of the authorities. Kephisodotos (l. 30) is doubtless the well-known orator who is named by Xen. Hell. vi. 3. 2; vii. 1. 14; he was one of the σύνδικοι in the defence of Leptines. (See Comm. on Dem. adv. Lept., which will also explain the exemption from elopopa and xopnyla, etc.) There must always have been a certain number of Sidonian merchants residing at Athens and the Peiræeus: twelve tombstones of Sidonians are published in Kumanudes' Collection of Attic epitaphs. Curtius (iv. 1. 16) speaks of a Sidonian prince Straton who was deposed by Alexander upon his conquest of Phœnicia. It is quite possible that he is the same Straton; nor is this irreconcilable with Theopompos' statement that he died a violent death. In 1. 16 the raular row Ocow are meant: see No. 81, § 5, where also we find in the diration. This must have been some special reserve fund for occasional expenses (see Köhler in Hermes, v. 12).

Alliance between Athens and Dionysios I.: B.C. 368-367.

Text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 52; cp. id. in Mittheilungen, i. pp. 13 foll., and preceding decrees Nos. 71, 84.

'Επὶ Ναυσιγέν]ους ắρχ[οντος ἐπὶ τῆς s π]ρυταν [είαs ή έγραμ]μάτευε [......... ... της πρυ τανεία [ς των προέδρων επεψή-5 φιζε...]s 'Αλίππου [....' έδοξε τφ δήμψ· . . []δ[ιος] είπεν· [τύ]χ[η ἀγαθή τή 'Αθηναίων, δε δ όχθ αι τῷ δήμ ω επαινέσαι μεν Διονύσι]ο[ν τ]όν Σικελία[ς άρχοντα, ότι έστιν άνηρ ά]γ[α]θός περί τόν [δημον τόν 'Αθηναίω-10 ν καί τ]oùs συμμάχους εί [ναι δε συμμάχους αὐτον κα]ί τους έκγόνους [του δήμου του 'Αθηναίων έ]ς [τ]ον άει χρόνον [έπι τοισδε έαν τις ίη έπι τ]ην χώραν την 'Α[θηναίων έπι πολέμω η κατ]ά γην η κατά θάλ[ατταν, βοηθείν Διο-13 νύσιον] καί τούς έκγόν ους αύτου καθότι αν έπαγγε]λλωσιν 'Αθην[αι̂οι και κατά γην και κατά θάλ]ατταν παντ[] σθένει κατά τό δυνατόν καί] έάν τις ίη έ[πι Διονύσιον η τοὺς έκγόνου]ς αύτοῦ η δσων δ[ρχει Διονύσιος επί 20 πολέμω] η κατά γην η κ[ατά θάλατταν, βοηθεω 'Αθη] ναίους καθότι ά [ν έπαγγελλωσιν και κατά γ]ήν και κατά θάλ[ατταν παντι σθένει κατά τ]ό [δυ]νατόν δπλα [δε μη εξειναι επιφέρειν] Δ[ιο]νυσίω μηδ[ε τοις εκγόνοις αυ-25 τοῦ ἐπί] τ[η]ν χώραν την 'Α[θηναίων ἐπί πημονή μήτε] κ[ατ]ά γήν μήτε κ[ατά θάλατταν· μηδέ 'Αθηναί]ο[ι]ς έξεῖναι δπ[λα ἐπιφέρειν ἐπὶ Διονύ σι [0] ν μηδέ τοὺ(s) ἐκ γόνους αὐτοῦ μηδέ όσων ά ρχ[ε]ι Διονύσιος [έπλ πημονή μήτε κ-30 ατὰ γῆν]μ[ή]τε κατὰ θάλα[τταν. λαβείν δὲ τὸν ορκου τ]ο[μ] περί της συμ[μαχίας τους πρέσβεις τού]ς παρά Διονυσί[ου ήκοντας, δμόσαι

158

δὲ τήν τε] βουλὴν καὶ το[ἐs στρατηγοὺς καὶ τ-οὺς ψυλ(?)ά]ρχους καὶ τοὺ[s ταξιάρχους· ὀμόσα-35 ι δὲ Διο]νύσιον καὶ τοὺ[s ὑεῖς αὐτοῦ καὶ τ
..... τ]ῶν Συρακοσί[ων καὶ τ.....
..... ράρχους ὀμνύ[ναι δὲ κατὰ ἔτος ἕκα-στον ἐκα]τέρους τοὺ[s ὅρκους· ἀπολαβεῖν δὲ ᾿Αθηναί]ων τοὺς πρέσβ[εις τοὺς πεμφθέντας ἐ-40 ς Σικελί]αν· ἀναγράψ[αι δὲ τόδε τὸ ψήφισμα τὸν γραμ]ματέα τῆς [βουλῆς..

The restorations are easily made in accordance with usual formulas of treaties. With lines 30 foll., which prescribe the manner of taking the oaths on either side, compare the similar provisions in Thuk. v. 47. The restoration of the last few lines is pretty certain as to the general sense, though particular words may be doubtful. The relations between Dionysios and Athens have been described in Nos. 71, 84: this alliance with Athens (which is nowhere else recorded) was one of the last acts of his life, for he died towards the middle of B.C. 367.

89.

Alliance between Athens and Sparta: B.C. 367.

Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 50; comp. 52 c, p. 401.

Ἐπὶ Ναυσιγέ]νους ἄρχοντος, ἐπὶ τῆς Ala[ντίδος δεκάτης πρυ]τανείας· Μόσχος Θεστίου Κ[υ]δ[αθηναεὺς ἐγραμμάτε]υε· ἐδοξε τεῖ βουλεῖ (sic) καὶ τῷ [δήμφ· Alαντὶς ἐπ]ρυτάνευε· Παράμυθος Ότρυν[εὺς ἐπεστάτ-5 ει· Μ]όσχος Θεστίου Κυδαθηνα[εὺ]ς ἐγ[ραμμάτευεν· Δι]όφαντος εἶπεν· περὶ ῶν οἱ πρέσβει[ς ἀπαγγέλλ]ουσιν οἱ ἐγ Λακεδαίμονος ῆκοντες [ἐψηφίσθαι] τῆ βουλῆ τοὺς προέδρους οἱ ἀν [τ]υγχά[νωσι προεδρ]εύοντες ἐν τῷ δήμφ χρη[μα]τί[σ]αι [περὶ αὐτῶν γν]ἱμην δὲ ξυνβάλλ[εσθα]ι τῆς βουλῆς εἰ[ς τὸν δῆμον, δ]τι δοκεῖ τῆ βουλ[ŷ, ἐπει]δὴ Κ[όρ]ο[ιβ]ος ὁ [Λακεδαιμ]όνιος ἀνῆρ ἀγαθ¦ός ἐστιν] περὶ [τ]δν [δῆ]μ[ου τὸν 'Αθην]αίων καὶ νῦν κα(ὶ) ἐ[ν τῷ πρ]όσ[θεν χρ]ό[νῳ, εἶναι αὐτὸ]ν πρόξενον καὶ εὐ[εργέ]την [τ]οῦ ['Αθηναίων δήμου κα]-

¹⁵ λ αύτον και έγγόνου[s]. το δε [ψήφισμα τόδε αναγραψ]-

άτω ό $[\gamma]$ ραμματεὺς τῆς βουλ[ῆς ἐν στήλῃ λιθ(νῃ κ]aì στ[η]σάτω ἐν ἀκροπόλῃ (εἰc). [εἰς δὲ τὴν ἀναγραφὴν ό τα]μί[a]ς τοῦ δήμου δότω $[\Delta]\Delta$ δραχμὰς ἐκ τῶν ἐς τὰ κατὰ ψ]ηφίσματα ἀκαλισκ[ο]μέ[νων τῷ δήμῳ].

An alliance between Athens and Sparta was concluded in B. C. 369 (see Xen. Hellen. vii. 1. 1-14; Grote, ch. 79). Köhler, C. I. A. ii. p. 402, gives conclusive reasons, quite apart from this inscription, to prove that we must supply [Navoiyé]vous as the archon (B. C. 368-7) and not [' $A\lambda\kappa\iota\sigma\theta\ell$]vous, B. C. 372-1. The tenth prytany (see Köhler, *l. c.*) would be about midsummer 367 or the last prytany in Nausigenes' year. The decree must therefore refer to some supplementary negotiations about the alliance.

90.

Samos taken and occupied by Attic Kleruchs: B.C. 365.

List of Treasures in the Herseon: B.C. 846-5.

Text from C. Curtius, Inschriften und Studien zur Gesch. von Samos, Lübeck, 1877, pp. 10 foll. The original is still at Samos, built into a farm-yard wall.

['Επ]ι Πεισιλέω άρχοντος έν Σάμφ, 'Αθήνησι δε επι 'Αρχίου a[ρχον|τος] παρέλa, Sor οι ταμίαι Φίλτων Λαμπ(τρεύς), Διονύσιος Κολλυ(τεύς), Βλε[...!. Π]αια(νιεύς), Φιλοκλής Φρεάφ(φιος), Εύξενος Θορί(κιος), Θεοκλής 'Αχαρ(νεύς), Θεόφιλ[os]...], Θέωρος 'Ελευ(σίνιος), 'Αριστόμαχος 'Αναφλ(ύστιος) παρά ταμιών τών έ[πί] Θεο κλέους άρχοντος ές Σάμφ, Αθήνησι δε Θεμιστοκλέους, [Σω]σθέν]ους Εύω(ευμέως), 'Αγιοκράτους 'Αλ[aι](έως), 'Αλκίου Πρασι- $(\epsilon \omega s)$, Φιλοστράτου $\epsilon [\xi Oi(ov), | Aρ]ιστάρχου Ayvou(σίου), Aya$ $σ(ov 'A_{X}a\rho(ries), 'P[o]δ(ov Meλι(ries), 'Artiφürtos 'Eλe[v]] σ(rlov),$ Καλλιμάχου 'Αφιδ(raiou), 'Αμφικλέους Αίγι(λιέως), έπι της Κεκροπίδος δε[v]τέρας πρεταγείας έκτη και δεκάτη, βουλή έν Ηραίω, των προέδ[ρ] ων επεψήφιζε Φ αί]ν[ιπ]πος Κήττι(os), συμπρόεδροι Φιλόστρατος Κηφι(σιεύς), Χ[αι'ρ]εφάνης 'Αλαι(εύς), Λύσανδρος Στειρι-(εύς), Διότιμος 'Αχαρ(revs), Φόρυς Μελι(revs), Θεόξ[ε|μ]ος 'Ελευ-(σίπιος), Παρμονίδης Τρικο(ρύσιος), Τιμαίνετος Αλγι(λιεύς). Κόσμος τής θεού κι[θώ] Αυδιος έξαστιν έχων Ισ[ά]τιδος, Διογένης ανέθηκε, κιθών Λύδιος ξξαστιν ύακινθίνην έχων κ.τ.λ.

For Timotheos' successes, and especially his conquest of Samos. see Isokrates, xv. (de permut.) 107 foll. In spite of the engagement so explicitly given in No. 81, the Athenians proceeded to send out Kleruchs: and though Samos was not a member of the Athenian league, but (since the peace of Antalkidas) had been gradually brought under Persian dominion, yet none the less the Samian KAnpovyla gave great offence to Greece. The first colonists were doubtless sent at once in B. C. 365, and further detachments followed in 361 and 352 B.C. (Grote, ch. 79; Curtius, Gr. Gesch. iii. pp. 457, 791). The native Samians appear to have been entirely banished (see No. 135), and so large was the efflux from Athens to Samos, that Demades is quoted by Athen. p. 99 D as saying : The $\mu \epsilon \nu$ Alywar elval $\lambda \eta \mu \eta \nu$ to $\hat{\Pi} \epsilon$ τραιώς, την δε Σάμον αποβρώνα της πόλεως ('offset'). The father of Epikuros was one of these Samian colonists, and the childhood of the philosopher was spent there: he came to Athens at eighteen (Diog. Laert. x. 1. 1). The temple of Hera at Samos, a temple well known from Herodotos, was comparable with Delphi and Olympia for its collection of works of art. The list, of which I have given the heading only, enumerates the $\kappa \delta \sigma \mu os \tau \eta s \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ('her toilet') in three groups, viz. articles of clothing, articles in ivory or metal, drinking vessels (φιάλαι). The dialect is Attic with an Ionic admixture, e.g. κιθών (χιτών); the Attic treasurers retained the spelling of the previous Samian registers. The heading reveals to us how completely the $\kappa\lambda\eta\rho\sigma\nu\chi$ (a was a microcosm of Athens itself: here are archon, prytanies, $\pi\rho \delta \epsilon \delta \rho \delta \mu$ evident that one of the raular for B.C. 346 has been omitted by accident. The inventory was taken during the first six months of the new ramia who entered office in July 345. At Athens it would have been made in the presence of the Logists; but here it is before a special sitting of the $\beta ov\lambda \dot{\eta}$ in the Herzeon itself. The list closely resembles the treasure-lists drawn up at Athens after the archonship of Euklid; especially those of Artemis Brauronia of the time of Lykurgos the orator. "Efaorus seems to mean 'a fringe of ravelled threads.'

Astykrates of Delphi banished by the Amphiktyonic Council; welcomed at Athens: B.C. 363.

Text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 54; cp. Kirchhoff, Monatsberichte d. Berl. Akad. 1866, p. 196-202.

['Επί Χαρ]ικλείδου άρχουτο[s επί της 'Ακα]μαντίδος δεύτερα[ς πρυτανεία]s, ή Νικόστρατο[s Φ] Παλληνεύς έγρα[μμάτευεν, 5 τρι]ακοστή τής πρυτ[ανείας. Έδ]οξεν τη βουλη και τω δ ήμω]ης Παιανιεύς επεστάτε[ι, Κρατίνος? ε]ίπεν περί ών λέγει 'Αστυκρ[άτης δ Δελφδ] s και οι μετ' αύτοῦ έψηφίσθ[αι τη βουλ-10 ή], τούς προέδρους, οι άν λάχω[σι προεδρεύε]ιν έν τῷ δήμω, προσαγαγ[είν 'Αστυκράτ] ην καί τούς μετ' αύτοῦ είς [τον δήμον εls τ]ην πρώτην έκκλησίαν κα[l χρηματίσαι, γ νώμην δε ξυμβάλλεσθαι [της βουλης 15 els] τον δήμον, ότι δοκεί τη βουλή έπειδή] 'Ανδρόνικος δ Θετταλό[ς ίερομεημουών] παρά τούς νόμους των 'Α[μ]φ[ικτύονων καί] τούς Δελφών είσήγαγε ν αειφυγίαν? κατ'] 'Αστυκράτους και των μετ' α[υτοῦ, ῶστε 20 φυγ]αδεύσαι 'Αστυκράτην και [τους μετ' αύτοῦ], καὶ τοὺς οὐσίας ἀφείλετο, [ἀγαθη τύχη] δεδόχθαι τῷ δήμφ, τὰς μέν [δίκας τὰs κ]ατὰ 'Αστυκράτους καὶ τῶς μετ' [αὐτοῦ γεγε νημένας έν 'Αμφικτύοσιν [άτελείς εί-25 ναι]. εί δέ τίς τι αίτιαται 'Αστ υκράτη καì τού]s μετ' αύτοῦ άδικεῖν Δελ[φῶν τινα η τὸ κοινὸ]ν τῆς πόλεως τῆς Δε[λφῶν . . (kere six or eight lines are lost) . . . · · καλέσαι [δε 'Αστυκράτην και τους μετ' a]ύτοῦ ἐπὶ ξένια [είς τὸ πρυτακείον είς αῦ]-30 plov.

Rider proposed by Kratinos:

Κρατίνος εἶπεν' [τὰ μὲν ὅλλα καθάπερ τῆ] βουλῆ περὶ ῶν ᾿Ασ[τυκράπης ὁ Δελφὸς λ]έγει· εἶκαι ὅὲ ᾿Αστυκρ[άτην ᾿Αθηναῖον κα]ὶ ἐκγόνσυς αὐτοῦ, καὶ εἶνα[ι αὐτὸν ψυλῆς]

- 5 ήστινος [α]ν ἀπογράψηται, [κα]ὶ [δήμου καὶ] φρατρίας. ἐπιμελεῖσθαι [δὲ] αὐτο[ῦ καὶ τ]ημ βουλην την αἰεὶ βο[υ]λε[ύ]ουσαν ἐάν [του] δέηται. εἶναι δὲ αὐτῷ κα[ὶ ἀτ]έλειαν οἰκοῦντι ᾿Δθήνησι. την δὲ ψῆφον δοῦναι περ[ὶ]
- 10 αἰτοῦ τοὺς πρυτάνεις τοὺς [μετὰ] τὴν 'Ακαμαντίδα πρυταν[εύ]οντας ἐν τῆ [π]ρώτῃ ἐκκλησία. εἶναι δὲ καὶ τοῦ[ς] μ[ετ]ὰ 'Αστυκράτους ἐκπεπτωκόσι [ἰ]σστέλειαν καθάπερ 'Αθηναίοις, ['Α]ρχεδάμφ, ['Α]ρι[στ]οξένφ,
- 15 Δ[α]μοτίμψ, Νικά[νδ]ρψ, Πατρο[κ]λεϊ, 'Αρχέλα, Μένωνι, 'Εχε[. . κ]ράτει, 'Η[γησ]άρχψ, 'Ελπινίκψ. [τ]ο δε ψήφισμα τόδ[ε] ἀ[ν]αγρά[ψα]ι τον γραμματέα τῆς βουλῆ[ς] ἐν [σ]τήλῃ [λ]ιθίνῃ [κ]αὶ στῆσαι [ἐ]ν ἀκροπόλ[ει]. εἰς [δε] τ-
- 20 ην ἀναγραφην της στήλ[ης δ]οῦναι τὸν τα[μ]laν τοῦ δήμου ΔΔ δρα(χ)μὰς ἐκ [τ]ῶν [κα]τὰ ψηφισματα ἀναλισκομένων τ[ψ] δήμω. καλέσαι δὲ 'Αστ[ν]κράτη κ[αὶ τῶνς] μ[ε]τὰ 'Αστυκράτους ἐπὶ ξένια εἰς τὸ πρυτανείον εἰς αὕριον.

Professor Kirchhoff remarks that this decree is dated about nine months before the battle of Mantinea, or shortly before the last march of Epaminondas into Peloponnese. Thebes was at this moment supreme in northern Greece, since the capture of Oropos from Athens in B.C. 366 (Xen. *Hell.* vii. 4. 1), the destruction of Orchomenos B.C. 364 (Diod. xv. 79), and the crushing defeat of Alexander of Pherse (Plut. *Pelopid.* 35). The Thebans, thus dominant, were not slow to make the Amphiktyonic council subserve their own political purposes, as afterwards in the Sacred War. But in Phokis there was a decided opposition to the supremacy of Thebes; and the Phokians declined to follow Epaminondas in his last expedition (Xen. *Hell.* vii. 5. 4). Therefore we may adopt Kirchhoff's plausible suggestion, that the persons here welcomed at Athens were citizens of Delphi who were friendly to Athens, and were the leaders of the anti-Theban opposition, and had accordingly been banished through Theban influence. Kratinos, who had probably moved the $\pi\rho\sigma\beta\sigma\lambda\epsilon\nu\mu\alpha$, appears to have taken the opportunity of moving an amendment to it when brought before the $\epsilon\kappa\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma\epsilon\alpha$.

92.

The Athenians thank Menelaos for helping Timotheos in Chalkidike: B.C. 363-362.

The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 55; cp. Sauppe, Philologue, xix. 247.

[M]ενέλαος Πελαγών εὖεργέτ[ης]. Ἐπὶ Χαρικλείδου ἄρχοντος ἐπὶ τῆς Οἰνηΐδος ἕκτης πρυτανείας.

^{*}Εδοξεν τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ[•] Οἰνεἰς (sic) ἐπρυτάνευ(ε)^{*} Νικ-5 [ό]στρατος ἐγραμμάτευεν[•] Χαρικλῆς Λευκουοεὺς ἐπεστά-[τ]ει[•] Σάτυρος εἶπεν[•] ἐπειδὴ Τιμόθεος ὁ στρατηγὸς ἀποφε[ίνε]ι Μενέλαον τὸν Πελαγόνα καὶ αὐτὸν συνπολεμο[ῦντα] καὶ χρήματα παρέχοντα εἰς τὸν πόλεμον τὸν πρ-[ὸς] Χαλκιδέας καὶ πρὸς ᾿Αμφίπολιν, ἐψηφίσθαι τῆ β-10 [ουλ]ῆ προσάγειν αὐτὸν εἰς τὸν δῆμον εἰς τὴν πρώ-

- ιο [ουλ]η προσαγείν αυτον είς τον σημον είς την προ-[την] ἐκκλησίαν, γνώμην δὲ ξυνβάλλεσθαι τῆς βουλ-[ῆς ε]ἰς τον δημον, ὅτι δοκεῖ τῆ βουλῆ ἐπαινέσαι μὲ-[ν αὐ]τον ὅτι ἀνὴρ ἀγαθός ἐστιν καὶ ποιεῖ ὅτι δύνατα-[ι ἀγ]αθον τον δημον τον ᾿Αθηναίων· ἐπιμελεῖσθαι
- 15 [δὲ a]ὐτοῦ καὶ τοὺς στρατηγοὺς τοὺς ὅιτας περ-[ὶ Ma]κεδοιίαν, ὅπως ἀν, ἐἀν του δέηται, τυγχά-[ιŋ]· εἶναι δὲ καὶ εὐρέσθαι αὐτῷ παρὰ τοῦ δήμο-[υ ἐ]ἀν τι δύνηται καὶ ἅλλο ἀγαθόν· καλέσαι δὲ [καὶ Με]ιέλαον ἐπὶ ξένια εἰς τὸ πρυτανεῖον εἰς [αὕριον].

Rider proposed by the mover:

20 [Σάτυ]ρος είπεν τὰ μὲν ἄλλα καθάπε[ρ τῆ βουλῆ ἐπει]δη [δ]ὲ καὶ οἱ πρόγονοι οἱ [Μενελάου εὐεργἐται ἦσαν] τοῦ δήμου τοῦ ᾿Αθη[ναίων, εἶναι καὶ Μενέλαον εὐεργέ]τη[ν κ.τ.λ.

Menelaos was half-brother of king Philip. He and Archelaos and Arrhidzeos were sons of Amyntas III by his mistress Gygzea (Justin, vii. 4, 5, cp. Harpokr. s.v. Mevédaos). Archelaos was slain by Philip early in his reign, as a probable pretender: Menelaos and the surviving brother fled, and are afterwards found at Olynthos, their presence there being made a pretext for war by Philip (see Justin, viii. 3, 10). It is not known at what time they first went to Olynthos (Grote, ch. 88), but this inscription proves that Menelaos was in the neighbourhood of Thrace, and co-operating with Timotheos in those successes in Chalkidike and the Macedonian coast which are described by Isokrates (xv. de permut. 111-113). At a later date (B.C. 352, Philipp. i. § 27), Demosthenes chides the Athenians for allowing Menelaos to fight their battles for them, i.e. against Philip in We know that Timotheos' great difficulty was money Thrace. to pay his troops (cp. Grote, ch. 79), so that it is interesting to find Menelaos assisting him with funds. Timotheos failed to take Amphipolis. It is true (l. 21) that Menelaos inherited a connexion with Athens: as for Amyntas I cp. Hdt. v. 94; for Amyntas III see No. 78. Menelaos is called Πελαγών perhaps from his having been appointed governor of that district by his father Amyntas (so Böhnecke, Demosthenes, Lykurgos, Hyperides und ihr Zeitalter, p. 232).

93.

Counter-revolution in Keos checked by Athens: B.C. 363.

The text is from Köhler, Mittheilungen d. arch. Instit. in Athen, ii. p. 142. The marble is at Athen.

Θεοί.

'Επὶ Χαρικλείδου ἄρχοντος Αλαιτις ἐπρυτάνευες, Νικόστρατος Παλληνεύς ἐγραμμάτευε, Φιλίττιος Βουτάδης ἐπεστάτει § 1. ἔδοξεν τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ 'Αριστοφῶν 5 εἶπεν' ἐπειδὴ Ιουλιῆται οδς κατήγαγον 'Αθηναίοι ἀποφαίνουσιν ὀφείλουσαν τὴν π[ο]λιν τὴν 'Ιουλιητῶς τῆ πόλε(ι) τῆ 'Αθηναίων τρία τάλαντα τὰ ἐκ τοῦ λογισθέντος ἀργυ-[ρ]ίου κατὰ τὸ ψήφισμα τοῦ δήμου τοῦ 'Αθηναίων δ Μενέξενοs ε[ĩ]πεν, δεδόχθαι τῷ δήμφ, ἀποδοῦται Ιουλιήτας 'Αθηνα-10 ίοις ταῦτα τὰ χρ[ή]ματα ἐν τῷ Σκιροφοριῶνι μητὶ τῷ ἐ-

πί Χαρικλείδου άρχοντος. έαν δε μή αποδιδώσιν έν τώ χρόσφ τω είρημένω, ε[ί]σπραξάντων αύτους οι ήρημένοι έπο του δήμου είσπράτ[τ]ειν τα όφειλόμενα χρήματα παρ**α των ν**ησιωτών τρόπ[φ] δτ**φ α**ν επίστωνται· συνεισπρα-15 ττάστων δε αύτοις [καl] οι στρατηγοί οι 'Ισυλιητών 'Εχέτιμος και Νικόλεω[ς κ]αι $\Sigma[\alpha]$ τυρος και Γλαύκων και 'Ηρακλείδης. § 2. δπως [δ' å]ν κ[a]] οι δρκοι και αι συνθηκαι ας συνέθετο Χαβρίας δ στ[ρ]ατηγός κα[l] ώμοσε Κείοις ύπερ 'Αθηναίων και Κείων οθς κα[τή]γαγον ['Α]θηναΐοι κύριαι ώσι, άναγ-20 ράψαι τούς στρατηγ[ούς] τούς [Ιου]λιητών ους είρηται έν τώ ψηφίσματι συνεισπράττειν τα χρήματα έν στήλη λιθίνη και στήσαι έν τῷ ίερῷ τοῦ ᾿Απόλλωνος τοῦ Πυθίου καθ**άπερ** έν Καρθαία άναγεγραμμέναι είσί, άναγράψαι δε και του γραμματέα της βουλης ές στήλη κατά ταύτα και 23 στήσαι έν άκροπόλει, είς δε την άναγραφην δουναι τον ταμίαν τοῦ δήμου ΔΔ δραχμὰς ἐκ τῶν κατὰ ψηφίσματα ἀναλι-§ 3. $i \pi \epsilon_1 \delta \eta$ δε 'Ιουλιητών οι παραβάντες τους δρκσκομένων. ους καί τὰς συνθήκας και πολεμήσαντες εναντία τώ δήμφ τῷ 'Αθηναίων καὶ Κε[ί]οις καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις συμμάχοι-30 5 και θανάτου αύτων καταγνωσθέντος κατελθόντ[ε]ς ές Kém τάς τε στήλας έξέβαλο[v] έ[v al]s ήσαν ἀναγεγραμ[μ]έναι αί συνθήκαι πρός 'Αθηναίους και τα δνόματα των παραβάρτων τούς δρκους και τας συνθήκας, και τούς φίλους τούς 'Αθη**γα**ίων οῦς κατήγαγεν ὁ δῆμος τοὺς μὲν ἀπέκτειναν, τῶν 35 δε θάνατον κατέγνωσαν και τας ου σ]ίας εδημίευσαν παρά τους δρκους και τας συνθήκας, Σατυρίδου και Τιμοξένου καl Μιλτιάδου, ότι κατηγόρουν 'Αντι[πάτ]ρου δτε ή βουλή ή 'Αθηναίων κατέγνω αύτοῦ θάνατον αποκτ εί γαντος τον πρόξεror τον 'Αθηναίων . . . ισ . ωνα παρά [τ]ά ψηφίσματα τοῦ δή-40 μου τοῦ Ἀθηναίων κ[α]ὶ [π]αρὰ [πά]ιτα (sic) τοὺς ὄρκους καὶ τας συνθήκας, φεύγειν αὐτοὺς [K]έω [καί] 'Αθήνας και την οὐσίαν αὐτῶr δημοσίαν είναι τοῦ δή[μου] τοῦ Ἰουλιητώr ἀπογράψαι δ**ε αιτώ**ν τὰ δνόματα αὐτί[κα μ**ά**]λα εναντίον τοῦ δήμου τῷ γραμματεί τούς στρατηγού[ς τ]ού[ς] Ιουλιητών τούς επιδημούν-45 τας `Αθήνησι' έαν δέ [τινες των] απογραφέντων αμφισβητωσι μή είναι τούτων τω ν άνδρω]ν, εξείναι αυτοίς ενγυητάς καταστήσασι πρός [τ]ού[ς] σ[τρ]ατηγούς τούς Ιουλιητών τριάκοντα ήμερών δίκα[s] $i[\pi]o[\sigma\chi]είν [κα]τὰ τ[oν]s ὅρκουs και τὰs$

συνθήκας έν Κέφ καὶ [ἐν τῆ ἐκκ]λήτφ [πό]λει ᾿Αθήνησι· Σ- 50 ατυρίδην δὲ καὶ Τιμό[ξενον καὶ] Μ[ιλτιάδ]ην ἀ[π]ιέναι εἰ- 5 Κέω ἐπὶ τὰ ἐαυτῶν· ἐπ[αιν]έ[σ]α[ι δ]ὲ τ[οὺς] ἥκοντας Ἰουλιητῶ- ν Δημήτριον Ἡρακλε[ίδη]ν Ἐ[χέτι]μο[ν] Κ[αλ]λίφαντον· ἐπαι- νέσαι δὲ καὶ Σατυρ[ίδην] κα[ὶ Τιμ]ό[ξενον] καὶ Μιλτιάδην. ἐπαινέσαι δὲ καὶ [τ]ὴν [π]όλι[ν τὴν] Κα[ρ]θαιῶν καὶ ᾿Αγλώκρι- 55 τον καὶ καλέσαι αὐτοὺς ἐπὶ [ξ]έ[νια εἰς τ[ὸ πρυτανεῖον ἐς
αύριον.
§ 4. Τάδε συνέθεντο καὶ ὤμοσαν οἱ στρατηγοὶ οἱ 'Αθηναίων πρ-
òs τàs πόλεις τ[à]s ἐν Κέω κα[ὶ] οἱ σύμμαχοι· οὐ μνησικακήσω
[τώ]ν πα[ρ]εληλυθότων πρό[s] Κείους ου [δε]νός ουδε άποκτενώ Κ-
60 [είων οὐδ]ένα οὐδε φυγάδα ποήσω των εμμενόντων τοῖς ὅρκο-
[ις καὶ τ]αῖς συνθήκαις ταῖσδε, εἰς δὲ τὴν συμμαχίαν εἰσ[ά-
ξω καθάπ]ερ τους άλλους συμμάχους έαν δέ τι[s] νεωτερίζη τι
[έν Κέφ παρ]α τούς δρκους και τας συνθήκας, ούκ επιτρέψω ού-
[τε τέχνη ού]τε μηχανή οὐδεμιά εls το δυνατόν el δέ τις
65 [βούλεται κατοι]κείν έγ Κέω, έάσω αὐτὸν ὅπου α̈́ν βούληται τῶ-
[ν συμμαχίδων πόλ]εων οἰκοῦιτα τὰ ξαυτοῦ καρποῦσθαι· ταῦτα
[έμπεδορκήσω νη τον] Δία ιη την Άθηναίαν νη τον Ποσειδώ ιη
[τὴν Δήμητρα, εὐορκοῦντι] μὲν πόλλ' ἀγαθ[à] εἶraι, ἐπιορκοῦν[τ-
ι δὲ κακά]. 🛯 § 5. [΄Όρκοι καὶ συτθῆ]και τῶν πόλεων τῶν ἐν Κέφ πρὸ
70 [s 'Αθηναίους καὶ τοὺς συμμάχους] καὶ Κείων οὖς κατήγαγον 'Αθ[η- ναῖοι· συμμαχήσω 'Αθηναίοις καὶ] τοῖς συμμάχοις καὶ οὐ-
[κ ἀποστήσομαι ἀπ' Ἀθηναίων οὐδὲ τῶ]ν συμμάχων οὕτε αὐτὸς
[έγω ούτε άλλω πείσομαι ές τὸ δυνατ]όν τὰς δὲ δίκας καὶ [τ-
às γραφàs]κ. και τη ασας εκκλήτους κ
75[έκ]ατὸν δραχμάς· ἐà- [ν δὲ ντας η ᾿Αθηναιοτὰς συνθήκας
[οὐδ]«μιậ βοηθή-
[σω ταῦτα ἐμπ]εδορκήσω ν-
[η τόν Δία νη την Αθηνάας τη τον Ποσειδώ νη την Δ]ήμητρα, ευ-
80 [ορκοῦντι μὲν πόλλ' ἀγαθὰ εἶι αι, ἐπιορκοῦντι δὲ κα]κά.

Keos had been among the first islands that joined the new Athenian league in 376-375 B.C. (see No. 81). It appears from § 1 that Chabrias had received the cities of Julis and Karthæa into alliance during his cruise with Phokion, and had restored to Julis certain Athenian partisans who till then were in exile. Plutarch, *Phokion* 7, speaks of this time, and names Aristophon the mover of this decree. The Athenians appear to have assisted the Julietæ with money, which now in 363 they are in want of, and require the Julietæ to pay by the month Skirophorion (June), the last month of Chariklides' year. Other islands in the league were backward in the payment of loans and $\sigma\nu\nu\tau\alpha'\xi\epsilon\iotas$, as appears from the mention of the commissioners in § 1.

§ 2 orders the re-erection at Julis of the terms of agreement settled by Chabrias when he reorganized the town: the stelæ containing them having been lately destroyed. These $\sigma\nu\nu\theta\eta\kappa\alpha\iota$ and $\delta\rho\kappa\kappa\iota$ are appended in §§ 4-5.

§ 3 describes the counter-revolution which had been attempted in opposition to Athenian interests. We are certainly right in connecting that movement with the naval expedition of Epaminondas, B. C. 363, described by Diod. Sic. xv. 78-79, and well discussed by Grote, ch. 79, the object of which was to injure the Athenian maritime influence. On the meaning of $\xi_{KK}\lambda\eta\tau\sigmas$ $\pi\delta\lambda\iotas$ in II. 45-50 see No. 200.

§ 4 recites the covenant and oath entered into by Chabrias and Phokion (representing Athens and the allies) towards the towns of Keos.

§ 5 gives the corresponding engagement and oath of allegiance on the part of the town of Keos towards Athens and the league. For a commercial treaty with Keos see No. 108.

94.

Alliance between Athens, the Arkadians, Achmans, Eleians, and Phliasians, immediately before Mantinea: B.C. 362.

Text from Köhler, C. I. A. E. p. 403; id. in Mittheilungen d. arch. Inst. in Athen, i. p. 197. The stell is broken at bottom; but the top is surmounted by a relief representing Zeus enthroned, with thunderbolt; a female figure (= the summax ?) appoaches, lifting her veil, while Athena stands by.

Έπι Μόλωνος άρχοντος.

Συμμαχία 'Αθηταίων και 'Αρκάδων και 'Αχαιών και 'Ηλείων και Φλειασίων έδοξεν τῆ βουλῆ και τῷ δήμψ. Οινητε ἐπρυτάνενεν, 'Αγάθαρχος 'Αγαθάρχου 'Οῆθε-5 ν ἐγραμμάτευ[εν], Ξάνθιππος Έρμειος ἐπεστάτει. Περίανδρος εἶπε[ν]· εὕξασθαι μὲν τὸγ κήρυκα αὐτίκα μάλα τῷ Διὶ τῷ 'Ολυμπίῳ καὶ τῇ 'Αθηνῷ τῇ Πολιάδι καὶ τῇ Δήμητρι καὶ τῇ Κόρῃ καὶ τοῖς δώδεκ[α θ]εοῖς καὶ ταῖς σεμναῖς θεαῖς, ἐὰν συνενείγκῃ (sic) ['Αθηιο ν] αίων τῷ δήμῳ τὰ δόξαντα περὶ τῆς συμμαχί[ας, θυσία]ν καὶ πρόσοδον ποιήσεσθα[ι] τελουμένων [τούτων κα] θότι ἂν τῷ δήμῳ δοκῇ· τα[ῦ]τα μὲν εὖχθ[αι, ἐπειδὴ δ]ε οἱ σύμμαχοι δόγμα εἰσήνειγκαν εἰς τ[ὴν βουλὴν δ]έχεσθαι τὴν συμμαχίαν καθὰ ἐπαγγέλ[λοιται οις ἰ 'Αρ]κάδες καὶ 'Αχαιοὶ καὶ 'Ηλεῖοι καὶ Φλε[ιάσιοι καὶ ἡ βο]υλὴ προυβούλευσεν κατὰ ταὐτά, δεδ[όχθαι τῷ δήμῳ εἶ]ναι συμμάχους τύχῃ ἀγα[θῇ τοῦ δήμου εἰς τὸν ἀεὶ] χρόνου 'Αθηναί[ων τὸν δῆμον καὶ τοὺς συμμάχους καὶ 'Α]ρκάδ[ας κ.τ.λ.

This is the peace concluded just before the battle of Mantinea, see Xen. *Hell.* vii. 5. 1-3. Xenophon does not name the Phliasians, but their position was, as hitherto, one of firm fidelity to the Spartan side; see Xenophon's chapter of praise, *ilid.* vii. 2. The Athenians sent a contingent of cavalry, which arrived just in time to save Mantinea from the grasp of Epaminondas: *ilid.* 5. 15-17. The terms of the vow show the deep anxiety of Athens and the rest of the anti-Theban alliance. Plutarch assigns the battle to the archonship of Chariklides (B. C. 363-2), see Clinton F. H. ad annum. It certainly took place at harvest-time: $\delta\lambda \omega s$ $\tau \epsilon \kappa a i \sigma i \tau ov \sigma v \kappa o \mu i \delta \eta s o v \sigma \eta s$ (Xen. *il.* 5. 14). And this inscription proves it to have been early in the autumn of 362, soon after Molon's archonship began. This accords with Demosthenes, *in Polycl.* 1207.

95.

Envoys of Tachos king of Egypt at Athens: B.C. 362-361.

Text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 60. A mere fragment,

Θ[εοί]. Φανοκλ[η̂ς.... ..ς ἐγρα[μμάτευεν]. Τοῖς Ταχώ [πρέσβεσιν]. 5 Πίγρητι, etc. ['Α]πολλοδώρ[ψ],

[Zω]πύρψ. (The decree which followed is now lost).

Tachos King of Egypt shared in the general revolt of the Western Satrapies from Persia at the latter end of the reign of Artaxerxes II. When that revolt was crushed in 362 B.C. Tachos, in fear of Persia, called in the aid of mercenaries, and secured the assistance of the aged Agesilaos from Sparta (who died on his march homewards to Kyrene in the winter of 361-360 B.C.), and of Chabrias from Athens. See a good article in Smith's *Dict. of Biog. s. v.* Tachos; Xen. *Ages. ii. 27* foll.; Plut. *Ages. 36* foll. I follow the chronology of Clinton, *F. H. ii*, Appendix on the Kings of Sparta (*Agesilaos*).

96.

Relic of Chabrias' Expedition to Egypt: B.C. 362-361 (?).

On a stone (now lost) found somewhere near Memphis: the text is from Böckh-Franz, C. I. G. vol. iii. N^o. 4702.

All that the inscription itself reveals is that it is a votive monument to an Egyptian deity, erected by Greek mercenaries some time in the 4th century B.C. The writing cannot be later. The editors are therefore justified in conjecturing that these were mercenaries in Chabrias' expedition in help of King Tachos (see No. 95). Strabo mentions a $Xa\beta\rho iov \chi d\rho a\xi$ and a $Xa\beta\rho iov \kappa \omega \eta$ in the Delta, which doubtless date from this campaign (Strab. pp. 760, 803). The metrical lines, of which only a fragment remains, are only restored verbi gratia: the *olkodomai* are the pyramids. Dr. Birch tells me that Târos is Ptah or Pthah (in hieroglyphs Ta-nen or Tatnen) the chief god of Memphis.

> [Αυτ' εὐεργεσίας Έλλήτων ἀενάοισικ] [Οίδε πρός οἰκ]οδομαῖς Τανον θεὸν ἰδρύσαντο.

Λυσικρίτος 'Αθηναί(os), 'Ατδρό[χ]αρις Νισύρι(os), Μτασιγέτης Βοιωτ(ός). 'Επιτέλης Κυρανα(ios), Στράτων Καρυανδ(εύς), Σωσικ[λ]η̂ς 'Αθηκα[î](os), Δημήτριος 'Αθηκαίο(s), 'Απολλωνίδας Κορί(rθιος), Πυθόδωρος 'Αθηναί(os), 'Αριστόβουλος 'Αθηκ(αίος).

i.

The $\tau p d\pi \epsilon \zeta a$ is a table of marble in front of the image to receive gifts and librations: K. F. Hermann, Gottesdienstliche Allerth. 17. § 15.

97.

Alliance between Athens and the Thessalians, against Alexander of Pheræ: B.C. 361-360.

The text is from Köhler, Mittheilungen d. arch. Inst. 1877, pp. 197 foll., cp. p. 291.

Θεοί.

Ἐπὶ Νικοφήμου ἄρχοντος συμμαχία Ἀθηναίων καὶ Θετταλῶν εἰς τὸν ἅει χρόνον.

5 "Εδοξετ τ[η β]ουλη κα[ι] τῷ δήμω. Λ[ε]ωντὶς ἐπρυτάνευεν, Χαιρ[ί]ων Χαριναύ[τ]ου Φαληρεὺ[s] ἐγραμμάτευεν, "Αρχιππος `Αμφ[ι]τροπηθε[ν] ἐπεστάτει. δωδεκάτη της πρυτανείας. Ἐ[ξ]ηκεστίδης εἶπεν. [π]ε[ρι] ῶν λέγουσιν οἱ π-[ρ]έσβεις τῶν Θετταλῶ[ν], ἐψηφίσθα[ι] τῷ δ[ή]μω, δέχεσθ-

- 10 αι τητ συμμαχίαν τύχ[η] ἀγαθῆ κ[α]θὰ ἐπ[α]νγέλλοντα-[ι] οἱ Θειταλο[ί], εἶναι δὲ αὐ[τ]ο[îs] τη[ν] συμμ[α]χίαν πρὸs ᾿Αθηναίστε εἰs [τ]ὸν αἰεὶ χρόνον εἶ[ν]αι δὲ καὶ τοὺs ᾿Αθηναίων στιμμ[ά]χ[ου]ε ἅπαντας Θειταλῶ[ν] συμμ[ά]χους καὶ τοὺς [Θ]ειταλῶν ᾿Α[θη]ναίων ὀμόσαι δὲ ᾿Α[θη]ναίων μὲν τοὺς στρ-
- 15 [ατη]γούς καὶ τ[η]ν βουλην καὶ τοὺς ἱππάρχους καὶ τοὺς ἱππέ-[α]ς τότδε τὸι ὅρκον. Βοηθήσω π[α]ιτὶ σθένει κατὰ τὸ δυκατός, ἐἀν τι[ς] ἴῃ ἐπὶ τὸ κοινὸν τὸ Θετταλῶν ἐπὶ πολ-[έμ]ψ η τὸι ἅ[ρ]χοντα καταλύ[ῃ], ὅν εἴλοιτο Θετταλοὶ, η [τ]ύρακτον καθ[ι]στῆ ἐν Θετταλίο. ἐπομνύναι δὲ τὸν
- 20 [ró]μιμον δρκον δπως δ' [å]ν καὶ Θετταλοὶ ὀμόσωσι τῷ π-[όλ]ει, ἐ[λ]έσθα[ι τὸ]ν δῆμον πέντε ἄν[δρ]ας ἐ[ξ] 'Αθηναίων ἀπά[ν]των, οἶτινες ἀφικόμενοι εἰς Θετταλία[ν] ἐξορκώ-[σ]ουσιν 'Αγέλαο[ν τ]ὸν ἅρχοντα καὶ τοὺς [π]ολ[ε]μά[ρ]χους καὶ τοὺς ἰ[π]πάρχους καὶ τοὺς ἱππέ[α]ς καὶ τοὺ[ς ἱερ]ο[μν]ημόνας
- 25 καὶ τοὺς άλλου[s] ἄρχοντας, δπόσοι ὑπὶ[ρ] τοῦ κοινοῦ τοῦ Θετταλῶν ἄρχουσ[ι]ν, τόνδε τὸν ὅρκον Βο[η]θ[ήσ]ω παντὶ σθέκει κατὰ τὸ δυνάτον, ἐάν τις ἕ[ŋ] ἐπὶ τὴν πόλιν τὴν ᾿Αθ-[ηι]αίων ἐπὶ πολέμφ ἢ τὸν δῆμον καταλύῃ τὸν ᾿Αθηνα-[ίων]. ἀμόσαι δὲ [κ]αὶ τοὺς πρέσβεις τοὺς τῶν Θετταλῶν ἐν

30 $\tau[\hat{\eta}] \beta ov\lambda\hat{\eta}$ roùs [$\epsilon \pi_i$] $\delta\eta\mu o\hat{v}[v]$ ras 'Abhvnour ròv aù[τ] $\delta[v]$ de $\pi o\lambda\epsilon\mu ov$ ròv $\pi\rho$ òs 'A $\lambda\epsilon\epsilon\mu v$ ròv $\mu\dot{\eta}$ [$\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon\nu$] $a[\iota]$ κ -[$ara\lambda$] $\dot{v}\sigma a\sigma \theta a\iota$ [$\mu\eta\tau\epsilon$] $\Theta\epsilon\tau\tau a\lambda o\hat{v}s$ [\ddot{a}] $v\epsilon v$ 'Abhvaí[$\omega v \mu\eta\tau\epsilon$] 'A-[$\theta\eta v$]alous a[vev roŵ] approximations kai roŵ kouvoŵ [$\tauoŵ \Theta\epsilon\tau\tau$ $a\lambda\hat{\omega}v$]. $\epsilon\pi auv[\epsilon]\sigma a[\iota de]$ 'A $\gamma\epsilon\lambda aov rov approximation [\tau]a$ 35.... $\tau\omega v \Theta\epsilon\tau[\tau a\lambda]\hat{\omega}v$, $\delta\tau\iota$ $\epsilon\ddot{v}\kappa[a]$ $\lambda\pi\rho o\theta v\mu[\omega]s \epsilon[\pi$] ... $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota \omega v av[\tau]o[\hat{\iota}]s[\dot{\eta}] \pi o\lambda[\iota]s \epsilon[\pi]\eta[\gamma]\gamma\epsilon(\lambda[a\tau]o· e\pi[auvera]\iota$ $[dè kai] roùs <math>\pi\rho\epsilon[\sigma\beta\epsilon\iota s] \tau\omega v [\Theta]\epsilon\tau\tau a\lambda\hat{\omega}v roù[s \eta\kappa]ov[\tau as] \kappa[a] \kappa [a\lambda\epsilon]\sigma au aù roùs [<math>\epsilon\pi\iota \xi$] $\epsilon vua [\epsilon]s [\tau d \pi\rho]v\tau a[ve] cov [\epsilon]s] aŭ pe [ov. <math>\tau\eta$]v de $\sigma\tau[\eta]\lambda[\eta v \tau\eta]v \pi\rho\delta[s 'A]\lambda[\epsilon \epsilon]av\delta[\rho]ov [\kappa a]\theta[\epsilon] \lambda[\epsilon]îv [\tau]oùs$ $40 [<math>\taua\mu\iotaa$]s tôs de où $\tau[\eta)v \pi$] $\epsilon\rho[\iota \tau\eta]s [\sigma]v\mu\mu ax la[s]. <math>\tauo$ îs de $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma$ -

- 40 [ταμία]s της σέου τ[ην π]ερ[ι τη]s [σ]υμμαχία[s]. τοις σε πρέσ-[βεσι δ]οῦναι τὸν [ταμί]αν τ[ο]ῦ [δήμ]ου εἰς ἐφόδια Δ δραχ-[μὰs] ἐκάστῳ· τη[ν δὲ] συμ[μα]χί[αν] τή[ν]δε ἀναγράψαι τὸν [γρα]μ[μ]ατέα τῆς β[ουλη]ς ἐν [σ]τ[ήλη] λιθίνη [καὶ σ]τησαι [ἐ]ν ἀκ[ρ]ο[π]όλε[ι], ε[ί]ς [δ]ὲ [τη]ν [ἀναγραφ]ην τῆς [σ]τ[ή]λη[ς] δοῦνα-
- 45 [ι] τὸν ταμίαν τοῦ δή[μου] ΔΔ [δρ]α[χμά]s· εἶται δὲ [Θ]ε[αί]τη[τ]ον [τ]ὸν Ἐρχιέα ο...το[ν]τα [ἄρισ]τα [κα]ὶ [πρ]άττοντα δ-[τ]ι ἂν δύνηται ἀγα[θὸ]ν τῷ [δήμ]ψ τῷ Ἀ[θην]α[ί]ω[ν κα]ὶ Θετταλ[ο]ῖs ἐν τῷ τεταγμέ[ν]ψ.

Alexander the tyrant of Pherze (B.C. 368-358), a man of cruel and unscrupulous character, was intent upon enlarging his power at the expense of the autonomous Thessalian towns, The Thessalians accordingly applied to Thebes, and the Thebans in repeated campaigns succeeded in checking and finally curbing his ambition, until he was forced to restore the Thessalian towns and content himself within Pherz, becoming a dependant ally of Thebes (Diod. xv. 80; Plut. Pelop. 26 foll.). He had previously enjoyed the alliance of Athens (Diod. xv. 71), as our inscription testifies. The death however of Epaminondas in 362 B.C. freed him from fear of Thebes, and he at once manned a fleet and proceeded to harass the maritime allies of Athens (Diod. xv. 95; Polyzen. vi. 2; Dem. in Polycl. 1207-8). These hostilities between Athens and Alexander continued for several years, and the Thessalians, whose freedom he was again assailing, apply to Athens for an alliance against their common enemy. The phrase eival is to teraqueve (fin.) means 'he will

be acting a proper part,' 'doing his duty' (cp. Nos. 44. l. 48, 111. l. 63).

98.

Amphipolis taken by Philip; the friends of Athens banished: B.C. 358-357.

Found at Amphipolis: the text is from Böckh, C. I. G. 2008, and (more correctly) Le Bas, Voyage Archeol. Pt. ii. 1418; comp. H. Sauppe, Inscr. Mac. quattuor, Weimar 1847.

Έδοξεν τώ δήμω. Φίλωνα και Στρατοκλέα φεόγειν 'Αμφίπολιν καί τηγ γην την 'Αμφ-5 ιπολιτέων αειφυγίην καί αύτούς καί τούς παίδας. και ήμ που άλίσκωιται πάσχειν α[υ]τούς ώς πολεμίους καί 10 νηποι**νεί τεθνάναι.** τα δε χρήματ' αύτων δημόσια είγαι, τὸ δ' ἐπιδέκατος ίοὸς τοῦ 'Απόλλωτος και τοῦ Στρ-15 υμόνος. τούς δε προστάτας αιταγράψαι αὐτούς έ στήληι λιθίνην. η̈́r δέ τις τὸ ψήφισμα araψηφί(η ή καταδ-20 έχηται τούτους τέχνη ή μηχαιή ώτεφου-

η ή μηχατή ώτεφοῦν τὰ χρήματ' αὐτοῦ δημόσια ἔστω καὶ αὐτὸς φεογέτω ᾿Αμφίπολιν 25 ἀειφυγίητ.

What pretext Philip had for attacking Amphipolis in 358 B.C., after evacuating it in 359. we are not told. Probably during the interval there were two parties within the town, the one desiring a closer union with Athens as of old, the other leaning towards Philip: and he was ready enough to take advantage of the faction. At the commencement of his attack envoys were sent to Athens for help, who arrived just as the Athenians were returned from the Eubœan expedition (Dem. Olynth. i. p. 11), and their names were Hierax and Stratokles. Philon must have been another prominent friend of Athens; for upon the capture of the city Philip roùs μέν άλλοτρίως πρός αὐτὸν διακειμένους Eduyádevoe (Diod. xvi. 8). The dialect is Ionic: for Amphipolis, though an Athenian colony (Thuk. iv. 102: B.C. 437), was yet surrounded by Ionic neighbours, and from the first the Attic element in the town was comparatively small (Thuk. iv. 106). The influence of Sparta must have made it still smaller (Demosth. p. 164). The constitution of Amphipolis at this moment was not on the Athenian model: the $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau d \tau a \iota$ suggest an oligarchical system.

99.

Honours to Athenodoros the Condottiere at Kios: B.C. 360-356.

Copied by Le Bas in the courtyard of the Metropolitan Church at Ghemlik (Kios): entire except on the left. Voyage Archéol. vol. iii. Pt. V. 1140.

['Επὶ ἐπιμη]νίου Φρούρου, Ληναιῶνος εἰκάδι, [ἐν κυρία] ἐκκλησία, Κόνων ἐπρυτάνευε, γ**εώ**μη [τῶν ἀρχόν]των καὶ τῶν στρατηγῶν 'Αθηνόδωρον [ἐπαινέσαι? 'Αθ]ηναῖον, ἄνδρα ἀγαθόν γετόμενον

- 5 [περὶ τὴν πόλ]ιν τὴν Κιανῶν καὶ εὐεργέτην στῆσαι
 [δ' αὐτοῦ εἰκό]ια χαλκῆν, δεδόσθαι δὲ αὐτῷ καὶ ἐγγόνοις
 [προεδρίαν κ]αὶ ἀτέλειαν πάντων καὶ εἴσπλουν καὶ ἕ(κ)πλουν
 [ἀσυλεἰ καὶ ἀσ]ποιδεὶ καὶ ἐν εἰρήνῃ καὶ ἐν πολέμψ
 [ἐὰν δέ τις ἀδ]ικῦ ᾿Αθηιόδωρον ἢ τοὺς ἐγγόνους τοὺς
- 10 [Αθηνοδώρ]ου βοιηθείν αὐτοῖς Κιανοὺς παιτὶ σθένει [κατὰ τὸ δυ]νατὸν καὶ ταχ[ὐ δρ]αμοῦσι αὐτοῖς ἁμεινον είναι.

Athenodoros, a citizen of Imbros (Plut. Plok. 18, etc.) and of Athens (Dem. p. 620, etc.), was one of those condottieri, like Charidemos of Oreos and others, whose adventures are a characteristic of Greek history in the 4th century. We hear of him as serving under the Persian satraps (Polysen. v. 21), and

F

later as the general and kinsman by marriage of Berisades, one of the claimants to the Thracian throne upon the death of Kotys in 360 B.C. (Dem. 624, etc.); in this position he assisted Athens to regain possession of the Thracian Chersonnese, B.C. 358 (Grote, ch. 80 fin.). Isokrates, in an oration 356 B.C. (de Pace, 164), speaks of Athenodoros as having 'founded a city' in Thrace. To the same period belongs his encounter with Charidemos narrated by Æneas, Poliork. 24. This was the zenith of his career, and our inscription is of this date : he was then strengthening his position by alliances with neighbouring states. М. Waddington compares the similar alliance (No. 100) between Hermias of Atarneus and the Erythræans. Later on we hear of Alexander imprisoning Athenodoros and others at Sardes, and releasing him at the request of Phokion (Plut. l. c.; Ælian F. H. i. 25). We may conjecture Konon (line 2) to have been the son of some admirer of the Athenian admiral, and so named after him.

100.

Hermias of Atarneus; his treaty with Erythræ: B.C. 357 (?).

The stone is in the British Museum: I have revised the text by help of an impression. Le Bas-Waddington, Pt. V. 1536a.

. . . ές] την χώραν την Έρμίου κ-[αὶ τῶν ἐτ]αίρων πολέμου ἕι κεν εἰ-[ναι ἀτελ]έα πάντα καὶ τὰ ἐκ τούτ(ω)ν [νενόμεν]α, πλην ὅσ' ἄν τις ἀποδῶται[.]
5 [τῶν δὲ πρ]ηθέντων τελείτω πειτηκ-[οστήν. ἐ]πειδὰν δὲ εἰρήιη γείηται [ἀπάγεσ]θαι ἐν τριήκοντα ἡμέραις[.] [ἐὰν δὲ μ]η ἀπάγηται τελείτω τὰ τέλ-[η. ἐκτίθ]εσθαι δὲ ἐπαγγείλαντας διο [ικαίως]. εἶναι δὲ ἐπαγγείλαντας διο [ικαίως]. εἶναι δὲ καὶ 'Ερμία καὶ το-[îs ἐταί]ροις ἐἀν τι βούλωνται ἐκτ-[θραίου]ς 'Ερμία καὶ τοῖς ἐταίροι-[s[.] ὁ δὲ ὅρ]κος ἔστω ὅδε[.] Βοηθήσω 'Ερμί-15 [α καὶ τ]οῖς ἐταίροις καὶ κατὰ γῆν

[καί κατ]α θάλασσαν παντί σθένει κ-[ατά τό δυ]νατόν, και τά άλλα έπιτελω κατά τ]α ώμολογημένα. επιμελεσ-[θαι δε τού]ς στρατηγούς. δρκώσαι δ-20 [ε αγγέλους ε]λθόντας παρ' Ερμίου κ-[α] των εταίρ]ων μετά των στρατηγώ-[ν έν ούλοθυσί]αις ίεροις τελείοι-[s. τα δε ίερα πα]ρέχειν την πόλιν. δμ-[olws de kai 'Ep]µlav kai toùs etalp-25 [ους δμόσαι δ]ι' άγγέλων βοηθήσειν ['Ερυθραίοις κ]αί κατά γην καί κατά [θάλασσαν παν]τί σθένει κατά τό δυ-[νατόν καί τὰ] άλλα έπιτελειν κατά [τὰ ώμολογη]μένα. δμνύναι δε θεούς 30 [τούς δρκίο]υς. γράψαι δε ταῦτα ε στ. [ήλην λιθίνη]ν και στήσαι 'Ερυθραί-[ous mer es to] lepor the 'Annualne, 'E-[ρμίαν δε ες τ]ο ίερον τοῦ 'Αταρνέως.

Hermias, the cunuch-slave who succeeded to the petty sovereignty of Atarneus and maintained his independence of the Persian dominion, owes his fame to the friendship of Aristotle, whose unique ode to Virtue was composed in memory of Hermias his benefactor. The facts of his life will be found in any Dictionary: the fullest account is given by Böckh on this inscription (Kleine Schriften, vi. 185). His league with the Ervthraans may have been in view of the Social war which broke out in 357 B.C. The Eraîpoi are 'comrades' of Hermias who commanded garrisons in towns belonging to his sway. The prospect of war explains the provision περί τοῦ ἐκτίθεσθαι-cp. the Hierapytna and Priansos treaty, No. 172: the phrase tà ex toutour yerouera, which answers to that in the Kretan treaty rour of καρποί, must refer to the offspring of slaves or cattle so bestowed away. It appears that Erythræ was also independent of Persia: under Alexander and his successors it was equally favoured (see No. 164, Letter of Antiochos Soter).

Decrees of Mylasa concerning Maussollos, Satrap of Karia: B.C. 367, 361, 355.

Found at Mylasa; now in the Louvre: the text from Le Bas-Waddington, Voyage Archéol. Pt. V. 377-9; cp. Böckh, C. I. G. 2691 c, d, e, and vol. ii. p. 473. The three decrees are on one stone.

A. 39th year of Artaxerxes Mnemon (B.C. 367):-

*Ετει τριηκοστῷ καὶ ἐνάτῷ 'Αρταξέρξευς βασιλεύοντος, Μαυσσώλλου ἐξαιθραπεύοντος, ἔδοξε Μυλασεῦσιν, ἐκκλησίης κυρίης [γ]ενομένης, καὶ ἐπεκύρωσαν αὶ τρεῖς φυλαί· ἐπειδη 'Αράϊσσις Θυσσώλλου

- 5 ἀποσταλεὶς ὑπὸ Κα[ρ]ῶν πρὸς βασιλέα παρεπρέσβευσεν καὶ ἐπεβούλευσε Μαυσσώλλῳ, ὅντι εὐεργέτῃ τῆς πόλεως τῆς Μυλασέων καὶ αὐτῷ καὶ τῷ πατρὶ Ἐκατόμνῳ καὶ τοῦς προγόνοις τοῦς τούτων, καὶ βασιλεύ[s] ἀδικεῖν καταγνοὺς ᾿Αράϊσσιν ἐζημίωσεν θανάτῳ,
- 10 πράξαι καὶ τὴν πόλιν τὴν Μυλασέων περὶ τῶν κτημάτων ἐκείνου κατὰ τοὺς νόμους τοὺς πατρίους· καὶ πρόσθετα ποιήσαντες Μαυσσώλλῷ ἐπάρας ἐποιήσαντο, περὶ τούτων μήτε προτιθέναι ἔτι παρὰ ταῦτα μηδένα μήτε ἐπιψηφίζειν· εἰ δέ τις
- 15 ταῦτα παραβαίνοι, ἐξώλη γίνεσθαι καὶ αὐτὸν καὶ τοὺς ἐκείνου πάντας.
- B. 45th year of Artaxerxes Mnemon (B.C. 361):-

Έτει τετρωκοστῷ καὶ πέμπτῷ ᾿Αρταξέρξευς βασιλεύοντος, Μαυσσώλλου ἐξαιθραπεύοντος, ἔδοξε Μυλασεῦσι, ἐκκλησίης κυρίης γενομένης, καὶ ἐπεκύρωσαν ai τρεῖς φυλαί· τοὺς Πελάρμω

- 5 παίδας παρανομήσαντας ές την εἰκόνα την Ἐκατόμνω, ἀνδρὸς πολλὰ καὶ ἀγαθὰ ποιήσαντος τημ πόλιν τημ Μυλασέων καὶ λόγψ καὶ ἔργψ, ἀδικεῖν καὶ τὰ ἰερὰ ἀναθήματα καὶ τημ πόλιν καὶ τοὺς εὐεργέτας τῆς πόλεως. ἀδικεῖν δὲ κατα-
- 10 γνόντες έζημίωσαν δημεύσει της οὐσίης, καὶ ἐπώ[λη]σαν τὰ κτήματα αὐτῶν δημοσίη, ἐκτησθαι κυρίως τοῖς πριαμένοις· καὶ ἐπάρας ἐποιήσαντο, περὶ τούτων

μήτε προτιθέναι μήτε έπιψηφίζειν μηδέν εἰ δέ τις ταῦ[τα πα]ραβαίνοι, ἐζώλη γίνεσθαι καὶ αὐτὰν καὶ τοὺς 15 ἐκείνου π[άν]τας.

C. 5th year of Arlaxerwes Ochos (B.C. 355) :--

^{*}Ετει πέμπτφ 'Αρταξέρξευς βα[σι]λεύουτος, Μαυσσώλλου έξαιθραπεύο[ν]τος· Μανίτα τοῦ Πακτύω ἐπιβουλεύσαντος Μαυσσώλλφ τῷ 'Εκατόμν[ω] ἐν τῷ ἰερῷ τοῦ Διός τοῦ Λαμβραύνδου, θυσίης ἐνιαυ-

- 5 σίης καὶ τανηγύριος ἐσύσης, καὶ Μαυσσώλλου μὲν σωθέντος σὺν τῷ Διΐ, Μανίτα δὲ αὐτοῦ τὴν δίκην λαβόντος ἐν χειρῶν νόμῳ, ἔγνωσαν Μυλασε[îs], παρηνομημένου τοῦ ἰεροῦ καὶ Μαυσσώλλου τοῦ εὖεργετέω, ἔρευταν ποιήσασθαι εἴ τις καὶ ἄλλος μετέ[σ]-
- 10 χεν ή έκοινώνησεν τής πράξιος έλεγχθέντος δέ καὶ Θύσσαν τοῦ Σύσκω καὶ κριθέντος συναδικεί[ν] μετὰ Maríra έδοξε Μυλασεῦσιν καὶ ἐπεκύρωσαν αὶ τρεῖς φυλαί, τὰ Μανίτα τοῦ Πακτύω καὶ Θύσσου τοῦ Σύσκω προστεθήναι Μαυσσώλλψ καὶ τὰ
- 15 κτήματα επώλησεν ή πόλις δημοσίη, επάρας ποιησαμέτη τούτων τὰς ώνὰς τοῦς πριαμένοις κυρίας εἶται, καὶ μήτε προτιθέναι μήτε ἐπιψηφίζειν μηδένα· εἰ δέ τις ταῦτα παραβαίνοι, ἐξώλη γίνεσθαι καὶ αὐτὸν καὶ τοὺς ἐκείνου πάντας.

'Efailpánns is a more faithful transcription of the Persian title usually Greecized into $\sigma ar\rho \dot{a} \pi \eta s$: Maussollos was only 'King' by courtesy (see No. 102). The $\tau \rho \epsilon \hat{s} \phi \nu \lambda a \hat{a}$ are explained by M. Waddington as the three oldest and original Tribes of Mylams: they formed a sort of comitia curiata, with the formal right of approving the acts of the $\delta \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \hat{a}$. Among the $\pi \rho \delta \gamma \sigma rot$ of Maussollos (A, line 8) was $\Pi \iota \hat{f} \delta \delta a \rho \sigma \delta$ Mausof- $\lambda \sigma \nu$ named by Herod. v. 118. For the phrase $\delta r \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega} r \sigma \ell \mu \phi$ (C, line 7) compare Herod. viii. 89: Manitas had resisted with armed force, and had died fighting. The people of Mylasa, who formed part of the Karian satrapy, though enjoying a certain form of independence, were evidently anxious to demonstrate their loyalty to Maussollos. At the same time the facts here recorded betray the existence of a violent and persistent party of opposition to his government.

Honours to Maussollos at Erythræ: B.C. 357 (?).

At Erythræ (Litri), on the Akropolis: the text from Le Bas-Waddington, Voyage Archéol. Pt. V. 40.

> [Έδοξεν] τῆ βουλ[ῆ καὶ τῷ δήμῷ M] αύσσωλλο[ν 'E]κατ[όμνω βασιλ]έα, ἐπεὶ ἀνὴρ ἀγαθὸs [ἐγένετο π]ερὶ τὴν πόλιν τὴν 'Eρυ-5 θραίω]ν, εἶναι ἐοεργέτην τῆs [πόλ]εως καὶ πρόξενον καὶ πολί-[την], καὶ ἔσπλουν καὶ ἕκπλουν [καὶ] πολέμου καὶ εἰρήνης, ἀσυλε[ὶ καὶ] ἀσπονδεὶ, καὶ ἀτέλειαν κα[ὶ 10 πρ]οεδρίην. τάοτα δὲ εἶναι ἀο-

- ταστα σε ειναι ασ-[τφ] καὶ ἐκγόνοις, στῆσαι δὲ ἀο[τφ κ]αὶ εἰκόνα χαλκῆν ἐν τῆ ἀ-[γορ]ῃ, καὶ ᾿Αρτεμισίης εἰκόνα [λιθί]νην ἐν τφ ᾿Αθηναίφ, καὶ
- 15 [στεφ]ανώσαι Μαύσσωλλον μέν
 [έκ δαρ]εικών πεντήκοντα, 'Αρτε-[μισίην] δε έκ τριήκοντα δαρε[ικών, γράψ]αι δε τάστα ές στήλην
 [και στήσα]ι ές τδ 'Αθήναιον'
 20 ... ίνα [πασι φανερόν ή κ.τ.λ. (?)

Maussollos the satrap of Karia has been immortalized by the tomb rearcd in his honour by his widow Artemisia. The *Mausoleum* was discovered by Mr. Newton in 1857, and the most interesting remains of its sculpture are now in the British Museum, including a statue of Maussollos (see Newton, *History* of Discoveries at Halikarnassos, etc.; Travels and Discoveries in the Lerant). Maussollos aimed at the subjugation of Ionia, and with that view made an attempt upon Miletos: Lucian, Dial. Infer. 24; Polyæn. Strateg. vi. 8. Our decree reveals that he had purchased the favour of Erythræ by some great benefits, doubtless with the same intent. The date is probably B.C. 357, when he was stirring up Rhodes, Chios, and Byzantion to revolt from Athens in the Social War: see Demosth. de Rkod. lib. 191, Diod. xvi. 7.

The Social War; garrison maintained in Andros: B.C. 356.

The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 62; cp. Rangabé, Ant. Hell. 393.

'Επί 'Αγαθοκλέου[s] άρχο[ντος έπι τη]s Alyntoos ένάτης πρυτα[velas], ή Διόδοτος [Δ]ιοκλέους 'Α[γγελήθ]εν έγραμμάτευεν όγδόη τή[ς πρυ]τ[a]νείας. των προέδρων επ[ε]ψή[φιζε 5 Διό]τι[μ]os Olvaî(os). Εδοξε τη βου[λη] και τώ δή[μψ• ...]σανδρος είπεν δπως [å]ν άν[ατί? τ]ε [ω]σι τῷ δ[ή]μφ τῷ 'Αθη[να]ίων [κα**λ**] τῷ δήμφ τῷ 'Ανδρίων καὶ ἔ[χωσ]-10 ιν ο[ί] φρουροί οί εν "Α[νδρω] μισ[θόν] έκ των συντάξεων κ[ατά τά] δό[γματ]α τ[ω]ν συμμάχων και μή καταλ[ύητ]αι ή φυλακή, έλέσθαι στρ[α]τ[ηγόν έ]κ τώγ κεχειροτουημένων [τ]ο[ν δε αί]ρ[ε]θέιτα έπιμελείσθαι [αύτων]. 15 είσπράξαι δε καί τα εν [νήσων χρή]ματα 'Αρχέδημον τα όφ[ειλόμενα τ]οίς στρατιώταις το ίς έν Ανδρω κα]ί παραδούται τῷ [δρχοντι τῷ 20 εν Ανδρω, δπως [αν οι στρατιώται έχ]ωσι μισ[θόν . . .

The decree is dated in the 9th prytany of Agathokles' year, i. e. about May 356. No wonder that early in the Social War Athens (with the approval of the synod of the league, kard rd $\delta\delta\gamma\mu\alpha \tau \tau \omega\nu \sigma\nu\mu\mu\delta\chi\omega r$,) had placed a garrison at Andros; for Andros commanded the Kyklades and Euboca. The difficulty was to maintain the garrison: they were demanding their arrears of pay, and were inclined to levy requisitions upon the Andrians. Following the *ductus literarum*, I have suggested *dwari wor*, i. e. $\delta\beta\lambda\alpha\beta\epsilon\hat{i}s$ wor. Archedemos, one of the ten generals, is selected to see that the garrison is paid out of the $\sigma\nu\nu\tau\delta\xi\epsilon\epsilons$ of the islands (see No. 93. § 1).

Athenian Expedition to Eubœa; settlement of the Eubœan cities: B.C. 357-356.

The text is from Köhler, in the Mittheilungen d. arch. Instit. 1877, pp. 209 foll., which is more complete than the text in C. I. A. ii. 64. The original is at Athens.

The beginning is lost: but the date is recovered by the mention of the Archon Agathokles. On the expedition itself see Diod. xvi. 7, and Grote, ch. 86, where all the references will be found. The expedition set forth in the archonship of Kephisodotos (358-7 B.C.), and this inscription implies that the Thebans had now been expelled from Eubœa, and envoys had been interchanged between Athens and Karystos, Eretria, Chalkis, Hestizea respecting the terms of re-entering the Athenian league. By $\sigma'\nu\epsilon\delta\rho\sigma$ s is meant a 'deputy' representing an allied city at the confederate synod. Menon was also a general in 361 B.C., see Demosth, in Polycl. 1210.

... την βο]υλ[η]ν έπαινέσαι δε [τον δημor tor Kapu] $\sigma t (\omega \nu \kappa a)$ [$\tau o \dot{\nu} s \pi \rho$] $\epsilon \sigma \beta [\epsilon_i] s \tau \omega \nu$ Kapu $\sigma \tau (\omega \nu [\kappa a) \tau$ όν σύν]εδρον και καλέ[σαι αὐ]τοὺς ἐ[πι] ξένια εἰς τὸ πρυ[τανειον] είς αύριον· έπαιτέ σαι] δε και Μ[έ]νωνα τον στρατηγίον κ-5 ai] τους πρέσβεις τους πεμφθ[έ]ντας εί[ς] Κάρυστον και καλ[έσαι] έπι δείπνον είς το πρυτ[α]νείον ές [α]ύριον, αποδούναι δ[ε αὐ]τοῖς καὶ ἐφόδια τὸς ταμ[ία]ν τοῦ δή[μ]ου Δ δραχμὰς ἐκ τῶν [εί]ς τὰ κατὰ ψηφίσματα ἀναλ[ι]σκομένω[ν τῷ] δήμφ· ἀποδοῦ[ναι] δε τόν ταμίαν τος δήμου κα[ι τ]οις πρέ[σβεσ]ι τοις πρεσβεύ-10 $[\sigma]$ arı els 'Eperplar kai Xa $\lambda[\kappa]$ (da kai es ['Errl]alar $\Delta\Delta$ dpa $\chi\mu$ às έκάστψ· ἀποδοῦκαι δὲ κα[ί] τοῖς τὴν σ[υμμα]χίαν πρεσβε[ύ]σασι τον ταμίαν του δήμου Δ δ[ρ]αχμάς [ξκάστψ. Ο]ίδε Ξμοσαν ή βουλη ή έπ' Αγαθ[οκλέους άρχοντος οί σ]-15 Μέτων Ποτά . Φιλοχάρης 'Paμ[νού]s. 'Εξηκεστίδης Θορίκι .· 'Αλκι Δισελής 'Αλωπεκήθεν.

ł

Neopolis in Thrace appeals for Athenian protection against Philip: B.C. 356-355.

The stone is at Athens: the text is from Köhler, Hermes, vii. 164; C. I. A. ii. 66 (cp. p. 406). The stele is broken at bottom, but surmounted by a relief (see Schöne's Grieckischen Reliefs, p. 23, pl. vii. 48); Athena extending her right hand to a female figure, over whom is inscribed **FAPOENOX**. This is doubtless the Virgin (Artemis) of the Thracian Neopolis, see supra, No. 57).

['Επ'] 'Ελπίνου ἄρ[χοντος. Ν] εοπολι[τών. Δη[μοσθένους τοῦ Θεοξ[ένου, Δι]ο[σκ]ουρίδου τοῦ 'Αμειψ[ίου.

- 5'Επί] της ['Αντι]ο[χί]δος ένάτης πρυτανείας, ή Αυσ[ίας ...
 - ..]εύ[s] έγρα[μ]μάτευεν των προέδρων επεψήφ[ιζεν

- ['Εδο]ξεν [τη] βουλη και τφ δήμφ. Πολύευκτο[s είπεν περί ων
- οι π]ρέ $[\sigma\beta\epsilon\iota s]$ τών Νεοπολιτών λέ $[\gamma]$ ουσι $\Delta\eta[\mu o]\sigma\theta[$ ένης καὶ $\Delta\iota o\sigma\kappa$ -
- 10 cuplons, ¿[ψη[φ] ίσθαι τη βουλή, τοùs μèr προ[έδρουs ol av τυγ
 - χάνωσι π]ροε[δ]ρεύον[τε]ς [ε]ίς την πρώτ[η]r εκκ[λησίαν προσαγα-
 - γείν aὐτοὺς] πρὸς [τ]ὸν δῆμ[ο]ν καὶ χρηματίσ[αι περὶ ῶν ἀπαγγέλλουσι,
 - γνώμη]ν δε $[\sigma]v[\mu\beta]$ άλλ $[ε]\sigma θa[ι]$ της βουλή[s είς των δήμον, δτ-

ι δοκεί τῆ β]ουλῆ, ἐπει[δ]ὴ ό δ[ῆμ]ο[s] ἐψή[φισται κ.τ.λ.] the rest is broken, but the fragments of syllables refer to the making of an alliance and the sending of envoys from Athens for the purpose. The decree closed with a grant of honours to the Neopolitan envoys : see the heading.

The Thracian Neopolis had at an earlier date shown loyalty to Athens, B. C. 410 (No. 57). Philip had captured Amphipolis in the first half of 357; in the following year Pydna and Potidæa shared the same fate. Already Philip was preparing to found the city named after himself, with an eye to the gold mines of that region. No wonder that Neopolis turned in despair to Athens (see Grote, ch. 86 fin.). Observe that the mover of this decree, Polyeuktos, was the well-known political ally of Demosthenes (Plut. *Dem.* 23 etc.). The decree is dated '9th prytany of Elpines' year,' i. e. early summer of 355 B.C.

106.

The Olynthians break with Philip, and make overtures to Athens: B.C. 351.

The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 105: the marble, found on the Akropolis, is entire on top and right only.

[Επί Θεέλλου ἄρχ]ουτ[ος τοῖς Χαλ]κι[δ]έων τῶ[ν ἐπὶ Θράκη]ς ἐ[σ]περίοις· [some one of such a deme], Ν[ικ]όστρατος Θορ[ίκιος?, 5 another of such a deme], Φαί[r]ιππος ᾿Αζηνι[εύς, another of such a deme], Θρασυκλῆς Παλλην[εύς, another of such a deme], Έρ[μ]ιππος Πόριος, [another of such a deme], ᾿Αθηνίων ᾿Α[ρ]a[φή]ν[ιος. ... is ἐπρυτάν]ευε· [K]αλλιάδης ἐγ[ρα-10 μμάτευε· ὅ δείνα Εὐων]υμεὺς ἐπεστάτει, [ό δείνα εἶπεν· ὅπως ἀν ἀπ]ολάβωσ[ι] τ[οὐ-[ς ὅρκους κ.τ.λ.]

It is a pity the document is not better preserved: but it is too interesting, even as it is, to be omitted. The Olynthians, and the Chalkidian cities in league with them, having been crushed, first by Sparta in 379 B.C., and afterwards by the Athenians under Timotheos in 364 (cp. No. 92), had enjoyed by the pleasure of Philip a certain measure of freedom from B.C. 355-4, until it suited Philip's convenience to make them in turn In 352 they were so alarmed at his change of his vassals. attitude, that they threw up his alliance and united themselves with Athens. This is described by Demosthenes in Arislocr. p. 656 (a speech delivered between Midsummer and November 352; see E. G. Weber's ed. p. ix. foll; and Grote, ch. 88). Our fragment is part of a decree for the swearing of the Olynthians and Chalkidians as allies. The only archon of the time

whose name will fit the lacuna is Theëllos, commonly named Thessalos by the historians (see Rangabé, Antiq. Hellén. ii. p. 543). The names of the ten commissioners who were to go and administer the oaths were enumerated at the head of the decree. Demosthenes (*l.c.*) speaks of the Olynthians in the autumn of 352-as already friends and promising to become allies of Athens. In the 1st Olynthiac (pp. 10–11) it is said that Philip's own conduct had forced them into alliance: this was probably spoken in B.C. 350, and to this alliance our inscription refers.

107.

Honours to a citizen of Apollonia for public services: B.C. 355-354.

The stone is now in the Museum at Palermo; it came from Athens. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 70; cp. Böckh, C. I. G. 90. It is surmounted by a relief, which is thought to represent Apollo seated, and Athena and the nymph Apollonia crowning Lachares.

Προξενία Λα]χάρει Χάρητος Άπο[λλωνιάτη. 'Επί Καλλι]στράτου άρχοντος έπι τ[ής Πανδιο νίδος πέμπτης πρυτανεί [αs, & Πάνδ ιος Σωκλέους έξ Οίου έγρα[μ-5 μάτευεν]· τρίτη τής πρυτανείας· τ[θν προέδρ ων επεψήφι []εν Γλαυκέτη[s ... ηθε]ν έδοξεν τη βουλή και [τφ δήμφ 'Α]ριστοφω[ν] είπεν επαινέ[σαι Λαχάρη]ν Χάρητος 'Απολλωνια[των? 10 όντα . . .]ον, ότι πρόθυμος ήν τφ . . · · · · ύπη[ρ]ετείν και έπεμ[ψε · · · ... τ] ον έαντο [υ εί]s Μεθών [ην, καί είναι αύ] τόν πρόξενον του δ[ή]μ[ου τοῦ 'Αθηναί]ων καὶ [α]ὐτὸν καὶ [ἐκγόνους, 15 Kai eiva] i avrų olki[as] e[yk] [your 1ον δε γραμματ[έα] της β[ουλης] ά[ν]αγρ[άψαι έν] στήλ[η] δέκα [ήμερων έν άκροπόλ]ε[ι] τέλεσ[ι]ν το[îs Λαχ]ά[ρου τόδε τὸ ψήφισμα κ.τ.λ.].

Though the decree is not complete we may probably refer the services of Lachares to the first and second campaigns of the Social War B.C. 357-356. During the same time Philip was strengthening himself in Thrace. Philip did not indeed begin the siege of Methone (line 12) until 353 B.C.; but Amphipolis had been in his hands ever since 358, and this very year he became master of Pydna and Potidæa. In Dem. Philipp. iii. p. 117, § 26, Methone and Apollonia are named together as destroyed by Philip: "Ολυνθου μεν δη καl Μεθώνην καl 'Απολλωνίαν καl δύο καl τριάκοντα πόλεις έπι Θράκης έω, às àπάσας σύτως ώμως ἀνήρηκεν, ὥστε μηδ' εἰ πώποτ' ϣκήθησαν προσελθόντ' είναι ῥάδιον εἰπεῖν.

108.

Athenian monopoly of ruddle from Keos: B.C. 350-360.

Found in the Akropolis. The text is from Köhler, C. I. 4. ii. 546; see Bäckh, Staatsh. ii. p. 350.

Fragment of the decree of Karthæa: ... [K]aρθαιεῦσ[ι
 ζ]ψ[η]φίσ[θαι...]

§ 2. Decree of Koresos : [Θεογ]ένης είπεν δεδόχθαι [τ]η βο υλή και το δήμο το Κορησίων περί ων λέγουσι οι παρ 'Αθη] ναίων, είναι της μίλτου την έξ[αγωγην 'Αθήναζεκ] αθάπερ πρότερον ήν δπως δ' αν κύρια ή [τ] α ψηφίσματα [..... 'Αθηναίων κ] αι Κορησίων τα περί της μίλτου, έξαγειν έμ πλοίφ φ [âν . . . ἀποδείξωσιν, ἐν ἄλλφ] | δὲ πλοίφ μηδενί, ναῦλλον δε τελεῖν δβολον το ΰ ταλάντου εκάστου τοῖς ναυκλήροις το ν]s έργαζομένους είαν δέ τις έν άλλφ πλοίφ εξάγ[η, ενοχον είναι | a] ναγράψαι δε τόδε το ψήφισμα ες στήλη λιθίνη κ[ai καταθείναι το] θ Απόλλωνος, και τον νόμον καθάπερ πρότερον είχ[ε κύριον είναι· την δε ενδειξιν είν] αι πρός τους άστυνόμους, τούς δε άστυνόμους δουνα[ι την ψήφον περί αυτής τριάκοντα ή]μερών είς το δικαστήριον τώ δε φήναντι ή ενδειξαντ[ι των ημι]σ[έ]ων έαν δε δούλος η δ ενδείξας, έαμ μεν των εξαγόν[των η, ελεύθερος έστω και ... | τα] μέρη έστω αυτώ. έαν δε άλλου τινός ή, ελεύθερος έστω και είν a. [δε] και έφεσιν Αθήναζε καί τῷ φήναντι καί τῷ ένδεί [ξαντι· έαν δέ τι δλλο ψηφίζωντα] ι 'Αθηναίοι περί φυλακής τής μίλτου, κύρια είναι κατακομι σθέντα τα έψηφισμένα τε λ είν δε τημ πεντηκοστην τοις

1

πεντηκοστολόγοις τοὺς ἐ[ργαζομένους· καλέσαι δὲ | κ]al ἐπὶ ξένια εἰς τὸ πρυτανεῖον τοὺς Ἀθηναίους εἰς αὕριον. |

The merry coord was the harbour duty of 2 p.c. on imports, payable at the Pirzeus.

§ 3. Decree of Julis: [Εδ]οξεν τη βουλη και τω δήμω τω Ιουλιητών, περί [ών οι παρ' Αθηναίων λέγουσι, δεδό χθα]ι τη βουλή και τω δήμω τω 'Ιουλητών, είναι τή ν εξαγωγήν της μίλτου 'Αθήναζ[ε], άλλοσε δε μηδαμή από τήσδε της ημέρας εαν δε τι[s άλλοσε εξάγη, δημόσια είναι τ]|δ πλοΐον και τα χρήματα τα έν τώ πλοίφ τώ δε φήν[αντι η ενδείξαντι είναι τα η]μίσεα εαν δε δοῦλος ή δ μητύσας, έλεύθερος ἕσ[τω καὶ τῶν . . χρημ]|άτων μετέστω αύτώ· τον δε εξάγοντα εκ Κέω μίλτον εξ[άγειν εμ πλοίω ο âν... ἀποδ]είζωσιν· ἐὰν δέ τις ἐν ἄλλφ ἐζάγη πλοίφ ἕνοχον [είναι είαν δε τι άλ] λο ψηφίζωνται 'Αθηναίοι περί φυλακής τής μίλ[του κύρια εί] ναι & άν 'Αθηναΐοι ψηφίζων-Ερμαιώνος· καλέσαι δε τού[s 'Αθηναίους επί ξένια είς το πρυτανεί] ον την δε ενδειξιν είναι 'Αθήνησι μεν πρός τούς [..., εν Ιουλίδι δε πρός τούς . . . κ] al τούς προστάτας· όπόσοι δ' αν δόξωσιν έξάγειν [παρά τον νόμον, των χρημάτων τα μεν ή]μίσεα είναι του δήμου τοῦ ἰσυλιητῶν, τὰ δ' ἡμίσεα [τοῦ φήναντος ἀναγράψαι δε τόδε το ψήφωμα τημ βουλην και καταθείν[α]ι εν τώ λιμ[ένι . .].

§ 4. Names of the envoys despatched by Athens to Keos: Olde $\eta \rho \delta \eta \sigma a r$ "Ardpur $\delta \kappa \kappa \rho a [\mu] \delta w$, Avoia [..., ..., ...] $\Phi \lambda v \epsilon v s$, Eùppóouvos Maiarie s.

These were decrees of three of the towns of Keos, renewing and making more stringent than ever the existing treaty which forbad the export of red-ochre from Keos except only to Athens. The fourth town $\Pi occierora$ is not named, and perhaps it had no mines. M(λros (*rubrica*, ruddle) was largely used at Athens, both as a drug, and as a pigment in statuary, architecture, painting, and writing. The $\mu(\lambda ros of Keos was the best, accord$ ing to Theophrastos, de lap. 51-53. Perhaps also the monopolyin importing Keian vermilion enabled Athens to have a monopoly in exporting the various manufactured pigments of whichthis was an ingredient. Köhler's date, determined by thecharacters, may be trusted.

109.

Alliance of Athens with the kings of Thrace, Pæonia, and Illyria against Philip: B.C. 356.

A broken stelè, recently discovered at Athens: the text is from Köhler, C. I. A. Σι. pp. 405 foll.; cp. Kumanudes in 'Αθήναιον, 1876, p. 172.

Diodoros, xvi. 22, mentions the alliance of the three kings against the aggressions of Philip: Rata de the Makedoular theis Βασιλείς συνέστησαν έπι τον Φίλιππον, δ τε των Θρακών και Παιόυων και Ίλλυριών. ούτοι γαρ όντες δμοροι τοις Μακεδόσι, και την αύξησιν ύφορώμενοι τοῦ Φιλίππου, καθ έαυτοὺς μέν οὐκ ήσαν ἀξιόμαχοι προηττημένοι, κοινή δε πολεμούντες ύπέλαβον βαδίως αύτού περιέσεσθαι. διόπερ αθροιζόντων τας δυνάμεις, επιφανείς ασυντάκτοις και καταπληξαμένος ηνάγκασε προσθέσθαι τοις Μακεδόσιν. He does not give their names, nor mention their brothers, nor their alliance with Athens: for these facts we are indebted to the inscription. Coins however of king Lyppeos and Ketriporis are known : see Numismatic Chronicle, 1875, p. 20. Probably these kings, like Potidæa (Dem. Philip. i. p. 50), found Athens but a broken reed to trust to. The news of their submission to his general Parmenion reached Philip at the same time with the news of Alexander's birth, and the victory of his horse at Olympia (Plut. Aler. 3). This decree is dated July 356.

§ Ι. [Γ] ραμματεύς Λυσίας Λ[υ]σ[...ουεύς].
Συμμαχία 'Αθηναίων πρός Κετρίπορ[ιν τόν Θρậκα καὶ το]υς άδελφους καὶ πρός Λύππειον τόν [Παίονα καὶ πρός Γράβ]ον τόν 'Ιλλυριόν. 'Επὶ 'Ελπίνου ἄρχο[ντος ἐπὶ τῆς 'Ιπποθως ντίδ]ος πρώτης πρυτανείας, ἐνδεκ[άτῃ τῆς πρυτανείας' τῶν πρ]οέδρων ἐπεψήφι[ζε]ν Μυησάρχ[ος ἔδοξεν τῆ βου]λῆ καὶ τῷ δήμψ' Καλλισθέ[νης εἶπεν' ἀγαθῆ τύχῃ τοῦ δήμ]ου τοῦ 'Αθηναίων, δέ[χ]εσθ[αι μὲν τὴν] συμμαχίαν....] ύνιος λέγει ὁ ἀδελφὸ[ς ὁ Κετριπόριος ... ἀ]-10 δε[λφ αὐ]τοῦ συνθέσθαι καὶ τὸν δημο ων Κετριπόριδι [καὶ τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς καὶ Λ]υππεί[ψ τῷ Παίο]νι καὶ Γράβψ [τῷ 'Ιλλυριῷ, τοὺς δὲ προ]έδρους [οι ἁν λάχωσι π]ροεδρ[εύειν εἰς τὴν πρώτην ἐκκλησί]-

4

av προσ[ayayeîv πρὸς τὸ]ν δη[μον τὸν ἀδελφὸν τ]-15 ὸν Κετρ[ιπόριος . . . (Here ten or fifteen lines are broken or lost entirely). τὸ ἀρ[yύριον ἐπαινέσαι δὲ Κετρίποριν καὶ τοὺς ἀδελφοὺ]ς ὅτι εἰ[σὶν ἄνδρες ἀyaθοὶ περὶ τ]ὸν [δημον τὸι 'Αθηναί]ω[ν]· ἐπαινέσ[αι δὲ καὶ τὸν ῆ]κο[ιτα π]ap[à] Κετριπόρ-20 [ιος καὶ] κα[λέσ] aι ἐπὶ ξένια ἐς [τὸ πρυτανεῖον εἰς] a[ὕριον· ἐπαινέσ] aι δὲ καὶ Πεισιάνα[κτα καὶ καλέσαι ἐπὶ δείπνον εἰς τὸ πρυταν]εῖον εἰς αὕριο-[ν εἰ]ς τ[ὸ] π[ρ]υ[τ] ανεῖον [ε]ἰς αὕριον· ἐὰν δέ 23 του ἐνδεὲς ῇ τόδε τ]ὸ ψή[Φ]ισμ[a], τ[η]ν [β]ουλ[ην] κυ[ρ]ίαν εἶναι. [πρέσβεις ῇρηνται]· Λυσικράτης Οἰν[αῖ]ος· 'Αντίμαχος [. Θρά]σων [Ἐρ]χιεύς.

For éar dé rou érdeés etc. see No. 131, line 32. Thrason is known from Æschin. in Cles. 138.

§ 2. Next follows the Athenian form of oath:

[Όμνυμι νη τον Δία] και "Ηλιον και Ποσει[δ] ω και Άθηνών και [Άρην, φίλος έσομαι] Κετριπόρι και τοις άδελφοις τοις Κ-30 [ετριπόριος και σ] ύμμαχος και παλεμ[ήσ] ω μετα Κετριπόρ-[ιος τον πόλεμον τ] ον προς Φίλιππον άδόλως παντι σθένε[ι κατα το δυνατόν, κ] αι ου προκαταλύσομαι τον πόλεμον άν-[ευ Κετριπόριος κ] αι των άδελφων τον προς Φίλιππον, κ[αι τάλλα χωρία & κατ] έχε[ι] Φίλιππος συνκα[τ] α[σ] τρέψομαι μ[ε-33 τα Κετριπόριος κ] αι των άδελφων και Κρ[η]νίδ[ας] συνε[ξ] αι-[ρήσωμετα Κετριπ]ο[ρ]ιος κα[ι τ] ων [άδ]ελφων και άποδώσω τα...

110.

Relations of Leukon, ruler of Pantikapseon, with the Arkadians: B.C. 393-353.

Found at Kertch : beautifully inscribed. Böckh, C. I. G. 2103e.

[•]Εδοξεν τοῖς `Αρκάσιν, Λεύκωνα [τὸν Σατ]ύρου Παιτικαπαίταν [στεφανώσαι or some similar konour] κ.τ.λ. Then, as now, a large part of Europe was fed by the harvests of the Crimea and South of Russia. Hence the importance of cities like Olbia and Pantikapæon, and the kingdom of the (Kimmerian) Bosporos, over which Leukon ruled B.C. 393-353. (Grote, ch. 98; Clinton's *Fasti H.* ii. Append. ch. 13, on the kings of Bosporos.) His relations with Athens we shall elsewhere notice (see No. 111). Here the Arkadians, inland people as they were, vote honours to Leukon, doubtless for favouring them with corn-supplies, and their decree (in its native Æolic) is inscribed at Pantikapæon, whether by command of Leukon, or by Arkadian mercenaries resident there. Cp. Dem. *Leptin.* pp. 466 foll.

111.

Honours to Spartokos and Pærisades, joint kings of Bosporos, and their brother Apollonios: B.C. 347-346.

Lately discovered at Athens. The text is from the 'Athiracov, 1877, pp. 152 foll.

Σπαρτόκφ, Παιρισάδη, 'Απολλωνίφ, Λεύκωνος παισί. (Space of some eight lines vacant). 'Επί Θεμιστοκλέους άρχουτος έπι της Αίγηΐδος όγδόης πρυτ-

- 5 ανείας, ή Λυσίμαχος Σωσιδή[μου 'Αχα]ρ[ν]εύς έγραμμάτευε Θε[όφιλος 'Αλι]μούσιος ἐπεστάτε[ι],
- 'Ανδροτίων "Ανδρωνος Γαργήττιος είπεν π[ε]-
- ρι ών επέστειλε Σπάρτοκος κ[ai] Παιρ[ισάδη]-
- 10 ς καὶ οἱ πρέσβεις οἱ ἥκοντες π[a]ρ' aὐτῶν ἀπ[a]γγέλλουσιν, ἀποκρί[ν]ασθαι a[ὑτ]οῖ[ς], ὅτι ὁ [δῆ]μος ὁ ᾿Αθηναίων ἐπαινεῖ Σπάρτοκον καὶ Παιρισάδην ὅτι εἰσὶν ἄνδρες ἀγαθοὶ καὶ ἐπ[aγ]γέλλονται τῷ ὅήμφ τῷ ᾿Αθηναίων ἐπιμ[ελ]-
- 15 ήσεσθαι τῆς ἐκπομπῆς τοῦ [σ]ί[τ]ου, καθάπερ ὁ πατὴρ αὐτῶν ἐπεμελεῖτο [κα]ὶ [ὑπ]ηρετήσειν προθύμως ὅτου ἀν ὁ δῆμος δ[έ]ηται, καὶ ἀπαγγ[έ]λλειν αὐτο[î]ς το[ὺ]ς πρέσβεις, ὅτι ταῦτα ποιοῦντες οὐδενό[ς] ἀτυχήσουσιν τοῦ δήμου το-
- 20 ῦ ᾿Αθηναίων [ὑπ]ερ ῶν δε τὰς δωρειὰς διδόασι-

ν Αθηναίοις άσπερ Σάτυρος και Λεύκων έδοσαν, είναι $[\Sigma \pi] a[\rho \tau] \delta[\kappa] \varphi$ $[\kappa] al Παιρισάδη τ às δ$ ωρείας ας ό δήμος έδωκε Σατύρω και Λεύκωνι καί στεφανώσαι χρυσώ στεφάνω Παναθη-25 ναίοις το [îς μεγ]άλοις άπό χιλίων δραχμών ξκάτερ[0]ν [ποιε ?]ίσθαι δε τους στεφάνους το**υς δθλοθέ[τας τ]** φ προτέρω έτει Παναθηναίων των μεγάλων κατά το ψήφισμα του δήμου τό πρότερον έψηφισμένον Λεύκωνι καί άτα-30 γορεύειν, ότι στεφανοί δ δήμος δ'Αθηναίων Σπάρτοκον και Παιρισάδην τους Λεύκωνος παίδας αρετής και εύνοίας ένεκα της εις τόν δήμον τον 'Αθηναίων' έπειδή δε τούς στεφάνους ανατιθέασι τη 'Αθηνά τη Πολιάδι, 35 τούς άθλοθέτας είς τόν νεώ άνατιθέναι τοùs στεφάνους, επιγράψαντας· "Σπάρτοκος καί Παιρισάδης Λεύκωνος παίδες απέθεσαν τη 'Αθηναία, στεφανωθέντες ύπο του δήμου τοῦ 'Αθηναίων" τὸ δὲ ἀργύριον διδόται το-40 îs aθλοθέταις els τούς στεφάτους τον τού δήμου ταμίαν έκ των είς τα κατά ψηφίσματα τώ δήμω μεριζομένων το δε νύν είναι παραδούται τούς αποδέκτας το είς τούς στεφ[ά]νους έκ των στρατιωτικών χρημάτων αναγ[ρ]-45 άψαι δε τὸ ψήφισμα τόδε τὸν γραμματέα τῆς βουλής έν στήλη λιθίνει και στήσαι πλη[σ]ίου τής Σατύρου και Λεύκωνος, ές δε την αν[α]γραφήν δουναι τόν ταμίαν του δήμου τριά[κ]οντα δραχμάς έπαινέσαι δε τούς πρέσβει[s] 50 Σωσιν καί Θεοδόσιον, ότι επιμελούνται [τω]ν αφικιουμένων 'Αθήιηθεν είς Βόσποροι [κα]ι καλέσαι αύτους έπι ξένια είς το πρυτα[re]ίον είς αύριον περί δε των χρημάτων των [οφει]λ[ο]μένων τοις παισί τοις Λεύκωνος όπίως 55 a] ν απολάβωσιν, χρηματίσαι τους προέδ ρους οί] αν λάχωσι προεδρεύειν έν τῷ δήμφ [τη όγ δόη έπι δέκ[α] πρώτον μετά τά ίερά, δ[πως αν] ἀπολαβόντες τὰ χρήματα μὴ ἐγκαλῶσ[ι τῷ] δήμφ τφ 'Αθηναίων' δούναι δ[ε τά]ς ύπη[ρεσί-

- 60 a]s às alτοῦσι Σπάρτοκος καὶ Παιρισ[άδης, τοὺ]s δὲ πμ[έ]σβεις ἀπογράψαι τὰ ὀνόμα[τα τῶν ὑπ]πρε[σι]ῶν ῶν ἀν λάβωσιν τῷ γραμμα[τεῖ τῆ]ς βουλῆς· οὖs δ' ἀν ἀπογράψωσιν, εἶνα[ι ἐν τῷ] τ[ετ]αγμένω ποιοῦντας ἀγαθὸν ὅτι [ἀν δύνω]-65 νται τοὺς παίδας τοὺς Λεύκωνος.
- § 2. Rider proposed by P . . . s: Π s Τιμοκράτους Κριωεύς εἶπε· τὰ [μὲν ἄλλα κ]αθάπερ 'Ανδροτίων, στεφανώσα[ι δὲ καὶ 'Απολ]λώπου τὸν Λεύκωνος ὑὸν ἐκ τῶ[ν αὐτῶν ?].

Already, on No. 110, we have noticed the importance to the Greeks of the corn-supplies of the Crimes, and have referred to the accounts of the Kingdom of Bosporos in Clinton, F. H. ii. app. ch. 13; Grote, ch. 98; and above all Böckh, C. I. G. vol. ii. pp. 80 foll. The Kingdom, called by the Greeks Bosporos. had its capital at Pantikapseon (Kertch), and it extended on the W. to Theodosia, which remained independent until it was annexed by Leukon I. (Dem. Lept. 467), his father Satvros I. having died while besieging it. (Harpokr. s. v. Ocodoo(a.) Nympheon, once a tributary ally of Athens, situated between Bosporos and Theodosia, passed into the Bosporan dominion before the end of the Peloponnesian War, Gylon, the maternal grandfather of Demosthenes, being at the time a leading resident engaged in the corn trade (.Eschin. in Ctes. 171). On the E. of the strait the Bosporan kings possessed towns like Phanagoria and Hermanassa, and held sway over the various barbarous tribes as far as the neighbourhood of Caucasus. Satyros, who succeeded his father Spartokos II., reigned 407-393 B.C. He was on very friendly terms with Athens (line 23; see Isokrates, Trapezit, 57); and this friendship was carried still further by his son Leukon, apparently the greatest ruler of the dynasty, 393-353 B.C. (cp. Strabo, p. 309, 310). His favours are mentioned by Dem. in Lept. 467, and also the honours he received at Athens, ibid. 466. The speech against Leptines was spoken B.C. 355. Our decree is dated in the early summer of 346, in the archonship of Themistokles, and repeatedly mentions the previous honours voted to Leukon. The mover is Androtion, against whom Demosthenes wrote a speech in B.C. 355. The 'sons of Leukon'

are Spartokos, Pærisades, Apollonios; the last being omitted by Androtion, and only included in the amendment (§ 2). Spartokos and Pærisades succeeded their father, reigning jointly, as this inscription proves. Diodoros, xvi. 52, says that Spartokos died after five years of sole reign (in 348), and was succeeded by his brother: this is refuted by our inscription, although we may concede that his reign was short, and that Pærisades The $d\pi o \delta \epsilon \kappa \tau a \iota$ (l. 43) are to advance soon became sole king. the requisite money out of the military fund pro tem., the sum being considerable, 2000 dr., and more than the raulas row dryuov had in hand. The name of one of the envoys (1. 50) is connected with the city Theodosia. It appears that the envoys of Spartokos and Pærisades had come to request payment of a sum the Athenians owed them, perhaps for corn (ll. 53 foll.), and to enlist at Athens sailors to man the king's ships (υπηρεσίαι). The phrase elvai ev tô tetayuévo is explained on No. 97. The third brother, Apollonios (11. 66 foll.), is not otherwise known: it is clear that he was not associated in the government.

112.

Renewal of alliance with Mytilene: B.C. 347-346.

Found on the Akropolis: the text is from Waddington, in Hermes, iv. p. 426; Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 109.

Ἐπὶ Θεμιστοκλέους ἄρχαστος [ἔδοξεν]
τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ. Αἰγ[ηἰς ἐπρυ]τάνευεν, Λυσίμαχος Σωσιδήμου 'Αχαρνεύ[ς ἐγραμμ]άτευεν Θεόφιλος 'Αλιμούσιο[ς ἐπεστά]τει. Στέφανος 'Αντιδωρίδου 'Ε[....ς]
εἰπεν περὶ ῶν λέγουσιν οἱ πρ[έσβεις τ]ῶν Μυτιληναίων καὶ ὁ ταμίας [τῆς παρά]λου, καὶ Φαίδρος ὁ στρατηγὸς ἐ[πέστει]λεν, δεδόχθαι τῷ δήμῳ τὴν μὲ[ν φιλία]ν καὶ τὴν συμμαχίαν [ῦ]πάρχειν [τῷ δήμ]ψ τῷ Μυτιληναίων [π]ρὸς [τ]ὸν δ[ῆμων τὸ]ν 'Αθηναίων [ῆν δι]έθεντο πρὸς ἀ[λλήλας]
αἱ πόλεις. τὰ δ[ὲ] χρήματα τὰ ἐκ [τῆς συντ]άξεως [...] γινσύμε[να κ.τ.λ.

193

It is remarked by A. Schäfer (Demosth. und seine Zeit, i. 434 fol.) how neglectful the Athenians were,-in spite of the warnings of Demosthenes,---in maintaining their ascendancy in the Ægean and the islands. The coast of Asia Minor was of course in the hands of satraps or of native princes dependent on the Persians. Idrieus, brother and successor of Maussolos in Karia, had seized Chios, Kos, and Rhodes (Dem. de Pace, p. 63). Lesbos also, which had remained faithful to Athens during the Social War, and is named as an ally in B.C. 352 (Dem. in Aristocr. p. 667), was now in the hands of 'tyrants,' who were finally expelled by Alexander (No. 125), but whose existence meanwhile implied the suppression of the democracy and of the Athenian interest. Kammes of Mytilene is expressly called 'enemy of Athens' (Dem. adv. Baot. p. 1019; a speech spoken in the very year of our inscription, B.C. 347, Eni OEMIGTORNEOUS LONDOUS): Κάμμη τώ τυραννούντι Μυτιλήνης .. δς και ύμιν κοινή και έμοι ίδία έχθρός έστιν. It seems that Phædros later in the year had expelled Kammes, upon which the restored democracy renew their friendship with Athens. Envoys came from Mytilene, supported by a letter from Phædros, and by the testimony of the 'Treasurer of the Paralos.' This last was an office of some distinction (Demosth. in Mid. p. 570), for, as the two state ships Salaminia and Paralos (like our Royal Yachts) were fitted out, not by the $\lambda \epsilon_{i\tau}$ output of individuals, but at the state expense, the rapias had the management of the sums voted for the purpose.

113.

Encroachments of Philip upon his neighbours; Arybbas the expelled king of the Molossi received at Athens: B.C. 343 (?).


Found in 1840 on the Akropolia. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 115; cp. Raugabé, Ant. Helléniques, 388; A. Schüfer, Demosthenes und seine Zeit, ii. 397 foll.

§ 1. The beginning is lost; this is part of a προβούλευμa:---

5 ύτφ καὶ τοῖs ἐκγόνο[ι]s [κα]-
ί είσι κύ(ρ)ιαι. ἐπιμε[λ]ε[ίσθαι]
δε 'Αρύββου δπως άμ μηδ[εν ά]-
δικήται την βουλήν την δε-
ί βουλεύουσαν και τους στ-
10 ρατηγούς τούς άει στρατη-
ούντας και έάν τις άλλο-
ς που 'Αθηναίων παρατυνχά-
νει· είναι δε αύτφ πρόσοδο-
ν καί πρός βουλήν και πρός
15 δήμον δταν δέηται, και του-
ς πρυτάνεις οι αν πρυτανε-
ύωσιν έπιμελεϊσθαι δπως
αν προσόδου τυχχάνει ανα-
γράψαι δε τόδε το ψήφισμα
20 τὸγ γραμματέα τῆς βουλῆ-
s έν στήλη λιθίνη καί κα-
ταθείναι έν άκροπόλει, εls
δε την αναγραφήν της στήλ-
ης δότω δ ταμίας τοῦ δήμου
25 $\Delta \Delta \Delta$ deaxuas ék two kata $\psi\eta$.
φίσματα μεριζομένων τώ
δήμφ· καλέσαι δὲ ᾿Αρύββαν
έπι δείπνου είς το πρυταν-
είον ές αύριον καλέσαι δέ
30 καλ τους μετ' Αρύββου ήκου-
τας έπι ξένια els το πρυτα-
νείον ές αύριον. χρηματί-
σαι δὲ καὶ περὶ τῶν ὅλλων [ω]-
ν 'Αρύββας λέγει.
§ 2. Decree of the people approving the προβούλευμα :
τὰ μὲν ἅλλ-
35 α καθάπερ τη βουλη ε[αν δ]έ
[τ]ις 'Αρύββα[ν] β[ιαί]φ θ[ανά-
τ]φ άποκτείνη η των π[αίδ]-
ων τινά των Αρύββου, εί[ναι]
τάς αὐτάς τιμω[ρ]ίας αί[περ
40 κ]al ύπερ τών άλλων είσ[lv]

'Αθηναίων επιμελείσ[θαι δ]-

ļ



(Underneath the three crowns is a fragment of relief, representing a four-horsed chariot, with a winged Victory alighting upon it).

Alketas king of the Molossi, who joined the new Athenian alliance in 378 B.C. (see No. 81), left two sons, Neoptolemos and Arybbas (see Pausan. i. 11, 1; Plut. Purril. 1; Drovsen, Hellenismus, table iii.). The mention of Neoptolemos' name in No. 81 together with his father's would show that he was the elder son, and associated already in the kingdom by his father. On the death of Alketas however the succession was disputed, and we find Arybbas and Neoptolemos dividing the kingdom between them, Arybbas being married to his brother's daughter Troas. Neoptolemos died early (certainly before 357 B.C.) leaving a son and daughter, Alexander and Olympias; these were brought up in the house of their uncle Arybbas, who now reigned alone. Anxious to extend his influence, Arybbas secured Philip of Macedon for his niece's hand, and so gave Philip an opportunity of aggression which he was not slow to use (Justin. vii. 6). First of all he insisted on taking the child Alexander under his own charge (Justin, viii, 6), an interference referred to by Demosthenes (Ol. i. p. 13, and Schol.),-and as soon as the vouth was twenty years of age Arybbas was expelled and Alexander made king as Philip's puppet. This happened probably in 343 or 342 B.C. Arybbas fled with his two sons, Alketas and Œakides, the latter being afterwards the father of the famous king Pyrrhos. Our inscription shows that Arvbbas was welcomed at Athens, on the strength of the friendship of Athens with his father Alketas (No. 81) and grandfather Tharypas. The exiled king takes the opportunity of recording at the foot

of the slab his victories at the games. On the provision about the $\pi\rho\nu\tau\dot{a}r\epsilon_{15}$ in l. 14 foll. see No. 28.

114.

Struggle between Athens and Philip for the Thracian Chersonnese; Envoys from Elaius at Athens: B.C. 341-340.

Found at the Propyles. The text is from Curtius, Hermes, iv. 407; Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 116.

['Επί] Νικομάχου ἄρχο[ντος ἐπὶ τῆς Π]ανδιονίδος ἐβδόμης [πρυτανείa]ς· ἐνάτῃ καὶ εἰκοστῷ τῆς πρ[υτ]ανείας· τῶν προέδρων ἐπεψήφιζ[ε]-5 ν ᾿Αριστόμαχος ἐξ Οίου· 'Ονησίππο-[s] ᾿Αραφήνιος ἐγραμμάτευεν· ἔδοξεν τῷ δήμφ· 'Ιππόστρατος Ἐτε[α]ρχίδου Παλληνεὺς εἶπεν· εἶναι καὶ τοῦς Ἐλαιουσίοις τὰ αὐτὰ ἅπ[ερ] 10 ὁ δῆμος ἐψήφισται τοῦς Χεἰβίονη]σίταις, τὸν δὲ στρατηγὸν Χά[ρητα] ἐπιμεληθῆναι αὐτῶν ἐν τῷ [τρόπ]ψ τῷ αὐτῷ, ὅπως ἂν ἔχοντ[ες Ἐλα]ιούσιοι τὰ ἑαυτῶν ὀρθῶς κ[αὶ δικ]-15 αίως οἰκῶσιν μετὰ ᾿Αθηναί[ων ἐν Χ]-

ερρονήσφ, και καλέσαι το[is iEλa]ιουσίους έπι δεΐπνον εί[s το πρυ]τανείον είς αύριον.

It was essential to the safety of Athens to retain her hold upon the Hellespont, and so secure her corn-supply from the Euxine. The Chersonnese had been an ancient possession of Athens from the days of Miltiades; and in 353 B.C., after the capture of Sestos by Chares, and again under Diopeithes in 343 B.C., fresh Kleruchs were sent out (Grote, ch. 87 and 90; Schäfer, Dem. u. e. Zeit. i. 402; ii. 421). These are the 'Athpraios in Xepportry of our decree. We are told in the Argument of Dem. de Cherson. that all the towns in Chersonnese (except Kardia, which was in the hands of Philip) received the settlers with good grace—glad perhaps of any help against Macedon. As however Diopeithes was not supported with money from Athens, and had to pay and feed his troops as he could, there might naturally be complaints made to Athens against him and his kleruchs. This decree enjoins that Chares shall take care that the interests of Elaius shall be respected in the same way as had been provided for the other towns of the Chersonnese. Chares was afterwards replaced by Phokion in the relief of Byzantion (Grote, ch. 90; Schäfer, *ibid.* ii. p. 475). On the circumstances connected with this decree see the speeches of Demosthenes, *de Cherson.*, and *Philippic* iii.

115.

Honours from Athens to a Byzantine citizen : B.C. 340 (?).

Found on the Akropolis. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 119.

§ 1. Conclusion of προβούλευμα:---

... [εἶναι δὲ κa]ì a[ů]τῷ ε[ὑρέσθαι παρὰ τo]ῦ δήμου [ὅτου ἀν ἅξιος ἦ ἀ]γαθόν.

§ 2. Rider moved in the assembly:---

5 ^{*}Εδοξεν τῷ [δήμω[•] · · · . κρ]άτης 'Αθην[.... ε]**ὺς εἶπεν τὰ [μὲν ἄλλα καθά]**περ τεί βου [λεί επειδή δε] 'Απελλη̂ς Ζω[πύρου Βυζάντ]-10 ιος πρά[τ]τε[ι ότι δύναται] άγαθον ύ[π]ε[ρ τοῦ δήμου το]υ 'Αθηναίων [και τοις στρα]τ[ηγ]οις οις [ή πόλις εκπέμπ]ε[ι] συμπρ[άττει δτου αν] 15 δείω[ν]τα[ι, έψηφίσθαι τω] δήμω είνα ι'Απελλην Ζωπ]ύρου Βυζά[υτιον πρόξενο]ν καί εύερ[γέτην του δήμο]υ του 'Αθην[αίων αύτον καί] 20 εκγόνους [επιμελείσθαι δ]è αὐτοῦ το[ύs τε στρατηγού]ς τούς άε[ι στρατηγούντ]-

as $\kappa a[i]$ the Bouldy kal toi]s $\pi \rho v t dv [\epsilon is oltres ar label{eq:asymptotic}$ s $\pi \rho v t d[\epsilon i (?) of the same singer singer$

The decree has lost its date, but it very probably refers to the defence of Byzantion against Philip.

116.

Tenedos rewarded (for help at Byzantion?): B.C. 840.

Two fragments found on the Akropolis. The text is from Köhler, C. I.A. ii, 117.

['Ε]πι Θε[οφράστου άρχο]ιτο[s. έδοξεν τφ δήμφ. Κε] κροπι[s έπρυτάνευε]ν. δ(γ)δο[η της πρυτακείας. τ] ων προέ[δρων επ]εψήφισεν Σ[.] . .]· εγραμμάτευεν "Ασπετος [name of his father and his deme. Ka]λλικράτης Χαροπίδου [... είπε περή ω]ν οι Τενέδιοι λέγουσι, [έπαινέσαι μέν τόν δ|ή]μον των Τενεδίων άρε[τής **ένε**κεν καλ **εύνοίας** | τή]ς είς τον δήμον τόν 'Α[θηναίων και τους συμμάχο]υς, της τε έν τφ π[ρ]ό[σθεν χρόνψ καί. |...] βεβοηθήκασι... |... χρήμα[τα ... (Not muck seems to be lost between the first fragment and the second.) [....]υτες οπίως δ' αν κομίσωνται Τεμέδιοι τα χρή-τημ βοή[θειαν τάλ]αντα (?), δεδόχθαι [τῷ δήμφ | τ]όν μετὰ Θεόφρα[στον [....ε] και είς την ενκύκ[λιου | . . . έν τ] ψ ένιαυτψ τψ μετά [Θεόφραστον άρχο ντα κ]ομίζεσθαι aύτοὺς εἰς τ[....] ταῦτα έως

The archonship of Theophrastos, B.C. 340-339, is memorable as the year in which Athens finally declared war with Philip, and, by following up with arms the successful diplomacy of Demosthenes, saved Byzantion and the Chersonnesc. In this decree, mutilated as it is, we find the people of Tenedos, and Aratos (their general?), and also their deputy at the confederate synod ($\sigma i \nu \epsilon \partial \rho \sigma$), are crowned and praised for help given ($\beta \sigma i \theta \epsilon \iota a$) and money lent. Tenedos is to be exempt from tribute ($\sigma i \nu r a \epsilon \iota s$) for the whole of next year, and her loan is to be repaid. Köhler is probably right in referring these services of the Tenedians to the defence of Byzantion. On the importance of Tenedos (i.e. Besika bay) in connexion with the corn-ships from the Euxine cp. Demosth. p. 217.

117.

Honours to one Bularchos who was $Ta \xi la \rho \chi os$ in the Chærones campaign: B.C. 339-338.

Found on the Akropolis: the text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 562; see Kirchhoff, Monatsber. d. Berl. Akad. 1863, pp. 9 foll.


§ 1. End of decree of the $\beta ov\lambda \dot{\eta}$:

[..... εἶπε]ν δεδόχθα[ι τῆ βουλῆ ἐπειδὴ δ ταξίαρχος τῆς Κεκροπίδος φ]υλῆς Βο[ύλ]α[ρχος ᾿Αριστοβούλου ἀνὴρ ἀγαθὸς γεγένητωι περ]ὶ τοὺς σ[τρατευσαμένους, ἐπαινέσαι Βούλαρχον Φλπέα κα]ὶ στεφ[α]νώ[σαι αὐτὸν θαλλοῦ στ-5 εφάνφ ἀνδραγαθίας ἔνεκα καὶ] φιλοτιμίας [τῆς εἰς τὸν δῆμον εἰναι δὲ αὐτῷ καὶ ἁλλο ἔγαθὸν εὐρέσ]θαι παρὰ τῶ[ν φυλετῶν?]. § 2. A decree of the Tribe, inscribed on the same stone:

[..... ε]ὒς εἰπεν ἐπει[δὴ βσέλαρχος Φλυεὺς ἀνὴρ ἀγαθὸς γεγένηται πε]ρὶ τὴν ψυλὴν τὴ[ν Κεκροπίδα καὶ τοὺς στρατευσαμένους, δεδό]χθαι τοῖς ψυλέτ[αις ἐπαινέ]σα[ι 10 Βούλαρχον 'Αριστοβούλου Φλυέ]α καὶ στεφανŵ[σαι χρυσŵ] στε[φ-

άνφ ἀπὸ . . δραχμῶν ἀνδρ]αγαθία[s ἕ]»εκ[a καὶ φίλοτ]ιμί[as τῆς περὶ ἐαυτούς· παραλαβείν] δὲ τόδε τὸ ψηφισμ[a τὸν γρ]αμμα[τέα τὸν κατὰ πρυτανείαν καὶ] ἐπὶ τὸ ἀνάθημα ἐπιγρ[άψ]aι καθά[περ τὸ ψήφισμα τῆς βουλῆς?]

Within crowns at the foot:



The restoration of these fragments is due to Kirchhoff, who got his clue from the following dedication upon a statue-base found on the Akropolis: $K \epsilon \kappa \rho \sigma \pi l \delta \sigma \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v \sigma d \mu \epsilon r \sigma i A v \sigma i - \mu a \chi l \delta v a \delta \chi \sigma r \sigma \sigma \delta \sigma \sigma \sigma \rho a \tau \epsilon v \sigma d \mu \epsilon r \sigma i A \eta v \hat{\epsilon}$ (see Rangabé, $Au\ell$. Hell. 1160, 2368). On the $\tau a \ell a \rho \chi \sigma i$ see No. 19. Bularchos had fought in those earlier engagements which preceded the decisive battle: Dem. de Cor. p. 300, $\delta l \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma v \mu \pi a \rho a \tau a \ell d \mu \epsilon r \sigma i \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma a - \mu \sigma i$ (Kephissos) kai $\tau \eta \nu \chi \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \nu r \eta \nu$ (the one in the winter) $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. This was about Feb. 338 B.C.; see Schäfer, Demosthenes, etc. ii. p. 528.


118.

Honours to Akarnanians who had fought on the Athenian side at Cheronea: B.C. 338-337.

Found at the Propyles in 1852. The text is from Kuhler, C. J. A. ii. 121; comp. Meier, Commentatio Epigraph. ii. pt. 2; Velsen, Monatober. d. Iterl. Akad. 1856, p. 115; Schäfer, Demosth. u. seine Zeit, iii. p. 46.

[Έπὶ Χαιφών]δο[υ] ἄρχο[ντος ἐπὶ τῆς Παιδιονίδος δ]ε[κ]ά-[της πρυτα]νείας, ῆ Φ[ίλιππος 'Αντιφ ἐγρ]α[μμά]τευεν· Θαργηλ[ιώνος]]ι [τῆ]ς πρυτανείας· τ[ών προέδρων ἐ]πεψή[φ]ιζεν [... 5....] 'Ερχιεύς· ἕδοξεν τ[φ δήμφ·...]σιππος [.....

...]εύς είπεν περί ων οι 'Α[καρνών]ες λέγουσ[ιν Φορμίων και Καρφίνας οι [ν]έ[ο]ν έ[λθόντ]ες, δεδόχθαι [τώ δήμ]ω, έπειδη Φορμίων και Καρ[φί]ras όντες πατρό[θεν φίλ]οι τοῦ δήμου τοῦ 'Αθηναίων διαφελάττουσιν [την εύ νοιαν ήν οι πρόγονοι αύτοις παρέδοσαν πρός [τό-10 ν δ ημον τον Αθηναίων και νυνι βοηθήσαντ [ες μ]ετά δ[υνάμ]εως συνκατετάττοντο μετα 'Αθηναίω[ν καθ]ότι δ [στρ]ατη [γ] ός παραγγέ[λ]λοι, επαινέσαι αυτο [υς άρε] της [ε. νεκα] καί στεφανώσαι εκάτερον αύτων χρυσώ στεφ[ά p_{φ} [ε] πειδή δε Φορ[μ]ίωνα τόν Φορμίωνος και Καρ[φ](ν[α 15 πάππο]ν εποιήσατο 'Αθηναίον δ δήμος δ 'Αθηναίων κ[αι τ]ο[υς] εκείνου [εκγό]νους και το ψήφισμα καθ' δ ή [π]οίη-[σι]ς έγένε[τ]ο άναγ[έγρ]απται έν άκροπόλει, εί[ναι] Φορ-[μ]ίων[ι καί] Καρφί[να] καί τοις έκγόνοις αὐτῶν κυρία-[ν τη]ν [δωρει]αν ην [έδωκ]εν ό δη[μ]os Φορμίωνι τῷ πάππφ 20 $\left[a\right] v \left[\tau\right] \hat{\omega} \left[v + \delta \right] \delta \left[\sigma \theta a\right] v \delta \delta a \right] v \tau \sigma v \left[s\right] \phi v \lambda \eta \left[v\right] \kappa a \delta \eta \mu o v \kappa a \delta \phi \rho a$ [τρίαν ής ά]ν βο[ύλωνται] είναι. Επαικέσαι δε και τους [άλλ]ο[υς 'Ακα]ρ[νανας τ]ούς βοηθήσαιτας μετά Φορμίω-[ros κ]a[i Ka]ρφ[ίνα καί] είνα[ι] αύ[τ]οις έως αν κατέλθωσι-[ν ξγκτησιν ών αν] ο[Ικι]ών βούλωνται οικούσιν 'Αθήνη-25 [σιν άτελέσιν μετοι]κ[ί]ου κα[ί] διδόναι αυτούς δίκα[ς \dots ]ο [. π] αρ' 'Αθηναίο [υ] καὶ τὰς εἰσφορὰς [....]γ[ίγ]ν[. νται μ]ετά 'Αθηναίων είσφέρειν καί [επιμελείσθα]ι [α]ύ[των τη]ν βουλη[ν] την άει βουλεύουσ-[αν κ]αί το[ύ]ς στρατηγο[ύς] οι ά[ν] άει στρατηγώσιν, δπως 30 [α໋ν μη ἀδικών]ται. [ἀναγρά]ψ[α]ι [δ]ε τόδε τὸ ψήφισμα εν στήλη] λιθ[ίνη] τό[ν γραμμ]ατέ[α] τ[η]s βουλης και στησαι [rár]ων els την αὐτη[ν στή]λην ὑπογράψαντα τὰς πόλει-[s τ η]s' A καρναν[(as ων είs ε]κ[aσ]τόs εστιν. είs δε την άνα-35 [γρα]φ[η]ν της στή[λης δουναι] τον ταμίαν του δήμου τώ [γραμματεί] τ[ης βουλης τ]ρ[ιάκ]οντα [?]ραχμώς έκ [τ]ών κατ-[a ψηφ(σματα dνaλισκομέτων] τ[φ δή]μ[ψ].



202

The fidelity of the Akarnanians to Athens has been described on No. 83: Livy (xxxiii. 16), speaks of the 'fides insita genti.' There is little doubt that the occasion referred to in the words Βοηθήσαντες μετά δυνάμεως συνκατετάττοντο μετά 'Αθηναίων was the battle of Chæronea, which was fought in August 338 B.C. The heading of our decree is partly restored from that of C. I. A. ii. 122 : it is dated May 337. Diodoros, xvii. 3, speaks of rows if 'Aκαρνανίας φυγάδας . . . δια Φιλίππου πειραν είληφότας της φυγής, -Philip immediately after Chæronea having subdued Akarnania and banished the partisans of Athens. Among these were the brothers Karphinas and Phormion, whose grandfather Phormion had received the Athenian citizenship. When we remember the strong affection of the Akarnanians for the Athenian general Phormion in the Peloponnesian War (Thuk, iii. 7), we conclude with confidence that the great-grandfather of the brothers had become the guest-friend of the famous general, and had named his son after him.

119.

Athenian Dikasts' tickets: 4th century B.C.

Three small bronze plates, τ_e inch thick. Exposed in the case among the other Bronzes in the British Museum.

a. An owl surrounded by an olive wreath, with the letters AOH- AOH - AOy (resize). Published in the Bulletin de Correspondance Hellenique, vol. ii. 1878: p. 536.

(2) ΔΕΙΝΙΑΣ
 ΦΑΛΑΙΕΥΣ Φ.C

a. Owl within olive wreath. b. Double owl. c. Gorgon's head. Published by Bückh, C. J. G., Nº. 208; Bulletin, ibid. p. 536.

a. An imperfect stamp.

For a fuller discussion of these tablets, the reader is referred to Dumont, *Revue Archéol.* 1868, p. 140; C. Curtius, *Rhein.*

Museum, 1876, p. 283; where other tablets of the kind are given. See also Scholiast on Aristoph. Plut. 277; Schömann. Opusc. i. 203 foll., Attische Process, 127; K. F. Hermann, Gr. Staatsalterth. 15. § 134, 11. Every year the nine archons, assisted by a secretary, selected by lot (from among all citizens who applied) 600 from each tribe, who were thereupon drafted into ten divisions of 500 each, the odd 1000 standing in reserve to fill up vacancies. Each received a tablet $(\pi_i \nu \alpha_{\kappa_i \sigma \nu})$ stamped with his name, with the number of his division (expressed by the letters A to I, so that the $\pi_i \nu \dot{\alpha}_{\kappa_i \alpha}$ were often called $\gamma_i \dot{\alpha}_{\mu_i \mu_i \alpha \tau_i \alpha}$, and with the owl or gorgoneion which served, so to speak, as the seal of the Athenian republic. This $\pi i \nu d\kappa i o r$ is not to be confused with the $\sigma i \mu \beta o \lambda o \nu$ or voucher which the dikast received upon being impanelled to try a case, and which qualified him to receive his TOLUBOLOV. Nor have the letters anything to do with the letters by which the various courts were marked. Dikasts of different divisions might be impanelled together to try the same case; and the number of dikasts impanelled varied greatly according to the nature of the case, only the number was generally an odd one. Of the three *zwakia* printed above. the first two belonged to the third division, the last to the fifth. They were found in tombs; it was perhaps usual to bury a dikast's $\pi_{i\nu}$ ákiov with him : and this lends a grim force to the jest in Arist. Plut. 277 : 'Ev Tŷ σορῷ vurl λαχον το γράμμα σου δικάζειν, | σύ δ' ού βαδίζεις ; δ δε Χάρων το ξύμβολον δίδωσικ.

PART V.

FROM CHÆRONEA TO THE DEATH

OF ALEXANDER.

в. с. 338-323.

120.

Peace of Demades; Alkimachos the Macedonian, honoured at Athens: B.C. 837-336.

Fragment found in the Akropolis: it was surmounted by a relief, which still reveals the figure of Athena seated on the left. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 123.

The date is early in 336; $\xi \kappa \tau \eta s$ alone will fit the lacuna. The names of Charestratos' father and deme are restored from other decrees of this date (cp. No. 121, and C. I. A. 125 foll.). It is probable that this stelè (the international importance of which is indicated by the nature of the relief) contained the grant of citizenship to Alkimachos and Antipater, Philip's generals, which was probably carried by Demades: see Harpokr. s.v. ' $A\lambda\kappa (\mu a \chi os$: ' $A\lambda\kappa (\mu a \chi os$ Makedáv, où $\mu : \eta \mu ove viei$ ' $T \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon l \delta \eta s$ èv $\tau \psi$ κατὰ $\Delta \eta \mu \dot{a} \delta \sigma e$ où $\tau \omega s$: ' $A\lambda\kappa (\mu a \chi ov \kappa ai$ ' $A \nu \tau (\pi a \tau \rho ov 'A \theta \eta v a l ovs \kappa ai$ προξένουs ἐποιησάμεθα.' Comp. A. Schäfer, Demosthenes, etc. iii. p. 30. Alkimachos was the brother of Lysimachos, see Droysen, Hellenismus, i. I. p. 201.

121.

Honours to some one who befriended the Athenians at the Court of Philip: B.C. 887-386.

Found on the Akropolis. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 124; cp. Velsen, Rhein. Mus. xi. 598.

With sufficient certainty the name of Demades is restored as the mover of the resolution, which grants $\pi \rho \sigma \xi \epsilon \nu \epsilon a$ Macedonian who had assisted Demades in negotiating the peace with Philip.

122.

Honours to Aristomachos of Argos for his services to Athens: B.C. 335 (?).

Found on the Akropolis. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 161; cp. id. in Hermes, v. pp. 3 foll.

§ 1. (The beginning is lost:) recital of the services of Aristomachos' father in the Korinthian war :-- [oú]ναμιν αποσ[τ]ελλο[μέν]ης στρατ[iâs ...] τε των πεζων ήγεμόνι και των ίππ[έων . . .] σθ[a]ι της οίκειότητ[os] και φιλί[as η άει ποτε ην τοις 'Ap-5 γ]είοις πρός του δήμου τότ 'Αθη [valwov μετά δε την άποσ]τολην ταύτην ώς συ[ν]έβη τους ύ[πο του δήμου σταλέντα]ς την αποχώρησιν ποιήσα σθαι έκ της χώρας της τ]ών 'Αργείων, συνκ[$\alpha\tau$]έστησεν 'Α]θηναίοις ...] λωσεις τὰ μακρά τείχη και τόμ Πειραιά..] § 2. Next comes a recital of the services of Aristomachos himself :---[eneidy] 10 οῦν παρειληφώς 'Α[ρι]στόμαχος [παρά προγόνων την φι]λοτιμίαν διατη πάσικ 'Αθ[ηναίοις . . . κ]αὶ μνείαν διατετέ[λ]εκεν πο[ιούμενος ἀεὶ τῆς ἐ]λευ[θ]ερίας [τ]οῦ δήμου την ἀρίσ[την . . .] καί συνβάντος κοινοῦ πολέμο[υ 'Αθηναίοις τε και τη] 15 πόλει των Αργείων πρός Αλέξαι δρου . . . γεν]ομένης έξουσίας ποήσ[a]σθα[ι]πολύ τούς δι' έλαττότωτ ά[...... ..] λουτος 'Αλεξάνδρου γετ[..... ...τω]ν ίδίων ἀναλωμάτω**ς** [...... 20 . . κοι]νην επ[οή]σατο της είρ[ήνην, επιμε λείτ[αι] δε και ετ τ[ώ νυν χρόνω και κοινή καὶ ἰδία] Ἀθηναίων των [ἀφικνουμένων εἰς Αργος, καὶ τὸ λοιπὸ]ν ἐπ[α]r[y]έλλ[ε]τ[αι ἀγαθὸν ποιήσειν δτι αν δύεηται]· δπ[ω]ς α[ν ουν και ό δήμος ό 25 ['Αθηναίων χάριτας φαίνηται αποδιδούς κ.τ.λ.]

It is a pity the document is imperfect, as it might have cleared up our knowledge of the obscure history of the times referred to. In § 1 Aristomachos' father is said to have rendered service to an Athenian force sent into Argolis to help the Argives in resisting a common enemy. This no doubt refers to the Korinthian war B.C. 394 foll. (Xen. Hell. iv. 2, 17); to which period Curtius assigns the brilliant engagement at Œnoe mentioned by Pausan. i. 15, 2; x. 10, 2 ($\tau \eta s r(\kappa \eta s \eta v \tau \iota v a$ $\delta v Olv \delta \eta \tau \eta$ 'Apyela advol (i. e. Argives) $\tau \epsilon \kappa a$ 'A $\theta \eta v a (\omega v \epsilon \pi (\kappa o v \rho o \iota))$ Aakeda $\mu o v (\delta v s \epsilon v (\kappa \eta \sigma a v))$, a victory which made much noise at the time, though the philo-Lakonian Xenophon omits it (Curtius, Gr. Gesch. iii.⁴, 192). His father had also contributed to the rebuilding of the Athenian walls in 393 B.C., a work which was helped on not only by the crews of Pharnabazos but by the confederate cities (Xen. Hell. iv. 8, 10: κa Boiwroi κa $\delta \lambda \lambda a \iota$ $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota \delta \theta \epsilon \lambda \delta \delta \sigma \epsilon a v \sigma v \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon (\chi \iota \sigma a v)$.

§ 2. deals with Aristomachos himself. He had sympathised with those aspirations for liberty which were expressed at Athens, Argos, and elsewhere, upon Philip's death (Diodor. xvii. 3), or perhaps during Alexander's Illyrian expedition at the time of the Theban rising (*ibid.* 8). At the final conclusion of peace, when Alexander visited Korinth, Aristomachos had used all his influence and wealth on behalf of Athens.

123.

Alexander in Ionia: Summer of 334 B.C.

On two of the ante-stones from the temple of Athena Polias at Priene, the fragments of which are now in the British Museum.

Βασιλέως 'Α[λεξάνδ]ρου[.] Τῶν ἐν Ναυλόχφ [κατοικούντων δσοι μὲν εἰς κ

(Here a dozen lines or more are hopelessly mutilated).

γινώσκω έμην είναι, τούς δε κα-

5 τοικοῦντας ἐν ταῖς κώμαις ταύταις φέρειν τοὺς φόρους· τῆς δὲ συντάξεως ἀφίημι τὴν Πριηνέωμ πόλιν κ[a]ὶ τὴμ φρου[ρὰν ἐ]φ' ἦ ...

This edict was issued by Alexander after the reduction of the Greek cities on the coast. Priene was among the cities which submitted without a blow, and therefore might expect some favours (cp. No. 124). Naulochon is named by Pliny, N. II. v. 29; it was a small port at the mouth of the Mæander (Le Bas-Waddington, *Voyage Arch.* Pt. 5, No. 186).

124.

Alexander in Ionia: Summer of 334 B.C.

On a large block from the Temple at Priene : now in the gallery of the British Museum.

Βασιλεύς 'Αλέξανδρος ἀνέθηκε τον ναον 'Αθηναίη Πολιάδι.

After the victory of Granikos, on his march southwards, Alexander seems to have spent some little time at Ephesos, where he found the Artemision (burned down on the night he was born) had nearly finished rebuilding. Strabo repeats a story (p. 641) that 'Aléfardpov dì roîs 'Effections indoxtécolai rà yeyovóra kal rà µélloura àralúµara, èd' ϕ re the éniypath airde éxeir rois dè µì édelífai ... èrairei re (Artemidoros) rde elnoira tŵ 'Effectwe npòs rde Baciléa, is où npénoi de \thetaeois àradúµara karaorkeudfeir. We may suppose that Alexander visited Priene also, and found the Prienians less scrupulous or less wealthy (cp. Droysen, Hell. i. 1. 202).

125.

Revolutions at Eresos: B.C. 333-301.

Fragments of a block of marble inscribed on three sides—A (front), B (side), and C (back). The upper parts of A and C are wanting. Published by Conne, Reise auf der Insel Lesbos, pp. 35 foll.; Sauppe, Commentatio de duabus inscr. Lesb.; Cauer, Delectus, No. 123; but far more accurately by Kirchhoff, in Droysen's Helleniemus, 1878, vol. ii. 2, pp. 363 foll. I have followed Kirchhoff, verifying his text, and in part improving it by help of a number of impressions made by Mr. Newton at Lesbos, where the marbles remain.

[§ 1. Inscribed upon the lost upper half of A was a 'Law against the Tyrants,'—νόμος κατὰ τῶν τυράννων,—often referred to in the following documents.]

[§ 2. Probably there was also here a record of the Judgment upon Eurysi(la)00,—κρίσις ύπερ Εύρυσι[λά]ω,—referred to in § 8, lines 130 foll.]

§ 3. Judgment delivered upon the tyrant Agonippos (the beginning is lost): A.

έν τα πό λι [ά]πολι[πω]ν αύτομόλησε και το[ls πολίται] s δισμυρίοι s στατήραs είσεπραξ[ε καί τ]ois Ελλανας έλαζετο και τοις βώμοις [κατέ-5 σ]καψε τώ Διός τώ [Φ]ιλ[ιπ]πίω? [κ]αὶ πόλεμον εξε[νει]κάμενος πρός 'Αλέξανδρον και τοις Έλλανας τοις μεν πολίταις παρελόμενος τα δπλα έξεκλάϊσε έκ τας πόλιος [πα]νδαμί, ταις δε γυναικας και ταις θυγατέρας συλλαβών και έρξα[ις] το έν τῷ ἀκροπόλι τρισχιλίοις καὶ διακοσίο[ις] στατήρας είσεπραξε, των δε πόλιν και τα ιρ[α] διαρπάσαις μετά των λαϊστάν ένέπρησε κα[] συγκατέκαυσε σώματ[α των] πολιτάν, και το τ[ε]λετταίον αφικόμενος πρός 'Αλέξανδρον κατ[ε]-15 ψεύδετο και διέβαλλε τοις πολίταις κρινα[ι μ] εν αύτον κρυπτά ψαφίσει δμόσσαντας περ[ί θ]αράτω· al δέ κε καταψαφισθή θάνατος, άντιτ[ιμασαμ[ε]νω 'Αγωνίππω ταν δευτέραν διαφοράν ποφσασθαι, τίνα τρόπον δεύει αὐτὸν ἀποθα-20 $v\eta r$ al de ke kalla $\phi \theta] \epsilon [v]$ tos 'Aywulm w tâ dika κατάγη τίς τινα των Άγωνίππω η είπη η προθή περί καθόδω η των κτημάτων αποδόσιος, κατ άρα τον έμμεναι και αύτον και γένος το κήνω, και τάλλα έ[ν]οχος έστω τῷ νόμφ [τῷ] (ἐπὶ τῷ?) τὰν στάλλαν 25 ανελόντι ταν περί των τυράννων και των εκγίον] ων ποήσασθαι δε και επάραν εν τῷ εκλησία α[ντ]ίκα, τῷ μὲν δικάζοντι καὶ βαθοέντι τῷ πόλε[ι κ]αὶ (τοῖς νόμοισι?) τὰ δίκαια εῦ ἔμμεναι, τοῖς δὲ παρὰ τὸ δίκα[ιο] ν ταν ψαφον φερόντεσσι τα έναντία τούτων. 30 'Εδίκα σαν] δκτωκόσιοι δγδοήκοντα τρείς απο τ]αττάν απέλυσαν έπτά, αι δε άλλαι κατεδίκασar. § 4. Decree refusing restoration to Heroidas and Agesimenes, descendants of the earlier Tyrants: 'Αγπόδα[μ]os' περί ών οι πρέσβεες απαγγέλλοισ[ι] οί πρός 'Αλέξανδρον αποσταλέντες και 'Αλέ-

35 ξατόρος ταν διαγραφ[α]ν απέπεμψε αφικομέ-

νων πρός αύτου τών πρότερον τυράννων ἀπογ[ό]νων 'Ηρωίδα τε τώ Τερτικωνείω τώ 'Ηραείω κα[l 'A]γησιμένε[o]ς τώ 'Ερμησιδείω, και ἐπαγγελλα[μέν]ων πρός 'Αλέξανδρον, δτι ἕτοιμοί ἐ(στ)ι (sic) (δ)ίκ[αν 40 ύ]ποσχεθήν περί τών ἐγκαλημένων ἐν τῷ δάμφ, [άγαθậ τύχα δ]εδόχθαι τῷ δήμφ, ἐπειδὴ...

(The rest is lost, the bottom of A being broken).

§ 5. A second judgment delivered upon Agonippos (the beginning is lost from the bottom of A):

B.

παρε[λετ[0] τὰ [δπλα καὶ έξ]εκλάισε έκ τας [πόλι]ος πανδαμί, ταί[ς 45 8] ¿ yuvaîkas kal ta[is θ]υγατέρας συλλαβ[ών $\epsilon i] \rho \xi \epsilon \epsilon i s \tau a v [d \kappa \rho] o \pi o -$ [λ]ιν και είσεπραξε δισχιλίοις και δι[α]so koolois orarnpas, ra[v] δε πόλιν και τα ίρα [δι α]ρπάξαις μετά των λ]αϊσταν ένέπρη[σε κ]αὶ συγκατέκαυσε 55 $[\sigma]$ ώματα τῶν πολιτ[âν, κ]ρίνναι μέν αὐτὸν [κ]ρυπτậ ψαφίσει [κατ]ά τάν διαγραφάν τ[ώ β]ασιλέως 'Αλεξάνδ[ρω 60 K al tois rouois [al de κ]ε καταψαφισθή αὐτῷ θάνατος, ἀ[ντιτι]μασαμένω 'Αγων[[ππ]ω, τὰν δευτέραν [ψάφι-65 5 7 18 ποήσασθαι δια [x]ecorosias, riva [τ]ρόπου δεύει αὐτὸν [απ]οθανήν λαβέσθαι δ[ε

κ]αὶ συναγόροις τὰ[ν] 70 πόλιν δέκα, οἶτινες [δ]μόσσαντες ᾿Απ[όλλων]α Λύκειον [συναγ]ορήσοισι [τῷ νόμῳ· aἰ δε] κε...

(Here the stone is broken, and there is a lacuna of several lines).

75 [ποήσασθαι δὲ καὶ ἐπάρ-] [αν ἐν τῷ ἐκλησία αὐτίκ-] [α, τῷ μὲν δικ]αίψ ὑπάρχο]ντι καὶ βαθοέν[τι τῷ] πόλει καὶ τοῖς 80 νόμο]υσι τὰ δίκαια ε[ῦ ἕμμε]ναι καὶ αὐτοῖσ[ι καὶ] ἐκγόνοισι, τῷ δὲ [πα]ρὰ τοὶς νόμοις καὶ τὰ δίκαια δικαζόν-85 τεσσι (sic) τὰ ἐναντία· ὅμυνν δὲ τοὺς πολίτ[αις]

- μνυν δε τοίς πολίτ[aις] τοίς δικάζοντας. [ν]αὶ δικάσσω ταν [δίκαν δ]σσα μέν έν τοῖς νό-
- 90 μ]οισι ἕνι καττοὶ[s νόμ]οιs, τὰ δὲ ἄλλα ἐκ [φιλοπ]ονίαs ὡs ἅριστα κα[ὶ δ]ικαίτατα (sic), καὶ τιμά-[σ]ω, αἴκε καταγνῶ, ὀρθῶ[s] 95 καὶ δι(καί)ωs. οὕτω ποήσω ναὶ μὰ Δία καὶ ὅΑλιον.

§ 6. Rescript of king Philip Arrhidæos (B.C. 323-317) reaffirming the judgments against the Tyrants delivered under Alexander:

Φιλίππω[.] Αἱ μὲν κατὰ τῶν φυγάἀων κρίσεις αἰ κριθε[〔]-100 σαι ὑπὸ ᾿Αλεξάνδρου κύριαι ἔστωσαν καὶ [ὦ]ν κατέγνω φυγὴν φευ[γ]έτωσαν μέν, ἀγώγιμοι δὲ μὴ ἔστωσαν.

§ 7. Letter of king Antigonos (Monophthalmos, B.C. 306-301) concerning the sons of Agonippos:

> 105 Πρότανις Μελίδωρος. Βασιλεὺς ᾿Αντίγονος Ἐρεσίων τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ χαίρειν^{*} παρεγένοντο προς ἡ110 μᾶς οἱ παρ' ὑμῶν πρέ[σ]βεις καὶ διελέγοντ[ο], φάμενοι τὸν δῆμον κομισάμενον τὴν παρ' [ἡ]μῶν ἐπιστολὴν ἡν ἐγρ[ά]115 ψαμεν ὑπὲρ τῶν ᾿Αγωνίπ-[π]ου υίῶν, ψήφισμά τε π[οήσ]ασθαι δ ἀνέγνωσα[ν ἡμῖ]ν, καὶ αὐτοὺς ἀπε[σταλκέναι ?]

(Here is a considerable lacuna, something being broken from the bottom of B, and the upper half of C).

C.

120	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	···· ε]πί τη ·····
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	'Αλεξανδρ
			•	•			•		•		•				•		•	έβρωσθε .

§ 8. Final decree of the Eresians reaffirming the previous enactments against the Tyrants and their families:

[Ο δείνα είπεν περί ών ά βολλά πρ]οεβώλλευ $[\sigma ε ...]$

	Τ€Ο σοlχ	
125	τ[ον νόμον τον] κατά των τυρά[ν]-	
-	νων [καὶ τῶν ἐ]μ πό[λει οἰκη]θέντων καὶ τῶν ἐκγ[ό]-	
	νων	
	ταν έκλησίαν επειδή και π[ρό-	
	τερον] δ βασιλεύς 'Αλέξανδρος διαγραφάν άπο[σ-	- 4- 4
130	τέ]λλαις π[ροσέτ]αξε [Ερε]σίοις κρίναι νπέρ τε	
	['Αγω]ν(ππ[ω κ]α) [Εὐρυσιλά]ω, τί [δ]εῖ παθην αὐτοίς, [δ	

δε δάμος άκο [ύ[σ] αις ταν διαγραφάν δικαστήριο-[ν καλ]έσα[ι]ς κατά τοις νόμοις δ έκρατνε 'Αγώνιπ-[πο]μ μέν και Εύρυσί[λαο]ν τε[θν]άκτρ, τοις δε άπογ[ό]-135 νοις αντώ]ν ένόχοι[ς έμμε]ναι τῷ τόμφ τῷ έν τῷ [σ]τάλλα, τὰ [δ]ε ὑπάρχο[ντα] πεπράσθαι αὐτῶν κατὰ [τ] δυ νόμον, επιστέλλ [αντος] δε 'Αλεξάνδρω και ύπέρ τών 'Απολλο[δ]ωρε[ίων] και τών κασιγνήτων [αιτ] ω, "Ερμωνος και 'Ηραίω, τωμ πρότερον τυραννη-140 σάντων τας πόλιος, και των απογόνων αντων, κ[ρί]ναι τόν δάμον, πότερο ν δοκ εί καταπορεύεσθ αι] αύτοις ή μή, [δ δ]ε δάμος ακούσαις τας διαγραφά[s] δικαστήριόν τε αύτοισι συνάγαγε κατά τον [νόμ]ον καί ταν διαγραφάν τω βασιλέος 'Αλεξάνδρ[ω. 145 δ έ γνω λό γ ων βηθέντων παρ' αμφοτέρων τόν τε νό-[μο]ν τόν κατά των τυράννων κύριον έμμεται κα[] φ]εύνην αυτοίς κατ[τόν νόμον?] δεδόχθαι τῷ δάμ[φ], κύριομ μέν έμμεναι κατά [τῶν] τυράντων καὶ τῶ[ν έ]μ πόλι οίκηθέντων και των απογόνων των τού-150 [τ]ων τόν τε νόμον τὸμ περὶ [τ]ῶν τυράστων γεγραμ-[μ]ένον έν τ \hat{q} στάλλq $[τ]\hat{g}$ $[παλαι?]\hat{g}$ καί ταις διαγρα-[φ]als των βασιλέων ταls κατά τούτων και τά ψα-[φ]ίσματα τὰ πρότερου γραφέντα ύπο των προγόν]ων καί ταις ψαφοφορίαις ταις κατά των τυράννων [al 155 δ] εκέ τις παρά ταῦτα άλισκηται των ποράρτω [ν ή] των έμ πόλι οίκηθέντων η των άπογότων των το[ύ]των τις επιβαίνων επί ταν γαν ταν Έρεσίως, [αύτίκα] τόν δ $\hat{a}[\mu o]$ ν βουλεύσασθαι καὶ $\pi \epsilon \dots (the end is lost)$.

On the general political movements of this period,—the growth of oligarchies and tyrannies in the Ægean under the Persian influence, the factions fomented by Philip, the motive and the results of Alexander's edict recalling the exiles,—the reader is referred to the notes on Nos. 112, 126, and 131. As to Eresos, it joined the new Athenian Confederacy in 378 B.C. (No. 81), and no doubt remained democratic until the Social War in B.C. 357. Then followed a time during which, under Persian influence, Eresos was in the hands of 'tyrants.' We gather from lines 37, 38, and 138 that three of these earlier tyrants were brothers named Hermon, Heræos, and Apollodoros, who perhaps succeeded each other in power: for 'A $\pi \circ \lambda \circ \delta \omega \circ \epsilon (\omega v = v \cdot \hat{\omega} v \cdot \hat{\omega} v \cdot A \pi \circ \lambda) \circ$ δώρου, and lines 37 foll. are equivalent to 'Howtoa τοῦ Τερτικώρος τοῦ 'Hpalou καὶ 'Aynσιμένεος τοῦ 'Ερμησίδα ('Απολλοδώρειος, Τερτι-Rúveios, Hpácios being Æolic patronymics). That Apollodoros was tyrant last of the three explains the circumstance that his children are classed with grandchildren of the other two (lines 137-139): indeed they may have been too young in 324 B.C. to join personally in the application referred to in § 4, where they are not named; although they were virtually included in that application (line 138). It does not appear in what way this dynasty came to an end; probably by a revolution, in which perhaps Theophrastos the philosopher had a hand (see Plut. adv. Coloten: Θεοφράστω δίς έλευθερώσαι τυραννουμένην, sc. την πατρίδα; also Non posse suav. vivi sec. Ep. : Θεοφράστου και Φειδίου τους τής πατρίδος ἐκκοψάντων τυράννους). If however the democracy was for a time restored, another tyrant soon appeared in Eurysilaos (lines 130, 134), a man of a different family. Following Droysen's reconstruction of the history, we find that Eurysilaos must have been expelled and the democracy restored by the time of the battle of Granikos, 334 B.C. In the Demosthenic oration, De fad. Alex. p. 213 (spoken B.C. 333), Alexander is taunted with his inconsistency in maintaining tyrants in Messenia, and expelling them from Eresos.

The liberation by Alexander in 334 B.C. was shortlived; for in 333 the Persian admiral Memnon (Arrian, ii. 1, 1) sailed against Lesbos to detach the towns from Alexander. Memnon's siege of Eresos is referred to in lines 1, 2. The subject of airoµdAyos, etc. is 'Ayúviππos. This man seized the opportunity to escape to the Persian lines, and then by the help of Memnon made himself tyrant of Eresos. All this, and his other iniquities, form the subject of § 3. He was of course violently anti-Macedonian, and destroys an altar of Zeus Philippios, erected in honour of Alexander's father. After a short but cruel reign, he flies to Alexander with a lying version of his late proceedings. How he was received we are not told; but the Eresians formally declare him an outlaw (lines 1-32). The $\lambda a \bar{a} \sigma r a f$ or $\lambda y \sigma r a f$ are the mercenary troops left behind by Memnon.

The date of § 4 is just after the recall of the exiles in B.C. 324. Heroidas and Agesimenes, grandsons of the former tyrants, Hermos and Hermon, had appealed to Alexander to be allowed the benefit of this edict. Many such applicants flocked to Alexander at Babylon (cp. Diod. xvii. 113). The Eresians, by permission of Alexander, refused them return,—though the decree is here broken off. It was moved by Hagnodamos (line 33).

§ 5 belongs to the same date as § 4. It records a second trial of Agonippos' case. He too had claimed restoration under the edict of recall. But Alexander had dealt with him as with other tyrants in a similar case: rows rupávnous $\mu v \, \epsilon \kappa \, r \hat{\omega} v \, \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega v \, \epsilon s$ ràs $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota s \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$, $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma a \iota \delta \pi \omega s \, \epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda \delta \iota \epsilon \omega v \, \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega v \, \epsilon s$ the Eresians, deciding this time not only by their native laws (line 28) but $\kappa a | r a \, r a v \, \delta \iota a \gamma \rho a \phi a v \, r \hat{\omega} | \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega s' \, \lambda \lambda \epsilon \xi a v \delta \rho \omega | \kappa a | r o v s v \u03c0 \mu \iota s \, \delta \tau \delta v \, \delta$

§ 6 informs us that some of the exiles already named, after failing to obtain restoration under Alexander, applied in vain to his successor Philip Arrhidæos (B.C. 323-317) to reverse the previous decisions given above.

§ 7. The sons of Agonippos (who is now dead) being banished from Eresos, had applied to King Antigonos (B.C. 306-301), who had written a letter in their favour to the Eresians (lines 114 foll.). The Eresians had replied with a decree (line 116), which they had forwarded to Antigonos (lines 109 foll.). To their decree the king replies in this letter, in which no doubt he gives his consent to the perpetual banishment of the sons of Agonippos.

§ 8 is a final decree of the Eresian people, based on a $\pi\rho\sigma_{\beta}\sigma\sigma'_{\lambda\epsilon\nu\mu\alpha}$ (line 123), reciting and re-affirming their previous decisions and enactments against 'the tyrants;' both those members of their families who had once lived in the city (olenybeirrowr lines 149, 156), and their children who had always lived in exile. It should be remembered that restoration from exile meant not only recovery of political status, and return to native soil, but also the recovery of lands and property confiscated.

126.

Revolutions at Chios in the time of Alexander : B.C. 382 (?).

Inscription found at Chies; published by Kirchhoff, Monateb. d. Berlin. A kad. 1863, p. 265; Sauppa, Commentatio de duabus inscriptionibus Lesbiacis, p. 30.

§ 1. Decree for the restoration and decoration of the statue of Philitos the tyrannicide:

> Έδοξεν τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ. Ζωίλος Χιάδου εἶπεν Ἐπειδὴ οἱ ἐν τῆ δλιγαρχία τῆς εἰκόνος τῆς Φιλίτου, τοῦ ἀποκτείναντος τὸν τύραινον, τοῦ ἀνδριάντος ἐξεῖλου

- 5 τὸ ξίφος, νομίζοντες καθόλου τὴν στάσιν καθ αύτῶν εἶναι, ὅπως ἀν ὁ δῆμος φαίνηται πολλὴν ἐπιμέλειαν ποισύμενος καὶ μνημονεύων ἀεὶ τῶν εὖεργετῶν καὶ ζώντων καὶ τετελευτηκότων. ᾿Αγαθῆ τύχῃ. δεδόχθαι
- 10 τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμῷ. τοὺς ἐξεταστὰς το[ὺ]ς ἐι εστηκότ[a]ς ἐγδοῦναι τὸ ἔργον διαστολὴν ποιησαμένους μετὰ τοῦ ἀρχιτέκτονος καθότι συντελεσθήσεται ὡς πρότερον εἶχεν. ὑπηρετε[î]ν δὲ αὐτοῖς τὸγ κατὰ μῆνα ταμίαν. ὅπως δὲ καθαρὸς

15 [l]οῦ ἐσται ὁ ἀνδριὰς καὶ στεφανωθήσεται ταῖς νουμηνίαις καὶ ταῖς ἄλλαις ἐορταῖς ἐπιμελεῖσθαι τοὺς ἀγορακόμους.

Line 5: 'that the erection of the statue was a protest against themselves.' Line 12: διαστολήν, 'specification;' cf. Polyb. xvi. 14; xxi. 1. Line 13: ἀρχιτέκτων, 'the contractor.'

§ 2. Additional resolution, moved by the same person, that the monthly treasures of the state-funds shall supply the commissioners of the market with money for the decoration of the statue :

Έδοξεν τη βουλή και τφ δήμφ Ζωίλος Χιάδου είπεν 'Επειδή έν τφ πρότερον ψηφίσματι προσε-20 τάχθη τφ άγορανόμφ έπιμελείσθαι της είκόνος τοῦ ἀνδριάντος τοῦ Φιλίτου, ὅπως στεφανω-

The decline of Athenian influence in the Ægean, and the consequent extension of Persian dominion, favoured the growth of oligarchies and tyrannies in the islands (see Nos. 112, 125, 131). It has been remarked (see No. 131), that Philip impartially assisted any faction which promised to further Macedonian interests : and herein Alexander followed his example. The consequence was a perpetual series of revolutions throughout the cities of the Ægean, which supplied Aristotle with abundance of material for his political speculations, and explains at once the importance and the wisdom of Alexander's recall of the exiles in 334 B.C. As to Chios, we know that in 351-0 B.C. it was under an oligarchy (Dem. de Rhod. lib. p. 196). Then the government seems to have passed into the hands of a tyrant supported by Persia, like Agonippos at Eresos (No. 125). It is at this point in the succession of events that our inscription begins to afford us information. Aided probably by Philip, the $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu os$ effected a revolution, the tyrant being assassinated by Philitos. Then, in 333 B.C., Memnon with the Persian fleet effects a counter-revolution and restores the oligarchs (Arrian, ii. 1. 1), who deface the statue of the tyrannicide. Lastly, in 332 B.C., the exiled dipuos made a violent effort to return, in which they were effectually assisted by Hegelochos and Amphoteros, the Macedonian commanders. The Persian garrison was expelled, and the leaders of the oligarchy were banished to Egypt, to the island of Elephantine (Arrian, iii. 2, 3-7; Curtius, iii. 1. 3).

127.

Seuthes king of the Odrysse communicates with Athens: B.C. 331-330.

Found near the theatre at Athens. The text is from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. p. 412. Above the heading is a relief: a man approaches Athena, holding a *patera*; behind him are two horses (cp. Droysen, *Hellen.* i. 1, p. 392 a.).

The date is June 330 B.C., Alexander having defeated Darius at Arbela in October 331. Meanwhile the effect of his absence was seen in various movements in the direction of Greek liberty. (Æschin. in Cles. 164 foll. describes the hopes of the anti-Macedonian party at that time.) The chief rising was under Agis in the Peloponnese in the spring of 330 B.C., which was promptly crushed by Antipater in one decisive battle in Arkadia. Antipater was however hampered in reaching Peloponnese by the critical state of N. Greece. In Thrace Memnon the Macedonian commander had revolted, and Zopvrion had rashly invaded Scythia and met with disaster. Our inscription shows that the Odrysse shared in the movement, and were acting in concert with the rising in Greece proper. Seathes sends his son Rebulas to Athens, and perhaps to other Greek states. Although welcomed at Athens, as this inscription proves, he was not able to effect anything. The Athenians took no part in the revolt, and Demosthenes himself, while warmly sympathising with the movement, did not counsel more decided action (Diod. xvii. 62, 63; Droysen, *Hell.* i. 1. 392; Q. Curtius, x. 1. 43, seems to refer to these events, but he is out in his chronology, see Schäfer, *Demostk.* iii. 183).

128.

Administration of Lykurgos; building of the Theatre and Stadion: B.C. 330-329.

Found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 176; compare Velsen in Archäol. Zeitung (Anzeige), 1859, p. 70*.

> [Εὐδήμ]ου Πλαται[έως. 'Επί 'Αριστ οφωντος άρχοντ os έπι της Λ εωντίδος ένάτη ς πρυτανεία]ς, 🖞 'Αντίδωρος 'Αν[τ]ί[νου 5 Παιατι]εύς εγραμμάτευεν ε[..]η Θ[a]ργηλιώνος ένάτη [καὶ δε]κάτη τῆς πρυτανείας· [των πρ]οέδρων επεψήφιζεν [Δη-....] Εὐωνυμεύς έδοξεν τ[φ 10 δήμω. Υσκούρλοε Υρκοφοροε [Bourá]δης είπεν· επειδή [Εύδημ]ος πρότερόν τε επ[ηγγείλατο τ]ψ δήμφ έπιδώσει[ν εί]ς [τόν π]όλεμον εί τ[ι] δέ[οι]το [XXXX 15 δ]ραχμάς και νυν [επ]ι δέδω κεν] els την ποίησιν του σταδ[ί]ου καί τοῦ θεάτρου τοῦ Παναθη ναΐ]κοῦ χίλια ζεύγη καὶ ταῦτα πέπομφεν δπαντα π[ρδ Π]αναθη-20 ναίων καθα ύπέσ χετο, δ]εδόχθ[αι] τῷ δήμφ έπαι [νέσαι Ε] ὕδημ[ον Φι]λούργου Πλατα[ιέα] καὶ σ[τε]φανώσαι αὐτό[ν θαλ]λο(ῦ) στεφ[άνψ] εύνοίας ένεκα της είς τον 25 δήμον τόν Άθηναίων και είν[αι] αὐτὸν ἐν τοῖς εὐεργέταις το[ΰ] δήμου τοῦ Αθηναίων αὐτόν κα[ί] έκγόνους και ε[ival a]ύτώ

ένκτησιν γής και ο[ι]κ[ι]ας και
στρατεύεσθαι αὐτὸν τὰς
στρατιὰς και τὰς εἰσφορὰς
εἰσφέρειν μετὰ ᾿Αθηναίων.
ἀναγράψαι [δ] ἐ τόδε τὸ ψήφισμα
τὸν γραμματέα τῆς βουλῆς κα[ι]
στῆσαι ἐν ἀκρ[ο]πόλει· εἰ[s] δ[ε] τ[ην]
ἀναγραφην τῆς στήλη[ς δοῦ]να[ι
τὸν ταμίαν τοῦ δήμ[ου . .]
ὅραχμὰς ἐκ τῶν εἰς τὰ κ[ατὰ ψη]φίσματα ἀναλισκομέ[νων τῷ]
δήμφ.

The financial administrations of Lykurgos began in midsummer 338 B.C., and lasted for three *mevrernoides*, i.e. twelve years, until midsummer 326. His public services are recounted by Plutarch, Vit. X. Oratt., Comp. No. 145. Of his public works the chief were the repair of the great Theatre, and the building of the Panathenaic Stadion. In l. 17, the stone-cutter ought to have written ποίησιν τοῦ θεάτρου καὶ τοῦ σταδίου τοῦ Παναθ. Ll. 15 foll.: (cvyos is a cart and pair, for the hauling of marble and the carting away of earth. Els rov πόλεμον, l. 14, may surprise us: for what war were the Athenians likely to engage in? It was well known to Alexander however that Athens was only submissive to him under compulsion, and No. 127 significantly shows the feelings of the time. Two great works of Lykurgos himself were the rebuilding of the Athenian fortifications and the erection of an arsenal (orevolvier). Line 29 foll. : his burthens, if he resided at Athens, were to be those of a citizen and not those of a metæk.

129.

Dedication at Olympia by one of Alexander's quartermasters: B.C. 330-320.

A statue-base recently excavated at Olympia: the text is from Dittenberger, Archäol. Zeitung, 1879, p. 139. A fragment of a similar base is edited also ib. 1879, p. 209.

Βασιλέως 'Αλε[ξάνδρου] ημεροδρόμας καλ βηματιστής τῆς ᾿Ασίας Φιλωνίδης Ζψτου Κρης 5 Χερσοτάσιος ἀνέθηκε Διζ Όλυμπίφ.

This statue is mentioned by Pausanias, vi. 16, 4: fornkey και Φιλωνίδης Ζώτου, γένος μεν έκ Χεβρονήσου της Κρητών. 'Αλεξάτδρου δε ήμεροδρόμος τοῦ Φιλίπτου. I will transcribe the remarks of Droysen, Hellenismus, i. 2, p. 383, where, speaking of the materials anciently available for the history of Alexander. he says : 'We may say much the same (as of the log-book of Nearchos and the 'Epquepides Basileion) of what is told us concerning Alexander's Bywarioral (steppers). There could not fail to be a full staff of quartermasters in Alexander's army, who had among other duties to arrange each day's march, to direct the route of the several columns, to mark out the place of encampment, etc. The memoranda drawn up by these officers in the execution of their duties yielded an exact information concerning the distances and routes traversed, which was of the highest importance to the geographical studies of the following time. We hear of Balrow δ'Αλεξάνδρου βηματιστής έν τω έπιγραφομένω Σταθμοί της 'Αλεξάνδρου πορείας (Athen. x. 442), or again, of 'Diognetus et Bæton itinerum ejus mensores' (Plin. N. H. vi. 21, 61), or else 'Auúvras èv roîs σταθμοîs, from whose First Book is cited a note about Syria, and from the Third a note upon Nineveh. Archelaos also, $\delta \chi \omega \rho \sigma \gamma \rho \delta \phi os \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\upsilon} \pi' A \lambda \epsilon \xi$ άνδρου πατηθείσης $\gamma \eta s$ (Diog. L. ii. § 17), may have served, though Diogenes does not say so, in Alexander's army. It is worth observing that Patrokles, governor of Babylon under Seleukos I, the explorer of the Caspian sen, asserted in his work on geography that Alexander's officers drew up a summary account ($i\pi\iota\delta\rho\circ\mu\dot{a}\delta\eta\nu$) of the countries they traversed, which Alexander himself revised (axoiBagai), and put into the most expert hands to be edited (Strabo, ii. 69)."

Alexander's recall of the Exiles : consequent disorders at Kalymna : B.C. 324-323 (?).

A marble found at Iasos: the text from Böckh, C. I. G. 2671.

§ 1. The Iasians, having sent five citizens to act as dikasts at Kalymna, and learning on their return of their successful labours, and the honour they have gained for themselves and their city, acknowledge the honorary decree of Kalymna and compliment the dikasts:

μηνός έκτη] ίσταμένου, Εύμολπος Μόλ[που έπεστάτει, εγραμμά-

τευε, γνώ μη Μεσσηνέως τοῦ Δίωνος κατασταθέντος ύπο .

- .. [ο. τ]οῦ Μυγάλου? [•]Ε[ρμω]νος τοῦ Μυζστρου κατασταθέντος [ὑπδ..]
- 5. ράτου τοῦ Προμάχου, [Εὐ]μόλπου τοῦ Μόλπου, Λέοντος [τοῦ..]
 - . Ιππου κατασταθέντος ύπο 'Αριστοφάνου τοῦ Νουμηνίου, [Δαμασίππου]
 - τοῦ Στησιόχου, Ἡρακλείτου τοῦ Ἀρτεμιδώρου, Δημοφ[**ά]ετου** Γτοῦ

Π]ρυτάν[ι]ος, Δάμωνος τοῦ Ὁ[μ]αλίωνος? Ἐπειδη οἱ διαποταλ [oi]

άποσταλέντες είς Κάλυμναν κομίζουσιν ψήφισμα παρά τοῦ

10 δήμου τοῦ Καλυμνίων, ἐν ῷ γέγραπται, ὅτι ὁ δῆμος ὁ Καλυμνίων στεφανοῖ τὸν δῆμον χρυσῷ στεφάνῳ ἀρετῆς ἕνεκεν καὶ εὐνοίας τῆς εἰς αὐτὸν, στεφανοῖ δὲ καὶ τοὺς δικαστὰς τοὺς ἀποσταλέντας χρυσῷ στεφάνῳ καλοκἀγαθίας ἕνεκεν,—Κλέανδρον Διοδώρου, Λέοντα Εὐβ[ο]ύλου,

15 [K] έ[φ]αλον Δράκοντος, Θεόδωρον Νουμηίου, Λέοντα Δρακοντίδου,—καὶ περὶ τούτων οἴεται δεῖν ἐπιμέλειαν ποιή[σα]σθαι τὸν δῆμον, ὅπως ὁ τῆς πόλεως [σ]τέφανος ἀναγορευθῆ καὶ ὁ τῶν δικαστῶν ἐν τῷ [θ]εάτρφ Διονυσίοις. δεδόχθαι τῷ δήμφ τὸν μὲν ἀγωνο20 θέτην ἀναγγείλαι τον τῆς πόλεως στέφανον καὶ τον [τ]ῶν δικαστῶν, κυκλίων τῆ πρώτῃ, ἐπῃνῆσθαι δὲ καὶ [αὐτοὺς] τ[οὺς] δικαστῶν, κυκλίων τῆ πρώτῃ, ἐπῃνῆσθαι δὲ καὶ [αὐτοὺς] τ[οὺς] δικαστὰς τοὺς ἀποσταλέντας, ἐπειδὴ ἄξιοι γενό-[με]νοι τοῦ δήμου τιμὰς περιεποίησαν τῆ πόλει· ὅπως δὲ [καὶ τ]ὸ ψήφισμα τοῦτο καὶ τὸ παρὰ Καλυμνίων ἀναγραφῆ [ἐν] 25 τῷ ἐπιφανεστάτῷ τόπῷ, καθὰ καὶ Καλύμνιοι ἀξιοῦσιν, [οἱ ν]εωποῖαι ἐπιμέλειαν ποιησάσθωσαν, Γνα ἀναγραφῆ ἀμφό-[τερα] τὰ ψηφίσματα ἐν τῷ ἱερῷ τοῦ Διὸς ἢ τῆς ᾿Αρτέμιδος· [καλ]έσαι δὲ καὶ τοὺς δικ[αστ]ὰς τοὺς ἀποσταλέντας [εἰς] τὸ πρυτανεῖον.

In the heading we should expect ' $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \pi \rho \nu \tau \delta \nu \epsilon \omega \nu$,' but not all the men are $\pi \rho \nu \tau \delta \nu \epsilon \iota s$, as some have appointed deputies in their place. Lines 20 foll: $\kappa \nu \kappa \lambda \ell \omega \nu \tau \eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$, sc. $\pi a \rho \delta \delta \varphi$.

§ 2. Next follows a copy of the decree of the Kalymnian people, which the dikasts had brought back with them :

- 30 Έδ]οξε τậ βουλậ καὶ τῷ čάμῳ, γνώμα προστατâν 'Επειδή [ό č]âμος ὁ 'Ιασέων ἐν τε τοῖς πρότερον χρόνοις εὕνους ῶν καὶ [φίλ]ος διατελεῖ τῷ δάμῳ τῷ Καλυμνίων, καὶ νῦν, πρεσβεύ[ον]τος τοῦ δάμου καὶ ἀξιοῦντος δόμεν ἄνδρας πέντε, [οἴτι]νες παραγενόμενοι μάλιστα μὲν διαλυσεῦντι τοὺς [ἀντ]-
- 35 ερομένους των πολιτών, εί δε μή, κρινεύντι διὰ ψάφου, [ἀπέσ]τειλε ἄνδρας καλούς κάγαθούς, οι παραγενόμενοι [πασ]αν σπουδάν ἐποίησαν τούτου διαλυθέντος τούς [πολ]ίτας τὰ ποτ' αύτούς πολιτεύεσθαι μετ' δμονοίας· [καl à]πογραφεισών δικών είς τὸ δικαστήριον [πλε]όνων
- 40 ακοσιâν πεντήκοντα, τὰς μὲν πλείσ[τας] διέλυσαν [διαλλάξ]αντες τοὺς ἀντιδίκους, ὅπως μὴ διὰ ψάφου τῶν πρα[γμά]των κρινομένων εἰς πλέω ταραχὰν ὁ δâμος [καθισ]τᾶται· τινὰς δὲ καὶ διαίτασαν συμφερόντως [ἀμφο]τέροις τοῖς ἀντιδίκοις· δέκα δὲ δικῶν εἰσαχθεισῶν [εἰς τὸ
- 45 δι]καστήριον ἕκριναν διὰ ψάφου κατά τε τὸ διάγραμ[μα τοῦ] βασιλέως καὶ τοὺς νόμους, δντες ἀνερίθευτοι [τῷ τ]ὸ κ[ρ]îν[αι ἑ]λομέν[ῷ]· ὅπως οῦν καὶ ὁ δᾶμος ὁ Καλυμνίων [φαίνητ]αι χάριν ἀποδιδοὺς τοῖς εὖεργετοῦσι αὐτόν, καὶ πολ[λοὶ προ]αιρῶνται καὶ λέγειν καὶ πράσ[σ]ειν τὰ δέοντα ἐπὲρ τοῦ [πλήθεο-
- 50 s τ]οῦ Καλυμνίων, εἰδότες δτι ὑπαρξεῦντι αὐτοῖς [χάριτες κ]ατάξιαι ῶν κα εὐεργετήσωστι· δεδόχθαι τậ [βοιλậ κ]-

a[i] τῷ δάμφ, ἐπαινέσαι τὸν δâμον τὸν Ἰασέων [ἀρετᾶς ἕ]νεκεν καὶ εὐνοίας, ἀν ἔχων διατελεῖ περὶ τὸ πλ[ηθος τῶν Κα]λυμνίων, καὶ στεφανῶσαι χρυσῷ στεφάνφ

- 55 [ἀπὸ μνῶ]ν πέντες ἐπαινέσαι δὲ καὶ τοὺς ἀποσταλέν-[τας δικαστ]ὰς καλοκάγαθίας ἕνεκεν,—Κλέανδρον [Διοδώρου], Λέσυτα Εὐβούλου, Κέφαλον Δράκοντος, [Θεόδωρον Ν]σεμηνίου, Λέοντα Δρακοντίδου,— [καὶ στεφανῶ]σει αὐτοὺς χρυσφ στεφάνφ
- 60 [καὶ τούτψ? ἀπὸ μνῶν π]έντε· δεδόσθαι δὲ αὐτοῖς [καὶ προξενίαν καὶ π]ολατείαν, αὐτοῖς καὶ ἐγγόνοις, [καὶ ἀτέλειαν πάντων· ἐπ]ικλ[αρ]ῶσαι δὲ αὐτοὺς ἐπὶ ψυλὰν [ἅν κα βούλωνται· εἰμεν] δὲ αὐτοῖς καὶ προεδρίαν ἐν τοῖς [ἀγῶσι, καὶ πόθοδον ποτὶ τὰν ἐκ]κλησίαν μετὰ τὰ ἱ[ε]ρά.

Line 39 foll. [d.] akosiar or [rp]iakosiar. At least 2,50 suits between citizens of Kalymna had been entered, and the authorities were at a loss how to deal with them. For such a state of things pointed to something within measurable distance of civil war, and the more urgent the need for a prompt decision. the more difficult it was to try the suits: for how could any dikastery command respect for its decisions, when the whole citizen body was rent into factions? Accordingly foreign dikasts are sent for, Eevisor disactificor. This was an expedient often resorted to in such cases, as is proved by the numerous inscriptions similar in character and date to the present, which have been found in all parts of Greece and the Ægean. The document before us is an interesting specimen of a well-known Nearly all the disputes at Kalymna were successfully class. settled by compromise and arbitration (ll. 40 foll.); and the ten which were finally tried were settled without suspicion of guilty influence from the party who insisted on carrying the matter into court (arepideuros ro ro roiras exopéro, if Böckh's restoration is correct). The basis of settlement adopted by the five dikasts was the 'edict of the king' and the standing laws of Kalymna (ll. 44 foll.): we cannot be wrong in taking the diáypaqua to be Alexander's edict for the recall of the Exiles (see on No. 125).

Return of the Exiles at Mytilene by Alexander's edict : B.C. 324.

A marble slab at St. Therapia, Mytilene: Böckh, C. I. G. 2166, and addenda, pp. 1022-1023. I have revised the text with the help of four excellent paper impressions brought by Mr. Newton from Mytilene.

§ 1. With a view to concord twenty commissioners to be elected, ten from among the restored exiles, ten from the other citizens:	
	[τà] δφει- [λήματα?κτήματα
	[\$ προστι-
	[μένω τῶ κα
	[το]ύτων μη είσα-
	[γἕλλ]α ἄρχα μηδεία
	[μ?as κal τols πε-
	[τα]is ἄρχαις, αἴ κε
	[κ]αὶ κατάγρευτου
	[γεγρα]μμένων, ως κε μηδ-
	[εν άδικηθείη πρός τοις κατελθόντας και πρ]ός τοις εν τῷ πόλι
	[πρόσθε ἐόντας, ἀλλ' ὡς μάλιστα διαλε]λυμένοι πάντες πρὸς ἀλ-
	[λάλους οἰκήσειαν τὰν πόλιν ἀτρέσ]τως, καὶ ἐμμένοιεν ἐν τῷ ἀ-
15	[ναγεγραμμένα διαγραφά καὶ ἐν τ]ά διαλύσι τά ἐν τούτφ τῷ ψα-
	[φίσματι γεγραμμένα. Έλέσθαι δὲκ]αὶ τὸν δῶμον ἄνδρας εἴκοσι, δέκα
	[μεν εκ των κατελθόντων δέκα] δε εκ των εν τα πόλι πρόσθε εόντων
	[οίτινες σπουδαίως φυλάσσ]ουτον και επιμέλεσθον ώς μηδεν έσ-
	[σεται διάφορον τοῖς κα]τελθόντεσσι καὶ τοῖς ἐν τậ πόλι πρόσ- [θε ἐόντεσσι,κρίννοντες] καὶ περὶ τῶν ἀμφισβατημένων κτημάτων
20	[πρδς τοις κατελθόντας κ]αι πρός τοις έν τα πόλι έόντας και πρός
	[άλλους, ώς μάλιστα μ]ενδιαλυθήσονται· al δε μή, ξσσονται ώς δικ-
	[άσται. 'Εν δε ταΐ]ς διαλυσίεσσι ταις ό βασίλευς επέκριννε
	[αυτάι. Εν σε ται s σιανυστεσστ ταις σ μασιλευς επεκριννε [αὐτὸς ταύταις οἱ πολῖτ]αι ἐμμενέοισι πάντες καὶ οἰκήσοισι τὰμ πό.
	[αυτος ταυταίς οι πολιτ ματεμμερεοιοι παυτες κατοικήσοιοι ταμ πο. [λιν ανεγκλήτως όμο]νόευτες πρός αλλάλοις.
75	Lun methuling on process whos mumors.

[άπὸ τῶν κε γένη]ται ἀ διάλυσις ၨῶς πλεῖστα, καὶ περὶ ὅρκω-[ν τοῖς ὅρκισθήσονται οἱ] πολῖται, περὶ τούτων πάντων ὅσσα κε ὁμο-[λογήσωσι πρὸς ἀλλάλο]ις οἱ ἀγρεθέντες ἄνδρες φέροντον ἐπὶ τ-[ὸν δâμον. ὁ δὲ δâμος ἀκο]ύσαις, αί κε ἀγῆται συμφέρην, βολλευέτω

30 [περλ τοῦ κυροῦσθαι τὰ ὑ]μολογημένα πρὸς ἀλλάλοις συμφέρον-[τα. Ἐμμεναι δὲ τοῖς κα]τελθόντεσσι ἐπλ Σμιθίνα προτάνιος [κύρια ὅσσα κε ψηφι]σθῆ.

§ 3. If the decree of the people perove to be defective in its prorisions, the senate to decide :—Al δέ κέ τι ἐνδεύη τῶ ψαφίσματος [τὰν κρίσιν ἕμμεναι ἐπ]ὶ τῷ βόλλg.

§ 4. After the report of the commission and the consequent decree, sacrifices to follow :--- Κυρωθέντος δε τῶ ψαφίσ-

[ματος τούτω έν τῷ δάμφ] τον δαμον έν τῷ είκυ(?)ίστα τῶ μηνος

- 35 [ໂρά τε καὶ θυσίας θύειν τ]οῖς θεοῦσι, ἐπὶ σωτηρία καὶ εὐδαι-[μουία και τύχα τῶς πόλιος] γενέσθαι τὰν διάλυσιν τοῖς κατελ-[θόντεσσι καὶ τοῖς πρόσθε ἐ]ν τῷ πόλι ἐόιτεσσι 'τοὶ[s] δὲ ἰρῆας τ-[ῶν θεῶν πάντων καὶ] ταὶς ἰρείαις δείγην τοἰς ναύοις κ-[αὶ προς τὰν εὕχαι συνέλ]θην. τὰ δὲ ἴρα τὰ δ δῶμος [ε]ὕξατο ὅτε
- 40 [ἐπορεύουτο οἱ ἄγγελοι πρόs] τόν βασιλῆα, ἀπυδόμεναι τοῖς βασ[ιλῆος γενεθλίοις κατὰ τὸ δυνα]τόν. παρέμμεναι δὲ τῷ θυσία κ[αὶ τοῖς ἴροις καὶ τῷ εὕχῷ τοὶς ἀ]γγέλσις τοὶς πρὸς τὸν βασιλῆα [πεμφθησομένοις ἀπὸ τῶν πρόσθε ἐ]ν τῷ πόλι ἐόντων καὶ τοἱς ἀπ[ὸ τῶν κατελθόντων].

§ 5. Provision for the inscribing and publication of the present decree :—[Tò dè $\psi d\phi_{1}\sigma\mu a$] τοῦτο $d\mu a \gamma p d\psi a \nu \tau a s$ τολ[s... 45 [... ἐν στάλη λιθίνη στάσαι κ.τ.λ.]

The letters are beautifully inscribed $\sigma \tau \sigma \iota \chi \eta \delta \delta \nu$. The restorations, which I have chiefly taken from Böckh, are merely suggestions as to the probable sequence of construction and meaning. The dialect is Æolic, but not purely so. Some forms are peculiar: 1. 10 κατάγρεντον = καθαιρούντων, as ἀγρεθέντες l. 28 = alρεθέντες : δείγην l. 38 is olyeur. We may understand διαγραφά l. 15 of the Edict of Alexander (see No. 125, § 5), and διαλυσίεσσι l. 23 refers to decisions which he had given in special cases of difficulty on which he had been consulted by envoys.

CHERONEA TO DEATH OF ALEXANDER. 227

When Alexander sent Nikanor to Olympia, in July 324 B.C., to proclaim the restoration of all exiles throughout Greece, he was not influenced by a merely selfish policy. His father Philip had with much impartiality aided either or any faction in the Greek states which would help him in his policy of aggression; and therefore the first to benefit by Alexander's edict would be the banished enemies of Macedonian interests. Large numbers of them had enlisted in the Persian service : but now the victories of Alexander left them without home or object, and they were finding their way back to become a source of disquiet throughout Greece. The edict was therefore a wise exercise of despotic power, in the interests of peace. It was obeyed by all except the Ætolians (who feared the vengeance of the exiles of Eniadae), and the Athenians, who, having occupied Samos with Eleruchs in 365-352 B.C., were loth to lose their possessions (see No. 90). How much excitement and disturbance was caused by the return of the exiles is shown by the crowd of envoys which hastened to Alexander at Babylon $\xi \delta \pi \delta \sigma \eta s \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \delta \nu \tau \eta s$ oiroupéons (Diod. xvii. 113; Arrian, vii. 19). For the troubles at Kalymna and Eresos see Nos. 130 and 125. The present fragment shows us how matters gradually settled down at Mytilene : see Droysen, Hellen. i. 2. p. 291.

132.

Honours to Gorgos and Minnion of Iasos: B.C. 323 (?).

Found at Chios, whither it must have been taken from Iasos as ballast. The text is from Böckh, C. I. G. 2672.

[³Επειδή Γ]όργος καὶ Μιννίων Θεοδύτ-[ου υί]οι κ[αλ]οι κάγαθοι γεγένηνται [πε]ρι τ[ο] κοινον τής πόλεως, [κα]ι πολλούς τών πολιτών ιδία εῦ [π]-5 εποιήκασιν, και ύπερ τής μικρής θαλάσσης διαλεχθέντες ³Αλεξάνδρφ βασιλεί ἐκομίσαντο [κ]αι ἀπέδοσαν τῷ δήμφ³ δεδόσθαι αὐτοῖς και ἐγγόνοις ἀτέλειαν και 10 προεδρίην εἰς τὸν ἀεὶ χρύνοι³ ἀναγράψαι δὲ τὸ ψήφισμα ἐν τῆ

παραστάδι τη πρό του άρχείου.

Concerning Gorgos and his brother see No. 135. The 'little sea' is the sinus Losius, a wild and gloomy inlet, which was probably valuable for its fishing: see Athen. p. 105 e: and Strabo, p. 658: 'Lards ini $nhaims keirai <math>\pi po\sigma\kappa \epsilon_{i\mu}\epsilon_{i\mu}$ if ini i

PART VI.

FROM THE DEATH OF ALEXANDER TO THE GAULISH INVASION.

в.с. 323-278.

133.

List of Allies in the Lamian War: B.C. 323-322.

Discovered at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 184.

As soon as Alexander's death was known for certain, Athens stood forth as the champion of Greek freedom, and the result was the Lamian war. It is hard to see what this inscription can be, except a list of the states which joined the Athenian league against Macedon, the numerals appended to the names indicating the number of votes each state was to have in the federal synod. No. 147 is in honour of Timosthenes the delegate See Diod. xviii. 11: Αλτωλοί μέν ούν απαντες of Karystos. πρώτοι συνέθεντο την συμμαχίαν, καθάπερ προείρηται μετά δε τούτους Θετταλοί μέν πάντες, πλην Πελινναίων Οίταιοι δέ, πλην Ηρακλεωτών 'Αχαιοί δε Φθιώται, πλην Θηβαίων Μηλιείς δέ, πλην Λαμίεων έξης δε Δωριείς απαντες, και Λοκροί, καί Φωκείς έτι και δε Αινιάνες, 'Αλυζαίοι, και Δόλοπες πρός δε τούτοις 'Αθάμαντες και Λευκάδιοι, και Μολοττών οί περί 'Αρυπταΐου... τωυ τ' 'Ιλλυριών καί Θρακών δλίγοι συνέθεντο συμμαχίαν, διὰ τὸ πρὸς τοὺς Μακεδόνας μῦσος. Εξῆς δὲ συνελάβοντο τοῦ πολέμου Καρύστιοι μὲν ἐξ Εὐβοίας, τελευταῖοι δὲ τῶν Πελοποννησίων ᾿Αργεῖοι, Σικυῶνιοι, ἘΗλεῖοι, Μεσσήνιοι, καὶ οἱ τὴν ἀκτὴν κατοικοῦντες. Comp. Droysen, Hellon. ii. 1. p. 56, who suggests [Φλι]ασίων from Pansan. i. 25. 4.

134.

Lamian War; operations of the Athenian fleet under Euction: B.C. 323-322.

Found at Athens. The text from E. Curtius de portubus Athenarum, p. 46; Rangabé, Ant. Hell. 441; Köhler, C. I. A. II. 270.

[**θ**]εο[ί].

Ἐπὶ Νικοκλέους ἄρχοντος ἐπὶ τῆς Alavτίδος δωδεκάτης προυτανείας εἶ Νίκων Θεοδώρου Πλωθεὺς [ἐ]γραμμάτευεν· Σκιροφορι**ώνος** δεκάτει ὑστέρα, μ-

- 5 [ι] ậ καὶ εἰκοστεῖ τῆς πρυτωνείας· ἐκκλησία· τῶν προέδρων ἐπεψήφιζεν Ἐπικράτης Διοκλέους ᾿Αχαρνεὺς καὶ συνπρόεδροι. ἔδαξεν τεῖ βουλεῖ καὶ τῷ δήμῷ· Εὐφίλητος ᾿Αριστείδου Κηφισιεὺς εἶπεν· [ἐπ]ειδὴ Νίκανδρος ᾿Αντιφάπους Ἰλιεὺς καὶ Πολύζ[η-
- 10 λ]ος 'Απολλοφάνους 'Εφέσιος διατετελέκασιν έν [π]αντί τῷ καιρῷ εῦνους ὅντες τῷ δήμφ τῷ 'Αθηναίων και κατοικοῦντες 'Αθήνησιν εἰς πολλά τῶ[ν συ]μφερόντων τῷ δήμῳ χρήσιμοι γεγόνασιν (§ 1) εἴς τ-[ε] τὴν οἰκοδομίαν τῶν νεωσοίκων και τῆς σκευοθή-
- 15 [κ]ης (§ 2) εἰσφέροντες τὰς εἰσφορὰς καθ ἕκαστον τὸν ἐ-[ν]ιαυτὸν τὰς εἰς τὰ δέκα τάλαντα καλῶς καὶ προθύ-[μ]ως ἀπὸ Θεμιστοκλέους ὅρχοντος μέχρι Κηφισοδ-[ώρ]ου, (§ 3) καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦ Ἐλληνικοῦ πολέμου εἰς τὰς ναῦς [τὰς] μετ' Εὐε[τί]ωνος ἐκπλευσάσας εἰς τε τὴν πρώτη-
- 20 [ν ἐπίβ]ασιν καλώς καὶ φελοτίμως συνεπεμελήθησ-[αν ὅπως] ἀν ἐκπλεύσωσιν, καὶ πάλιν [ἀ]πὸ τῆς ναυμαχ-[ίας κατα]πλευσασών τών κών τῆς της ἐξα. παρανγείλασιν . . .

Two $\mu\ell \tau \sigma \mu \kappa \sigma \sigma$, Nikander of Ilium Novum and Polyzelos of Ephesos, are honoured 'in the tenth prytany of Nikokles'= June 301, for their loyalty and munificence to Athens. (§ 1)

They had paid their tax duly for the building of the new docks and the marine arsenal, $(\S 2)$ contributing towards ' the ten talent fund' from Themistokles' year to Kephisodoros (B.C. 347-323); and also (§ 3) in the Lamian war (called τοῦ Ἑλληνικοῦ πολέμου, as in Plut. Phocion. 23) they had assisted in the equipment of the fleet which under Euction met with two defeats (Diod. xviii. 15; Droysen, Hellen. ii. 1. p. 69). The old docks had been destroyed by Lysander (Lysias, contra Agorat. xiii. 46; contra Nicom. xxx. Their reconstruction began at the time of Demosthenes' 22). first entrance into public life, and was finished under the administration of Lykurgos. The new arsenal for naval stores $(\sigma \kappa \epsilon \upsilon o \theta \eta \kappa \eta, \delta \pi \lambda o \theta \eta \kappa \eta)$, built by the architect Philon for the same great administrator, was one of the most famous buildings of antiquity (Strabo, p. 395; Cic. de oratore i. 14): it was destroyed by Sulla (Plut. Sulla 14). One may doubt whether the 'ten talent fund' was a separate reserve fund like tà déra tádavta in Nos. 81, § 5 and 87; or whether it was a yearly sum of ten talents raised during those twenty-four years towards the erection of the arsenal and docks.

135.

Samian Decree in honour of Gorgos and Minnion for their assistance both before and after the restoration in B.C. 322.

Found at Samos: the text is from C. Curtius, Inschriften u. Studien zur Gesch. v. Samos, p. 22.

> Έδοξε[ν τ] η βο[υ]λη και τῷ δήμφ. Ἐπ[ζ]κουρος Δράκοντος
> εἰπεν. Ἐπειδη Γόργος και Μιννέων (sic) Θεοδότου Ἰασεῖς καλοι και ἀγαθοι γεγένηνται περι Σαμίους ἐν τῆ φυγη (1) και
> διατρίβων Γόργος παρα ᾿Αλεξάνδρφ πολ[λη]ν ἐόνοιαν και [προ]θυμίαν παρείχετο περι τον δημο[ν τ]ον Σαμίων σπο[υ]δάζων ὅπως ὅτ[ι τ]άχος
> Σάμιοι τημ πατρίδα κο[μ]ίσαιντο, (2) και ἀναγγείλαντος ᾿Αλεξάνδρου ἐν τῷ στρατοπέδφ, ὅτι Σάμον ἀποδιδοῖ

Σαμίοις, και δια τάστα αστόν των Έ-15 λλήνων στεφανωσάντων έστεφάνωσε και Γόργος, (3)και επέστε[ι]λε είς 'Ιασόν πρός τους άρχονταs. Sous of Katolkouviers $\Sigma a \mu l \omega [\nu]$ έν 'Ιασώ όταν είς την πατρίδα κατί-20 ωσιν, άτελη τα έαστων εξάξονται καί πορεία αοτοίς δοθήσεται, τό ανάλωμα της πόλεως της 'Ιασέων παρεχούσης, (4) και ττη επαγγέλλονται Γόργος καὶ Μινίως (sic) ποιήσειν δτι 25 αν δύνωνται άγαθον τον δημον τον Σαμίων, δεδόκχθαι τῶ δήμω δεδόσθαι αοτοίς πολιτείαν έπ' ίση καί δμοίη και αστοίς και εκγόνοις, καί επικληρώσαι δοτούς επί φυλήν κ-30 αι γιλιαστύν και έκατοστύν και γέvos καί αναγράψαι είς το γένος 8 αν λάχωσιν, καθότι και τους άλλους Σαμίους, τής δε αναγραφής επιμε-[λ]ηθήναι τούς πέντε τούς ήρη-35 μένους, τὸ δὲ ψήφισμα τόδε ἀνα-

γράψαι είς στήλην λιθίκην καί στήσαι [ε]ν τῷ ἱερῷ τῆς "Hpas, τον δὲ [τα]μίαν ὑπηρετῆσαι.

These two Iasians are rewarded for various services rendered to the Samians: cp. No. 132. Gorgos was in the service of Alexander as $\delta\pi\lambda\circ\phi\lambda\alpha\xi$ (Athen. p. 538 d), and was the author of a work on mines (Strabo, p. 700). He had (1) used his influence with Alexander to procure the restoration of the Samians; (2) he was at Babylon when so many envoys flocked thither, in the spring of 323 B.C., to thank Alexander for his edict of recall, and in the name of the Samians he too had presented a crown to the king; (3) he had bespoken the aid of the people of Iasos for the Samians on their return; (4) both Gorgos and his brother promise to continue their friendship for the future. See Droysen, Hellen. 2. p. 361, and reff. $\gamma\ell vos$, $\ell\kappa areorvs$, $\chi\lambda uaorvs$ are groups of 10, 100, 1000 families respectively, and are subdivisions of the $\phi u\lambda \eta$.

Nikokreon, son of Pnytagoras, king of Kypros: about 320 B.C.

A statue base from Argos: published by Ross, Archäol. Aufsätze, ii. p. 662; Le Bas-Waddington, Voyage Arch. ii. 122.

[M]ατ[ρόπο]λίς μοι χθών Πέλοπος το Πελασγικον "Αργος, Πνυταγόρας δε πατηρ Αίακοῦ ἐκ γενεᾶς. Εἰμὶ δε Νικοκρέων Θρέψεν δε με γῶ περίκλυστος Κύπρος θειοτάτων ἐκ προγόνων βασιλη.

5 Στάσαν δ' 'Αργείοί με χάριν χαλκοΐο τίοντες "Ηρα δν είς έροτιν πέμπο[ν άε]θλα νέοις.

Among the various 'kings' who ruled at Salamis in Kypros, paying tribute to the Persian king, yet with independent authority, and claiming descent from the heroes Teukros, Telamon, and Æakos, the best known to us is Evagoras, the friend of Konon, see No. 72: and compare Grote, ch. 76. It was his son and successor Nikokles for whom Isokrates wrote the panegyric upon Evagoras (see on No. 87). Nikokles appears to have been succeeded by Pnytagoras, who may have been his son, and who was certainly in power in 350 B.C. (Diod. xvi. 46). His submission to Persia secured him in his princedom until Alexander's expedition, when all Kypros passed into Alexander's hands, and Pnytagoras assisted at the siege of Tyre. Pnytagoras seems to have died away from home, and his son Nikokreon succeeded him before 331 B.C. (Plut. Alex. 29). Later on Nikokreon cast in his lot with Ptolemy, and in return for his services was rewarded with the chief command of the island (Diod. xix, 59, 62, 79; and Droysen, Hellen, passim). To the Egyptian kings Kypros was especially valuable as supplying precisely what Egypt lacked, viz. wood and metal in abundance for shipbuilding. Copper takes its name from the island : μέταλλά τε χαλκοῦ ἐστιν **δφθ**ora τὰ ἐν Ταμασσφ (Strabo, p. 684). The Heræa or Hekatombæa was a famous festival at Argos, at which the prize for the chief athlete was a shield : so that this victory was commonly styled the if "Apyous doulda (vikav); cp. Pindar, Olymp. vii. 83: ότ' έν Άργει χαλκός έγνω μιν.

Athenian Captives liberated by Eurylochos of Kydonia in Krete: B.C. 319 (?).

Found at Athens, but now lost again. Böckh, C. I. G. of; Köhler, C. I. A. ii, 193. [.. ων]ος..δεκ[άτη...της] πρυτανεία[ς' των προέδρων επεψή] $φ_i[\zeta] \epsilon_v Π άμφιλος Φ_i[\lambda ... κα] συμ]$ πρόεδροι Δημάδης Δη μέου Παιαν.] 5 είπεν επειδή πρότερό ντε οί πρόγ]ονοι οί Εὐρυλόχου Κι . . . [καί] 'Ακέσανδρος φίλοι δντε[ς καὶ εῦνοι] τη πόλει πολλά και μεγάλ[α ευεργε]τησαν τόν δήμον τόν 'Αθ[ηναίων] 10 καί νυν Ευρύλοχος πατ[ρικήν ξ]χων εύνοιαν πρός τόν δή[μου τόν] 'Αθηναίων διατελεῖ χρή[σιμος ῶν] καί κοινεί και ίδία τοις [αφικνου]μένοις 'Αθηναίων είς Κυδ[ωνίαν] 15 καὶ πολλοὺς 'Aθηraίων λ [υτρωσά]μενος έκ Κρήτης απέστ[ειλε τοις ε]αυτοῦ ἀναλ [ώ]μασιν καὶ [αἴτιος έ]γένετο τοῦ σωθήναι έ[κ τῶν πολεμίω] ν είς την ίδίαν, συν . .

Demades was put to death early in 318 B.C., and in the form of heading $r\omega n\rho oldow lnew finewide (ev the addition sal orun poldo or$ is not known to occur before 319 B.C. This would fix the date.These Athenian captives—sold into Krete and redeemed byEurylochos—were probably fighting as mercenaries on thePersian side at Granikos. The fragment of a very similar decreeoccurs in C. I. A. ii. 194, where another benefactor is praised for $his kindness in Asia to <math>[ro\hat{s} \sigma r \rho a r c v] o \mu (i o v)$, and for redeeming and restoring Athenians captured at what is called $[\mu d\chi \eta]s r\hat{\eta}s \ell v E \lambda \eta [\sigma \pi \delta v r \phi]$, i. e. Granikos: see Arrian, i. 29. A good many Athenians were taken captive, and envoys from Athens reached Alexander at Gordion, sent to negotiate their release: but Alexander retained them until after the battle of Issos, when a second embassy was more successful with him at Tyre (Arrian, iii. 6).

Decree of the city of Nesos in honour of a benefactor (the war between Perdikkas and Antipater): B.C. 318 (?).

Found at Nesos: published partly by Böckh, C. I. G. 2166 ε: completely by Earinos, in the Mowcelov sai Βιβλιοθήση τῆς εὐαγγελικῆς σχολῆς, Smyrna 1876; Droysen, Hellenissus, ii. 2. p. 374-

§ 1. The beginning is lost: [βασιλεὒs] 'Αλέξανδρο[s
§ 2: δτε] 'Αλέξανδρος διάλ-
[λαξεν τον έξ ανθρώπ]ων βίον, Φίλιππος δε
5 [δ Φιλίππω καὶ] ᾿Αλέξανδρος δ ᾿Αλεξάνδρω τ-
[αμ βασιλεία]ν παρέλαβον, Θέρσιππος έων
[τοῖς βασι]λήεσσι φίλος και τοῖς στροτ-
[άγοισι καί] τοῖς ἄλλοισι Μακεδόνεσσιν
[πολλών άγα]θών αίτιος γέγονε τậ πόλι § 3 : 'Α-
10 [υτιπάτρω γ]αρ επιτάξαυτος χρήματα els
[τόμ πόλεμ]ου είσφέρην πάντων των άλλω-
[ν είσφερ]όντων Θέρσιππος παραγενόμενο-
[s πρός τοί]ς βασίληας και Αντίπατρον έκ-
ούφισε τὰ μ πόλιν. § 4: ἔπραξε δὲ καὶ πρὸς Κλε-
15 [ιτον περί] τας els Κύπρον στρατείας και
[οὐκ δλίγα]s δaπáras εἰς μικρον συνάγαγ-
[ε χρόνον] § 5 : δ δε καλ περλ ταν σιτοδείαν ανή-
[λωσεν ώς] και παρ ταν σαδραπαν είσαγώγα-
[ν συνκα]τεσκεύασσε §6: έδωκε δε και τα πόλ-
20 [ι χρήματ]α είς σωτηρίαν και τόκοις ελάσ-
[σους ήτ]ησε των κατεστακόντων ε[β]αθόη
[δε χρημ]άτεσσι και τοις πολίταισι είσ-
[ενέγκω]ν· § 7 : καὶ Πολυσπέρχοντος εἰς τὰν Ἀσ[ία-
[ν πέμψαν]τος διώκησε φίλον αὐτὸν τậ π-
25 [όλι ὑπάρ]χην. § 8: παρεσκεύασσε δε καὶ [Αβ]ῥαβα-
$[con κal]$ τοις άλλοις τ $[o]$ ς $c\pi[l]$ τινων τετα-
[γμένο]ις ύπο των βασιλήων φίλοις τη π-
[όλι· καί] τάλλα πράσσει μετ' εύνοίας πρό ς
[τὸν δâ]μον πάντα· §9: δεδόσθαι αὐτῷ ἀτέλε[ια- 30 ν πάντω]ν τὸμ πάντα χρόνον καὶ αὐτῷ κα[ὶ ἐ-
κγόν]οισι, στάσαι δὲ αὐτῷ καὶ εἰκόνα χ[αλ-

•

κην], δεδόσθαι δε και σίτησιν εμ προταν[είψ, κα]ί ότα κε ά πόλις Ιροποήται μερίς δ[ιδό]σθω Θερσίππω και των εκγόνων αι τώς[γ-35 υ]τάτφ, καλήσθαι δε καί είς προεδρίαν. στε φανώτω δε αύτον δ χοροστάτας αι δ εν-[ων] εν τώ αγώνι και δγκαρυσσετω ανδραγ[αθί]as ξνεκα καὶ εὐνοίας τὰς πρός τὸν δά-[μον] § 10 : Γνα γινώσκωσι πάντες, δτι δ δαμος [δ 40 Να]σιωτάν τοις άγαθο[ις άνδρας και] ενε[ργέτ]αις τ[ιμậ], καὶ σωθέντος αὐτῶ ἐστεφ[ανη]φόρησεν [άμ]έραις τρ[î]ς κα[ί] εὐαγγέλι[α] καί σωτήρια έ[θ]υσε καί παν[άγυρ]ιν συνάγαγε δαμοτέ[λ]ην και νυν τ[ιμậ] δικάως ά-45 ναγράψαι δε τοις ταμίαις τοις μετ' 'Ηρακλείτω το ψάφισμα εls στάλλαν λιθίνα[v] τῶ ἐκ Θέρμας λίθω καὶ στασαι ὅππα κε Θε[ρ]σίππω συναρέσκη μέχρι Πορνοπίας· έξέ[σ]τω δε Θερσί [ππ]ω και άλλα δππα κε θέλη τών 50 [ί]ρων στασα[ι] τὸ ψάφισμα, και κέ τι θέλη π[ρ]οσγράφην έμμεναι αὐτῷ τῶγ κεν [ε]ὐεργε-

τή ταμ πόλιν.

§ 11. On the narrow side of the slab is a supplementary decree, broken at the beginning:.....

> daµos 'E dátelo[s e $i\pi\epsilon$] $\kappa v \rho l q \epsilon \kappa [\lambda$ ησί]α· ταίς δω[ρ-5 calls $\pi a (\sigma a)$ ταί]ς δεδομέ[να]ις Θερσίππ[ψ ύ]πὸ τῶ [δ]άμω κ[αi] εκγόνοισι δ-10 [Laple] vyv els T-[δυ π]άντα χρόν-[ον], καθάπερ δ δ-[άμο]ς έδωκε, κα-[i dt] Eµµevai [K-15 αττ αυτά μήτε [άρ]χοντι προθ-

[έμ]εναι μήτε δ-[ή]τορι είπαι μ-[ή]τε επιμηνί[ο-20 ils Evi Kal at o-[έ] κέ τις ή βήτω-[ρ] είπη η άρχων [είσ]αγάγη ή [έπι]μήν[ι]os είσ-25 [ενέγκ]η, ἄκυρά τ-[ε έσ]τω και όφε-[ιλ]έτω ξκαστο-[ς στατή]ρας τρ-**[ιακ]οσίοις ip-**30 [015 T]@ 'Ao [x] Aam-[ίφ κα]ὶ ἐπάρατ-[os ἕ]στω καὶ ẵτι-[µos] kal yévos [ε]ls τὸμ πάντα 35 [Xp] ovov Kal & [vεχ]έσ[θ]ω? τῷ νόμ-[φ π]ερί τῶ καλλ-[ύοντ]os τόν δâ-[μον· τὰ δ'] έψαφι-40 [σμένα προσ]ανα-[yp]átai tois è-[Ee]TAOTALS El-[s τ]als στάλλα-[is ταίς ΰ]περ [τ-45 [ω Θερσίππω· τδ] δ'] ἀνάλωμα [δότω δ ταμίας ?].

Nesos or Nasos was the largest of the group of islands between Lesbos and the coast called 'Εκατόννησοι, and is thus described by Strabo, p. 618: Κατὰ δὲ τὸν πορθμὸν τὸν μεταξῦ τῆς 'Ασίας καὶ τῆς Λέσβου νησία ἐστὶ περὶ εἶκοσιν, ὡς δὲ Τιμοσθένης φησί, τετταράκουτα· καλοῦνται δ' Ἐκατόννησοι πλησίον δὲ τούτων ἐστὶ καὶ ἡ Πορδοσελήνη, πόλιν ὑμώνυμον ἔχουσα ἐν αὐτῆ· καὶ πρὸ τῆς πόλεως ταύτης ἅλλη Νῆσος (sic scrib. pro rῆσος πόλις) μείζων

αύτης, και πόλις δμώνυμος έρημος, ίερδη άγιοη έχουσα Άπόλλωνος. Nesos was the largest of the group, and is named in No. 47, 0, among the tributaries of Athens. Thersippos is honoured by the Nasiotæ for using his influence with the Macedonian court and princes on behalf of the city: and we may therefore identify him with the trusted envoy who conveyed Alexander's reply to Dareios after the battle of Issos (Arrian, ii. 14, 4). The decree was passed before B.C. 317, when Philip Arrhideos was murdered by Olympias, for the two princes Arrhidseos and Alexander IV are spoken of all through as of Bastanes. § I. The recital of Thersippos' services began with Alexander's lifetime, and went on in § 2 to speak of the troublous times that followed. § 3 deals with the time when Antipater and Krateros hastened to Asia and the Hellespont to oppose Perdikkas in 321 B.C.; Antipater claimed to be, and is here spoken of as, the true guardian of the royal princes, as against the treacherous Perdikkas. § 4. Kleitos the Macedonian admiral, whom Perdikkas had left with the fleet in charge of the Ægean in 321 B.C. (Justin, xiii. 6, 16), took the side of Antipater later in the year, and together with Antigonos vanquished Hagnon the Teian, Perdikkas' admiral, near Kypros: see Droysen, Hell. ii. 1. p. 135; and No. 166. § 5. This famine is not otherwise known; the supplies were obtained from 'the satraps' in the neighbourhood who had stores in reserve. § 6. έβαθόη is impf. of βαθόημι, Æol, for βοηθέω: so No. 125, ll. 27, 78. § 7. On the death of Antipater, Polysperchon was left as guardian of the princes, and sent an announcement of his authority to Asia. § 8. Arrhabseos is the 'Arrhidseos' of Diodoros and the historians, who was entrusted with the conveyance of Alexander's corpse to Egypt. The name is probably corrupted in the MSS. (Droysen, ilid. ii. 1. p. 13). In the partition of Triparadisos he was made satrap of Hellespontine Phrygia. § 9. dynapurotre. Eol. for dramp., like orpordyour in § 2. § 10. What narrow escape is meant by outleros we do not know. 'Marble from Therma' means Thessalian marble: Therma was merged, soon after this, in Kassander's new city Thessalonika. Pornopia is another name for the site of the temple of Apollo Smintheus in the island: the stelè is to be erected anywhere between the town and the temple (Strabo, pp. 613, 618).

238

Honours to Demetrics Phalereus: B.C. 317-307.

Found at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 584.

[@]eoL

['Αριστοκ]ράτης 'Αριστοφάνου είπεν' επειδ-[η Δημήτρ]ιος Φανοστράτου Φαληρεύς ανήρ [εστιν αγ]αθός περί τον δήμον τον 'Αθηναίω-5 [ν και τον δ]ήμον τον Αιξωνέων και πολέμ[ου γενομένου] εν τεί χώρα και χ[ω]ρισθέντ[ων τοῦ Πειραιῶς] και τοῦ ἄστεως δια τον [πόλεμον εἰς μερίδ]ας διέλυσε 'Αθηναίου[ς και πάλιν ἐπανήγαγε]ν εἰς τὸ αὐτὸ και σ[ῖτον εἰσ-10 ήγαγεν ? τοῖς 'Α]θηναίοις και τεῖ χώ[ρα, και επιστάτης αἰρ]εθείς ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμ[ου. ἀναθήματα... ἀν]έθ[η]κεν κάλ[λιστα....

The decree is from the deme Æxoneis, and therefore has less political significance than a decree of the state. The struggle between Polysperchon and Kassander which followed upon the death of the regent Antipater, B.C. 320, may be read in Grote's last volume (ch. 96) or Droysen's Hell. ii. 1. A garrison had held Munychia ever since the end of the Lamian war, which resulted in the exile of the democratic partisans. The garrison was now commanded by Nikanor in the interests of Kassander, and Polysperchon finding that at Athens and elsewhere the prevailing oligarchies were on the side of Kassander, proclaimed restoration to all exiles and return to democratic institutions. In 319 he sent his son Alexander to Athens to assist the restored democrats by force of arms; the result was the condemnation and death of Phokion. Demetrios Phalereus his colleague had meantime left the city, and probably took refuge with Nikanor, who in the meantime (not without the connivance of Phokion) Thus the upper city was all had seized the Pirzeus also. democratic, and the Pirmeus the rallying place of the oligarchy. To this bisection of the state the inscription refers, χωρισθέντων, etc. In 318 Kassander joined Nikanor in Pirzeeus, and the result was an oligarchical reaction, and a compromise between the two parties described by Diod. xviii. 74; we learn from the inscription that Demetrice Phalereus was a chief agent in persuading the democrats to a reconciliation. But in truth they were glad on any terms to be reunited to the Pirzeeus, without which Athens was not itself. And Athens was fed from imported corn (l. 9, σîrov εἰσήγαγε). The word used by Diodoros for Demetrice' office (*ibid.*) is ἐπιμελητής: Kassander stipulated καταστήσαι δ' ἐπιμελητήν τῆς πόλεως ἕνα ἀνδρα ᾿Αθηναῖον δν ἀν δόξη Κασσάνδρψ[•] και ἡρέθη Δημήτριος ὁ Φαληρεύς. Köhler restores either ἐπιστάτης (Strabo, p. 398) or προστάτης (Polyb. xii. 13, 9), either of which will just fill the lacuna : see Droysen, *l.c.* p. 234.

140.

Honours at Athens to Asander, nephew of Asander the satrap of Karia: B.C. 314-313.

From the Akropolis; now in the British Museum. See Greek Inscriptions in the B. M. xiv; Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 234; Droysen, Hellenismus, ii. 2. p. 25.

> 'Επί Νικοδώρου άρχοντος, έπι τής Κεκροπίδος έκτηs πρυτανείαs Γαμηλιώνοs ένδεκάτη, έκτη και είκο-5 στή τής πρυτανείας εκκλησία τῶμ προέδρων ἐπεψήφιζεν 'Αριστοκράτης 'Αριστοδήμου Οίν. και συμπρόεδροι, Θρασυκλής Ναυσικράτο[υ]-10 5 Θριάσι. είπεν Δεδόχθαι τφ δήμφ "Ασανδρον 'Αγαθώνος Μακεδόνα έπαινέσαι, ότι έστις άγηρ άγαθός ίδία τε περί 'Αθηναίους τούς άφ-15 IKROUHÉROUS els The Xúpaν την έαυτου και κοινεί περλ τόν δήμον τόν Αθηναίων, καί πραγειόμενος είς τημ

πόλιν τάς τε ναῦς τὰς ἰδία-20 ς καὶ τοὺς στρατιώτας παρ-[έσχετο 'Α]θ[ηναίοις] εἰς τὰς χ-[ρείας ?]...

Agathon, father of this younger Asander, was brother of Asander satrap of Karia, and of Parmenion. In the autumn of 315 B.C., Kassander being master of Athens and most of Greece, and leagued with Ptolemy and Seleukos against Antigonos, —twenty Athenian ships under Aristoteles sailed into the Ægean and joining the fleet of Seleukos wrested Lemnos from Antigonos. Thereupon Dioskorides, the admiral of Antigonos, sailed to Lemmos and expelled Aristoteles, destroying most of his ships. It was at this juncture that young Asander was despatched by Seleukos to Athens, where the victorious fleet of Dioskorides might arrive at any moment in the Piræeus (Diod. xix. 68).

141.

Struggle between Kassander and Demetrics for the supremacy in Greece: B.C. 313-307.

Fragment found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 266; comp. Hermes, v. 346.

This Πολεμαΐος is the Πτολεμαΐος of Diod. xix. 77, 78 (cp. xx. 19, 27), nephew of Antigonos, sent by him in 313 B.C., τοὺς ἘΕλληνας ἐλευθερώσων. He succeeded in detaching Euboca, Bœotia, and Phokis from Kassander: Chalkis had been Kassander's headquarters. Athens was 'liberated' by Demetrics in person B. C. 307. The invasion of Attika by Kassander was in 303 B. C.

142.

Carthaginian Envoys at Athens: B.C. 810 (?).

The text from Köhler, C. J. A. ii. 235.

The beginning is lost: w καλέσαι [δὲ καὶ τοὺς πρ]έσβεις εἰς τὸ πρυταν-[εῖον ἐπὶ] ξένια εἰς αδριον Σύναλον [καὶ Β]οδμοάκαν. ἀναγράψαι ἐὲ τόδε τ-5 [δ] ψήφισμα τὸν γραμματέα τὰς κατὰ πρυτανείαν ἐν στήλη λιθίνη καὶ στῆσαι ἐν ἀκροπόλει, εἰς δὲ τὴν ἀναγραφὴν τῆς στήλης δοῦναι τὸν ταμίαν τοῦ δήμου ^[Δ] δραχμὰς ἐκ τῶν εἰς τὰ κ-10 ατὰ ψηφίσματ' ἀναλισκομένων τῷ δήμω.

These names are well known. Synalos was a Carthaginian commander in charge of Minoa in Sicily in 357 B.C. (Plut. Dion. 25). Bodmoakas (= Bod-melkarth) is the BoulAras, Boaularas, Bouularas of Greek historians, or Bomilkar, who was executed in 308 B.C. for attempting to make himself tyrant of The reader is referred to the 97th chapter of Carthage. Grote's history for the story of Agathokles' career, and his expedition against Carthage from Aug. B.C. 310 to winter of 307. It is quite possible that Carthaginian envoys should have been sont to Athens in 310,-or even earlier, while this struggle with Agathokles was still going on in Sicily,-to secure Athenian The Cassandrian oligarchy which then ruled Athens help. receives the envoys with courtesy. It may have been to counterwork this movement that Agathokles secured in 308 the alliance of Ophellas of Kyrene, who, through his wife Euthydike, enlisted the Athenians on the other side: xal $\pi \rho \partial s \mu \partial r A \theta \eta ralous \pi \epsilon \rho \partial s$ συμμαχίας διεπέμπετο, γεγαμηκώς Εύθεδίκην την Μιλτιάδου θυγατέρα καὶ πολλοὶ τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων προθύμως ὑπήκουσαν εἰς την στρατείαν (Diod. xx. 40).

Honours to Oxythemis at Athens, the courtier of Demetrics: B.C. 307-305.

Found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 243. The beginning is broken: ovs $\pi o\lambda \epsilon$ -

- [μίους· ἀγαθῆ τύχη δεδ]όχθαι τῷ δήμῷ ἐπαινέσαι μὲν 'Ο]ξύθεμιν 'Ιπ-[ποστράτου]ν ἀρετῆς ἕνε-
- 5 [κεν τῆς πρός τοὺς βα]σιλεῖς καὶ τὸ-[ν δῆμου τὸν ᾿Αθηναί]ων καὶ στεφανῶ-[σαι αὐτὸν χρυσῷ] στεφάνῷ κατὰ τ-[ὸν νόμον. ὅπως δ'] ἂτ ἐφάμιλλος ¹ ἢ πâ-[σι συναγωνίζ]εσθαι ἂπροφασίστω-
- 10 [s τῆ τε τῶν] βασιλέων προαιρέσει [καὶ τῆ τῶ]ν Ἐλλήνων ἐλευθερία τ-[ιμωμένων] ὑπὸ τοῦ ὅήμου κατ' ἀξίαν [τῶν ἀπο]δεικνυμένων τὴν εἰs τὰ πρ-[άγματ]α εὕνοιαν, εἶναι Ἐζύθεμιν Ἱ-
- 15 [ππο]στράτου 'Αθηναίον αὐτὸν καὶ ἐ-[κγ]όνους καὶ εἶναι ἀὐτῷ γράψασθ-[α]ι φυλῆς καὶ δήμου καὶ φρατρίας ῆs ἂν βούληται κατὰ τῶς νόμον, τοὺς δὲ πρυτάνεις τοὺς τὴν εἰσιοῦσαν
- 20 πρυτανείαν πρυτανεύοντας δοῦναι περὶ αὐτοῦ τὴν ψῆφου τῷ δήμῷ εἰς τὴν πρώτην ἐκκλησίαν ἀναγράψαι δὲ τόδε τὸ ψήφισμα τὸν γραμματέα τὸν κατὰ πρυτανείαν ἐν στήλῃ
- 25 λιθίνη καὶ στῆσαι ἐν [α]κροπόλει, εἰs δὲ τὴν ἀναγραφὴν τῆς στήλης δοῦναι τὸν ταμίαν τοῦ δήμου ΔΔΔ δραχμὰς ἐκ τῶν κοινῶν χρημάτων, ὅπω ἀν τετιμημένος ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου [π]-30 ράττει καὶ ὑπὲρ τῶν ἱππέων τῶν al-

1 Sic pro iminutor.

χμαλώτων ώς αν ύπερ πολιτών δ τι αν ύπολαμβάνει συμφέρ(ε)ιν αὐτοῖς εls σωτηρίαν.

Oxythemis the courtier of Demetrics is well known from Diodoros xxi., and Athen. xiii. p. 578; xiv. 614; and vi. 253: διαβόητος δε εγένετο επί κολακεία και δ'Αθηναίων δήμος. $\Delta \eta \mu 0$ χάρης γουν ό Δημοσθένους του βήτορος ανεψιός έν τη είκοστη των ίστοριών διηγούμενος περί ής έποιουντο οι 'Αθηναίοι κολακείας πρός τον πολιορκητήν Δημήτριον, καί δτι τουτ' ούκ ήν εκείνω βοκλομένω, γράφει ούτως ' ελύπει μεν και τούτων ένια αυτόν, ώς έοικες, ου μην άλλα και άλλα γε παντελώς αίσχρα και ταπεινά, Λεαίκης μέν και Λαμίας 'Αφροδίτης ίερά, και Βουρίχου και 'Αδειμάντου και 'Οξυθέμιδος των κολάκων αύτοῦ καὶ βωμοὶ καὶ ἡρῷα καὶ σπονδαί κ.τ.λ. Our inscription is earlier than B. C. 301, when Antigonos was slain at Ipsos: this appears from the mention of two Batthews. The captive $l\pi\pi\epsilon \hat{s}$ may indicate a date very soon after the liberation of Athens B. C. 307, supposing them to have been captured during the siege of Munychia or Megara.

144.

Honours at Megara to Neon, a general of Demetrics: about 307-306 B.C.

Found at Megara by M. Rangabé: the text from Rang., Antiq. H-lien. No. 698; comp. Le Bas-Waddington, Voyage Arch. pt. ii. 31, 32.

Ἐπειδη Νέων Κλέωνος Ἐρυθραῖος, διατρίβων παρ[à] τὸμ βασιλέα Δαμάτριον, εύνους ἐων καὶ πράσσων τὰ συμφέροντα τῷ δάμψ τῷ Μεγαρέων διατελεῖ, ἀγαθῷ τύχε δεδόχθαι τῷ βουλῷ

5 καὶ τῷ δάμῷ ἐπαινέσαι αὐτὸν ἀρετᾶς ἕν[ε]κα καὶ εὐνοίας τᾶς εἰς τὸν δᾶμον τὰμ Μεγαρέωγ, καὶ εἰμεν αὐτὸγ καὶ ἐκγόνους προξένο[υς] τᾶς πόλιος τᾶς Μεγαρέων. εἰμεν δὲ αὐτῷ καὶ ἀτέλειαγ καὶ ἀσυλίαγ καὶ ἐμ πολέμῷ καὶ ἐ-

10 ν είράνα καὶ κατὰ γῶγ καὶ κατὰ θάλασσαν. ἀγγράψαι δὲ τόδε τὸ δόγμα τὸγ γραμματέα τοῦ δάμον ἐν στάλα καὶ ἀνθέμεν εἰs τὸ [O]λυμπιεῖον. ἀγγράψαι δὲ αὐτὸγ καὶ εἰs στάλαν εἰs ἅ[ν]- περ καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι πρόξενοι. Βασιλεὺς Εὐκλίας, 15 ἐστρατάγουν Φωκῖνος Εὐάλ[κ]ο[υ, 'Αριστόπιμος] Μενεκράτεος, Δαμοτέλης Δαμέα, [Θ]εόδ[ωρ]ος Παγχάρεος, Πρόθυμος Ζεύξιος, Τί[μ]ω[ν 'Αγά]θωνος. Γραμματεῦς βουλᾶς καὶ δάμου Εὐπαλῖνος 'Ομόφρονος.

Megara was 'liberated' by Demetrios, like Athens, in 307 B.C. (Diod. xx. 46; Plut. *Dem.* 9). Neon is known from Diod. xx. 52 as commanding under Demetrios in the victory off Kypros.

145.

Original of the famous Decree of Stratokles in honour of Lykurgos the Orator: B.C. 307.

Two fragments found at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 240; cp. C. Curtius, *Philologus*, xxiv. pp. 83 foll.

Frag. A:

['Επὶ 'Αναξικράτους ἄρχοντ]ος. ἔδοξεν τῷ δήμψ. Στρατ-[οκλῆς Εὐθυδήμου Διομεεὺ]ς εἶπεν [ἐπ]ε[ιδὴ Λ]υκοῦργο[ς Λυκόφρονος Βουτάδης παρα]λ[α],3ων [πα]ρ[ὰ τῶν ἐ]α[υ]τοῦ π[ρογόνων οἰκείαν ἐκ παλαιοῦ] τ[ὴν] πρ[δς τὸν δῆμ]ον εὕνο[ι-5 αν..]

The copy of this decree in Plutarch's Lites of the Ten Orators is found to be not exact, but abridged and paraphrased by the writer. The absence of the usual formulæ in the above heading makes it probable that we have here a duplicate of the official $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi_{I \sigma \mu a}$. Between Frag. A and Frag. B there came the statement of the amount of public moneys administered by him. Some broken lines at the beginning of Frag. B seem to refer to the building of the docks (vecorocol). Next, by the help of Plutarch's abridgement, we are able to restore as follows:

..... την δε σ-[κευοθήκην και το θέατρον το] Διονυσιακον εξηργάσα-[το τό τε στάδιον το Παναθην]αϊκόν και το γυμνάσιον τ-[δ κατα το Λύκειον κατεσκεύ]ασεν και άλλαις δε πολλαι-5 [s κατασκευαις εκόσμησεν] δλην την πόλιν και φόβων κ-

[αὶ κινδυτών μεγάλων τοὺς] Ελληνας περιστάστων Άλε-

[ξάνδρφ Θηβῶν ἐπικρατήσα]ντι καὶ πᾶσαν τὴν ἀΑσίαν κ-[αὶ ὕλλα δὲ τῆς οἰκουμένης (?) μ]έρη καταστρεψαμένψ δι-[ετέλει ἐναντιούμενος ὑπε]ρ τοῦ δήμου ἀδιάφθορον κ-

- 10 [al ἀνεξέλεγκτον αὐτὸν ὑπερ] τῆς πατρίδος καὶ τῆς τῶ-[ν Ἐλλήνων ἀπάντων σωτηρίας] διὰ παντὸς τοῦ βίου παρ-[έχων καὶ ὑπερ τοῦ τὴν πόλιν] ἐλευθέραν εἶναι καὶ αὐτ-[όνομον πάσῃ μηχανῇ ἀγωνι]ζόμενος, δι' ὅπερ ἐξαιτή-[σαντος αὐτὸν ᾿Αλεξάνδρου ὁ δ]ῆμος ἀπέγνω μὴ συνχωρῆ-
- 15 [aι μηδὲ λόγον ποιείσθαι τῆς] ἐξαιτήσεως άμ' ἐν τοῖς ά-[λλοις πάσιν συνειδώς ών μετ]έσχεν Λυκούργω τὴν ἀπ-[ολογίαν δικαίαν οῦσαν καὶ δ]οὺς εὐθύνας πολλάκις [τῶν πεπολιτευμένων τε καὶ τῶν] διωκημέν[ων ἐν ἐλευθἐρα καὶ δημοκρατουμένῃ τῇ] πόλει...

Ly-kurgos had been dead some seventeen years when he received these honours. Stratokles the mover was notorious for the excessive adulation he poured upon Demetrics as the 'liberator' of Athens. Liberty with Stratokles meant the forms of democracy surviving under the patronage of Macedonian conquerors; and it is painful to find these well-deserved honours to a great patriot of the last generation, made a means of indirect compliment to Demetrics. Comp. No. 128.

146.

Honours to an Envoy who has returned from Antigonos: B.C. 307 (December).

Broken stele with ornamental pediment, found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 238: cp. Hermes, v. 349.

Lines 1-10:

246

['Επὶ 'Αναξικράτους] ἄρχοιτος ἐπὶ [τη̂ς ... (δος πέμ] πτης πρυτανε[ίας, ῃ̂ ... ασ] ανοπόν[πο] υ Π[ο]τά-[μιος ἐγραμμάτευ]εν· Π[ο]σ[ιδε]ῶνος 5 [... ἱσταμένο] υ· ἐκκλη[σ]ία κυ-[ρία. τῶν προέδρων ἐ] πεψ[ήφι]ζεν 'Αν-[.....]νου 'Α[χα]ρνεὺς [καὶ συνπρόεδροι· ἕ]δοξε[ν] τῷ δήμφ. [ό δεῖνα Λευκο]νοεὺς εἶπεν ὑ-10 [πὲρ ῶν λέγουσι οἱ π]ρέσβεις οἱ ὑπό |... (these had been sent from Athens to Antigonos, who is named below as $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v s$).. Lines 13, 14.. [$\tau \hat{\psi} \delta \eta \mu$] ψ kai $\lambda \ell \gamma \epsilon i \nu$ | [kai $\pi \rho \delta \tau \tau \epsilon i \nu$ dy addv] $\delta \tau u$ $\delta \nu \delta v \nu \eta \tau a i$, |... Line 16: $\chi \rho \eta \sigma i \mu o \nu \gamma \epsilon [\gamma | o \nu \ell \nu a i]$... Line 18: [$\beta a - \sigma i \lambda$] ℓa 'A $\nu \tau (\gamma o [\nu o \nu]$... Line 19: [$\delta \pi \omega s \delta \nu$ où $\nu \delta \delta$] $\eta \mu o s \phi a \ell - \nu [\eta \tau a i \kappa \tau \lambda$.

Demetrios appeared in the Pirzeus in June 307, and deposed the Kassandrian oligarchy, 'liberating Athens' during that summer. Early in 306 he defeated Ptolemy, and the title of 'King' was assumed by Antigonos, Lysimachos, Seleukos, and Ptolemy. Directly after the 'liberation,' Athenian envoys had been sent to Antigonos in Syria (Diod. xx. 46); and Droysen supposes the person here honoured to be Aristodemos the Milesian, the friend of Demetrios, who had accompanied the Athenian envoys to Antigonos (*Hellenismus*, ii. 2. p. 118).

147.

Honours to Timosthenes, deputy of Karystos in the Confederate Synod at Athens, during the Lamian War: B.C. 306-305.

Found at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 249. [Eπί] Κοροίβου ắρ[χ]ον[τος έπι τηςδος ...ηs π]outavelas. Διότιμος Διοπείθου[ς Εύωνυμεύς είπε έπειδη Τι]μοσθένης πρόξενος ώ[ν του δήμου του 'Αθηναίων δι]-5 ατελεί φίλος ών και ε Erous τω δήμω τω 'Abyvalων και πρότερόν τε έ[ν τῷ πολέμφ δν πεπολέμηκε]ν δ δημος δ 'Αθηναίων [... ὑπερ της έλ]ευθερίας των [E]λλή σων σ]ύνεδρος επί τ[à σ]τρα[τιωτικά?....] 10 συνμάχων ηγωνίζετ [ο λέγων καί] πράττων [τ]α σ[υ]νφέ[ροντα τῷ τε δήμω τῷ 'Αθηναί]ων καί Καρ[υ]στ[ί]οις. (Here six lines are too much broken to be recovered). [е́р тŵ к]οινώ τώ Καρυστίων λ έγων και πράττων τα συνφε]ροντα τη τε αύτου πα τρίδι και τώ δήμω τώ 'Αθ]ηναίων και επιστρατεί σαντος Κασσάνδρου? επί τ]-

5 ην 'Αττικην έβσήθησεν τ[..... έθε] λοντής, άγαθε[ε] τύχη δεδ[όχθαι τῷ δήμφ ἐπαινέ] σαι Τιμοσθένην Δημοφά[νου ἀρετής ἕνεκα καὶ ε] ψνοίας ήν ἔχω[ν διατ]ελεῖ π[ερὶ τὸν δήμον τὸν 'Αθην α]ίων καὶ στε[φανῶσαι] αὐτ[ὸν χρυσῷ στεφάνῳ ἀπὸ
 10 Χ δ]ρ[α]χμῶ[ν κ.τ.λ.

Compare No. 133; Karystos alone of the Eubœan cities joined the league. See Droysen, *Hellenismus*, ii. 1. p. 56.

148.

Honours to Demarchos for assisting the Restoration of the native Samians: B.C. 306-303.

Found at Samos: the text is from C. Curtius, Inschriften u. Studien zur Gesch. ron Samos, pp. 27 foll.

> Έδοξε τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ, Μόλπος Πυθαγόρου καὶ ᾿Αμφίδοκο[s Σ]κύθωνος [ε]ἶ[π]αν, ἐπειδη Δήμαρχος Τάρωνος Λύκιος ἕν τε τῆ ψυγῆ εὕνους καὶ πρό-

- 5 θυμος ών δοετέλει τῷ δήμφ τῷ Σαμίων καὶ ἰδία τοῦς ἐντυγχάνουσι τῶν πολιτῶν χρήσιμον ἐαυτὸν παρείχετο καὶ νῦν διατρίβων παρὰ τῆ βασιλίσ-[σ]ŋ Φίλα καὶ τεταγμένος ἐπὶ τῆς φυ-
- 10 λακής εύνουν καὶ πρόθυμον ἐαυτόν παρέχεται εῖς τε τὰς τοῦ δήμου χρείας καὶ ῶν ἕν τις ἰδία τῶν πολιτῶν δεόμενός του τύχη, δεδόχθαι τῆ βουλῆ ἐπαινέσαι μὲν Δήμαρχον ἀρετῆς ἕνε-
- 15 κε καὶ προθυμίας, ῆν ἐχων διατελεῖ πρός τὸν δημον τὸν Σαμίων, [κα]ὶ εἶναι αὐτῷ τῆς αἰτῆς ἐπιμελείας τυνχάνειν, ἅν τον δέηται τοῦ δήμου, ἐπιμελεῖσθαι δ' αὐτοῦ καὶ τὰς συναρχίας ἀ-
- 20 εὶ τὰς ἐνεστώσας, ἄν τινος τυνχάνῃ χρείαν ἔχων, εἶναι ở αὐτῷ καὶ ἔφοδον ἐπὶ τὴν βουλὴν καὶ τὸν δῆμον, ἄν του δέηται, πρώτῳ μετὰ τὰ ἱερὰ καὶ τὰ βασιλικά, δεδώσθαι ở αὐτῷ καὶ πο[λ]ιτεί-

- 25 αν καὶ ἐνγόνοις ἐφ' ἴσῃ καὶ ὁμοίq ͼἶναι δ' αὐτὸν καὶ εὐεργέτην καὶ πρόξενον τοῦ ὅήμου, ἐπικληρῶσαι δ' αὐτὸν καὶ ἐ[πὶ] φυλὴν καὶ ἐκατοστὺν καὶ γένος κ[αθό]τι καὶ τοὺς ἅλλους Σαμίους, τῆς ὅ[ὲ ἐπι]-
- 30 κληρώσεως καὶ τῆς ἀναγραφῆς ἐ[πι]μεληθῆναι τὸν γραμματέα τ[ῆς βου]λῆς, τὸ δὲ ψήφισμα τόδε ἀναγρ[άψαι] εί[ς] στήλην λιθίνην καὶ στῆσαι εί[ς τὸ ἱε]ρὸν τῆς "Hρας.

After the battle of Krannon, B. C. 322, Perdikkas restored the Samians to their country, and expelled the Attic settlers (see Nos. 90, 136). During their long exile Demarchos had befriended them. After their restoration too he had used his influence in their favour. For Phila, the noble daughter of Antipater, having first been bestowed upon Krateros, in reward for his services in the Lamian war, had been married again, on her husband's death, to the young Demetrics (Poliorketes); and Demarchos the Lykian had been appointed her body-guard at the time of our inscription, and secured her favour for the Samians. Phila would not be styled Bas Aussa before 306, and the decree probably belongs to the years 304-3, when Demetrios was besieging Rhodes. At this time Phila seems to have resided in Kilikia (Diod. xx. 83; but see Droysen, Hellen. ii. 2. 258). The ouvapylas signified a committee of the magistrates of a town, which prepared measures for the βουλή and δήμος (cp. Arist. Pol. iv. 14). By perà tà Basiliká is meant 'next after envoys from the kings (Demetrios and Antigonos).' On the Samian restoration see Diod. xviii. 18: δ de Π eodíkkas àzokatastýsas tois Σαμίοις τήν τε πόλιν και χώραν, κατήγαγεν αυτούς είς την πατρίδα πεφευγότας έτη τρισί πλείω των τεσσαράκοντα.

149.

Rescripts of Antigonos concerning the Incorporation of the people of Lebedos with the Teians: B.C. 306-301.

On a tomb in the Turkish Cemetery at Teos: the text from Le Bas-Waddington, Woyage Archéol. pt. v. 86.

A. Letter of Antigonos to the Teians.

The beginning is lost, which perhaps gave the reasons and circumstances of this change. § 1. [... Ooris an es] ro Mariánion άποστέ [λληται, οίο]μεθα δείν [έχεταν πάντα τα | κο]ινα τον ίσον χρόκον, σκηνούν δε τούτον και πακηγορ[(] (ειν μετά των παρ' [ύμων adikoue vor kal mareiovai Thior. § 2. [O]loueva de deir kal ολκόπεδον έκάστη των Α[εβεδίων δοθήναι] | παρ' ύμων ίσον 🗳 αν καταλίπη έν Λεβέδφ. έως δ' αν αλκοδομήσωνται, [άπασι δοθη-5 rai | 0]lklas τοιs Δεβεδίοις άμισθί, εαμ μεν διαμένη ή ύπάρχουσα πόλις το τ[ρίτον μέρος των | ύπ]αρχουσων οἰκιων έαν δε δεί (sic) κατασκάπτειν την υπάρχουσαν πόλιν, [διαμένειν οἰκ ιθ]ν των ύπαρχουσών τὰς ήμισείας, τούτων δε τὸ τρίτον μέρος δοθή [vai rois Λεβεδίοις, τὰ | δὲ] δώο μέρη έχειν ύμας εἰαν δε μέρος τι τής πόλεως κατασκάπτητας, [και ικαναι ώσιν αι κατα]λειπόμεναι δέξασθαι καὶ ὑμῶς καὶ τοὺς Λεβεδίους, δοθηναι 10 τοιs Λε[βεδίοιs των οἰκιών τὸ | τρ]ίτον μέρος εαν δε al καταλειπόμεναι μη inaral ωσι δέξασθαι υμας [nal rows Aebedlows, τότε] | δε καταλειφθήναι των μελλουσων κατασκάπτεσθαι τας ίκανα[s, έως αν τελεσθώσιν ίκ] απαί οικίαι έν τη κατασκευαζομένη πόλει, και κατά τάς olkfas τά s κατά την χώρ a έξω [των δρων] της περιβαλλομένης πόλεως οἰκοδομ[ησαι δέ Λεβεδίους πάντ] as τὰ οικόπεδα έν έτεσιν τρισίν, εί δε μή, δη-15 μόσια είναι τα [olκόπεδα. Olόμεθα δε] δείν και τας τέγας των ολκιών αποδοθήναι τοις Λεβεδίοις, [έως αν πάσαι καταστεγάζω]νται al olklau, [έν έτε]σιν τέσσαρσιν πρός μέρος έκάστου ένι αυτού. § 3. Οιόμεθα δέ] | δείν και τόπον αποδειχθήναι τοις Λεβεδίοις ού θάψουσι το νεκρούς. & 4. Όσα δε δάνεια δ]φεί[λ]ει ή Λεβεδίων πόλις, ταῦτα διορθωθήναι ἐκ τῶν κοιν[ῶν προσόδων, αναλαβείν] | δε δάκεια ταύτα ύμας els την ύμετέραν 20 πόλιν, δπως οι Λεβ (έδιοι ελεύθεροι ωσιν]. | § 5. Καί δσοι εε πρόξενοι είσι της Λεβεδίων πόλεως η ενεργίεται η φίλοι η συγγενείς] ή άλλην τισα δωρεάν ή τιμήν έχουσιν παρά των Λεβεδίων τ[à aὐτὰ έχειν καὶ παρ' ύ]μῶν καὶ ἀraγραφηναι τούτους, ὅπου καί οι ύμετεροι πρόξενοι [και εὐεργέται είσιν ἀναγε]γραμμένοι, έν ένιαυτφ. § 6. Τα δέ έγκλήματα και τα συμβόλαια [τα υπάρχοντα άμφοτε μοις αντούς πρός αντούς διαλυθήναι ή διακριθήναι 25 [κατά τούς πατρίους | ν]όμους και το παρ' ήμων διάγραμμα, έν δυσίν έτεσιν άφ' σε ά[ν τό διάγραμμα δια τ]εθή. δσα δέ έστιν

πρός τοὺς Λεβεδίους η τοῖς Λεβεδίοις π[ρός ὑμᾶς, ποιεῖυ ἀμφοτέ]ρ]ους συνθήκην, γράψασθαι δὲ την συνθήκην, καὶ ἀν τι ἀντι-

250

λ[έγηται πρός την | συ]υθήκην, επικριθήναι εν τη εκκλήτω, εξαμήνω. ξκκλητον [δε πόλιν αίρεισθαι] | αμφότεροι συνωμολόνησαν Μιτυλήνην. § 7. Τὰ μέν οῦν άλλα ὕ στερον δεί επί τοιούτοις 30 γ]ράφειν τούς συνθηκογράφους οις αν ποτε γινώσκωσιν επεί [δε τοσαῦτα τὸ πληθος ἀκ]ούομεν είναι τὰ συναλλάγματα καὶ τὰ ἐγκλήματα, ωστε, αν τῷ [νόμφ διακριθή δια παν] τός του χρόνου. μηθένα αν δύνασθαι ύπομειναι,-και γαρ ξως το ύδε ου δύναται τέλος εί] ληφέναι ταῦτα άπερ οὐδε αί συν[θηκ]αι συντετελέσθαι, δια τὸ ἐ[κ τῶν ἐπάνω χρόνων] | εἶναι ὑμῖν τὰ συναλλάγματα, καὶ 35 αν προστιθώνται οι τόκοι πα[ρ' ξκαστον ξτος, μηδενί | δ]υνατόν είναι αποτείσαι ---- οιόμεθα δε δείν, αμ μεν εκοντες απο τείσωσιν οί οφείλο]ντες, γράφειν τούς συνθηκογράφους μη πλείον διπλασίου ἀπολ[ύσασθαι είς τόκον] | αν δε είς δίκην ελθ[ό]υτες όφείλωσι, τριπλάσιον όταν δε ή συνθήκ η επικυρωθή, γρά] ψασθαι τας δίκας και εγδικάσασθαι εν ενιαυτώ. δσοι δ' αν μή γράψων ται η έγδικάσων/τα]ι έν τῷ γεγραμμένω χρόνω, δικῶν οὐσῶν, μηκέτι 40 είναι γράψασθαι μηδ' [εγδικάσασθαι εάν δε | τι]ς των ύμετερων ή των Λεβεδίων μή επιδημή εν ταις προθεσμίαις, εξίεστω τους όφείλοντας | πρ]οσκαλέσασθαι από τοῦ αρχείου και από τῆς οἰκίας, δηλοῦντα τῷ [.....] | ή ἐνάντιον κἀκ τόκων δύ[ο] ἀξιό[χ]ρεων, els δε τό λοιπόν και διδόναι [λόγον κατά τούς νό]μους ούς αν ύπολαμβάνοιτε ίσους ἀμφοτέροις είναι. § 8. Δ [εί δε ὑμῶς αἰρείσθαι] νομογράφους τρείς μη νεωτέρους έτων τεσσεράκοντα [και καλούς 45 και άγα $|\theta|$ ούς· οι δε αιρεθέντες δμοσάντων γράψειν νόμους ούς ά ν νομίσωσιν βελ τίστους είναι και συνοίσειν τη πόλει όταν δε δμόσωσιν, [γραψάντων ούς αν ήγήσω]νται ίσους αμφοτέροις έσεσθαι και ενεγκάντων εντό[ς εξαμήνου είναι δε | κ]αι άλλφ τώ βουλομένω γράψαντι νόμον έσφέρειν των δε [είσενεχθέντων δσα] | μέν αν έξ δμολογουμένων δ δήμος επικυρώση, χρασθαι 20 τού τοις, όσα δε αντιλεγό μενα ή αναπεμφθήναι πρός ήμας, όπως η αύτοι επικρίνωμεν [η την πόλιν αποδεικνύωμ]εν την επικρινούσαν αναπέμψαι δε και τούς συνομολογηθέν[τας νόμους, και δια]σαφείν τούς τε ύπο των νομογράφων είσενεχθέντας και τούς [ύπ' άλλων γραφέντας, | δπω]ς, έάν τινες φαίνωνται μή τα βέλτιστα νομογραφούντες άλλ' [άσύμφορα, αὐτοῖς] | ἐπιτιμώμεν καί ζημιώμεν ταύτα δε συντελέσαι εν ενιαυτώ. [Εως δ' αν οι σύμει παν τ]ες νόμοι συντελεσθώσιν, οι μέν παρ' ύμων φοντο δείν τοις παρ' υμιν [νόμοις χράσθαι, οι δε] τ]ών Λεβεδίων ήξίουν εξ ετέρας τινος πόλεως μεταπεμψαμένους [χρασθαι ήμεις δε δικαι] ό-

τερον υπολαμβάνομεν είναι έξ άλλης πόλεως μεταπέμψασθ[αι, και κελεύσαστες μεν αμ]φοτέρους λέγειν έκ πούας πόλεως βούλονται χράσθαι νόμοις, συνο μαλαγησάντων δε | Ξμφοτέρων ώστε τοις Κώων νόμοις χρήσθαι, επικεκρίκαμεν τους Κώους μεθα δε δείν ύμας αποδεικνό του τρείς ανδρας εύθύς δταν [ή] άπόκ[ρι]σις αναγνωσθή, και άποστ[είλαι ές Κων έν ήμέ]pa]ις τρισίν έκγράψασθαι τούς νόμους, τούς δε αποσπαλέντας ε[π]α-[ναφέρειν τούς νό]μους έσφραγισμένους τη Κώων σφραγίδι έν ήμέραις τριξάκοντα· λαβόντας δε | τω]νδε των πόμων άποδεϊξαι 65 τάρχεία ύμας τε και τους Λεβε[δίους έν ήμερακς] | δέκα. § 9. Οσοι δε κεχορηγήκασιν η τετριημαρχήκασιν η άλλην Γλητουργίαν παρ' άμφο] τέροις λελητουργήκασιν, τούτους ολόμμεθα δείν μηκέτι τη [λητουργία ενέχεσθαι· ηξί]ο] υν δε οι παρά των Λεβεδίων και χρόνον τικα αύτούς αφεθήναι [των λητουργιών, ξως] | συνοικί-(ονται ήμεις δε ολόμεθα δείν, αμ μεν ύμεις πάντες με[ινητε εν τή παλ] αιά, ατελείς είναι τούς Λεμεδίους των λητουργιών έτη τρία 70 ¿[αν δέ τινες ύμων] | μετοικίζωνται είς την Χερσόνησον και τούτους άτελεις είναι τον [αύτον χρόνον, και εως $\frac{1}{2}$] olkla, μή μεθαιρώνται τούτους λητουργείν. § 10. Έφασαν δε οί παρά των $\Lambda[\epsilon\beta\epsilon\delta(\omega) \ \delta\epsilon\hat{\imath} v \ \epsilon s \ \sigma l[\tau] ου παράθεσιν εξαιρείσθαι από των προσ$ όδων χρυσσές τετρακοσίζους και δισχιλίους | δηστε τόμ βουλόμενον λαβόττα το χρυσίον τούτο είς ύποθήκην, [είσαγειν σίτον eis | τη]μ τόλιν και πω[λ]είν του ενιαυτον στόταν βούληται. 75 δταν δε ό ε νιαυτός τελεσθή απ οδίδοσθαι το χρυσίον τη πόλει avto kai tois tokous eo olis as doky kal ifiour dia !tafai nuas και νύν τούτο γίνεσθαι, δπως υπάχη σί του πλήθος έν τη πόλ] ει ού γαρ ποείε ύμας ίκανόν. "Ωισυτη δείν και οι παρ ύ[μων την σίτου παράθεσιν] | και το χρυσίον πλείον συνταχθήναι επειδή δ συνοικ[ισμός συστελείται και πάντ] es γίνεσθε els ταντό ελθ[ό]ντες. 80 'Ημείς δε πρότερον μεν ού κεβαυλόμεθα τιτι πό λει δίδοσθαι τα σιτηγήσια μηδέ σίτου γίνεσθαι παράθε[σιν, πρίν αντάς τάς | π]όλεις είς ταττα άναλίσκειν χρήματα συχνά οὐκ ἀιναγκαῖα [μόνον καί ού θέλαμεν | ο] νόε νύμ ποεία τούτο, πλησίου σύσης της φορολογουμέ[της rews tra δε πληθος γ]ίτηται σίτου εύχερως, ολόμεθα είται μεταπέμπεσθαι έκ [της άλλοτρίας σίτ]ο] ν άν τις βούληται. 'Εσπουδάζομεν δε ύπερ τούτων ταῶς Ιπόλεσιν ότι 85 πλείστου στη ε νεγκείν, έπει ότι γε ιδία έκ του πράγματος ούθεν γί[νεται κέρδος, γινώσκετε | ή]μεις και άλλοι πάστες αλλά συν-

ALEXANDER'S DEATH TO GAULISH INVASION. 253

з,

τάσσομεν ταύτα θε ωρούντες δπως ων δφ]είλουσιν αι πόλεις έλεύθεραι γένωνται. Νομίζον τες οῦν ὑμῖν βέλτιον] | εἶναι τάλλα **ελευθέρους** καλ αύτονόμους πεποιηκέν[αι, ήμεις δε περί τούτ] ων έπιμέλειάν τινα ποιώμεθα, ίνα άποδ[0]θη την ταχίστ[ην ά εls 90 ύποθήκην λαμβά] νεται, τα περί την παράθεσιν του σίτου, ώστε μηθεν δια [λιπείν δ δίκαιον μεν τώ] | δε δήμω σύμφερόν εστιν, οίόμεθα δείν γίνεσθαι τά[ς παραθέσεις τοῦ σί] του, ῶσπερ οί πρέσβεις των Λεβεδίων έλεγον, ύπολαμ[βάνοντες χρυσί]ο]ν δίδοσθαι είς ύποθήκην το παν χρυσούς χιλίου[ς. § 11. Οιόμεθα δέ δείν] | και είσαγωγην και έξαγωγην πάντων αποδειχθηνα[ι έν τη 95 στο \hat{q} ? της άγο] ρας, δπως έαν τισι μη λυσιτελή κατάγουσιν είς την ά[γοραν $d\pi'$ αυτής? ποιείσ]θαι την έξαγωγήν, έξουσία ή θείσιν τα τέλη έπι των [έν τη στοβ? αποδει]χθέντων έξάγειν. δσαι δ' αν κώμαι ή επαύλια ώσιν εξω των τής πόλεως | δρ]ων, **roμίζομετ δείν** προσαφορισθήναι εκάστω εγγρίαψαι μεν ποίους καρπ'ου]s εξάγειν βούληται από της αγροικίας, επαγγείλαν τα δε 100 τῷ ἀγοραιόμφ? και τὰ | τ]έλη διορθωσάμενον έξάγειν. § 12. 'Ηξίουν δε οί παρ' ύμῶν [καὶ οἱ παρὰ τῶν Λεβε]|δίων καὶ ἄνδρας ἀποδειχθήναι παρ' έκατέρων τρείς, οίτιν ες γενόμενοι έξετασ] ται τών συμφερόντων είς τον συνοικισμον γράψουσιν [ώς αν δοκή· νομί**ζομεν | κα]** εχειν αποδειχθήναι τούς ανδρας εν ήμεραις τριάκοντ[α **ἀφ' ῆs år ἡ** ἀπόκρισ]|ιs ἀναγνωσθῆ· τοὺs δὲ αἰρεθένταs γράψαι τὰ 105 παραλελε[μμένα ύφ' ήμων] | των δε γραφέντων τους μεν ύπ'

Δμφοτέρων συνομ[ολογουμένους κυρίους εί|ν]αι, τὰ δὲ ἀντιλεγόμενα ἀναπεμφθῆναι ἐφ' ἡμᾶς ἐν ἄλλῃ διμ[ηνία, ὅπως ἀμφοτέρων ἀ];κούσαιτες ἐπικρίνωμεν καθ ἀν ὑπολαμβάνωμεν ἀμφο[τέροις βέλτιστα].

B. Second Letter of Antigonos to the Teians.

§ 13. [Baσ]ιλεύς Αντίγονος Τηΐων τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμφ χαίρειν. Ἡμιεῖς τὸ [πρὶν σκοποῦντες] | ὅπου τάχιστ' ἀν συντελε100 σθείη ὁ συνοικισμός, σὐκ (sic) ἐωρῶμεν τὰ [ἀναγκαῖα ὑμῖν | χ]ρήματα πόθεν πορισθῆ, τοῦ ἔχειν Λεβεδίο[ι]ς τὰς τιμὰς τῶν οἰ[κιῶν ἀποδιδό] raι, διὰ τὸ τὰ ἐκ τῶν προσόδων γινόμενα κατὰ χρόνους προσπορεύ[εσθαι εἰς ἄλλα· ἀσμε|ν]οι δὲ τούς τε παρ' ὑμῶν καὶ τοὺς παρὰ τῶν Λεβεδίων διαπυνθανόμ[ενοι καὶ κελεύσαντες ὑ]μῶν πόρον εἰσηγεῖσθαι, ο[ὐ φ]αμένων δὲ ἔχειν ἔξω τῶν περὶ τὰ τέλη ἐπισ[.....] | αὐτοῖς, εὐρίσκομεν ἀεὶ μ[όν]ον

έχειν, τού sμέν γε εύπορούντας είναι εξακοσίους, προεισενεγκείν [δε εύθύς εκ καταλόγου] | κατά τάς ούσίας. ώστε γενέσθαι τό τέταρτον μέρος των τιμών τάχιστ[α τοις Δεβεδίοις,] | την δε κομιδήν γενέσθαι τοις προεμπορίσασιν πρώτους έκ των προσόδω [ν. § 14. Τιμών δε χρόνου | δ]ιεστώτος πασών συντασσομένων, πούς μέν άξοντας τούς τιμήσοντα[s τàs olklas και τούς] / γραγομέρους τούς νόμους $\epsilon K \hat{\omega}$ αίρεθήναι εύθύς, όταν ή αποψήφισι $[s - y \epsilon \nu m r a c.$ 120 και άποστ] αλήναι έν ήμέραις πέντε άφ' ήτ αν αίρεθωσι[ν, κ]αι τούς μέν έπι τούς νόμου[ς αποσταλέντας καμισ] αμένους έκ Κώ ανενεγκείν εν ταις ήμεραις als γεγραφαμεν εν τη απο[κρώσει· τούς δε έπι τούς τι μητάς αποσταλέντας άγειν τούς τιμητάς ώς αν ενδεχηται τάχιστα. Ο [ίομεθα δε δείν]ω εξαριθμηθηναι τας παρ' ύμιν οικίας ας δεί δούναι τοίς Δεβεδίοις εις π αροικίαν εν ημέραις] | δεκάπεντε άφ' ής αν ή απόκρισις αναγνωσθή, 125 τούς δε εξαριθμήσοντ as τàs olklas και δώσ τουτας τοις παραικι-(ομένοις αίρεθηναι έν τη πρώτη εκκλησία παρ' εκάσ[της φυλής].

The restorations are mainly from Le Bas: but I have made some important changes of my own. There remain several difficulties which I cannot explain.

The date falls between B. C. 306, when Antigonos assumed the diadem, and the battle of Ipsos. History nowhere records this transfer of Lebedos to Teos, and we may suppose that the defeat of Ipsos cut short the plan, and prevented its execution. If so, it was these intended colonists from Lebedos to Teos whom Lysimachos in 295 B.C. incorporated (together with the Kelophonians) at Ephesos (Pausan. i. 9, 8). Lebedos was nearly depopulated: see Strabo, p. 643, and Horace. Epistles, i. 11. 7, 'Scis Lebedus quid sit, etc.' The motive for such transfers of population was usually royal ambition, and we may detect in the present rescripts indications of unwillingness on the part of the subjects, and a desire to throw upon the monarch all the expense of the migration. A few notes are added on the meaning of the several paragraphs.

 \S 1. The Lebedian delegate at the meeting of the Ionian league is to be treated in all respects as a Teian. \S 2. Every Lebedian is to receive at Teos a building-site equal to the premises he has left behind him. Directions for the temporary housing of the new-comers, until they have built their own

ALEXANDER'S DEATH TO GAULISH INVASION. 255

houses. Roofing is to be supplied them gratis. It will also appear, from §§ 13-14, that the Teians were to pay the Lebedians the valuation of the houses they had left. It is clear from the expressions in § 2 that great changes were contemplated in the laying out of Teos afresh. § 3. Provision for a buryingground. § 4. Municipal debts of the town of Lebedos to be acknowledged by the newly constituted city of Teos. § 5. Similarly all grants of proxenia etc. made by Lebedos to be now transferred to Teos. § 6. Contracts and claims between Lebedians and Lebedians, or between Teians and Teians, to be decided within two years according to their laws, and the Directions (didypauua, see Nos. 125, 130) of the king. Suits between Teians and Lebedians to be decided according to a covenant $(\sigma \nu \nu \theta \eta \kappa \eta = \sigma \nu \mu \beta o \lambda a)$ between the two populations, which shall regulate the procedure in such cases. If any demur to the terms of the $\sigma v r \theta \eta \kappa \eta$, then the terms of the covenant shall be settled by an Errantos zólis (cp. No. 200). § 7. Certain points which are not left to the discretion of the συνθηκογράφοι. As the debts have been long standing, and the accumulated interest is very large, no debtor who offers payment without incurring a suit is to be called upon to pay as interest more than twice the original capital; nor any debtor more than thrice. Creditors must claim their debts within a year, or they lapse. Absentee creditors may claim even after that time: they may summon their debtor from his home, if he refuses to appear (cp. Dem. in Timoth. 1190, προσκληθείς από της olklas, où yap ην φανερός), and, if the debtor be a magistrate, from his official residence. § 8. A new code of laws is to be drawn up by three commissioners (cp. No. 47, p. 79). In the meantime the laws of Kos are to be made the law of the land. § 9. Lebedians or Teians who have already served in *liturgies* are to be exempt in the new city. But all Lebedians are for three years to be exempt, until they have settled down: likewise Teians who intend to migrate and settle in 'the Chersonnese' are to be exempt for three years. This Chersonnese is the large peninsula in which Erythræ stands. Strabo, p. 644, says that the Teians had territory there; $\pi \rho l \nu \delta'$ έλθειν έπι τας Έρυθράς, πρώτον μέν Έραι πολίχνιόν έστι Τηίων: the isthmus too was partly possessed by them, rd µer yap vorior τοῦ Ισθμοῦ πλευρόν έχουσι Τήϊοι τοὺς Χαλκιδέας, τὸ δὲ πρόσβοβρον

Κλαζομένιοι. § 10. παράθεσις is Polybios' word for 'storage of provisions:' ii. 15. 3; iii. 17. 11. The xpurous or gold stater =a little over fifteen shillings. The envoys as well of Lebedos as Teos request that a portion of the tribute may be reserved ($\xi \epsilon_{aip\epsilon i\sigma\theta ai}$), as a fund from which loans may be made to merchants, to encourage the import of corn. Antigonos grants the favour, but reluctantly : 'he has always discouraged this practice in his cities, not wishing to have them involved in debt to him for tribute, and so to feel themselves less free; personally it makes no difference to him: the vessel collecting his tribute was on the point of calling at Teos; and he thought they might rely on the neighbouring territory for sufficient supplies.' § 11. The custom-house is to be placed near the harbour, so that importers need not be obliged to bring their goods into the town, but might export them again at once, if they heard the market was bad. Probably the market was at a little distance from the harbour, and the custom-house is to be as near the harbour as possible. Agricultural produce is to pay exportduty. § 12. Appointment of Commissioners (¿feraoral?) to regulate the details of the migration.

B. Second letter of Antigonos to the Teians. § 13. The Teians are to pay the Lebedians the valuation of the houses they have left behind: the money to be furnished by the six hundred wealthiest citizens as registered for the payment of 'liturgies.' Tois $\pi \rho o \epsilon \mu \pi o \rho (\sigma a \sigma \iota \nu)$ are Lebedians who have already been purchasing materials for building at Teos, out of their own capital. § 14. Valuers to be procured at once from Kos. The $d\pi o \psi \eta - \phi_1 \sigma \sigma_1$ is the 'abrogation' of the existing laws.

150.

Honours at Ephesos to a loyal commander of Demetrics: B.C. 302-1.

On a block of marble brought by Mr. Wood from Ephesos: see his *Discoveries* at *Ephesus* (Appendix); now in the British Museum, where I have collated it. The ends of the lines are imperfect, being inscribed on another block, which is lost.

Έδοξεν τη βουλη και τώ δήμω. Μητρά[s] είπεν Ἐπειδη ᾿Αρχέστρατος Νίκωνος Μακεδών, οἰκεῖος ῶν τοῦ βασιλέως Δ[ημητρίουκαι γενόμενος] | έγ Κλα-

ALEXANDER'S DEATH TO GAULISH INVASION. 257

ζομέναις στρατηγός πιστόν τε αύτόμ παρέσχηται είς τὰ τοῦ βασιλέως πράγματα καὶ τῇ πόλει τὰ πλοῖα τὰ σιτ[αγωγὰ διέσωζε? δεδόχθαι τῇ βουλῇ καὶ τῷ δήμῷ ἐπαινέσαι αὐτὸν ἀρετῆς ἔνεκα καὶ εὐνοίας ἡν ἔχει πρὸς τὸν βασιλέα] | καὶ τὸr δῆμον τὸν Ἐφεσίων, καὶ στεφανῶσαι χρυσέῷ στεφάνῷ καὶ ἀσαγγεἶλαι τοῦς Διονυσίοις ἐν τῷ θεάτρῷ, τῆς [δὲ ἀναγγελίας τοῦ στεφάνου ἐπιμελεῖσθαι τὸν ἀγωνοθέτην, δοῦναι δὲ αὐτῷ πολιτείαν ἐφ᾽ ໂσῃ καὶ ὁμοία, ἐπικληρῶσαι δὲ] | καὶ εἰς φυλὴγ καὶ χιλιαστύν, εἶται δὲ αὐτῷ καὶ προεδρίαν ἐν τοῦς ἀγῶσι καὶ ἀτέλειαν ῶν ἀν εἰσάγηται ἢ ἐξάγητ[αι εἰς τὸν Ιδιον οἶκον καὶ ἐν πολέμῷ καὶ ἐν εἰρήση, καὶ κατὰ γὴγ καὶ κατὰ θάλατταν. ἀναγράψαι δὲ τόδε τὸ ψήφισμα] | τοὺς νεωποίας εἰς τὸ ἱερὸν τῆς ᾿Αρτέμιδος ὅπου καὶ αἱ λοιπαὶ τολιτείαι ἀναγεγραμμέναι εἰσί, ὅπως ἀπαντ[ες εἰδῶσι ὅτι ὁ δῆμος τιμῷ τοὺς εὐεργετοῦντας αὐτὸν δωρεαῖς τῶς προσηκούσαις. ἕλαχε φυλὴν , χιλιαστὺν

I take Archestratos to have been a general of Demetrios, who at the time of Prepelaos' conquests, B. C. 302 (Diod. xx. 107). stood firm to the cause of Antigonos and Demetrios and did good service against Lysimachos and Prepelaos. Diodoros (ibid.) says that at this time two generals of Antigonos went over to Lysimachos, by name Dokimos and Phœnix : Archestratos remained staunch. Diodoros adds that Prepelaos failed to capture Klazomenæ and Erythræ, because of ¿\θούσης κατά balarray Bonbelas, and that he contented himself with rayaging their territory. Our inscription refers to the relief of Klazomenæ, effected by this very Archestrates. He is also thanked for having protected the corn-vessels on their way to Ephesos. He was therefore in command of a fleet, and Ephesos was just then helpless, Propelaos having burned their fleet in their harbour (*ibid.*). The decree was probably drawn up shortly before the battle of Ipsos, when Demetrics expelled from Ephesos the garrison of Prepelaos, perhaps being accompanied by this very Archestratos (ibid. 111).

Alliance between Demetrics and Seleukos: B.C. 300.

Found at Ephesos by Mr. Wood, and published by him in his Discoveries at Ephesus (Appendix): now in the British Museum, where I have collated it.

Έδοξεν τη βουλή και τώ δήμω. Φιλαίνετος Φιλόφρονος είπεν Έπειδη Νικαγόρας 'Αριστάρχου 'Ρόδιος αποσταλείς παρά τωμ βασιλέων Δημητρίου και Σελεύκου πρός τε του δήμου του 'Εφεσίων και τους άλλους Έλληνας κατασταθείς είς του δήμου περί τε τής [0] κειότητος τής γεγετημένης 5 αύτοις διελέχθη και περί της ευνο[ία]ς ην έχοντες διατελούσιν είς τούς Έλληνας και τημ φιλίαν τημ πρότερου υπάρχουσαν αυτφ πρός τημ πόλιν απενεώσατ[ο. δ]εδόχθαι τη βουλή και τώ δήμω [έπ]αινέσαι τε Νικαγόραν έπι [τη] εύνοία ην έχων διατελεί πρός τους [βα]σιλείς και τον δήμον, και στεφανώσαι αυτόν χρυσέω στεφάνω 10 [καλ ά] ναγγείλαι τοις ['Εφε] σείοις έν τώ θεάτρω, δούναι δε και πολιτείαν [έφ' ίση κ]αί όμοιη καθάπερ και τοις λοιποις εθεργέταις, υπάρχειν δε αυτώ [προεδρί]αν έν τοις άγωσιν, και είσπλουν και έκπλουν και έμ πολέμο [καὶ εἰρήνη], καὶ ἀτέλειαν ῶν ἂν εἰσάγη ἢ ἐξάγη εἰς τὸν ίδιον οἶκον, [καὶ ἔφοδον εἰς τὴμ] βουλὴγ καὶ τὸν δῆμομ πρώτψ μετὰ τὰ ἱερά, ταῦτα δὲ εἶνα 15 [καὶ τοῦς ἐκγόνοις· ἀνα]γράψαι δὲ τὰς δεδομένας αὐτῷ δωρεὰς τοὺς νεωποίας [δπου και τας άλλας αναγράφουσιν] επικληρώσαι δε αυτόν και είς φυλην και είς [χιλιαστίν τούς Ἐσσῆνας ?, ὅπ]ως δπαντες είδωσιν δτι ὁ δήμος ὁ Ἐφεσίων [τιμά τούς εύεργετούντας κ]al εls τα αυτού πράγματα προθύμους όντας [δωρεαῖς ταῖς προσηκούσ]αις· ἀποστεῖλαι δὲ καὶ ξένια αὐτῷ τὸν 20 [οἰκονόμον Ελαχε] φυλην Ἐφεσεύς, χιλιαστών Λεβέδιος.

This relates to the sudden turn which the fortunes of Demetrios took, so soon after the defeat at Ipsos, when the hand of his daughter Stratonike was sought by Seleukos. This alliance was especially welcomed at Ephesos, which was all through these years a loyal supporter of Demetrios. (Plut. *Dem.* 31; Droysen, *Hell.* ii. 2. 238 foll.) Line 17: the Essenes, or chief priests of the Ephesian Artemis, are often named in this connexion.

Letter from Lysimachos to the Samians, respecting their dispute with Priene : B.C. 300-290.

From Samos : now at the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford, where I have re-read it. Published by Böckh, C. I. G. 2256.

- Βασιλεύς Λυσίμαχος Σαμίων τη βουλή και τῷ δήμφ χ[αί]ρει[ν κατ]έστησαν έφ' ήμας οι τε πρέσβεις οι παρ' ύμων και οι παρά των Πρ[ιη]νέων αποσταλέντες ύπερ της χώρας ής ετύγχανον ημφ[ισ]βητηκότες π[ρό]τερον έφ' ήμων. εί μεν ουν προείδειμεν (=προήδειμεν) ήμεις? τήν-5 δε την χώραν ύμας έ[πι τ]οσούτων έτων έχειν και νέμε[σ]θ[αι, καθ] όλον ούκ αν έπεσπασάμεθα την κρίσιν νυν δε υπελαμβάνομεν [εξ] ύπογύου τινος χρόνου παντελώς γεγονέναι την επί[κτησιν, ο]ύτω γαρ ήμιν έποιούντο την μνείαν έν τοις πρότερον [λόγοις οί] των Πριηνέων πρέσβεις· ού μην άλλ' έπειδη παρήσαν οί τε παρ' ύμω ν πρέσβεις 10 κ]αι οί παρά των Πριηνέων άναγκαίον ήν διακούσαι & [λέγεται] π[αρ'? έοί μέν ούν Πριηνείς την μέν έξ άρχης γεγενημένην α[θ]τ[οίς κατε ρων. κτήσι]ν τής Βατινητίδος χώρας επεδείκνυον έκ τε των Ιστοριών κ[α] εκ των άλ λων μαρτυρίων και δικαιωμάτων [με]τα των έξετων [σποιδών? πρότερ]ου δε συνωμολόγουν Λυγδάμεως επελθόντος επί [την χώ-
- 5 ραν μετά δυ]νάμεως, τούς τε λοιπούς έγλιπειν την χώραν αύτ[ούς? τε Σαμίους είς την ν]ήσον άποχωρήσαι. τον δε Λύγδαμ[ιν κ]ατασχόντα [την χώραν τήνδε π]άλιν άποδιδόναι τας αύτας κ[τ]ήσεις, τους δε [Πριηνέας άπο τούτου νέμεσθ]αι. Σαμίων δε ούθένα παραγενέσθαι παρ[ά τούτοις, πλην εί τις έ]τύχανεν παρ' αύτοις κατοικών, τούτο[υ δε ξ-
- Ο νεκα άεὶ τὸν βουλόμ]ενον προσενέγκασθαι Πριηνεῦσιν. ὑποστ[άντων? δὲ τὰς μετέπειτα παθ]άς, Σαμίους παρελέσθαι τὴγ χώραν α[ὖ]τῶν. [πεμφθῆναι οὖν παρὰ] Πριηνέων Βίαντα περὶ διαλύσεων τοῖς Σα[μίοις ὑπὲρ τῆς χώρας· τὸν δ]ὲ διαλῦσαί τε τὰς πόλεις καὶ τοὺς οἰ[κοῦντας ἐκεῖ. ὑπὲρ δὲ τῆς Βατ]ινητίδος χώρας πρότερομ μὲν ὅ[ρκων γεν-
- 3 ομένων Πριηνέας ἀεί τε ἐμμ]ένειν ἐν τούτοις καὶ μέχρι τοῦ ἐσχάτου χρό[νου τὴν γῆν διατελείν ἔχοντ]as, νῦν δὲ ἠξίουν ἡμâς κατὰ τὴ[ν περί τ]ῆς κ[τήσεως συνθήκην δοῦναι αὐτοῖς τ]ὴγ χώραν.—Οἱ δὲ παρ' ὑμῶν ἀποσταλέντες [πρέσβεις τὴν κτῆσιν τὴ]ν [γε]γενημένην αὐτοῖς τῆς [Bat]ινητίδος [χώρας ἔφασαν ἐκ προγόνων] παρειληφέναι. μετὰ δὲ τὴν Λυγδάμ[εως

30 έσβολην έγλιπειν συνωμο]λόγουν ώσπερ και οι λοιποι και αύτο[ι την χώραν αποχωρήσαι δε είς] την νήσον υστερον δε

I have improved on the text as deciphered by Chandler and by Röhl (Scheda Epigr. 1876, p. 7): where the reading is doubtful, a mark (?) is added. The restorations, which partly differ from Böckh, are merely to represent my idea of the general context. The dispute here dealt with by Lysimachos is of considerable historical interest. From an early period Samos (like Rhodes, No. 181, notes,) had been possessed of a strip of territory on the mainland,-a Perza-which was of value from its fertility. The Samians however were inclined to extend their Perzea by encroaching on the territory of Priene. The Prienians challenged their claim to three districts called 'H Baturntis χώρα, το φρούριον το Κάριον καλούμενον, and the land round the fort called $\dot{\eta} \Delta \rho v o \hat{v} \sigma \sigma a$. Our inscription mentions the earliest known episode in the quarrel: Lygdamis the tyrant of Naxos,-the friend of Peisistratos (Herod. i. 61, 64) and Polykrates (Polyzen. Strat. i. 23)-seems to have occupied the disputed region by force, (perhaps after assisting Polykrates in becoming tyrant of Samos,) and then to have reinstated the Prienians in possession. No Samian remained except as a péromos, under the protection of Priene (? 532 B.C.). The next episode is related by Plutarch (Qu. Grae. 20) to explain the Prienian proverb & mapà dout oxóros. The Samians (perhaps after Polykrates' death 522 B.C.) attacked the Prienians, and were defeated with the loss of 1000 men (cp. xilious of line 32). Seven years later (-does ¿ferŵr [onordŵr] in line 13 refer to this ?---) the Milesians in alliance with Samos inflicted on Priene a bloody defeat παρά την καλουμένην δρύν (cp. Δρυούσσα named above). It was then that Bias the sage went as envoy to Samos and made favourable terms for his countrymen. In 440 B.C. Thukydides (i. 115) says Saulors Rai Milyotors rokeμος εγέτετο περί Πριήρης κ.τ.λ., and the Milesians (who this time were allied with Priene) appealed to Athens. We may be sure that the origin of the quarrel was the same as before, and that Priene was again reinstated. A series of documents relating to the later history of the dispute was inscribed on the walls of the temple of Athena Polias at Priene : these are now in the British

EXANDER'S DEATH TO GAULISH INVASION. 261

even and will shortly be published all together. They are ally given by Böckh, C. I. G. 2005, and Le Bas-Wadton. Voyage Arch. Pt. V. 188-194. We find that Rhodes than once was called in to arbitrate as an ξκκλητος πόλις. ochos II and Ptolemy Philadelphos both are named as ng made awards. Manlius the unscrupulous proconsul y. xxxviii. 35-39), who with ten commissioners had the lation of the affairs of Asia after the Galatian war (B.C. -188), awarded the disputed land to Samos: but his award afterwards overruled by the senate upon an appeal from ne. This letter of Lysimachos (B.C. 301-281) shows that after the victory at Ipsos (301 B.C.) had made him master lost of Asia Minor, the Samians had applied to him about · claims, and had received encouragement from him. Lysi-105 was stern, but not unjust: and in this letter he appears olitely inform the Samians that, as the question has been ened (which he regrets), he is obliged to dismiss their claim atimetos. Such disputes about territory were very common een the Greek cities: cf. Nos. 156, 200.

153.

Honours to an ambassador sent to Kassander from Athens: B.C. 299.

Marble found at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 297.

['Επ] ε[ὐκτήμον]ος ἄρχοντος ἐπὶ [τῆ]ς 'Αντιγο[νίδος δ]ευτέρας πρ-[υτ]ανείας, ἡ Θέοφιλος [Ξεν]ο[φῶν]τος Κεφαλήθεν ἐγραμμάτε[υε]-

- 5 ν' Μεταγειτνιώνος δεκάτει ύστέρα, μιά και είκοστει της πρυτανείας' ἐκκλησία' τών προέδρών ἐπεψήφιζε Λυσίμαχος Ναυσιστράτου Προσπάλτιος και
- 10 συνπρόεδροι' έδοξεν τῷ δήμῷ Φιλιππίδης Φιλομήλου Παιανιεὺς εἶπεν' ἐπειδὴ οἱ πρέσβεις οἱ ἀποσταλέντες πρός τὸν βασιλέα Κάσσανδρον ἀποφαίνο-

- 15 υσι Ποσείδιπτον συναποδημήσαντα μεθ έαντῶν χρήσιμον είναι έαυτοῖς ἀποδεικνύμενον τὴν εύνοιαν ἡν είχε πρός τὸν δη̂μον τὸν ᾿Αθηναίων, δεδόχθαι τ-
- 20 φ δήμφ ἐπαικέσαι Ποσείδιππον [B]ακ[χ]ίου Κοθωκίδην καὶ στεφανώσαι αὐτὰν θαλλοῦ στεφάνφ, ὅπως ἀν ὡς πλεῖστοι φιλοτμιώνται χρείαν παρέχεσθαι ἐ-
- 25 [πί] τὰ συνφέροντα τῷ δήμφ ἀναγράψαι δὲ τόδε τὸ ψήφισμα τὸν γραμματέα τὰν κατὰ πρυτανείαν ἐν στήλει λιθίνει καὶ στῆσαι ἐν ἀκροπάλει, εἰς δὲ τὴν ἀν30 αγραφὴν τῆς στήλης δοῦναι τὸν ἐξεταστὴν καὶ τοὺς τριττυάρχους ΔΔ δραχμάς.

After the defeat of Ipsos, Demetrios lost for a while his ascendancy in Greece, and Kassander resumed his former influence. At Athens there set in a strong reaction against Demetrios, and a genuine disgust at the servility of which Stratokles had been the spokesman. A stand was made for real liberty (see Droysen, Hell, ii. 2. p. 240 foll.), and Kassander's menacing movements were repelled (Pausan. i. 26, 3): έσβαλόντος ές την Αττικήν Κασσάνδρου πλεύσας Όλυμπιόδωρος ές Αλτωλίαν βοηθείν Αλτώλους έπεισε και το συμμαχικόν τούτο έγένετο 'Αθηναίοις αίτιον μάλιστα διαφυγεῖν τὸν Κασσάνδρου $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu o \nu$. Our inscription probably relates to these events. Observe the name of the out zovravevoura: in B.C. 307 two tribes, Antigonis and Demetrias, were added to the ten of Kleisthenes, the demes being redistributed. The change was a convenient one, as now in ordinary years the twelve prytanies corresponded to the twelve months. Thus here the 21st day of the second prytany coincides with the 21st of the second month: derary $i\sigma$ τέρα = δεκάτη φθίνοιτος (Droysen, Hell. ii. 2. 120). The τριττύς was a division of the φυλή, one third: the officers of Poseidippos' tribe are to find the money for the inscription, out of the funds of the tribe.

Peace between Athens and Demetrics,--end of the 'four-years' War: B.C. 295-4. Found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 300. $\left[\Theta\epsilon\right]\circ\left[l\right].$ ['Επί Νικοστράτ]ου ἄρχοντος έπι τή[ς... δος ένά] της πρυτανείας. 'Ελ[αφηβολιώτος πέμπτ]ει ίσταμένου, πέμπ[τ]ει 5 [Kal dekarm th]s nouravelas. ekkl [n] ol-[α κυρία των προ]έδρων επεψήφιζεν . ντ-[..... καὶ συμ[π]ρό-[εδροι εδαξεν τ] φ δήμφ Γόργος Φρ[υ]νι-[.....]ς είπεν· επειδή Ηρό[δ ωριο [ος πρότερών τε] διατρίβων παρ' 'Αντιγ-[όνφ τῷ βασιλε]ι εύνους ην τῷ δήμφ τ-[φ 'Αθηναίων κα] νυν έμ πίστε(ι) ών τφ βασιλεί Δημαητρίω άγαθον δτι δύναται [ποιεί, συπεργε]ί τε ύπερ τής πόλεως κα-15 [] της ελευθερί] as τοῦ (δήμου τοῦ) 'Αθηναίων ἀεὶ το-[ις ήκουσισ απο]φαίνουσιν δ' αυτόν και [οί πρέσβεμε οί] πεμφθέντες ύπερ της ε-[Ιρήνης πρός τό]ν βασιλέα Δημήτριον σ-[υναγωκίσ**ασθ**α]ι τῷ δήμφ els τό συντ-20 [ελεσθήναι τήν] τε φιλίαν την πρός τον [βασιλέα Δημήτρ]ιον και δπως αν ο δημο-[s ἀπαλλαγείη το]ῦ πολέμου τὴν ταχίστ-[ην καὶ κομασάμε]νος τὸ άστυ δημοκρατ-[ίαν έχοι ἀπολαβ]ών αγαθεί τύχει δεδό-25 [χθαι τῷ δήμφ] επαινέσαι Ηρόδωρον Φ-[.....курдая биека к-[αὶ φιλοτιμίας] ής ἔχων διατελεῖ περὶ [τον δήμον τον 'A]θηναίων και στεφανώσ-[αι αὐτὸν χρυσῷ] στεφάνω κατά τὸν νό-30 [μον καί άνειπε]ιν τόν στέφανον Διονυ-[σίων των έν άστ]ει τραγφδων τῷ άγων-

[ι είναι δ' αὐτον] 'Αθηναίον και τους έκγ-[όνους αύτοῦ καί] γράψασθαι αὐτός φυλ-[ης και δήμου κα] φρατρίας ης αν βούλη-35 [ται είναι δ' αύτ] φ και σίτησιν έμ πρυτανείω και εκγόνων δει τώ πρεσβετ ατω καλ προεδρ]ίαν έν πάσιν τοις άγωσσιιν ούς ή πόλις τ]ίθησων στήσαι δ' αύτο[ΰ τόν δήμου καί ε ίκόνα χαλκήν έν άγορ β 40 πλην παρ' 'Αρμό διον και 'Αριστογείτ ονα καί τούς Σωτ]ήρας χειροτονήσαι δ[ε τόν δήμον ήδη τ]ρείς δεδρας έξ 'Αθηνα[[]ων απάντων οίτ ινες ετιμελήσοιται [τής ποήσεως τής] είκ**ότος,** μερίσαι δ' αὐτ-45 [ois tov ¿Ectaot])v kai tovs toitteap-[χους είς την εί]κόνα δτι αν ανάλωμα γέ-[νηται· τούς δε πρ]υτάτεις δούναι περί [αύτοῦ την ψηφον] els την πρώτην έκκλησίαν, τούς δε θεσ μοθέτας είσαγαγείν 50 [αντφ την δοκιμ]ασίας της πολιτείας [καί της δωρεάς] είς το δικαστήριον κα-[τὰ τὸν νόμον ὅτ]αν πρῶτον δικαστήρια [αναπληρώσιν. αν αγράψαι δε τόδε το ψή-[φισμα τὸν γραμ]ματέα τὸν κατὰ πρεταν-55 [είαν έν στήλη] λιθίσει και στήσαι έν [άκροπόλει· είs] δε την άναγραφην της σ-[τήλης δούναι τ]δυ έπι τη διοικήσει το ανάλωμα].-

On the relations of Demetrics with Athens at this time see note on Nos. 153, 160; and Droysen, Hellen. ii. 2. 254. The reaction against him had ripened into a 'four years' war,' which was now at an end: Lachares the tyrant had fled, and the Athenians were reduced to utter famine by Demetrics (Plut. Dem. 33-34): και Λαχάρης δ τύραιτος απέδρα προέμενος την πόλιν. Οι δε 'Αθηναΐοι, καίπερ ψηφισάμενοι θάνατον, εί μνησθείη τις εἰρήνης και διαλλαγής πρός Δημήτριον, εἰθὺς ἀνεψγνυσαν τὰς ἐγγὺς πύλας καὶ πρέσβεις ἐπεμπον κ.τ.λ. Herodoros of this inscription was one of these πρέσβεις.

264

Honours at Athens to Bithys the Courtier of King Lysimachos: B.C. 298-295 or 287-281.

Two fragments found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 320.

The beginning is broken :---

.... καὶ εῦν [ου]ς τῷ δήμω· τῦ [xŋ dyabŋ δεδόx θa]ι τεί β [ουλ]εί τ[ο]υς προέδρους σέτι[νες αν λάχωσιν] προεδρ εύ ειν έν τω δήμω είς τη τη πρώτην έκκλησε αν χρημα[τ]ίσαι περί τούτων, γνώμ[ην δε συμβάλλεσθ]-5 αι [τ] ης βο[υ]λης είς του δημου στ[ιδοκεί τη βουλη έ]παινέσα [ι Β] ίθυν Κλέωνος Λυσ[μαχέα άρετης ένεκα] καί εύνοίας της είς τον δημο[ν τότ 'Αθηναίων καί] στεφανώσ[αι] χρυσφ στεφάι [φ άπὸ . . δραχμών είνα]ι δε αύτον ['Αθη]ναί[ον κ]αι αύτ[συ και έκγόνους και γ]-10 ράψασσθαι (sic) [φ]υλή[s καί] δήμιου [καί φρατρίας ής αν βού]ληται κατά τόν [ν]όμον, τούς δ[ε πρυτάνεις τούς πρυτ]ανεύ[ο]ντας δού, ν]α[ι π]ερί αντ[ου την ψηφον, όπως αν έφ]άμιλλον εί $(= \eta)$ πάσιν $[\phi]$ ιλοτιμ[είσθαι περί τον δημον]του 'Αθηναίων [ε]ιδότ[α]ς δτ[ι χάριτας απολήψονται κα]-15 raflas tŵv everyer $[\eta]\mu dt [\omega r. draypd \psi a de tode to \psi]$ ήφισμα του γρα[μμα]τ[έα τον κατα πρυτανείαν έν στή]λη λιθίνει καί [στήσαι έν ἀκροπόλει, είς δε την ἀν]αγραφήν τής στή λης μερίσαι τους έπι τή διοκή-

 $σει \Delta δραχμάς.$

Bithys is known to us from the gossip of Athenzos (pp. 246 and 614) as a retainer of Lysimachos. This decree must date either from the 'four years' war' against Demetrios, B. c. 298– 295 (see No. 154); or between the expulsion of Demetrios' garrison from the Museion in 287 and Lysimachos' death in 281. I prefer the former date. During the war with Demetrios, the Athenians had been in correspondence with Lysimachos.

Lamia arbitrates between Athens and the Bosotian League: B.C. 289 or 288.

Found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 308.

³Επὶ Θερσιλόχου άρχοντος ἐ[πὶ τῆς ... τίδ]ος δευτέρα(ς) πρυτανείας, § [Διόδοτος Δ]ιογνήτου Φρεάβριος ἐγραμμ[άτευε· Μετα]γειτνιώνος δωδεκάτῃ, δωδε[κάτῃ τῆς πρ]-

5 υτανείας ἐκκλησία κυρία τῶ[ν προέδρων] ἐπεψήφιζεν Πυθογένης Γλαυ[κίππου 'Αλω]πεκήθεν καὶ συ[ν]πρόεδροι.

ξδοξεν τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ [δήμφ]. Καλαίδης Καλαίδου Ξυπεταιών [εἶπεν ἐπε]ιο ιδὴ τοῦ δήμου τοῦ 'Αθηναίων καὶ [τοῦ κοινο]ῦ τοῦ Βοιωτῶν σύμβολον ποιησαμ[ένων πρό]ς ἀλλήλους καὶ ἐλομένων ἔκκλητ[ον τὴν Λα]μιίων πόλιν ἀνεδέξατο καθιεῖ[ν τὸ δικασ]τήριον, καὶ νῦν οἱ ἀποσταλέντε[ς ὑπὸ τῶν Λις αμιέων] ἐπὶ [τὰς δί]κας ἀ[π]ο[φαίνουσιν κ.τ.λ.

157.

Honours to Audoleon king of Pæonia for assisting the Athenians to expel Demetrics' garrison : B.C. 286-285.

Found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 312.

[Έ]πλ Διοτίμου ἄρχοντος ἐπὶ τ[ῆς Π]α(ν)διονίδος δ[ω]δεκάτης πρυ[τα]-

relas $\int \Lambda v \sigma l \sigma [\tau] \rho a \tau o s [\Lambda] \rho l \sigma \tau o [\mu]$ άχου Παιανιεύ[s] εγρα[μμ]άτευε[ν]. 5 $\sum \kappa i \rho o \phi o \rho i \hat{\omega} v o s \xi \kappa \tau \epsilon i [\mu] \epsilon \tau' \epsilon i \kappa [d]$ δας, πέμπτει και ε(ι)κοστ[ε]ι της [πρ]υτανείας. εκκλησία. τω [ν] προέδ[ρ]ων επεψήφιζεν Φιλόμηλος Φιλ[ομ]ήλου 'Αλαιεύς και συμπρόεδρ[0]-10 ι έδοξεν τώ δήμω· Σιμωνί[δης 'H]γήμονος 'Αγνού[σ]ιος εί[πε]ν' έ[πει]δη ό Παιόνων β[ασ]ιλενς [Α]νδω[λέω]ν έκ τε των έμ[π]ροσθε χρόνων ε[ύν]ους έστιν τώ δήμω τω 'Αθηνα[[]-15 ων χρείας παρεχόμενο[s] καὶ σv[v]εργῶν εἰs τὴν ἐλευθερ[ί] aν τῆ [π]όλει και κομισαμένου [τ]ου δήμ[0]υ τό άστυ πυθόμενος συ νήσθη τ[ο]ίς γεγενημένοις εύτυχήμασι 20 νομίζων είναι κοινην και αυτ[φ] την της πόλεως σωτηρίαν, παρ[έ]χετα[ι] δε χρείας και ίδία τοί[ς] τε διατρίβουσιν 'Αθηναίων πα[ρ] έαυτόν και τοις άφικνουμένο[ι]-25 s είs την χώραν, δέδωκεν δε καί $[\sigma]$ -([τ]ου δωρεάν τῷ δήμφ μεδίμν[ου]ς έπτακισχιλίους και πεντα[κ]orious Makedovlas rois idioi[s] αναλώμασιν καταστήσας els [το]-30 ùs $\lambda \mu \epsilon v as$ toùs tôs $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$, $\epsilon \pi [a]$ νγέλλεται δε καί είς το λοιπο[ν] παρέξεσθαι χρείας συνεργών [ε]ίς τε την τού Πειραιέως κομι[δη]ν και την της πόλεως ελευθερί[α]-35 ν° άγαθει τύχει δεδόχθαι τώ δήμφ επαινέσαι τόμ βασιλεί[a] (sic) Αίδωλέοντα Πατράου Παίονα [ά]ρετής ένεκα και ευνοίας της ε[1]s τον δημον τον 'Αθηναίων και σ[τε-40 φ αιώσαι αύτον χρυσώ στεφάι [ω] καί αrειπείν τον στ[ε]φαrον Δ[ι-

ο]ετσίων τ[ŵ]ε μεγάλων [τρ]αγφδ[ŵ]-
ד דַשְ מֹשְשׁד (ו), דַשָּׁר [ט]ל שמין (ס) כישר דסני [ס]-
τεφάνου και της αναγορεύσεω[s
43 ε] πιμεληθήσαι τους επί τεί δι[ο-
ι]κήσει είναι δε αύτον Άθηκαί[ο-
r] καὶ τοὺς ἐγγόρους αὐτοῦ κα[ὶ ẻ-
ξ]είται α[v]τῷ γράψασθαι φυλῆς κ[α-
ί] δήμου και φρατρίας ής άμ βού-
=ο ληται, rous de πρυτάσειs ol as [n]-
ρώτον λάχωσιν πρυτανεύειν δ[0]-
υναι περί αύτου την ψηφον els [τ]-
ημ τρώτην έκκλησίαν, τούς δε [θε]-
σμοθέτας [el]σαγαγείν αντφ τ-
ε: η τ δοκιμα[σί]ar της δαρεάς els [τ]-
ό δικαστή[ρι]ου όταν πρώτου ο[ίό-
r] τ' ή' στήσ[αι δ]ε α[ψ]τοῦ καὶ εἰκό[🛲
χ]αλκή[r] έφ' [Ιπ]που έν άγορα, τή[s δ-
e ποήσ[e]w[s κα]ι της στά[σ]ews eπ[μ-
50 ελ]ηθή[rai το]bs έπὶ τῷ διοικ[ήσει].

On the relations between Athens and Demetrics see Nos. 153. 154, 160. In 288 B.C. Demetrics, not content with the possession of Macedonia, nor considering the dangerous power of Pyrrhos, made vast preparations for recovering the Asiatic kingdom of his father. The result was a coalition of Seleukos, Ptolemv. and Lysimachos against him. The Athenians seized the opportunity of rising against Demetrics' garrison, and under command of Olympiodoros they recovered the Museion (Pausan. i. 26): then, afraid of Demetrios' vengeance, ror Hubbor en Manedorias μετεπέμποιτο, μάλλον ή προσεδόκησαν Ισχύοντα τον Δημήτριος όρωντες. O de dorg μer exilder adrois κ.τ.λ. (Plut. Dem. 46). They also secured the aid of Spartokos IV, king of Bosporos. No. 159, and of Audoleon, king of Preonia. It was Pyrrhos who saved them : in the summer of 287 B.C. he made a compact with Demetrics at Athens, whereby the upper city (ro dorv) was declared free. Pirzeus still remained under Demetrics' garrison. but this also they are hoping to recover (line 32, superyur eis re τητ τού Πειραιέως κομιδήν κ.τ.λ.): Droysen, Hell. ii. 2. 302.

Honours at Athens to an officer of King Audoleon : B.C. 286-285.

Found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 313, and p. 415.

['Επὶ Διοτίμου ἄρχοντος κ.τ.λ. Σκιροφοριώνος ἕκτη μετ' εἰκά]δ[as, πέμπτη καὶ εἰκοστῆ τῆς πρυτανείας· ἐκ]κλησί**[a**· τῶν προέδρων ἐπ]εψήφ-[ιζεν Φιλό]μηλος Φιλ[ομήλου 'Αλα]ιεὺς καὶ συ-

- 5 [νπρόεδ]ροι· έδοξεν [τῷ δήμφ]· Σιμωνίδης ['Ηγήμον]os 'Αγνούστο[s εἶπεν]· ἐπειδὴ Τιμο-[..ε]ῦνους ἐστὶν τ[ῷ δήμφ] τῷ 'Αθηνα[ίων καὶ λέ]γων καὶ πράτ(τ)ω[ν ὑπερ τ]ῆς πόλεως ἀ[γαθὸ]ν ὅτι ἀν δύνηται [πρός Αὐ]δωλέοντα, [παρ-
- 10 έχ]εται δε χρείας καὶ [τοῖς δ]ιατρίβουσι[ν 'Aθ]ηναίων παρ' Αὐδωλέο[ντι κ]αθότι ἂν α[ὐτό]ν παρακαλώσιν, συνήρ[γησ]εν δε καὶ [εἰς τὴν] εκκομιδὴν τοῦ σίπου [φιλ]οτιμ[ότατα ὥστε] τὴν ταχίστην αἰπὸν [παρ]α[πεμφθῆν-
- 15 aι τ] φ δήμφ δπως αν σῦ[ν καὶ εἰς τὸ λοιπὸν] συναγωνίζηται εἰς [τὰ τῷ δήμω σ]υνφέροντα, τύχει ἀγαθε[ι δεδόχθαι τῷ δήμψ], ἐπαινέσαι Τιμ[ο....καὶ σ]τεφανῶσ[αι αὐτόν κ.τ.λ.

Decree passed the same day as that in honour of Audoleon, No. 157, q.r.

159.

Honours to Spartokos IV, ruler of Bosporos, in connexion with the recovery of the Mussion: B.C. 286-285.

On two fragments, one of which is still at Athens, the other (containing the latter portion) is in the British Museum. The text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 311; Greek Inscriptions in the B. M. xv.

[Επὶ Δ]ιοτίμου ἄρχοπτος ἐπὶ τῆς ᾿Αντι[γονίδος (?) ἐβδό]μης πρυτανείας, ϳ Λυσίστρατ[ος ᾿Αριστομάχου] Παιανιεὺς ἐγραμμάτευεν· Γα[μηλιῶνος ἕνη καὶ] πές, ἐνάτη καὶ εἰ[κοσ]τῆ τῆ[ς πρυτανείας·

- 5 έκκ λησία τών προέδρ ων έπε ψ ήφιζε.... ...] οσθένου Ξυπετ aiùr κal στηπρόεδροι εδοξε]ν τῷ δήμψ· 'Αγύβ[διος Καλλιμέδοντος Κολλε]τεύς είπεν επειδή πρότερόν τε οι πρόγονοι οί]-Σπαρτόκου χρείας [παρέσχηνται τῷ δήμψ καί] 10 νυν Σπάρτοκος πα ραλαβών την είς τον δήμον οί]κειότητα κοινή [τε τῷ δήμφ χρείας παρέχε]ται καὶ ίδία 'Αθη ναίων τοῖς αφικροιμέροις] πρός αὐτόν ἀνθ [ῶν καὶ ὁ δημος ὁ ᾿Αθηναίων αὐτοὺς] πολίτας έποιή σατο και έτίμησ] σ [εικόσιν χαλ]-15 καις έν τε τη [άγορα καί] έν το εμπορίο [καί] άλλαις δωρεα[îs, als προσή]κει τιμάσθει τού[s] άγαθούς άνδ[pas, και διέθε]το έάν τις βαδίζει (= η ut sæpe) έπι την άρχην την τών προγάνω]ν αύτου ή την Σπα[ρ]τόκου βοηθε [ίν παντί σθένε]ι κ[α]ί κατά γην καί 20 κατά θάλατ ταν έτι δε Σπάρτ οκος αφικομέτης πρεσβείας [παρ' 'Αθηναίων ακ]σύσας ότι ό δήμος κεκόμιστ [αι τό δστυ συνήσ]θη τοις εντυχήμασ[ι] του δή μου και δέδωκεν σίτ]ου δωρεάτ μυρίου[s] καί πε[ντακισχιλίους με]δίμνους, επαγγέλλε[τ-25 αι δε και ές το λοιπόν χρ]είαν παρέξεσθαι τώ [δήμφ καί ειν καθό]τι αν δύτηται καί ταξ-[τα πράττει προαιρούμεν]os διαφυλάττειν της [eύνοιαν την είς τον δήμ]ον την παραδεδομένης [αὐτῷ παρὰ τῶν προγόνω]ν δπως år οῦν φαίκηται 30 [ό δήμος χάριτος μεμνη]μένος (?) πρός τούς είπους [δια τοῦ ξμπροσθεν χρ]όνου διαμεμετηκότας αὐ-[τφ, τύχη αγαθή δε]δόχθαι τφ δήμφ έτ[auréσαι μέν τον βασιλέ α Σπάρτοκος Ειμήλου [Βοσπόριον καί στεφανώ]σαι χρυσώ στεφάνω [άπὸ 35 . . . δραχμών άρετής] ένεκα και ευνοίας ήν έχω[r διατελεί πρός τόν] δήμον και ανειπείς του στέ φανον Διονυσίων] τών μεγάλων τρεγφδοίς έν τώ [άγωνι, τής δε π]οιήσεως του στεφάτου και τής -[ναγορεύσεω]ς έπιμεληθήναι τους έπι τη διο[ι-
- 40 κήσει· στήσαι] δ' αὐτοῦ καὶ εἰαώνα χαλκῆν ἐν τỹ [ἀγορậ παρὰ] τοὺς προγόνους ακὶ ἐτέραν ἐ[ν ἀκροπόλει· ὅπω]ς ἀν δὲ καὶ εἰδῃ ὅ βασιλεὺς Σπάρτ[οκος τὰ ἐψηφ]ισμένα τῷ δήμφ, χειροτονῆσαι πρέ-

[σβεις τρε]îς ἄνδρας έξ 'Αθηναίων ἀπάντων, οζτι[ν-45 ες αίρεθε]ντες ἀπαροῦσιν καὶ τό τε ψήφισμα ἀ[ποδώσου]σιν καὶ ἀπαγγελοῦσι τὴν είνοιαν ἡν [ἔχει πρδ]ς αὐτὸν ὁ δῆμος καὶ παρακαλοῦσιν αὐ[τὸν]ειν τῷ δήμφ καθότι ἀν δύνηται, δοῦ[ναι δὲ ἐφό]δια τῶν πρέσβειων¹ ἐκάστφ τὸ τετα[γ-

- 50 μένου]· ὅπως ἀν δὲ καὶ ὑπόμνημα ή τῆς οἰκειό-[τητος κ]αὶ τῶν δωρειῶν τῶν προστιθεμένων αὐτῷ πρ]ὸς ταῖς ὑπαρχούσαις, τὸν γραμματέα τὸν [κατὰ π]ρυτανείαν ἀναγράψαι τόδε τὸ ψήφισμα ἐν στ]ήλῃ λιθίνῃ καὶ στῆσαι ἐν ἀκροπόλει, τὸ
- 55 [δε ἀν]άλωμα το γενόμενον μερίσαι τοὺς ἐπὶ τῆ [διο]ικήσει.

Ο δήμος.

Compare the decree in honour of king Audoleon, which refers to the same events: compare also Nos. 110, 111. The reader is again referred to the last chapter of Grote's History, for an account of the Bosporian kingdom. Agyrrhios is no doubt the grandson of the well-known orator of that name: his father Kallimedon also, 'the crab,' was a well-known demagogue (see Schäfer, *Dem. u. seine Zeit*, iii. p. 335; and Athenæos, p. 340). On the statues at Athens to the ancestors of Spartokos see Demosth. *Leptin.* p. 466; Dinarchos, *adv. Dem.* 43.

160.

Honours to Philippides the comic poet, for using his interest with Lysimachos, and for other services to Athens: B.C. 284.

Found in the Dionysiac theatre at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. J. A. ii. 314; cp. Dittenberger in Hermes, ii. 286 foll.

['E]πὶ Εὐθί[ο]υ ἄρχοντος ἐπὶ τῆς ['Ακαμαντίδο]ς τ[ρίτης π]ρυτανείας, εἶ Ναυσιμένης Ναυσικύδου Χολαρ[γεὺs] ἐγραμμάτευεν Βοιηδρομιώνος ὀγδόει ἐπὶ δέ[κα, ἐν]άτει καὶ δεκάτει τῆς πρυτανείας ἐκκλησία κυρ[ί]-5 α. τῶν προέδ[ρ]ων ἐπεψήφιζεν Ἱερομνήμων Τεισιμάχ-

ου έκ Κοίλης και συμπρόεδροι έδοξεν τη βουλεί κ-

αὶ τῷ δήμψ Νικήρατος Φιλέου Κεφαλήθεν είπεν § Ι. ε.

¹ Cf. dupeiur, v. 51 : sic supius et pro e. Cp. No. 157, l. 36.

πειδή Φιλιππίδης διατετέλεκεν έν παντί καιρώ άποδεικνύμενος την πρός τον δήμον εύνοιαν και ά-10 ποδημήσας πρός (τ) δε βασιλέα Αυσίμαχου § 2. πρότερόε τε διαλεχθείς τῷ βασιλεῖ ἐκόμισεν τῷ δήμψ δωρεάν πυρών μεδίμνους 'Αττικούς μυρίους τούς διαδοθέντας πασιν 'Αθηναίοις έπ' Εύκτήμονος άρχοντos (299-8 B.C.) διελέχθη δε και ύπερ κεραίas και ίστου όπως αν 15 δοθεί τη θεώ els τὰ Παναθήναια τῷ πέπλω à έκομίσθη έπ' Εὐκτήμονος άρχοντος, § 3. καὶ νικήσαντος Λυσιμάχου τοῦ βασιλέως [τη]ν μάχην την Ίψφ γενομένην πρός 'Αντίγον ον κα] Δημήτριον (301 Β. C.) τούς μέν τελευτ. ήσαντας έν τῷ κ[ινδύνω] των πολιτ[ω]ν έθαψεν τοις 20 ξαυτοῦ ἀναλώμα[πιν, ὅσοι δ]ε αίχμάλωτοι εγένοντο έμφανίσας τῷ βα[σιλεῖ καὶ] λαβών αὐτοῖς ἄφε[σ]ιν τούς μέν βουλομέν ους στρατ εύεσθαι διώκησεν δπως αν καταχωρισθώσιη [έν] ήγεμορίαις, τούς δε προαιρουμένους απιέναι αμφιέσας και έφόδια δούς πα-25 ρ' ξαυτού απέστειλεν ού ξκαστοι ήβ[ο]ύλοντο πλείους δντας η τριακοσίους, § 4. παρεί (= η)τήσατο δε καί δτως άν άφεθωσιν καὶ ὅσοι των πολιτων κατελήφθησαν ἐν τη 'Ασία είργμένοι ύπο Δημητρίου και 'Αντ[ι]γόνου, καί τοῖς ἀεὶ περιτυνχάνουσιν Αθηναίων χρήσιμ-30 05 ων διατελεί καθότι αν έκαστος αύτον παρακαλ[η]. § 5. καὶ κομισαμένου τοῦ δήμου την ἐλευθερίαν διατετέλεκε λέγων καὶ πράττων τὰ συμφέροντα τεῖ τῆς πόλεως σωτηρία και παρακαλών τον βασιλέα βοηθ. ειν και χρήμασιν και σίτω δπως αν διαμένει(=η) δ δήμ-3: 05 ελεύθερος ων και τον Πειραία κομίσηται και τα φρούρια την ταχίστην, και ύπερ τούτων π[ά]ντων πολλάκις μεμαρτύρηκεν αυτώ δ βασιλεύς πρός τους π-[ρ]εσβεύοντας 'Αθηναίων πρός ξαυτόν, § 6. και χειροτος-[ηθεί]s αγωνοθέτης επί 'Ισαίου άρχοντος ύπήκουσε-40 [ν τῷ δ]ήμφ έθελοντής έκκτων (sic) ίδίων τάς τε πατρίο-[υς θυσία]ς έθυσεν τοις θεοις ύπερ του δήμου και τη-[ν]ν έδωκεν πάσιν 'Aθηναίοις πάντας τούς [.... s, καλ έπί θετον άγωνα κατεσκεύασεν τει Δήμητρι καί τη Κόρη [πρ]ωτος υπόμνημα της του δήμου 45 [ελευθερίας, επεμελή]θη δε και των άλλων αγώνων κα-[ι θυσιών ύπερ της πόλεω]ς, και είς ταυτα πάντα έκ τω[ν ίδίων ἀναλώσας πολλὰ χρ]ήματα τὰς εὐθύνας δέδω-[κεν . . . οὐθ]εν ὑπεναντίον πρό[ς κ.τ.λ.

The reaction at Athens against Demetrios (see Nos. 153 foll.) soon reached the point of open hostility, in what was styled the 'Four Years' War' against him, B. C. 298-295 (δ τετραετής πόλεμος, see the decree in honour of Demochares, in Plutarch's Lives of the X orators). This inscription is dated $i\pi i E i \theta (ov a p x ov ros, who is$ later than the recognized lists carry us, but his year is fixed by help of other inscriptions (see Köhler, ad loc.). § 1. The person honoured is Philippides, a distinguished poet of the New Comedy. and a great friend of Lysimachos, with whom he made merry over Stratokles and the other Demetrios-worshippers at Athens (Plut. Demetr. 12, 26). § 2. On the procession with the peplos on the last day of the Panathenza, 28th Hekatombzon, the reader is referred to Schömann, Gr. Alterth. ii. 447. This was the crowning feature of the festival, the peplos being spread upon a mast and yard-arm ($i\sigma\tau \delta s$, $\kappa \epsilon \rho a(a)$ like the sail of a ship. From both Lysimachos and Ptolemy Athens had received help in money also to resist Demetrios (see Plutarch, l. c.). § 3. A large proportion of the slain at Ipsos were Athenian followers of Demetrios; this furthered the reaction against him, in which both patriots like Demochares, and Macedonizers like Lachares, § 4. Antigonos were united against the crest-fallen Stratokles. Gonatas is meant. § 5. On the death of Kassander in 297 B.C. Lachares, till then in coalition with Demochares' party, sought opportunity for his own designs, and in 296 made himself tyrant. In the spring of 295 Demetrios entered Athens (No. 154). and restoring the democratic forms which had been discontinued by Lachares, placed a garrison in Pirzeus. An ineffectual attempt to expel that garrison in 293 B.C. led him to plant a second garrison on the Museion hill, thus tightening his grasp upon the upper city itself. From this moment it became the passionate ambition of Athens to expel the garrisons and restore 'liberty:' this was achieved in 287 B.C. (see Nos. 157 foll.). § 6. Issees appears to have been archon the year before Euthias. On the historical references in this decree see Droysen. Hell. ii. 2. pp. 240-300.

Inroad of the Gauls,-their repulse from Delphi: B.C. 278.

Found at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 323; comp. Wachsmuth, Sybel's Historische Zeitschrift, x. 1863, 1 foll.

['Ε]πὶ Πολυεύκτου ἄρχοντος ἐπὶ τῆς Αἰγεῖδος ἐνάτης πρ-[v]τανείας, ἡ Χαιρεφῶν Ἀρχεστράτου Κεφαλῆθεν ἐγρα-[μ]μάτευεν· Ἐλαφηβολιῶνος ἐνάτει μετ' εἰκάδας, τριακο-[σ]τεῖ τῆς πρυτανείας· ἔδοξεν τῷ δήμψ· Κύβερνις Κ-

- 5 [υδ]ίου 'Αλιμούσιος εἶπεν' ἐπειδη τὸ κοινὸν τὸ τῶν Αἰτ-[ωλ]ῶν ἀποδεικνύμενον την πρὸς τοὺς θεοὺς εὐσέβειαν [ἐψ]ήφισται τὸν ἀγῶνα τὸν τῶν Σωτηρίων τιθέναι τῷ Δι-[ἐ τ]ῷ Σωτῆρι καὶ τῷ 'Απόλλωνι τῷ Πυθίῳ ὑπόμνημα τῆ-[ς μ]άχης τῆς γενομένης πρὸς τοὺς βαρβάρους τοὺς ἐπισ-
- 10 [τ]ρατεύσαντας ἐπί τε τοὺς Ἑλληνας καὶ τὸ τοῦ ᾿Απόλλωνος ἰερον τὸ κοινὸν τῶν Ἐλλήνων, ἐφ' οῦς καὶ ὁ δῆμος ἐξέπεμπε-[ν] τούς τε ἐπιλέκτους καὶ τοὺς ἰππεῖς συναγωνιουμέν-[ους] ὑπὲρ τῆς κοινῆς σωτηρίας, καὶ περὶ τούτων τὸ κοι-[νὸν] τῶν Αἰτωλῶν καὶ ὁ στρατηγὸς Χαρίξενος ἀπεστάλκ-
- 15 [ασι πρός τό]ν δ[ημο]ν πρεσβείαν την διαλεξομένην δπως [αν.. The rest is lost.]

The repulse of Brennos and the Gauls from Delphi in the early summer of 278 B.C. is one of the most interesting events of post-Alexandrian history. Their migratory hordes, having reached the western coasts of Europe, streamed back eastward and southward, and a century before this had swept away the Etruscan empire and deluged Rome itself. In time Italy became closed against them, and the battle of Sentinum, B. C. 295, gave a new direction to their course. Illyria, Pæonia, and the plain of the Danube now were inundated, and a horde under Brennos in 278 B. C., after ravaging Macedonia, forced, like Xerxes, the Pass of Thermopylæ, and proceeded to sack Delphi. In vain did Bootia, Athens, Megara, the Phokians and Lokrians, and especially the Ætolians, send their best troops to oppose them ;--Kydias, a young Athenian (the son probably of the mover of this decree), above all died bravely (Pausan. x. 21, 3) in this battle of the Pass. At Delphi a final check awaited them, which, though

ascribed by the Greeks to the personal intervention of the gods, was due to their own fierce patriotism and the difficult nature of the locality. The Gauls, now a disordered multitude, retired northwards, and either passed into Asia, joining the hordes moving eastward under Leonnorios and Lutarios,—to be finally checked by the Pergamene kings, and to be known afterwards as the 'Galatians,'—or became mercenaries of the various kings in Asia and Europe. The date of our decree is after midsummer, 277 B.C. The reader is referred to Pausan. x. 20 foll.; Droysen, *Hell.* ii. 2. 340 foll.; Bishop Lightfoot's *Galatians*, introd.

Lamia arbitrates between Athens and the Bœotian League: B.C. 289 or 288.

Found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 308.

'Επί Θερσιλόχου ἄρχοντος έ[πὶ τῆς ... τίδ]ος δευτέρα(ς) πρυτανείας, § [Διόδοτος Δ]ιογνήτου Φρεάβριος έγραμμ[άτευε· Μετα]γειτνιώνος δωδεκάτη, δωδε[κάτη τῆς πρ]-

5 υτανείας· ἐκκλησία κυρία· τώ[ν προέδρων] ἐπεψήφιζεν Πυθογένης Γλαυ[κίππου 'Αλω]πεκήθεν καὶ συ[ν]πρόεδροι·

έδοξεν τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ [δήμφ]· Καλαίδης Καλαίδου Ξυπεταιών [εἶπεν· ἐπε]ιο ιδὴ τοῦ δήμου τοῦ 'Αθηναίων καὶ [τοῦ κοινο]ῦ τοῦ Βοιωτῶν σύμβολον ποιησαμ[ένων πρό]ς ἀλλήλους καὶ ἐλομένων ἔκκλητ[ον τὴν Λα]μιέων πόλιν ἀνεδέξατο καθιεῖ[ν τὸ δικασ]τήριον, καὶ νῦν οἱ ἀποσταλέντε[ς ὑπὸ τῶν Λις αμιέων] ἐπὶ [τὰς δί]κας ἀ[π]ο[φαίνουσιν κ.τ.λ.

For the date of the archon Thersilochos see Köhler, ad loc. The circumstances of this arbitration are unknown: probably it concerned some dispute about frontier. One remembers that Oropos sometimes belonged to Bosotia and sometimes to Attika (Strabo, p. 399, ' $\Omega\rho\omega\pi\delta$ ' is dupito $\beta\eta\tau\eta\sigma\mu\varphi$ yeyémprat $\pi\delta\lambda$ ácis' Tôpurat yàp in $\mue\thetaopl\varphi$ r η 's re'Arric η 's kai t η 's Boustlas: cp. pp. 65, 66). Examples of $\xi\kappa\lambda\eta\tauot$ $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon$ s will be found in Nos. 149, § 6, and 200. The town of Lamia became memorable in the struggle of B.C. 323, see Nos. 133, 134.

157.

Honours to Audoleon king of Pæonia for assisting the Athenians to expel Demetrics' garrison : B.C. 286-285.

Found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 312.

[Ε]πί Διοτίμου άρχοντος έπι τ[ης Π]α(ν)διονίδος δ[ω]δεκάτης πρυ[τα]-

νείας ή Αυσίσ[τ]ρατος ΓΑ]ριστο[μ]άχου Παιανιεύ[s] εγρα[μμ]άτευε[v]. 5 $\sum \kappa i \rho o \phi o \rho i \hat{\omega} v o s \bar{\ell} \kappa \tau \epsilon i [\mu] \epsilon \tau' \epsilon l \kappa [d]$ δας, πέμπτει και ε(ι)κοστ[ε]ι της [πρ]υτανείας έκκλησία τω [ν] προέδ[ρ]ων επεψήφιζεν Φιλόμηλος Φιλ[ομ]ήλου 'Αλαιεύς και συμπρόεδρ[0]-10 ι έδοξεν τῷ δήμφ. Σιμωνί[δης 'H]γήμουος 'Αγυσύ[σ]ιος εί[=ε]ν' έ[πει]δη ό Παιόνων β ασ λετος [Α] νδω λέω]ν έκ τε των έμ[π]ροσθε χρόνων ε[ύν]ους έστιν τῷ δήμω τῷ 'Αθηνα[[]-15 we are tare to be a set of r_{15} with the target of target $\epsilon_{\rho\gamma}\omega\nu$ els tin $i\lambda\epsilon_{\nu}\theta\epsilon_{\rho}[i]a\nu$ ti $[\pi]$ όλει και κομισαμένου [τ]οῦ δήμ[0]υ τὸ ἄστυ πυθόμενος συ[ν]ήσθη τ[ο]îs γεγενημένοιs εύτυχήμασι 20 νομίζων είναι κοινήν και αύτ[φ] την της πόλεως σωτηρίαν, παρ[έ]xera[1] de xpelas kai lola roi[s] τε διατρίβουσιν 'Αθηναίων πα[ρ] έαυτόν καί τοις άφικνουμένο[ι]-25 s εls την χώραν, δέδωκεν δε και $[\sigma]$ ί[τ]ου δωρεάν τῷ δήμφ μεδίμν[ου]ς έπτακισχιλίους και πεντα[κ]οσίους Makedorlas τοις ίδίοι[s] αναλώμασιν καταστήσας είς [το]-30 às $\lambda \mu \epsilon \nu as$ rous rns $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$, $\epsilon \pi [a]$ νγέλλεται δε καί είς το λοιπό[ν] παρέξεσθαι χρείας συνεργών [ε]ίς τε την του Πειραιέως κομι[δη]ν και την της πόλεως ελευθερί[a]-35 ν άγαθει τύχει δεδόχθαι τώ δήμφ έπαινέσαι τόμ βασιλεί[a] (sic) Αὐδωλέοντα Πατράου Παίονα [å]ρετής ένεκα και εύνοίας της ε[l]s τον δήμον τον 'Αθηναίων καί σ[τε-40 φ]αιώσαι αὐτόν χρυσφ στεφάν[ψ] καί αrειπείν τόν στ[ε]φαrον Δ [ι-

	ο]νυσίων τ[θ]ν μεγάλων [τρ]αγφδ[θ]-
	ν τῷ ἀγῶν[ι], τῆς [δ] ἐ ποή[σ] ϵως τοῦ [σ]-
	τεφάνου καί της αναγορεύσεω[s
45	έ]πιμεληθήναι τοὺς ἐπὶ τεῖ δι[ο-
	ι]κήσει είναι δε αύτον Άθηναί[ο-
	ν] καί τούς έγγόνους αύτοῦ κα[ί έ-
	ξ]ει̂ναι α[ΰ]τῷ γράψασθαι φυλη̂ς κ[α-
	ί] δήμου καὶ φρατρίας ἦς ἁμ βού-
50	ληται, τοὺς δὲ πρυτάνεις οι αν [π]-
	ρώτον λάχωσιν πρυτανεύειν δ[0]-
	ῦναι περί αὐτοῦ τὴν ψῆφον είς [τ]-
	ὴμ πρώτην ἐκκλησίαν, τοὺς δὲ [θε]-
	σμοθέτας [εί]σαγαγείν αὐτῷ τ-
53	ὴν δοκιμα[σί]αν τη̂ς δωρεα̂ς είς [τ]-
	ό δικαστή[ρι]ου δταν πρώτου ο[ίό-
	ν] τ' ή' στησ[αι δ]ε α[υ]τοῦ και εἰκό[μα
	χ]αλκ $\hat{\eta}[\nu]$ έφ' [ίπ]που έν άγορ \hat{q} , τ $\hat{\eta}[s \delta$ -
	ε ποήσ[ε]ω[s κα]ι τηs στά[σ]εωs επι[μ-
60	ελ]ηθη[vaι το]ὺς ἐπὶ τῇ διοικ[ήσει].

On the relations between Athens and Demetrics see Nos. 153. 154, 160. In 288 B.C. Demetrics, not content with the possession of Macedonia, nor considering the dangerous power of Pyrrhos, made vast preparations for recovering the Asiatic kingdom of his father. The result was a coalition of Seleukos, Ptolemy. and Lysimachos against him. The Athenians seized the opportunity of rising against Demetrios' garrison, and under command of Olympiodoros they recovered the Museion (Pausan. i. 26): then, afraid of Demetrios' vengeance, tov Hubbor & Makedorlas μετεπέμποιτο, μάλλον ή προσεδόκησαν Ισχύοντα τον Δημήτριος όρωντες. Ο δε οργή μεν επήλθεν αυτοίς κ.τ.λ. (Plut. Dem. 46). They also secured the aid of Spartokos IV, king of Bosporos. No. 159, and of Audoleon, king of Pæonia. It was Pyrrhos who saved them : in the summer of 287 B.C. he made a compact with Demetrics at Athens, whereby the upper city (rò åorv) was declared free. Piræeus still remained under Demetrios' garrison. but this also they are hoping to recover (line 32, συνεργών είς τε την τού Πειραιέως κομιδήν κ.τ.λ.): Droysen, Hell. ii. 2. 302.

Honours at Athens to an officer of King Audoleon: B.C. 286-285.

Found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 313, and p. 415.

['Επὶ Διοτίμου ἄρχοντος κ.τ.λ. Σκιροφοριώνος ἕκτη μετ' εἰκά]δ[as, πέμπτη καὶ εἰκοστῆ τῆς πρυτανείας· ἐκ]κλησί[a· τῶν προέδρων ἐπ]εψήφ-[ιζεν Φιλό]μηλος Φιλ[ομήλου 'Αλα]ιεύς καὶ συ-

5 [νπρόεδ]ροι· ἐδοξεν [τῷ δήμφ]· Σιμωνίδης ['Ηγήμον]os 'Αγνούστο[s εἶπεν]· ἐπειδή Τιμο-[..ε]ῦνους ἐστὶν τ[ῷ δήμφ] τῷ 'Αθηνα[ίων καὶ λέ]γων καὶ πράτ(τ)ω[ν ὑπερ τ]ῆς πόλεως ἀ[γαθὸ]ν ὅτι ἀν δύνηται [πρός Αὐ]δωλέοντα, [παρ-

- 10 έχ]εται δε χρείας καὶ [τοῖς δ]ιατρίβουσι[ν 'Aθ]ηταίων παρ' Αὐδωλέο[ντι κ]αθότι ἂν α[ὐτὸ]ν παρακαλώσιν, συνήρ[γησ]εν δε καὶ [εἰς τὴν] εκκομιδὴν τοῦ σίπου [φιλ]οτιμ[ότατα ὥστε] τὴν ταχίστην αἰτὸν [παρ]α[πεμφθῆν-
- 15 αι τ] φ δήμφ δπως αν σῦ[ν καὶ εἰς τὸ λοιπὸν] συναγωνίζηται εἰς [τὰ τῷ δήμω σ]υνφέροντα, τύχει ἀγαθε[ι δεδόχθαι τῷ δήμφ], ἐπαινέσαι Τιμ[ο.... καὶ σ]τεφανῶσ[αι αὐτόν κ.τ.λ.

Decree passed the same day as that in honour of Audoleon, No. 157, q.r.

159.

Honours to Spartokos IV, ruler of Bosporos, in connexion with the recovery of the Museion: B.C. 286-285.

On two fragments, one of which is still at Athens, the other (containing the latter portion) is in the British Mussoum. The text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 311; Greek Inscriptions in the B. M. xv.

[Επὶ Δ]ιοτίμου ἄρχοπτος ἐπὶ τῆς ᾿Αντι[γονίδος (?) ἐβδό]μης πρυτανείας, ϳ Λυσίστρατ[ος ᾿Αριστομάχου] Παιανιεὺς ἐγραμμάτευεν· Γα[μηλιώνος ἕνη καὶ] σές, ἐνάτη καὶ εἰ[κοσ]τῆ τή[ς πρυτανείας·

5 έκκ λησία· των προέδρ ων επε ψ ήφιζε] οσθένου Ξυπετ αιών και συμπρόεδροι εδοξε]» τῷ δήμψ· 'Αγύρ[bios Καλλιμέδοντος Κολλε]τεύς είπεν' έπειδή [πρότερόν τε οι πρόγονοι οί]-Σπαρτόκου χρείας [παρέσχηνται 🖓 δήμψ καί] 10 νῦν Σπάρτοκος πα[ραλαβών την είς τον δημον οί]κειότητα κοινή [τε τῷ δήμφ χρείας zapέχε]ται καί ίδία 'Αθη ναίων τοις αφικροιμέροις] πρός αὐτόν ἀνθ [ῶν καὶ ὁ δημος ὁ ᾿Αθηναίων αἰτοὺς] πολίτας εποιή σατο και ετίμησε εικόσιν χαλ 15 καις έν τε τη [άγορφ και] έν τψ έμπορίψ [και] άλλαις δωρεα[îs, als προσή]κει τιμάσθαι τού[s] άγαθούς άνδ[pas, καὶ διέθε]το έάν τις βαδίζει (= η ut sæpe) έπι την άρχην τ[ην των προγάσω]» αύτου η την Σπα[ρ]τόκου βοηθε [ιν παντί σθένε]ι κ[α]ι κατά γην και 20 Katà Balat Tar Eti de Stapt Jokos adikouterns πρεσβείας [παρ' 'Αθηναίων ακ]σύσας ότι ό δήμος κεκόμιστ αι τό δστυ συνήσ θη τοις εντυχήμασ[ι] του δή μου και δέδωκεν σίτ ου δωρεαν μυρίου[s] καί πε ντακισχιλίους με δίμνους, επαγγέλλε τ-25 αι δε καί ες τό λοιπόν χρ]είαν παρέξεσθαι τώ [δήμφ καί ειν καθό]τι αν δύιηται και ταξ-[τα πράττει προαιρούμεν]os διαφυλάττειν της [ύνοιαν την είς τον δημον την παραδεδομέτης [αὐτῷ παρὰ τῶν προγόνω]ν δπως år οῦν φαίκηται 30 [δ δήμος χάριτος μεμνη μένος (?) πρός τούς είτους δια του έμπροσθεν χρ όνου διαμεμειηκότας αν-[τω, τύχη αγαθή δε δόχθαι τω δήμω ετ aureσαι μέν τόν βασιλέζα Σπάρτοκος Ειμήλου [Βοσπόριον καὶ στεφανῶ]σαι χρυσῷ στεφάνψ [ἀπὸ 35 ... δραχμών άρετής] ένεκα και ευτοίας ήν έχω[r διατελεί πρός τόν] δήμον και ανειπείε του στέ φανον Διονυσίων] τών μεγάλων τραγωδοίς έν τώ [άγωνι, τής δε π]οιήσεως του στεφάτου και τής 📥 [ναγορεύσεω]ς έπιμεληθηναι τους έπι τη διο[ι-40 κήσει στήσαι] δ' αὐτοῦ καὶ εἰκώνα χαλκήν ἐν τῷ [άγορậ παρά] τοὺς προγόνους και έτέραν έ[ν ἀκροπόλει. όπω] s αν δε και είδη ο βασιλεύς Σπάρτ οκος τὰ έψηφ]ισμένα τῷ δήμφ, χωροτονήσαι πρέ-

i

[σβεις τρε]îς ἄνδρας έξ 'Αθηναίων ἀπάντων, οίτι[ν-45 ες αίρεθε]ντες ἀπαροῦσιν καὶ τό τε ψήφισμα ἀ[ποδώσου]σιν καὶ ἀπαγγελοῦσι τὴν εὕνοιαν ἡν [ἔχει πρδ]ς αὐτὸν ὁ δήμος καὶ παρακαλοῦσιν αὐ[τὸν]ειν τῷ δήμφ καθότι ἀν δύνηται, δοῦ[ναι δὲ ἐφό]δια τῶν πρέσβειων¹ ἐκάστφ τὸ τετα[γ-

- 50 μένου]· ὅπως ἀν δὲ καὶ ὑπόμνημα ή τῆς οἰκειό-[τητος κ]αὶ τῶν δωρειῶν τῶν προστιθεμένων αὐτῷ πρ]ὸς ταῖς ὑπαρχούσαις, τὸν γραμματέα τὸν [κατὰ π]ρυτανείαν ἀναγράψαι τόδε τὸ ψήφισμα ἐν στ]ήλῃ λιθίνῃ καὶ στῆσαι ἐν ἀκροπόλει, τὸ
- 55 [δε ἀν]άλωμα το γενόμενον μερίσαι τοὺς ἐπὶ τῆ [διο]ικήσει.

Ο δήμος.

Compare the decree in honour of king Audoleon, which refers to the same events: compare also Nos. 110, 111. The reader is again referred to the last chapter of Grote's History, for an account of the Bosporian kingdom. Agyrrhios is no doubt the grandson of the well-known orator of that name: his father Kallimedon also, 'the crab,' was a well-known demagogue (see Schäfer, *Dem. u. seine Zeit*, iii. p. 335; and Athenæos, p. 340). On the statues at Athens to the ancestors of Spartokos see Demosth. Leptin. p. 466; Dinarchos, adv. Dem. 43.

160.

Honours to Philippides the comic poet, for using his interest with Lysimachos, and for other services to Athens: B.C. 284.

Found in the Dionysiac theatre at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 314; cp. Dittenberger in *Hermes*, ii. 286 foll.

['E]π Εὐθ[ο]υ ἄρχοντος ἐπὶ τῆς ['Ακαμαντίδο]ς τ[ρίτης π]ρυτανείας, εἶ Ναυσιμένης Ναυσικύδου Χολαρ[γεὐs] ἐγραμμάτευεν Βοιηδρομιώνος ἀγδόει ἐπὶ δέ[κα, ἐν]άτει καὶ δεκάτει τῆς πρυτανείας ἐκκλησία κυρ[ί]-5 α' τῶν προέδ[ρ]ων ἐπεψήφιζεν Ἱερομνήμων Τεισιμάχ-

ου έκ Κοίλης και συμπρόεδροι έδοξεν τη βουλεί κ-

αὶ τῷ δήμψ Νικήρατος Φιλέου Κεφαληθεν είπεν § Ι. έ-

¹ Cf. dupeier, v. 51 : sic sepius et pro e. Cp. No. 157, l. 36.

πειδή Φιλιππίδης διατετέλεκεν έν παντί καιρώ άποδεικνύμενος την πρός τόν δήμον εύνοιαν καί ά-10 ποδημήσας πρός (τ) δν βασιλέα Αυσίμαχον § 2. πρότερό τε διαλεχθείς τῷ βασιλεί εκόμισεν τῷ δήμω δωοεάν πυρών μεδίμνους 'Αττικούς μυρίους τούς διαδοθέντας πασιν 'Αθηναίοις έπ' Εύκτήμονος άρχοντos (299-8 B.C.) διελέχθη δε και ύπερ κεραίας και ίστοῦ όπως αν 15 δοθεί τη θεώ είς τα Παναθήναια τώ πέπλω α έκομίσθη έπ' Εὐκτήμονος άρχοντος, § 3. καὶ νικήσαντος Λυσιμάχου τοῦ βασιλέως [τη]ν μάχην την ἰψῷ γενομένην πρός 'Αντίγον ον κα] Δημήτριον (301 B.C.) τούς μέν τελευτήσαντας έν τῷ κ[ινδύνφ] τῶν πολιτ[ῶ]ν έθαψεν τοῖς 20 ξαυτού αναλώμα σιν, δσοι δ] ε αιχμάλωτοι εγένοντο έμφανίσας τῷ βα[σιλεῖ καὶ] λαβὼν αὐτοῖς ἄφε[σ]ιν τούς μέν βουλομέν ους στρατ εύεσθαι διώκησεν δπως αν καταγωρισθώσιν [έν] ήγεμονίαις, τούς δε προαιρουμένους απιέναι αμφιέσας και έφόδια δούς πα-25 ρ' ξαυτού απέστειλεν ού ξκαστοι ήβ[ο]ύλοντο πλείους όντας η τριακοσίους, § 4. παρεί $= \eta$)τήσατο δε καί όπως αν αφεθώσιν καί δσοι τών πολιτών κατελήφθησαν έν τη 'Ασία εἰργμένοι ὑπό Δημητρίου και 'Αντ[ι]γόνου, και τοις άει περιτυνχάνουσιν 'Αθηναίων χρήσιμ-30 ος ŵν διατελεί καθότι αν έκαστος αύτον παρακαλ[ŋ], § 5. καὶ κομισαμένου τοῦ δήμου την ἐλευθερίαν διατετέλεκε λέγων και πράττων τα συμφέροντα τει της πόλεως σωτηρία και παρακαλών τον βασιλέα βοηθ. είν και χρήμασιν και σίτω δπως αν διαμένει $(=\eta)$ δ δήμ-3: 05 ελεύθερος ων και τον Πειραία κομίσηται και τα φρούρια την ταχίστην, και ύπερ τούτων π[ά]ντων πολλάκις μεμαρτύρηκεν αυτώ ό βασιλεύς πρός τους π-[ρ]εσβεύοντας 'Αθηναίων πρός ξαυτόν, § 6. και χειροτος-[ηθεί]s αγωνοθέτης έπι 'Ισαίου άρχοντος ύπήκουσε-40 [ν τῷ δ]ήμφ έθελοντής έκκτων (sic) ίδίων τάς τε πατρίο-[υς θυσία]ς έθυσεν τοις θεοις ύπερ του δήμου και τη-[ν]ν έδωκεν πάσιν 'Aθηναίοις πάντας τούς [.... s, καί επίθετον άγωνα κατεσκεύασεν τεί Δήμητρι καί τη Κόρη [πρ]ώτος υπόμνημα της του δήμου 45 [έλευθερίας, έπεμελή]θη δε και των άλλων αγώνων κα-[ι θυσιών ύπερ της πόλεω]ς, και els ταυτα πάντα έκ τω[ν ίδίων ἀναλώσας πολλὰ χρ]ήματα τὰς εὐθύνας δέδω-[κεν . . . οὐθ]εν ὑπεναντίον πρό[ς κ.τ.λ.

The reaction at Athens against Demetrios (see Nos. 153 foll.) soon reached the point of open hostility, in what was styled the 'Four Years' War' against him, B. C. 298-295 (δ τετραετής πόλεμος, see the decree in honour of Demochares, in Plutarch's Lives of the X orators). This inscription is dated $i\pi i E i \theta lov do x ovros, who is$ later than the recognized lists carry us, but his year is fixed by help of other inscriptions (see Köhler, ad loc.). § 1. The person honoured is Philippides, a distinguished poet of the New Comedy, and a great friend of Lysimachos, with whom he made merry over Stratokles and the other Demetrios-worshippers at Athens (Plut. Demetr. 12, 26). § 2. On the procession with the peplos on the last day of the Panathenza, 28th Hekatombzon, the reader is referred to Schömann, Gr. Alterth. ii. 447. This was the crowning feature of the festival, the peplos being spread upon a mast and yard-arm (ioro's, repaired) like the sail of a ship. From both Lysimachos and Ptolemy Athens had received help in money also to resist Demetrios (see Plutarch, l. c.). § 3. A large proportion of the slain at Ipsos were Athenian followers of Demetrios; this furthered the reaction against him, in which both patriots like Demochares, and Macedonizers like Lachares, were united against the crest-fallen Stratokles. § 4. Antigonos Gonatas is meant. § 5. On the death of Kassander in 297 B.C. Lachares, till then in coalition with Demochares' party, sought opportunity for his own designs, and in 296 made himself tyrant. In the spring of 295 Demetrios entered Athens (No. 154). and restoring the democratic forms which had been discontinued by Lachares, placed a garrison in Piræeus. An ineffectual attempt to expel that garrison in 293 B.C. led him to plant a second garrison on the Museion hill, thus tightening his grasp upon the upper city itself. From this moment it became the passionate ambition of Athens to expel the garrisons and restore 'liberty:' this was achieved in 287 B.C. (see Nos. 157 foll.). § 6. Issees appears to have been archon the year before Euthias. On the historical references in this decree see Droysen, IIell. ii. 2. pp. 240–300.

ł

Inroad of the Gauls,-their repulse from Delphi: B.C. 278.

Found at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 323; comp. Wachsmuth, Sybel's Historische Zeitschrift, x. 1863, 1 foll.

['Ε]πὶ Πολυεύκτου ἄρχουτος ἐπὶ τῆς Αἰγεῖδος ἐνάτης πρ-[υ]τανείας, ϳ Χαιρεφῶν Ἀρχεστράτου Κεφαλῆθεν ἐγρα-[μ]μάτευεν· Ἐλαφηβολιῶνος ἐνάτει μετ' εἰκάδας, τριακο-[σ]τεῖ τῆς πρυτανείας· ἔδοξεν τῷ δήμῳ· Κύβερνις Κ-

5 [υδ] ίου 'Αλιμούσιος εἶπευ' ἐπειδή τὸ κοινὸν τὸ τῶν Αἰτ-[ωλ]ῶν ἀποδεικνύμειον τὴν πρὸς τοὺς θεοὺς εὐσέβειαν [ἐψ]ήφισται τὸν ἀγῶνα τὸν τῶν Σωτηρίων τιθέναι τῷ Δι-[ἐ τ]ῷ Σωτῆρι καὶ τῷ 'Απόλλωνι τῷ Πυθίῳ ὑπόμνημα τῆ-[ς μ]άχης τῆς γενομένης πρὸς τοὺς βαρβάρους τοὺς ἐπισ-

 [τ]ρατεύσαντας έπί τε τοὺς Ἐλληνας καὶ τὸ τοῦ ᾿Απόλλωνος ἰεροῦν τὸ κοινὸν τῶν Ἐλλήνων, ἐφ' σθς καὶ ὁ δῆμος ἐξέπεμπε-[ν] τούς τε ἐπιλέκτους καὶ τοὺς ἱππεῖς συναγωνιουμέν-[ους] ὑπὲρ τῆς κοινῆς σωτηρίας, καὶ περὶ τούτων τὸ κοι-[νὸν] τῶν Αἰτωλῶν καὶ ὁ στρατηγὸς Χαρίξενος ἀπεστάλκ 15 [ασι πρὸς τὸ]ν δ[ῆμο]ν πρεσβείαν τὴν διαλεξομένην ὅπως

as a mos to b of mos b proceeds the offered the second se

The repulse of Brennos and the Gauls from Delphi in the early summer of 278 B.C. is one of the most interesting events of post-Alexandrian history. Their migratory hordes, having reached the western coasts of Europe, streamed back eastward and southward, and a century before this had swept away the Etruscan empire and deluged Rome itself. In time Italy became closed against them, and the battle of Sentinum, B. C. 295, gave a new direction to their course. Illyria, Pæonia, and the plain of the Danube now were inundated, and a horde under Brennos in 278 B. C., after ravaging Macedonia, forced, like Xerxes, the Pass of Thermopylæ, and proceeded to sack Delphi. In vain did Bosotia, Athens, Megara, the Phokians and Lokrians, and especially the Ætolians, send their best troops to oppose them ;-Kydias, a young Athenian (the son probably of the mover of this decree), above all died bravely (Pausan. x. 21, 3) in this battle of the Pass. At Delphi a final check awaited them, which, though

ł

ascribed by the Greeks to the personal intervention of the gods, was due to their own fierce patriotism and the difficult nature of the locality. The Gauls, now a disordered multitude, retired northwards, and either passed into Asia, joining the hordes moving eastward under Leonnorios and Lutarios,—to be finally checked by the Pergamene kings, and to be known afterwards as the 'Galatians,'—or became mercenaries of the various kings in Asia and Europe. The date of our decree is after midsummer, 277 B.C. The reader is referred to Pausan. x. 20 foll.; Droysen, *Hell.* ii. 2. 340 foll.; Bishop Lightfoot's *Galatians*, introd.

PART VII.

PYRRHOS TO FLAMININUS.

B. C. 280-197.

In making this division we are following the natural guidance of events. A different era now begins. The dream of an united empire embracing all Alexander's conquests has gone for ever. The royal line has long become extinct, and the men who fought under Alexander have passed away. After the tremendous conflicts of the Diadochi the Hellenic world settles down into a new and permanent order. History is now concerned with the dynasties and institutions of the Ptolemies, the Seleukidse, the Pergamene kings. Macedon assumes her final position under Antigonos Gonatas: and the rise of the Achæan league, and the development of the Ætolian league, replace in point of interest the old civic system of Greece. Athens indeed has still a history, and the Spartan monarchy developes new features; while Rhodes maintains her independence, and rises to the highest importance in the Levant. But the names of Hiero II and Pyrrhos remind us of the increasing power of Rome, and mark out this period as introductory to the absorption of Greece in the Roman dominion.

162.

Pyrrhos against Rome: B.C. 280-279 (?).

On a bronze plate excavated at Dodona, and sold by stealth from M. Karapanos' collection : recovered by him at Berlin, and published in Arch. Zeitung, 1878, p. 115.

> [Βασιλεὺ]ς Πύβρο[ς καὶ] ᾿Απειρ[ῶ]ται καὶ Τ[αραντῖκοι?] ἀπὸ Ῥωμαίων καὶ [τῶν] συμμάχων Διὶ Να[ίψ].

Before starting for Italy Pyrrhos consulted the Dodona oracle: Dio Cass. Frag. 40, 6: $\delta \tau i \Pi \dot{v} \dot{\rho} \dot{\delta} o \pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi as \dot{\epsilon} s \Delta \omega \dot{\delta} \dot{\omega} \eta \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma a \tau o \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \eta s \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon (as i \chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu o \tilde{v} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\sigma} \dot{\sigma} \tau \sigma s \tau \dot{\sigma} r \dot{\eta} s \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon (as i \chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu o \tilde{v} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\sigma} \dot{\sigma} \tau \sigma s \tau \dot{\sigma} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma s \tau \dot{\sigma} \dot{\tau} \dot{\sigma} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma s \tau \dot{\epsilon} \sigma$

163.

Tarentine dedication at Athens: B.C. 280-279 (?).

On a small architrave discovered at Athens. Published by Rangabé, Antiq. Hellén, No. 1158.

Ταραντίνοι άπό των πολεμίων άνέθεσαν.

M. Rangabé is perhaps right in connecting this dedication with the dubious victory of Heraklea. Tarentum ('Lacedæmonium Tarentum') was hardly likely to send a dedication to Athens, except at a time when the two cities were drawn together by the influence of Pyrrhos, the champion of both. Comp. Thuk. vi. 44, 104; vii. 1; viii. 91; and No. 157.

164.

Letter of Antiochos Soter to the Ionian Erythræ: B.C. 278 (?).

Found among the ruins of Erythrm, and now in the Smyrna Museum : the text from E. Curtius in Monateber d. Berl. Akad. 1875, p. 554.

Βασιλεὺς ᾿Αντίοχος Ἐρυθραίων τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμφ χαίρειν Θαρσύνων καὶ Πύθης καὶ Βόττας οἱ παρ' ὑμῶν πρεσβευταὶ τό τε ψήφισμα ἀπέδωκαν ἡμῶν καθ' δ ἐψηφίσασθε τὰς τιμάς, καὶ τὸν στέφανον ἀνήνενκαν, ἐστεφανώ-

5 σατε ήμας, όμοίως δε και το χρυσίου το είς τα ξένια και αυτοί απολογισάμενοι περί τε της εύνοίας ήν δια παυτός

είσχήκατε είς την ήμετέραν οίκίαν και καθόλου περί της εύχαριστίας του πλήθους ή χρήται πρός απαντας τους εὐεργέτας έτι δε και τημ προαγωγήν εν ή γέγονε ή πόλις επί των πρό-10 τερον βασιλευσάντων, ήξίουν μετα πάσης σπουδής τε καί προθυμίας φιλικώς διακείσθαι ύμιν, και έμου πασιν τοις ανήκουσι πρός τιμήν και δόξαν συναύξειν τα τής πόλεως. Tás τε δή τιμάς και τόν στέφανον δεδέγμεθα οικείως, όμοιως δε καί τα ξένια, και ύμας επαινούμεν εύχαρίστους όντας έμ πα-15 σιν φαίνεσθε γαρ καθόλου άγωγη ταύτη χρησθαι. Διό και έν άρχη τε αίρούμενοι διατελούμεν τημ πρός ύμας εύνοιαν, θεωρούντες απλάστως και αληθινώς έμ πασι προσφερομένους, και νυν πολύ τι μάλλον έπεσπασ(ά)μεθα κατανοοῦντες το εύγενες ύμων καί έξ ετέρων μεν πλεόνων, ούχ ήκιστα δε έκ τε του ψη-20 Φίσματος τοῦ ἀποδοθέντος ἡμῖν καὶ ἐκ τῶν ῥηθέντων ὑπδ τής πρεσβείας και έπειδή οι περί Θαρσύνοντα και Πύθην και Βότταν απέφαινον διότι έπί τε 'Αλεξάνδρου και 'Αντιγόνου αυτό-[ν]ομος ην και αφορολόγητος ή πόλις ύμων, και οι ήμέτεροι πρόγο-[νοι] έσπευδον άει ποτε περί αὐτῆς, θεωροῦ(ν)τες τούτους τε 25 [κρί]νοντας δικαίως και αύτοι βουλόμενοι μη λείπεσθαι ταις εύερ-[γεσ]ίαις, τήν τε αύτονομίαν ύμιν συνδιατηρήσομεν και αφορο-[λογ]ήτους είναι συγχωρούμεν των τε άλλων απάντων καί [τῶν εἰς] τὰ Γαλατικὰ συναγομένων ὑπάρξει δὲ ὑμῖν καὶ ἡ [.... έά]ν τι άλλο φιλάνθρωπον η ήμεις επινοήσωμεν η 30 [..... ε, παρακαλοῦμεν δε καὶ ὑμῶς μνημονεύον-[τας τη]ν έκτενεστάτην πείραν είληφότων

The remaining seven lines are too much broken to be reproduced: the letter ends with $\xi(\beta)\dot{\rho}\omega\sigma\theta\epsilon$.

See notes on No. 165 (Sigean inscr.). The Greek cities took advantage of the difficulties of Antiochos to claim an independence which, as this letter proves, they had lost under Seleukos. The Gauls, whose introduction into Asia had at first brought universal consternation (Droysen, *Hell.* iii. 1. p. 195), were probably ready to take service on the side of the cities against the king. Antiochos for the present has to make every concession (Droysen, *ib.* p. 254 foll.): Erythræ is allowed her old autonomy (No. 100), and no longer pays the Gaulish war-tax (rŵv els rà Γαλατικà συναγομένων). Lines 11, 12: ' with all the means in my power to advance the interests of the city.'

Prayers and thanksgivings at Novum Ilium, for the prosperity of Antiochos Soter: B.C. 278 (?).

Found at Sigeum, and hence called 'The Sigean inscription:' now in the Library of Trinity Coll., Camb., where I have re-read it. Böckh, C. I. G. 3595; Rose, Inscriptiones Graca, Appendix.

Ἐπιμηνιεύοντος Νυμφίου τοῦ Διοτρέφους, ἐπιστατοῦντος δὲ Διονυσίου τοῦ Ἱππομέδοντος, Δημήτριος Διοῦς εἶπεν· Ἐπειδη βασιλεὺς Ἀντίοχος βασιλέως Σελεύκου ἐν ἀρχῆ τε παραλαβών τημ βασιλείαν καὶ προστὰς ἐνδόξου καὶ καλῆς αἰρέσεως ἐζήτησε τὰς μὲν πόλεις τὰς κα(τὰ) την Σε-

- 5 λευκίδα περιεχομένας ύπὸ καιρῶν δυσχερῶν διὰ τοὺς ἀποστάντας τῶμ πραγμάτων εἰς εἰρήνην καὶ τὴν ἀρχαίαν εὐδαιμονίαν καταστῆσαι, τοὺς δ' ἐπιθεμένους τοῖς πρά(γ)μασιν ἐπεξελθῶν καθάπερ ἦν δίκαιον, ἀνακτήσασθαι τὴμ πατρῷαν ἀρχήν. δι' ὃ καὶ χρησάμενος ἐπιβολῆ καλῆ καὶ δικπίκαὶ (λ)αβῶν οὐ μόνον τοὺς φίλους καὶ τὰς δυνάμεις εἰς τὸ διαγωνίσασθαι περ.
- 20 τώμ πραγμάτων αὐτῷ προθύμως, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸ δαιμόνιον εὖνουν καὶ συνεργόν, τάς τε πόλεις εἰς εἰρήνην καὶ τὴμ βασιλείαν εἰς τὴν ἀρχαίαν διάθεσιν κατέστησεν νῦν τε παραγενόμενος ἐπὶ τοὺς τόπους τοὺς ἐπὶ τάδε τοῦ Ταύρου μετὰ πάσης σπουδῆς καὶ φιλοτιμίας ἅμα καὶ ταῖς πόλεσιν τὴν εἰρήνην κατεσκεύασεν καὶ τὰ πράγματα καὶ τὴμ βασιλείαν εἰς μείζω καὶ λαμπροτέραν διάθεσιν
- 25 ἀγήγοχε, μάλιστα μεν διὰ τὴν ἰδίαν ἀρετήν, εἶτα καὶ διὰ τὴν τῶμ φίλων καὶ τῶν δυνάμεων εὕνοιαν. ὅπως οὖν ὁ δῆμος, ἐπειδὴ καὶ πρότερόν τε, καθ ὃν καιρὸν παρέλαβεν τὴμ βασιλείαν, εὐχὰς καὶ θυσίας ὑπερ αὐτοῦ πᾶσι τοῖς θεοῖς διετέλει ποιούμενος, καὶ νῦν εὕνους ῶν καὶ τὴν αὐτὴν αἴρεσιν ἔχων φανερὸς ἦ τῷ βασιλεῖ, τύχη τῆ ἀγαθῆ δεδόχθαι τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ
- 20 δήμφ, τημ μεν ίζρειαν και τους ίερονόμους και τους πρυτάνεις εύξασθαι τῆ 'Αθηνậ τῆ 'Ιλιάδι μετὰ τῶμ πρεσβευτῶν τήν τε παρουσίαν γεγονέναι [ἐπ' ἀγαθῷ ¹] τοῦ βασιλέως και τῆς ἀδελφῆς αὐτοῦ βασιλίσσης και τῶμ φίλων

και των δυνάμεων, και γίνεσθαι τά τε άλλα άγαθα τώ βασιλεί και τη βασι-

λίσση πάντα, καὶ τὰ πράγματα καὶ τὴμ βασιλείαν αὐτοῖς διαμένειν λαμβάνου-25 σαν ἐπίδοσιν καθάπερ αὐτοὶ προαιροῦνται· εὕξασθαι δὲ καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους ἱερεῖς καὶ ἱερείας μετὰ τοῦ ἱερέως τοῦ βασιλέως Ἀντιόχου τῷ τε Ἀπόλλωνι τῷ ἀρχηγ[ῷ] τοῦ γένους αὐτοῦ καὶ τῆ Νίκη καὶ τῷ Διὶ καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις θεοῖς πᾶσι καὶ πάσαις· ἐ[πὶ δὲ]

¹ is' dya6² omisit lapicida.

ταις εύχαις τη μεν Αθηνή συντελεσάτωσαν την νομιζομένην και πάτ[ριον θυ]σία οι τε ιερουόμοι και οι πρυτάνεις μετά της ιερείας και τώμ πρεσβευτών, τ[φ δ' Απόλ]-

- σίας, στεφανηφορείτωσαν οι τε πολîται καὶ οἱ πάροικοι πάντες, καὶ συνιόν[τες τὰς πάσ]-
- as συντε(λ)είτωσαν θυσίας τοις θεοις ύπερ (τ)ου βασιλέως και του δήμο[ν. δπως δε τα]
- els την τιμην και δόξαν ανήκοντα συγκατασκευάζων ό δημοs φανερόs [η πασι», έπαι]-
- νέσαι μεν αύτον έπί τε τη αρετή και τη ανδραγαθία η έχων διατελεί, [στήσα δ' αύτοῦ εί]-
- 35 κόνα χρυσην έφ' ίππου έν τῷ ἱερῷ της 'Αθηνας έν τῷ ἐπιφα[νεστάτῳ τόπῳ] ἐπὶ βήματος τοῦ λευκοῦ λίθου καὶ ἐπιγράψαι. Ὁ δημος δ ['Ιλιέων βασιλία 'Αντί]-

οχον βασιλέως Σελεύκου εὐσεβείας ἕνεκεν τῆς εἰς τὸ ἱερόν, [εὐεργέτην καὶ σω]· τῆρα γεγονότα τοῦ δήμου· ἀναγορεῦσαι δὲ καὶ ἐν [τῇ πανηγύρει ἐν τῷ] γυμνικῷ ἀγῶνι τὸν ἀγωνοθέτην καὶ τοὺς σ[τρατηγούς, ὅταν ἥ τε πό]-

40 λις καί αί λοιπαι πόλεις στεφανώσιν, τῷ δὲ [βασιλεῖ παρὰ τὴν 'Αθηνῶν τὴν] 'Ιλι(ά)δα τὴν ἀναγγελίαν ποιουμένους [ἐπεύξασθαι πολλὰ καὶ ἀγαθά.] ἐλέσθαι δὲ καὶ πρεσβευτὰς ἐκ πάν[των 'Ιλιέων ἄνδρας τρεῖς, οἴτινες] ἀσπασάμενοι αὐτὸν παρὰ τ[οῦ δήμου πρῶτον μὲν κελεύσουσιν ὑ]γιαίνειν αὐτόν τε καὶ τὴ[ν ἀδελφὴν αὐτοῦ βασίλισσαν και τὰ τέκνα]
45 καὶ τοὺς φίλους καὶ τὰς [δυνάμεις, ἔπειτα δ' ἀπαγγελοῦσιν αὐτῷ τὴν τι]μήν, καὶ ἀπολογισάμ[ενοι ὅσα ἡμῦν ὑπάρχει πρός αὐτόν τε καὶ τὸν πα]τέρα αὐτοῦ βασιλέα Σ[έλευκον ἐπαινέσουσι τῆς αἰρέσεως ἡς ἔχων δια]τετέλεκεν, παρακαλοῦσ[ι δὲ καὶ

..]ν συμβαιν ..

The eponymi are the 'priest of the month,' who celebrated the $i \pi_{i\mu}\eta'\nu_{ia}$, or monthly sacrifice, and the $i \pi_{i\sigma}\tau d\tau\eta_s$, who resembled the Athenian official so named. Novum Ilium (Hissarlik) claimed to be the veritable site of Priam's Troy; and in spite of the sober judgment of antiquity (Strabo, 601-2), the claim was allowed by Xerxes (Hdt. vii. 43), perhaps by Mindaros (Xen. Hell. i. 1. 4), by Alexander (Strabo, 593, cp. Diod. xviii. 4), and others. Dr. Schliemann believes himself to have settled the question in its favour, on the strength of his famous excavations.

³⁰ λωνι καλ τοις άλλοις θεοις οι στρατηγοί μετά των άλλω(ν) ιερέων δταν δέ ποιω[σι τάς θυ]-

In Alexander's time it was a mere fortified post (cp. Xen. Hell, iii. 1, 16), only occupied upon occasions, and with a temple of Athena scantily maintained. Alexander intended to revive the place, and build a city there: but death cut short his designs, and the new city was the creation of Lysimachos (Strabo, l. c.). Droysen (iii. 1. 253 foll.) shows that Antiochos, upon his accession in 280 B.C., found his dominions endangered on every side (see line 5). After a short campaign he concluded peace with Nikomedes king of Bithynia, and with Antigonos Gonatas (line 6). No. 164 shows how tenderly he had to deal with the Greek cities on the coast to secure their loyalty. With Ptolemy Philadelphos, who had invaded Syria, he also made peace upon the strength of a successful engagement (lines 6 foll.). At the time of this decree he has hastened back to Asia Minor (inl rade row Tawpov, line 12), to confront the Gauls, who having been invited into Asia by Nikomedes for his private ends, had now become the common terror of all alike. The date and place of the battle are unknown, but the victory (due to the sixteen elephants of Antiochos) was a decisive one, and broke the terror of the Gauls. The victor received the title of $\Sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$, which is used in line 38 as a mere epithet. The 'queen' is Stratonike, daughter of Demetrios Poliorketes and Phila (No. 151), and $d\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\eta$ is a mere title of honour. The union indeed was incestuous, for Antiochos was her stepson: but it was not a marriage with a literal ἀδελφή, like that of Ptolemy Philadelphos with Arsinoe (No. 172; Droysen, iii. 1. 267ⁿ). Apollo was the divine progenitor of the Seleukid dynasty (Justin, xv. 4; No. 174); and the god is figured on their coins.

166.

Statue of Antigonos Gonatas at Olympia: soon after B.C. 276.

Statue-base found at Olympia in the recent excavations : published by Dittenberger, Archäol. Zeitung, 1877, p. 38.

> [Ο δâμ]os δ Βυζαν[τίων Βασιλ]η 'Αντίγονον [Δαματρίου].

This statue is mentioned by Pausan. vi. 15, 4: Δημήτριον δέ ... καί τοῦ Δημητρίου τον παΐδα 'Αντίγονον, ἀναθήματα ἴστω τις Bu(arr(wr örras. It was his victory over the Gauls at Lysimacheia in 276 B.C. which earned him the gratitude of Byzantion (Justin, xxv. 1-2). The Gauls, settled in Thrace, were a continual danger to Byzantion (Livy, xxxviii. 16): their head-quarters were at Tyla (Polyb. iv. 46).

167.

Honours to Phædros, for his services to Athens, and the services of his Grandfather and Father during the previous half-century: decreed about 272 B.C.

Found at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 331.

§ 1. The commencement is lost; the probonleuma was moved by Lyandros: it began by rehearsing the services of Phædros the elder: . . . [ε]πολιόρκησεν της εί[. . . . με]νος α ήν έν τει των έναντίων συμμαχία. §§ 2-4; services of Thymochares. § 2. [Oupoχά]ρη[s] δε δ ύος δ τούτου, πατήρ δε Φαίδρου, χει[ροτονη]θείς στρατηγός ύπο του δήμου έπι το ναυτικ όν έπλε]-5 υσεν επί των νεων, αs δ δημοs (erasure) συνέπεμπεν, είς την 'Ασίαν, και συνδιεπολέμησ[εν τ]ου πόλεμου του έυ Κύπρω και έλαβευ "Αγτωνα του Τ[ήιο]ν καί τάς ναῦς τὰς μετ' αὐτοῦ & 3. καί ἐπί Πραξιβούλου ἄρχον[τ]os (B.C. 315) Γλαυκέτου καθειληφότος Κύθρον και καταγαγόντ-10 05 έντεῦθεν τὰ πλοῖα τήν τε πόλιν ἕλαβεν καὶ αὐτὸν Γλαυκέτην καί τα πλοία τα μετ' αύτου και παρεσκεύασεν ασφάλειαν τοις πλέουσι την θάλατταν § 4. Κασσάνδρου δε πολιορκούντος 'Ωρεόν αποσταλείς στρατηγός έπι των νεών των της πόλεως τους πολίτας 15 τοὺς πλέοντας ἐν ταῖς ναυσὶν παρει(= η)τήσατο, ῶστε τών συμμάχων μόνους 'Αθηναίους άλειτουργήτους eiral των έργων των πρός την πολιορκίαν §§ 5-end; services of Phædros. § 5. kai avτός δε Φαίδρος την αιτην αίρεσιν έχων τοις προγόνοις διατετέλεκεν έαυτον άξιον παρασκευάζω-20 ν τῆς πρός τὸν δῆμον εὐνοίας, καὶ ἐπὶ Νικίου μὲν ἄρ-

χουτος (Β.C. 296) στρατηγός ύπό τοῦ δήμου χειροτουηθεὶς ἐπὶ τὴν παρασκευὴν δὶς πάντων ῶμ προσῆκεν ἐπεμελήθη καλῶς καὶ φιλοτίμως· § 6. καὶ ἐπὶ τὴν χώραν χειροτουη-

θείς πλεονάκις και έπι τους ξένονς γενόμενος τρίς 25 την πάσαν εποήσατο σπουδήν δπως αν οί στρατιώται ώς άριστα κατ(ε)σκευασμένοι παρέχωνται τὰς χρείας τῶ δήμω. § 7. πρεσβεύσας δε πρός τον βασιλέα τον πρεσβύτερον Πτολεμαΐον έκομισεν τώ δήμω σίτον και χρήματα & 8. χειροτονηθείς δε ύπο του δήμου επί τα 30 δπλα στρατηγός του ένιαυτον του έπι Κίμωνος άρχοντος διετέλεσεν άγωνιζόμενος ύπερ της κοινής σωτηρίας καί περιστάντων τεί πόλει καιρών δυσκόλων διεφύλαξεν την ειρήνην τη χώρα αποφαινόμενος αεί τα κράτιστα, καί τον σίτον έκ της χώρας και τους άλλους καρπούς 35 αίτιος έγενετο είσκομισθηναι συμβούλευσας τώ δήμω συντελέσαι (erasure) καί την πόλιν έλευθέραν και δημοκρατουμένην αυτόνομον παρέδωκεν καί τούς νόμους κυρίους τοις μεθ' 40 (erasure) διετέλεσε και λέγων και πράττων άγαθον δτι ήδύνατο ύπερ του δήμου (erasure) § 9. . . . χειροτονηθείς έπι τὰ δπλα πρώτος ύπο του δήμου στρατηγός του ένιαυτον του έπι Ξενοφώντος άρχοντος διετέλεσε πάντα πράττων ακολούθως τοις τε νόμοις κα-45 ί τοις τής βουλής και του δήμου ψηφίσμασιν (four lines erased) § 10. καλ άγωνοθ(έ)της χειροτονηθείς ύπο τοῦ δήμου επί Νικίου άρχοντος επεμελήθη των τε θυσιών όπως συντελε[σθωσ]ι πασαι κατά τα πάτρια και οι αγώνες ώς κάλλιστοι [γέτω]νται καί άξιοι της του δήμου φιλοτιμίας, και υστ[ε-50 por] τοῦ ὐοῦ Θυμοχάρου ἀγωνοθέτου χειροτονηθέντος [τό]ν ένιαυτόν τόν έπ' Εύβούλου άρχοντος συνεπεμελήθη καί τούτω πάντων, φανεράν αποδεικνύμενος έμ πασιν ήν έχει πρός τόν δήμον εύνοιαν και τας άλλας δε πάσας λειτουργίας λελειτούργηκεν φιλοτίμ-55 ως καὶ ὅσαι ἐπιδόσεις γεγόνασιν ἐν τῷ δήμφ πασων μετέσχηκεν, καί έπι πασιν τούτοις έστεφάνωται ύπό τε της βουλής και του δήμου. όπως άν ούν φαίνηται και ό δήμος τιμών τους άγαθους άνδρας και άξίους μνήμης, αγαθεί τύχει δεδό-60 χθαι τεί βουλεί, τούς προέδρους σίτινες αν λάχωσιν προεδρεύειν έν τῷ δήμφ ὅταν αί ἡμέραι

αί έκ τοῦ νόμου εξήκωσιν χρηματίσαι περί τούτων, γνώμην δε ευμβάλλεσθαι της βουλής είς τον δήμον ότι δοκεί τεί βουλεί επαινέσαι Φαίδρο-63 ν Θυμογάρου Σφήττιον και στεφανώσαι αὐτόν χρυσώ στεφάνω κατά τον νόμον άρετης ένεκ-[a] και εύνοίας ην έχων διατελεί περί τον δήμον τ**δν** 'Aθηναίων, καὶ ἀναγορεῦσαι τὸν στέφανον Διονυσίων των μεγάλων τραγφδών τῷ άγωνι τῷ 70 καινώ και Παναθηγαίων των μεγάλων τώ γυμνικώ άγωνι, της δε ποήσεως του στεφάνου και της αναγορεύσεως επιμεληθηναι τον επι τεί διοικήσει. στήσαι δε αύτου τον δήμον καί είκόνα χαλκήν έν άγορφ και είναι αντώ σίτ-75 ησιν έμ πρυτανείφ καὶ ἐκγόνων τῷ πρεσβυτάτω άει και προεδρίαν έμ πασι τοις άγωσιν οίς ή πόλις τίθησις, χειροτονήσαι δε τον δήμον ήδη τρείς άνδρας εξ'Αθηναίων οίτινες επιμελήσονται της τε ποήσεως της εικόνος 80 και της αναθέσεως αναγράψαι δε το ψήφισμα τόν γραμματέα τόν κατά πρυτανείαν έν σ-[τ]ήλει λιθίνει και στήσαι παρά την εικόνα, είς δε την αναγραφήν της στήλης μερίσαι τον έπι τει διοικήσει το γενομένον ανάλωμα. 85 § 11. Rider proposed by Lyander, the mover of the foregoing decree : Λύανδρος Αυσιάδου 'Αναφλύστιος είπεν άγα-[θ]ει τύχει δεδόχθαι τῷ δήμφ, τὰ μὲν άλλα [πά]ντα πράττειν περί της δωρεας ής είτηκεν [Φ]αίδρος κατά τὸ πρότερον ψήφισμα δ Λύανδρος είπεν, τούς δε θεσμοθέτας είσαγαγείν αύτ-90 φ την δοκιμασίαν της δωρεάς εls το δικαστήριον κατά τόν νόμον. 'Επί την ανάθεσιν της είκόνος οίδε κεχειροτόνηνται. Θυμοχάρης Σφήττιος, Μένων 'Αχαρνεύς, Στράτων Σφήττιος.

'Η βουλή. 'Ο δη̂μος. Honorary decrees of this kind became exceedingly common in later Greece; growing more and more wordy, and seldom possessing historical importance. Exceptions however may be found in the honorary decrees appended by Plutarch to his *Lives* of the X orators (cp. No. 145), and the present inscription.

It falls into three portions, as it rehearses the services of Phædros the elder, § 1; Thymochares, §§ 2-4; and Phædros himself, \S 5 to end; \S 11 is a rider to the foregoing: \S 1 referred to the services of Phædros the elder in the Lamian War (Strabo, p. 446; cp. Diod. xviii. 11; Schäfer, Dem. und s. Zeit, iii, p. 335). § 2, for this sea-fight, B.C. 321, cp. the Nesiote decree, No. 138, § 4. § 3, Glauketas was an admiral of Antigonos: Athens was still in the hands of Kassander (cp. No. 140; Droysen, Hell. ii. 2. p. 18). § 4, on the help sent to Kassander at Oreos see Diod. xix. 75; Droysen, ib. p. 32. § 5, Droysen refers this to a rising against Lachares in the spring of 295 B.C.; and he makes Nikias archon B.C. 296-5; ib. pp. 253, 395. § 6, Droysen, ib. p. 395. § 7, Ptolemy Soter abdicated in 285 B.C., and died in 283: the relations of Athens with Demetrics, Lysimachos, and Ptolemy are sketched in No. 160. δ 8. Kimon's year is not known: the 'troublous times' were when Pyrrhos invaded the Peloponnese in 272 B.C., and Athens was aspiring to throw off the yoke of Antigonos (Justin, xxv. 4; Droysen, iii. 1, 200). We need not follow the other details. The erased passages referred to Demetrios and his son Antigonos; and were obliterated B.C. 200, by way of defiance to Philip V in the Macedonian War: see Livy, xxxi. 44.

168.

Hiero II, king of Syracuse: 272-216.

On a marble base found at Syracuse in 1734: the text from C. I. G. 5368.

Βασιλέος ἀγε[ομένου] [•]Ιέρωνος Ἱεροκλέος Συρακόσιοι θεοῖς πᾶσι.

'Quippe genitus erat (Hiero) patre Hierocle, nobili viro, cujus origo a Gelone, antiquo Siciliæ tyranno, manabat: sed maternum illi genus sordidum atque adeo pudibundum fuit:' Justin, xxiii. 4; cp. Pausan. vi. 12, 2. This base is thought to have supported a tripod. The splendid buildings of Hiero formed a marked feature of his reign: it is characteristic of him to use the verb $\delta\gamma\epsilon[o\mu\alpha\iota]$ rather than $\delta\rho\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$ or $\beta a\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\omega$, and to associate his people with himself.

169.

The Chremonidean War: B.C. 266-263.

Found on the Akropolis: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 332; cp. Rangabé, Antiq. Hell. 453. This inscription is a copy of the original, which was ordered to be engraved on bronze (line 43).

Θεο[ſ]

Ἐπὶ Πειθιδήμου ἄρχοντος ἐπὶ τῆς Ἐρεχθεῖδος δευτέρας π-[ρ]υτανείας·

Μεταγειτνιώνος ένάτει ίσταμένου, ένάτει της πρυτανεί-

- 5 as' ἐκκλησία κυρία' τῶν προέδρων ἐπεψήφιζεν Σώστρατοs Καλλιστράτου Ἐρχιεὺs καὶ συμπρόεδροι' ἔδοξεν τῷ δήμῷ' Χρεμωνίδηs Ἐτεοκλέουs Αἰθαλίδηs εἶπεν ἐπειδη πρότερομ μὲν ᾿Αθηναῖοι καὶ Λακεδαιμόνιοι καὶ οἱ σύμμαχοι οἱ ἐκατέρων φιλίαν καὶ συμμαχίαν κοινην ποιησάμενο-
- 10 ι πρός έαυτοὺς πολλοὺς καὶ καλοὺς ἀγῶνας ἠγωνίσαντο μετ' ἀλλήλων πρός τοὺς καταδουλοῦσθαι τὰς πόλεις ἐπιχειροῦντας, ἐξ ῶν ἑαυτοῖς τε δόξαν ἐκτήσαντο καὶ τοῖς ἄλλ[o]ις "Ελλησιν παρεσκεύασαν τὴν ἐλευθερίαν καὶ νῦν δὲ κ[aι]ρῶν καθειληφότων ὁμοίων τὴν 'Ελλάδα πῶσαν διὰ το[ὺς κ]αταλύε-
- 15 ιν έπιχειροῦντας τούς τε νόμους καὶ τὰς πατρίους ἐκάστοις πολιτείας ὅ τε βασιλεὺς Πτολεμαῖος ἀκολούθως τεῖ τῶν προγόνων καὶ τεῖ τῆς ἀδελφῆς προ[a]ιρέσει φανερός ἐστιν σπουδάζων ὑπὲρ τῆς κοινῆς τ[ῶν] Ἐλλήνων ἐλευθερίας· [κ]αὶ ὁ δῆμος ὁ ᾿Αθηναίων συμμαχίαν ποιησάμενος πρὸς αὐτὸν καὶ
- 20 τοὺς λοιποὺς Ελληνας ἐψήφισται παρακαλεῖν ἐπὶ τὴν αὐτὴν προαίρεσιν ὡσαύτως δὲ καὶ Λακεδαιμόνιοι φίλοι καὶ σύμμαχοι τοῦ βασιλέως ὅντες Πτολεμαίου καὶ πρός τὸν δῆμον τὸν Ἀθηναίων εἰσὶν ἐψηφισμένοι συμμαχίαν μετά τε ἘΗλείων καὶ ἘΑχαιῶν καὶ Τεγεατῶν καὶ Μαντινέων καὶ ἘΟρχομενίων κα-25 [ἰ] Φια[λέων] καὶ Καφυέων, καὶ Κρηταέων ὅσοι εἰσὶν ἐν τεῖ συμμ-
- εί το τει συμμ-[αχία τ]εῖ Λακεδαιμονίων και 'Αρέως και των άλλων συμμάχω-

Þ

[v, κα] πρέσβεις άπό των συνέδρων άπεστάλκασιν πρός τόν δη-[μον]· και οι παραγεγονότες παρ' αυτών εμφανίζουσιν την τε Λακεδαιμονίων και Άρέως και των άλλων συμμάχων φιλοτιμί-30 αν, ην έχουσιν πρός τόν δήμον, και την περί τής συμμαχίας όμολογίαν ήκουσι κομίζοντες. δπως αν ούν κοινής όμονοίας γενομένης τοις Ελλησι πρός τε τούς νυν ηδικηκότας και παρεσπονδηκότας τας πόλεις πρόθυμοι μετά του βασιλέως Πτολεμαίου καί μετ' άλλήλων υπάρχωσιν άγωνισταί και το λοιπον μεθ' όμον-35 olas σψίωσιν τας πόλεις αναθή τύχει δεδόχθαι τώ [δ]ήμω, την μεν φιλίαν και την συμμαχίαν είναι 'Αθηνίοις κ[a]] Λακεδαιμονίοις και τοις βασιλεύσιν τοις Λακεδαιμον[ίων] καί 'Ηλείοις και 'Αχαιοΐς και Τεγεάταις και Μαντινεύσ[ιν κα]l'Ορχομενίοις καί Φιαλεύσιν και Καφυεύσιν και Κρητ[αεύσι]-40 ν δσοι έν τει συμμαχία είσιν τει Λακεδαιμονίων κα[ι 'Αρέως] και τοις άλλοις συμμάχοις κυρίαν είς τον άπαντα [χρόνον, ήν] ήκουσι κομίζοντες οι πρέσβεις και αναγράψα[ι αύτην τον γρ]αμματέα τον κατά πρυτανείαν έν στήλη χαλκ[ή και στήσαι έ]ν άκροπόλει παρά τόν νεώ της 'Αθηνάς της Πο λιάδος. δμόσαι δέ 45 τὰ] ἀρχεία τοῖς πρέσβεσιν τοῖς παραγεγο[νόσιν παρ' αὐτῶν (?) τὸν δρκον τό]ν περί της συμμαχίας κατά τά [πάτρια ····· δήμου πρ[εσβ]ε · ·

The Chremonidean War (δ χρεμωνίδειος πόλεμος, Athen. p. 250) -so named after Chremonides the Athenian leader, a favourite and pupil of Zeno the Stoic (Diog. Laert. vii. 17)-was the last and noblest effort of Athens to recover her freedom. The scantv notices of it have been combined by Niebuhr (Ueber den Chremonideischen Krieg, in his Kleine Aistorische und philologische Schriften, 1sto Samml. 1828, pp. 451 foll.), and by Droysen, (Hell. iii. 1, pp. 225 foll.). The loci classici are Pausan, iii. 6; Justin. xxvi. 2; and the account of Philemon's death, the poet of the New Comedy, in Ælian, Frag. 11. The decree appeals to the memories of the Persian war; but the inspiration of the Chremonidean war was derived from the teaching of Zeno, and marks a recovery of selfcontrol and self-respect at Athens which is in grateful contrast to the days of Demetrios' 'liberation' (Nos. 143 foll.). The allies of Athens are (1) Sparta under king Areus, who had ere this fought against Macedon, (2) Ptolemy Philadelphos, who was apprehensive of the growing power of Antigonos, and whose father had

similarly opposed Demetrios, (3) the Achæans, whose league had been revived upon the death of Demetrios, (4) the Arkadian towns of Tegea, Mantinea, Orchomenos, Phialeia (or Phigaleia), and Kaphyæ, which (like the Achæans) were now dependent upon Sparta, (5) some of the Kretan towns, like Gortyna, which was on intimate terms with Areus and Sparta (Plut. Pyrrå. 27, 29, 32). The $\sigma i \rho \epsilon \delta \rho c$ are the deputies of the Spartan allies at the confederate synod. The end of this war was that Athens, left to herself, surrendered at last to Antigonos, who garrisoned the Museion, Munychia, Piræeus, Salamis and Sunion. After some years indeed he evacuated the Museion, and so left the upper city free; but the other forts still kept the city in check until the death of Demetrios II, in 220 B. C. (see No. 181).

170.

Chremonidean War; contributions for war expenses: B.C. 266-263.

Found at Athens in several fragments: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 334.

The heading :-- Ταμίας στρατιω[τικών]

Εὐρυκλείδης Μικίωνος [Κηφισιεύς].

['E]πι Διομέδοντος άρχοντος έπι της [erasure . . δος δεκάτης πρ]υτανείας, ή Φορυσκίδης 'Αριστομένου 'Α[..... έγραμμά-

5 τε]υεν· Ἐλαφηβολιώνος ἕνει καὶ νέα ἐμ[βολίμφ

η̂s] πρυτανείας· ἐκκλησία· των προέδρων ἐ[πεψήφιζεν] . . ατος Τελεσίνου Ἐρχιε[ὒς κ]αὶ συ[μπρόεδροι]·

The year of Diomedon is not known; perhaps it was the next after Peithidemos (No. 169). The name of the tribe *Antigonis* or *Demetricas* has been erased in line 3, out of hatred to Macedon.

The decree :-- "Εδοξεν τῷ δήμφ"
[Θε]όφημος Τιμοκλέους Μαραθώνιος εἶπε[ν' δπως ἁν χρημάτων
των δηρισθέντων έχει(=η) δ ταμίας μερίζειν τὰ [δεόμενα, ϊνα κατὰ τὸ-ν κ]ατάλοιπον χρόνον τοῦ ἐνιαυτοῦ συνκ[ομισθῶσιν οἰ ἐκ γῆς?
κ]αρποὶ μετ' ἀσφαλείας· ἀγαθεῖ τύχει δε[δόχθαι τῆ βουλῆ
τ]οὺς λαχόντας προέδρους εἰς τὴν ἐπιοῦ[σαν ἐκκλησίαν χρημ-α]τίσαι περὶ τούτων, γνώμην δὲ ξυμβάλλε[σθαι τῆς βουλῆς, ὅτι]
δοκεῖ τῆ βουλεῖ, τοὺς βουλομένους τῶ[ν πολιτῶν καὶ τῶν ἀλ]-

ς συκει τη ρουλει, τους ρουλομενους τω μ πολιτων και των αλ]λων των οίκούντων έν τη πόλει έπιδιδό[ναι els την σωτηρία]-

ν τής πόλεως και την φυλακην την χώρας έ[παγγείλασθαι τη β]ουλεί η πρός τους στρατηγούς απογράψα σθαι έντος μηνός Μο]υνιχιώνος μη έξέστω δε μηθενί επιδούνα[ι πλέον ΗΗ δραχμών] 20 μηδ' έλαττον P· είναι δε τοις επιδούσι [στεφανωθήναι τε κα]ί ἐπαινεθήναι καὶ τιμηθήναι ὑπὸ τοῦ δή[μου καθότι αν ή άξι]ος ξκαστος αὐτῶν. τὸν δὲ γραμματέα τοῦ [δήμου ἀναγράψαι τόδ]ε τὸ ψήφι[σμα] καὶ τὰ [δ]νόματα τῶν ἐπιδόντ[ων ἐν στήλῃ λιθ[ν]ει κ[α]ὶ σ[τ]ῆσαι ἐν τῇ ἀγορậ, ὅπως ἁν φανερ[ὰ ῇ ὅπασιν ἡ φιλοτ]-25 ιμία των βουλομένων εὐεργετεῖν [τὸν δ]ŷ[μον τὸ δὲ ἀνάλωμα τ]ό γενόμενον είς τε τὴν στήλην καὶ τὴν ἀ[ναγραφὴν τῶν ὀνομάτ]ων μερίσαι τον έπι τη διοικήσει, το δε ψή φισμα τόδε, έπειδη] περί πόρου χρημάτων έστιν στρατιωτικώ[ν, είναι απαν είς φυ]λακην της χώρας. Οίδε επέδωκαν είς την σω[τηρίαν της πό]-30 λεως καί την φυλακην της [χώρας κατά τό] ψήφισμα τοῦ δήμου.

Here there follows, in two columns, a list of contributors, with the sums they gave. The stone is imperfect, but there remain some 80 payments: only two are the minimum of 50 drachmas, nine are 100 drachmas; the rest are the maximum 200.

171.

Decree of the Arkadian League in honour of Phylarchos the historian: B.C. 251(P).

Published by P. Foucart in Le Bas, Voyage Archeol. Pt. ii. 340 a; copied by him in 1868.

Θεός Τύχη Έδοξε τη βουλη των 'Αρκάδων και τοις Μυρίοις Φύλαρχου 5 Λυσικράτους 'Αθηναίου πρόξενον και εύεργέτην είναι 'Αρκάδων πάντων αύτου και γένος. Δαμίοργοι δ' ήσαν 10 Τεγεάται Κυνούριοι Φαιδρέας

Τιμοκράτης

U

' Αριστοκράτης	Καλλικλής
Νίκαρχος	Λαφάνης
Εενοπείθης	[Σ]áïs
15 Данократідаs	Σάϊς
Μαινάλιοι	Ορχομένιοι
'Aylas	Εύγείτων
Εύγειτονίδας	'Αμύντας
Ξενοφῶν	Πάμφιλος
20 Λεπρεάται	Παυσανίας
ʿIππlas	Καλλίας
Γάδωρος	Κλ[ι]τόριοι
Μεγαλοπολîται	Τηλίμαχος
'Αρίστων	'Αλκμάν
25 Blúas	Αίσχύτης
'Αρχέψιος	Δαμάγητος
'Ατρεστίδας	Πρόξενος
Γοργέας	Ήρα η ̂s
Σμίνθις	'Αλεξικράτης
30 Πλειστίερος	Σιμίας
Nîkis	Θεόπομπος
Λάαρχος	'Aylas
Πολυχάρης	Ίπποσθέι ης
Μαντινής	Θελφούσιοι
35 Φαΐδρος	Πολέας
Fâxos	'Αλεξίας
Eiðaµlðas	'Exías
Δαίστρατος	Παυσανίας
Xapeldas	Λύκιος

Ten Arkadian cantons are included, the following six being omitted :--Phigaleia, Psophis, Kynætha, Kaphyæ, Pheneos, Stymphalos. The list indeed was subject to variation, according as Achæa or Sparta, Macedon or Ætolia, brought this or that town under their influence (comp. the list in No. 169). Droysen (*Hellen.* iii. 2, pp. 131 fol.) inclines to place this decree soon after the 'liberation' of Megalopolis in 251 B.C. by the assassination of Aristodemos (Polyb. x. 22). It is likely that the Arkadian League was then revived, and deputies convened at Tegea. The recipient of the honour is no doubt Phylarchos the historian, whose partiality for the Arkadians is severely censured by Polybios (ii. 56). The inscription would therefore have somewhat of the nature of a manifesto. It also reveals something of the constitution of the League: it has (1) its $\beta ou\lambda \eta'$ of deputies ($\delta a\mu(opyot)$) from each town, varying in number according to the population; (2) an $\epsilon_{KK}\lambda\eta\sigma ta$ of 10,000 called Oi $\mu u\rho tot$ (Xen. Hell. vii. 1. 38, etc.). As neither the writing nor the dialect of the decree are Arkadian, but resemble the Attic forms, M. Foucart concludes that Phylarchos was himself present, and personally directed the erection of the inscription.

172.

Treaty between Hierapytna and Priansion in Krete: B.C. 250-200 (?).

The original is in the Marble-room at Oxford, where I have re-read it. Published by Böckh, C. I. G. 2556: cp. Röhl. Schedæ Epigr. p. 8.

$[\Theta]\epsilon \delta s d y a \theta [\delta s].$

- § 1. 'Αγαθậ τύχα καὶ ἐπὶ σωτηρία, ἐπὶ Κόσμ[ων ἐν μὲν] 'Ιεραπύτνα τῶν σὺν Ἐνίπαντι τῶ Ἐρμαίω [καὶ μηνὸs] 'Ιμαλίω, ἐν δὲ Πριανσιοῖ ἐπὶ Κόσμων τῶν σὺ[ν Νέωνι τῶ]
 - 5 Χιμάρω καὶ μηνὸς Δρομητω,—τάδε συνέθε[ντο καὶ συνευ]δόκησαν ἀλλάλοις Ἱεραπύτνιοι καὶ Πριάνσιοι, [ἐμμένον]τες ἐν ταῖς προϋπαρχώσαις στάλαις ἰδία τε[θείσαις] Γορτυνίοις καὶ Ἱεραπυτνίοις, καὶ τῷ κατὰ κοινὸ[ν Γορτυνίοις] καὶ Ἱεραπυτνίοις καὶ Πριανσίοις, καὶ ἐν τῷ φιλίῳ [καὶ συμμα]-
 - 10 χία καὶ ὅρκοις τοῖς προγεγονόσι, ἔν τ' αὐταῖς τ[αῖς πόλεσι] καὶ ἐπὶ τậ χώρα, ἇ ἐκάτεροι ἔχοντες καὶ κρατόν[τες συν]θήκαν ἔθεντο, ε[ί]ς τὸν πάντα χρόνον.

Probably the 'previous alliance' between Gortyn, Hierapytna, and Priansion is that published by Bergmann (*De inscr. Cret.* Berl. 1860).

§ 2. $Iepa\pi v\tau[vlows]$

καὶ Πριανσίο(ι)s ἦμεν παρ' ἀλλάλοιs ἰσοπολιτείαν καὶ ἐπιγαμίαs καὶ ἔνκτησιν καὶ μετοχὰν καὶ θείων καὶ ἀνθρωπίνων

15 πάντων, όσοι κα ξωντι ξμφυλοι παρ' ξκατέροις, και πωλόντας, και ώνωμένος, και δανείζοντας και δανειζομένος, καὶ τǎλλα πáντα συναλλάσσοντας κυρίος ἦμεν κατὰ τὸς ὑπάρχοντας παρ' ἐκατέροις νόμος.

The citizens of the one town are to have all the rights of citizenship in the other; provided they are $\xi \mu \phi \nu \lambda \omega_i$, i.e. native Kretans, and not adoptive citizens.

§ 3. ἐξέστω δὲ τῷ τε Ἱεραπυτνίῳ σπείρεν ἐν τῷ Πριανσίϙ, καὶ τῷ Πριαν-20 σιεῖ ἐν τῷ Ἱεραπυτνίϙ, διδῶσι τὰ τέλεα καθάπερ οἱ ἅλλο[ι] πολίται κατὰ τὸς νόμος τὸς ἐκατέρη κειμένος.

A Priansian citizen living at Hierapytna, and vice versa, is to pay, not a $\mu \epsilon rol \kappa \iota o \nu$, but the ordinary taxes payable by citizens.

§ 4. εί δέ τί κα δ [°]Ιεραπύτνιος ὑπέχθηται ἐς Πριάνσιον ἢ ὁ Πριανσιεὺ[s] ἐς [°]Ιεραπύτναν ὅτιοῦν, ἀτελέα ἔστω καὶ ἐσαγομένφ κα[ὶ] ἐξαγομένφ αὐτὰ καὶ τούτων τὸς καρπὸς καὶ κατὰ γâν 25 καὶ κατὰ θάλασσαν ὦν δέ κα ἀποδῶται, κατὰ θάλασσαν ἐώ-

σας έξαγωγάς τών ύπεχθεσίμων ἀποδότω τὰ τέλεα κατὰ τὸς νόμος τὸς ἐκατέρη κειμένος.

Piracy and internecine war abound in Krete: provision is made therefore for the safe bestowal of goods or crops by Hierapytnians at Priansion (and *vice versa*); such goods to be brought in and out of port without toll; but if exported for sale, must pay duty. Cp. No. 100.

§ 5. κατά ταὐτά δὲ καὶ εἴ τίς κα νε[ίμῃ,] ἀτελὴς ἔστω· al δέ κα σίνηται, ἀποτεισ[ά]τω τὰ ἐπιτίμ[ια ὁ σινό]μενος κατὰ τὸς νόμος τὸς ἐκατέρη κε[ι]μένος. Right of ἐπινομία is interchanged.

30 § 6. πρειγήϊα δὲ [ὥ κ]α χρείαν ἔχη πορηίω παρεχόντων οἰ μὲν Ἱεραπύτνιοι [Κόσμ]οι τοῖς Πριανσιεῦσι, οἱ δὲ Πριανσιέ(ε)ς Κόσμοι τοῖς Ἱεραπυτνίοις· al δὲ μὴ παρίσχαιεν, ἀποτεισάντων οἱ ἐπίδαμοι τῶν Κόσμων τῷ πρειγεία στατῆρας δέκα.

Πρειγήĩα πορηίω (=πρεσβεῖα ἐφοδίου) are privileges of public hospitality to be granted to envoys: if not granted, the fellowcitizens of the neglectful Κόσμοι must pay ten staters to the envoys. § 7. δ δὲ Κόσμος δ τῶν Ἱεραπυτνίων ἐρπέτω ἐν Πριανσιοῖ ἐς 35 τὸ ἀρχεῖον καὶ ἐν ἐκκλησία καθήσθω μετὰ τῶν Κόσμων ώσαύτως δὲ καὶ ὁ τῶν Πριανσιέων Κόσμος ἐρπέτω ἐν Ἱεραπύτνα ἐς τὸ ἀρχεῖον καὶ ἐν ἐκκλησία καθήσθω μετὰ τῶν Κόσμων. ἐν δὲ τοῖς Ἡρ[alo]ις καὶ ἐν ταῖς ἄλλαις ἑορταῖς οἱ παρατυγχάνουτες ἑρπόντων παρ' ἀλλάλος ἐς ἀνδρήϊ-40 ον, καθῶς καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι πολῖται.

Early in the 3rd century the old Doric institutions lost their rigour, and assumed a more democratical character, less different from the rest of Greece; hence the mention of $\delta\kappa\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma la$.

§ 8. ἀναγινωσκόντων δὲ τὰν στάλαν κατ' ἐνιαυτὸν οἱ τόκ' ἀεὶ κοσμόντες παρ' ἐκατέροις ἐν τοῖς Ὑπερβωtoις, καὶ προπαραγγελλόντων ἀλλάλοις πρὸ ἁμερᾶν δέκα ἤ κα μέλλωντι ἀναγινώσκεν· ὁποῖοι δέ κα μὴ ἀναγνῶντι ἢ μὴ παραγγήλωντι, ἀπο-45 τεισάντων οἱ αἴτιοι τούτων στατῆρας ἐκατόν, οἱ μὲν Ἱεραπύτνιοι Κόσμοι τῶν Πριανσιέων τῷ πόλει, οἱ δὲ Πριανσιέες Ἱεραπυτνίων τῷ πόλει.

Provision is made for the periodical rehearsing of the treaty. The $\Upsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \omega \tilde{a}$ are an unknown festival.

,

§ 9. εί δέ τις άδικοίη τὰ συνκείμενα κοινậ διαλύων ἢ κόσμος ἢ ἰδιώτας, ἐξέστω τῷ βωλομένῷ δικάξασθαι ἐπὶ τῶ κοινῶ δι-50 καστηρίω, τίμαμα ἐπιγραψάμενον τᾶς δίκας κατὰ τὸ ἀδίκημα, ὅ κά τις ἀδικήσῃ· καὶ εἴ κα νικάσῃ, λαβέτω τὸ τρίτον μέρος τᾶς (κατα)δίκας ὁ δικαξάμενος, τὸ δὲ λοιπὸν ἔστω τῶν πόλεων.

Kourdy dikagthous is a court empanelled from both cities.

§ 10. al δέ τι θεῶν βωλομένων ἕλοιμεν ἀγαθὸν ἀπὸ τῶν πολεμίων ἢ κοινῷ ἐξοδούσαντες, ἢ ἰδία τι-55 νès παρ' ἐκατέρων ἢ κατὰ γῶν ἢ κατὰ θάλασσαν, λανχανόντων ἐκάτεροι κατὰ τὸς ἄνδρας τὸς ἕρποντας, καὶ τὰς δεκάτας λαμβανόντων ἐκάτεροι ἐς τὰν ἰδίαν πόλιν. § 11. ὑπὲρ δὲ τῶν προγεγονότων παρ' ἐκατέροις ἀδικημάτων, ἀφ' ὥ τὸ κοινοδίκιον ἀπέλιπε χρόνω, ποιη-

60 σάσθων ταν διεξαγωγαν οι συν Ένίπαντι και Νέωνι Κύσ-

μοι έν φ κα κοινά δόξη δικαστηρίφ ἀμφοτέραις ταῖς πόλεσι ἐπ' αὐτῶν κοσμόντων, καὶ τὸς ἐγγύος καταστασάντων ὑπὲρ τούτων ἀφ' ἇς κα ἁμέρας ἁ στάλα τεθή ἐμ μηνί.

The Kosmi of this year shall bring before a joint-court those private suits which had been pending since the interruption of commercium juris dandi repetendique ($\kappa oivod(\kappa iov)$; they shall carry them through ($\delta ie\xi ay wy av$) during their year of office, and give sureties for this within one month from the day this treaty is concluded. Koivod(κiov may refer only to Hierapytna and Priansion: but if it be the same which Polybios (xxiii. 15) speaks of as existing B.C. 184 between all the Kretan cities, then it must have been revived again.

- §12. ύπερ δε των ύστερον εγγινομένων αδικημάτων προ-
 - 65 δίκψ μὲν χρήσθων καθώς τὸ διάγραμμα ἔχει, περὶ δὲ τῶ δικαστηρίω οἱ ἐπιστάμενοι κατ' ἐνιαυτὸν παρ' ἐκατέροις Κόσμοι πόλιν στανυέσθων, ἅγ κα ἀμφοτέραις ταῖς πόλεσ[ι δ]όξῃ, ἐξ ἅς τὸ ἐπικριτήριον τελε(ῖ)ται, καὶ ἐγγύος καθιστάντων, ἀφ' ἅς κα ἁμέρας ἐπιστῶντι ἐπὶ τὸ ἀρχεῖον ἐν διμήνψ,
 - 70 καὶ διεξαγόντων ταῦτα ἐπ' αὐτῶν κοσμόντων κατὰ τὸ δοχθὲν κοινậ σύμβολον.

Here $\pi\rho\sigma\delta(\kappa\varphi = \delta\iotaa\iota\tau\eta\tau\hat{\eta}$. $\Delta\iota\dot{a}\gamma\rho\mu\mu a$ is the regulation of this matter decreed in the Treaty alluded to in § 1. In case of such arbitration failing, the Kosmi are to appoint ($\sigma\tau a\nu\nu\ell\sigma\theta\omega\nu$) an $\ell\kappa\kappa\lambda\eta\tau\sigma\sigma$ $\pi\delta\lambda\iota s$ which shall be called in for final arbitration ($\ell\pi\iota$ - $\kappa\rho\iota\tau\eta\rho\iota\sigma\nu$). Cp. No. 156.

§ 13. al δέ κα μη ποιήσωντι οἱ Κόσμοι καθως γέγραπται, ἀποτεισάτω ἕκαστος αὐτῶν στατηρας πεντήκοντα, οἱ μὲν Ἱεραπύτνιοι Κόσμοι Πριανσίων τῷ πόλε[ι], οἱ δὲ Πριάνσιοι Κόσμοι Ἱεραπυτνίων τῷ πόλει. § 14. al δέ τί κα

- 75 δόξη ἀμφοτέραις ταῖς πόλεσι βωλουομέναις ἐπὶ τῷ κοινῷ συμφέροντι διορθώσασθαι, κύριον ἔστω τὸ διορθωθέν. § 15. στασάντων δὲ τὰς στάλας οἱ ἐνεστακότες ἐκατέρη Κόσμοι ἐπ' αὐτῶν κοσμόντων, οἱ μὲν Ἱεραπύτνιοι ἐν τῷ ἱερῷ τῶς ᾿Αθαναίας τῶς Πολιάδος, καὶ οἰ
- 80 Πριάνσιοι έν τῷ ίερῷ τῶς Ἀθαναίας τῶς Πολιάδος.

όπότεροι δέ κα μη στάσωντι καθώς γέγραπται, άποτ[ε]ισάντων τὰ αὐτὰ πρόστιμα καθότι καὶ περὶ τῶν δικαίων γέγραπται.

Krete, though inheriting a legendary renown (Thuk. i. 4). and though regarded as the model of Doric institutions (see Plato and Aristotle passim), yet in historical times lived apart from Hellenic interests. Our scanty information reveals a long series of fierce struggles between the Kretan towns (350-200 B. C.), until the Kretans appear everywhere as hired mercenaries, and the island itself-long a hornet's-nest of piracy-was reduced by Metellus in 68-7 B.C. into a Roman province. The chief references for its history are :- Diodoros, xvi. 61 foll., where Phalækos assists Knossos against Lyttos, and then perishes in besieging Kydonia, B.C. 339-8; Strabo (pp. 477-82) speaks of the relations of Kydonia, Gortyn, and Knossos. In 220 B.C. Knossos and Gortyn combined (Polyb. iv. 53) to subdue all the rest of Krete, and destroyed Lyttos. In 216 B.C. the Kretans, wearving awhile of internecine war, invited Philip V to undertake the general protection of the island (Polyb. vii. 14). In 184 B.C. Appius visiting Krete as envoy for Rome against Philip, found Gortyn oppressing Knossos, and endeavoured to restore peace to the island (Polyb. xxiii. 15). These internal struggles are reflected in the large series of Kretan treatyinscriptions, of which the present is one. We have Treaties between the Latii and Olus, Hierapytha and certain kleruchs, Hierapytna and Priansion (the present one); between Gorlyn, Hierapytna, and Priansion (Bergmann, De inscr. Cret. Berl. 1860); between Dreros, Knossos, and Milatos against Lyttos (Dethier, Dreros und Kretische Studien, Vienna 1859); between Hierapylna and Lyttos (Naber in Mnemosyne, i. p. 105); between Lyttos and Olus (Hermes, iv. 266). The exact date of these documents is doubtful: some of them must be earlier than 220 B.C., when Lyttos was annihilated. But Böckh, Höck (Kreta) and others place some of them much earlier, and some later than this. The writing of the Oxford marble would suit the earlier rather than the latter half of the 3rd century B. c.

173.

Record of the Eastern Campaigns of Ptolemy Euergetes: B.C. 247-222.

This document, known as the Marmor Adulitanum, has a curious history. It was inscribed upon a marble slab, set up at Adule, a port of Abyssinia on the Red Sea, which we may therefore conclude dated from the reign of Euergetes I. The marble was in post-Christian times employed to embellish a monument (consisting of a marble throne) erected by an Ethiopian king to commemorate his victories. The whole monument was seen and described by Kosmas Indopleustes, who in his *Topographia Christiana*, published A.D. 545, has given both the inscription of Ptolemy and the inscription of the Ethiopian king upon the chariot itself, in the belief that both are parts of the same document. With the later inscription we are not concerned. The original monument has disappeared : we have only the evidently careful transcript made by Kosmas. I give the text after Böckh, C. I. G. 5127.

Βασιλεὺς μέγας Πτολεμαῖος, υἰὸς βασιλέως Πτολεμαίου καὶ βασιλίσσης ᾿Αρσινόης, θεῶν ἀδελφῶν, τῶν βασιλέω[s] Πτολεμαίου καὶ βασιλίσσης Βερενίκης, θεῶν Σωτήρων, ἀπόγονος τὰ μὲν ἀπὸ πατρὸς Ἡρακλέους τοῦ Διός, τὰ δὲ ἀπὸ μη-

- 5 τρός Διονύσου τοῦ Διός, παραλαβών παρὰ τοῦ πατρός τὴν βασιλείαν Αἰγύπτου καὶ Λιβύης καὶ Συρίας καὶ Φοινίκης καὶ Κύπρου καὶ Λυκίας καὶ Καρίας καὶ τῶν Κυκλάδων νήσων ἐξεστράτευσεν εἰς τὴν ᾿Ασίαν μετὰ δυνάμεων πεζικῶν καὶ ἱππικῶν καὶ ναυτικοῦ στόλου
- 10 καὶ ἐλεφάντων Τρωγλοδυτικών καὶ Αἰθιοπικών, οὖs ὅ τε πατὴρ αὐτοῦ καὶ αὐτὸs πρῶτοs ἐκ τῶν χωρῶν τούτων ἐθήρευσαν καὶ καταγαγόντες εἰs Αἴγυπτον κατεσκεύασαν πρὸs τὴν πολεμικὴν χρείαν, κυριεύσας δὲ τῆς τε ἐντὸς Εὐφράτου χώρας πάσης καὶ Κιλικίας καὶ Παμφυλίας καὶ Ἰωνίας καὶ τοῦ Ἐλ-
- 15 λησπόντου καὶ Θράκης καὶ τῶν δυνάμεων τῶν ἐν ταῖς χώραις ταύταις πασῶν καὶ ἐλεφάντων Ἱrδικῶν, καὶ τοὺς μονάρχους τοὺς ἐν τοῖς τόποις πάντας ὑπηκόους καταστήσας διέβη τὸν Εὐφράτην ποταμόν, καὶ τὴν Μεσοποταμίαν καὶ Βαβυλωνίαν καὶ Σουσιανὴν καὶ Περσίδα καὶ Μηδίαν καὶ τὴν λοιπὴν (γῆν) πῶσαν ἔως

20 Βακτριανής ὑφ' ἐαυτῷ ποιησάμενος καὶ ἀναζητήσας ὅσα ὑπὸ τῶν Περσῶν ἱερὰ ἐξ Αἰγύπτου ἐξήχθη καὶ ἀνακομίσας μετὰ τῆς ἄλλης γάζης τῆς ἀπὸ τῶν τόπων εἰς Αἴγυπτον, δυνάμεις ἀπέστειλεν διὰ τῶν ὀρυχθέιτων ποταμῶν . . .

Lines I foll. Ptolemy Euergetes is styled 'son of Ptolemy (Philadelphos) and Arsinoe.' Which Arsinoe is meant? For Philadelphos put away Arsinoe the daughter of Lysimachos and mother of Euergetes, to marry his own sister Arsinoe. It is clear Euergetes means to name the latter, his step-mother. For Philadelphos and Arsinoe are 'children of Ptolemy (Lagi) and queen Berenike,' each of whom are given the title $\theta \epsilon \partial s \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$. Arsinoe the wife of Lagos was a concubine of Philip of Macedon; so that the Ptolemies claimed an ambiguous descent from the reputed ancestors of the Macedonian royal family, Herakles and Deianira the daughter of Dionysos (see Q. Curt. ix. 8, 22; Paus. i. 6, 2; Thuk. ii. 09): $d\pi \partial \pi a\tau \rho \delta s$ and $d\pi \partial \mu \eta \tau \rho \delta s$ refer to these divine parents of the race. Lines 6 foll.: Theokritos (xvii 76 foll.) similarly recounts the dominions of Philadelphos, which were inherited by Euergetes (line 5); but he adds Arabia and Æthiopia. The conquests recorded in lines 17 foll, are referred to by Appian, Syr. 65: kal és Ba $\beta v \lambda \hat{\omega} v a \eta \lambda a \sigma \epsilon$: and by the prophet Daniel, xi. 7-9. The spoils of Euergetes were immense : see No. 179. It was because of his restoration of images and other valuables to the shrines of Egypt that Ptolemy earned his title of Euergetes. In the last two lines $\delta_{i\lambda} \tau_{\omega\nu} \delta_{\rho\nu\chi} \theta_{\ell\nu\tau\omega\nu} \pi_{\sigma\tau\alpha\mu\omega\nu}$ is understood by Droysen (Hellen. iii. 1. p. 383) of the canals on the lower Euphrates and Tigris (Strabo, pp. 740-1). Through these canals Euergetes despatched troops to Arabia.

174.

Iasos and its liberties under the Seleukidæ: B.C. 261-246 (?).

On a broken stele in the British Museum : unpublished.

[... την δημοκρ]α[τ]ίαν και αυτοιομίαν διαφυλάσσειν, γέγρ[αφε] πλεονάκις τῷ δήμω περι τούτων, ἀκόλουθα πράσσων τῃ διὰ πατέρων ὑπαρχούση αὐτῷ προς τοὺς Ἑλληνας εὐεργεσία, ὅ τε θεὸς ὁ ἀρχηγέτης τοῦ γένους τῶμ

5 βασιλέων συνεγμεμαρτύρηκεν τῷ βασιλεί παρακαλῶν μεθ' δμονοίας πολιτεύεσθαι, δ δὲ δῆμος ἔχων ταύτην τὴν αἶρεσιν πολύ τι μᾶλλον μεθ' δμονοίας πολιτευόμενος τὰ μέγιστα ἀγαθὰ παρειληφώς παρὰ τοῦ βασιλέως ταῦτα διατηρεῖ· ἴνα δὲ φανερὸν γένηται τῷ τε 10 βασιλεῖ καὶ τοῖς ἅλλοις πᾶσιν, ἡν ἔχει διάληψιν δ δῆμος πρῶτομ μὲν ὑπὲρ βασιλέως μεγάλου ᾿Αντιόχου καὶ βασιλίσσης Λαοδίκης καὶ τῶν τέκνων αὐτῶν ... εν

We have seen on No. 164 what sacrifices Antiochos Soter made to secure the allegiance of the Greek cities. The freedom of Ionia was yet further guaranteed by Antiochos Theos (Joseph. Antiq. xii. 3. 2. as illustrated by Droysen, Hell. iii. 1. p. 330). See what the Smyrna inscription says of Seleukos II and Antiochos Theos (No. 176, line 10). The marépour of line 3 are Seleukos I and Antiochos Soter. Iasos likewise owed its autonomy to the embarrassments of the Seleukid kingdom. The Seleukidæ claimed descent from Apollo (Justin, xv. 4), and placed his effigy on their coins: cp. No. 175. If the monarch referred to is Antiochos II ('Theos,' B.C. 261-246), he seems to have been urging Iasos and the maritime cities to union and loyalty to himself, as against Egyptian aggression. If from $\mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{a}\lambda ov$ in line 11 we conclude it to be Antiochos III ('the great,' B.C. 223-187), then the situation is an analogous one, but the enemies in view will be the Romans. The royal message is enforced by an oracular response from Branchidæ.

175.

Dedications of Seleukos II in the temple of Apollo Didymæos at Branchidæ near Miletos: B.C. 246 (?).

Found at Branchidze: the text from Böckh, C. I. G. 2852.

'Επὶ στεφανηφόρου Ποσειδίππου, ταμιευόντων τῶν ἱερῶν χρημάτων Τιμέα τοῦ Φύρσωros, 'Αρισταγόρα τοῦ [Δ] aήμοros (?) [Δι] ομήδους τοῦ Κρεί[σ]-

- 5 σονος (?) Φιλίππου τοῦ Σωσιστράτου, 'Αλεξάνδρου τοῦ Λοχήγου, Πολυξένου τοῦ Βαβίωνος, τάδε ἀνέθηκαν βασιλεῖς Σέλευκος καὶ 'Αντίοχος τὰ ἐν τῆ ἐπιστολῆ γεγραμμένα.
- 10 Βασιλεύς Σέλευκος Μιλησίων τη βουλή

καί τω δήμω γαίρειν. άφεστάλκαμεν είς τό ίερον τοῦ 'Απόλλωνος τοῦ ἐν Διδύμοις τήν τε λυχνίαν την μεγάλην και ποτήρια γρυσά και άργυρά είς ανάθεσιν τοις θερίς 15 τοις Σωτήρσι, κομίζοντα πολιάνθην, έπιγραφάς έχουτα. ύμεις ούν, όταν παραγίνηται, λαβόντες αὐτὰ ἀγαθη τύχη απόδοτε είς τὸ ίερόν, ίνα έχητε σπένδειν καί χρασθαι (-- ύγιαινόντων ήμων καί εύτυχούν-20 των καί της πόλεως διαμενούσης ώς έγω βούλομαι και ύμεις—) είς [ά] έχετε [ά]πεσταλμένη τηδε πολιάνθη και την ανάθεσιν ποιούμενοι των απεσταλμένων συντελέσατε την θυσίαν, ήν συντετάγαμεν αύτοί, συνεπιμελήθητε 23 οῦν Ινα γένηται κατά τρόπον. των δε άφεσταλμένων χρυσωμάτων και άργυρωμάτων είς το ίερον ύπογέγραφα ύμιν την γραφήν, ίνα είδητε και τα γένη και τον σταθμον ξκάστου. έδδωσσθε.

(Then follows the inventory, with which we are not concerned.)

Apollo was the progenitor of the Seleukid dynasty (see No. 174), and it was his oracle of Branchidæ which first foretold the greatness of Seleukos I (Appian, Syr. 56; Diod. xix. 90). Seleukos I had been a benefactor of this shrine (Pausan. i. 16; viii. 46), and here Seleukos II sends gifts also. He writes the letter in his own name, but he couples with himself his brother Antiochos (Hierax), who must have been a mere boy. Hierax was still quite young when the war broke out between these two brothers: and Böckh, with much probability, supposes Seleukos II to have made these dedications directly after the murder of his father Antiochos Theos by his mother Laodike in 246 B.C. had secured his succession to the throne. After this convenient crime Seleukos wishes to conciliate the favour of heaven. πολιάνθη, line 21, is governed by χρασθαι above : the word must mean incense, but the derivation is doubtful; els à éxere may mean 'for such purposes as you find requisite.' The $\theta \in \mathcal{O}$ Σωτήρεs are Antiochos I and Stratonike.

³⁰ Γραφή χρυσωμάτων των άφεσταλμένων.

176.

Alliance between Smyrna and Magnesia ad Sipylum in the interests of Seleukos II: B.C. 243 (?).

On a large alab from Smyrna, now in the Marble-room, Oxford, where I have verified and in some minute points improved the text as given by Böckh, C. I. G. 3137.

- § I. Decree of the people of Smyrna concerning the proposed treaty with Magnesia : "Εδοξεν τῷ δήμφ, στρατηγῶν γνώμη" Ἐπειδή πρότερόν τε, καθ ον καιρόν ό βασιλεύς Σέλευκος ύπερ/έβαλεν els την Σελευκίδα, πολλών [κ]αι μεγάλων κινδύνων περιστάντων τημ πόλιν ήμων και την | χώραν, διεφύλαξεν δ δήμος τημ πρός αυτόν εύνοιάν τε καί φιλίαν, ού καταπλαγείς την των έναντίων έφοδον | ούδε φροντίσας της των ύπαρχόντων απωλείας, αλλα πάντα 5 δεύτερα ήγησάμενος είναι πρός το διαμεί ναι έν τη αίρεσει και αντιλαβέσθαι τώμ $\pi[\rho]$ αγμάτων κατά την ξαυτοῦ δύναμιν, καθ ότι έξ άρχης ύπέστη δι' δ | και ό βασιλεύς Σέλευκος εύσεβώς τά πρός τούς θεούς διακείμενος και φιλοστόργως τα πρός τούς γονείς, μεγαλόψυχος ών και επιστάμενος χάριτας αποδιδόναι τοις έαυτον εύεργετούσιν, ετίμησεν τημ πόλιν ημών διά | τε την τού δήμου εύνοιαν καὶ φιλοτιμίαν, η̈́ν ἐπεποίητο εἰς τὰ πράγματα αύτοῦ, καὶ διὰ τὸ τὸμ πατέρα αὐτοῦ θεὸν Αντίοχον καὶ τὴμ μητέρα την τοῦ πατρός, θεάν Στρατονίκην, ίδρῦσθαι παρ' ήμιν 10 τιμωμέ νους τιμαίς άξιολόγοις και κοινή ύπο του πλήθους και ίδία ύφ' έκάστου τώμ πολιτών, και έβεβαίωσεν τῷ δήμφ την αύτονομίαν και δημοκρατίαν, έγραψεν δε και πρός τους βασιλείς και τους δυνάστας καί τας πόλεις και τα έθνη, αξιώσας αποδέξασθαι τό τε ίερον τής Στρατονικίδος Αφροδίτης άσυλον είναι και τημ πόλιν ήμων lepar και άσυλον ιτην τε ύπερβεβληκότος του βασιλέως els
- την Σελευκίδα οἱ στρατη[γο]ὶ σπεύδοντες διαμένειν τῷ βασιλεῖ τὰ πράγματα συμφερόντως, διε πέμψαντο πρός τοὺς ἐμ Μαγνησία κατοίκους καὶ πρό[s] τοὺς ὑπαίθρους ἱππεῖς καὶ στρατιώτας, καὶ 15 ἀπέστειλαν ἐξ αὐτῶν | ἕια Διονύσιον τὸμ παρακαλέσοντα αὐτοὺς διαφυλάσσειν τὴμ φιλίαν καὶ συμμαχίαν βασιλεῖ Σελεύκψ εἰς | πάντα τὸγ χρόνου, ἐπαγγελλόμενοι διατηρούντων αὐτῶν τὰ πράγ-
- ματα καὶ τὸν αὐτὸν ἐχθρὸγ καὶ φίλον ἡγουμένων ὑπάρξειν αὐ¦τοῖs παρὰ τοῦ δήμου καὶ παρὰ τοῦ βασιλέως Σελεύκου πάντα τὰ φιλάνθρωπα καὶ καλῶς ἔχοιτα, καὶ ἀποδοθήσεσθαι χάρι¦τας αὐτοῖς

άξίας της αιρέσεως, οι δε έμ Μαγνησία παρακληθέντες. και αυτοί όντες πρόθυμοι πρός το διαφυλάσσειν τω βασιλεί την τε φιλίαν καὶ τὴν συμμαχίαν καὶ διατηρεῖν αὐτῷ τὰ πράγματα, τά τ $[\epsilon]$ άξιωθέντα ύπο των στρατηγών φιλοτίμως απεδέξαντο και έπαγ-20 γέλλονται την αύτην έξειν αίρεσιν τῷ δήμφ τῷ ημετέρφ είς πάντα τα τοῦ βασιλέως Σελεύκου συμφέροντα, και απεστάλκασιν | πρός ήμας πρεσβευτάς, έγ μεν τωγ κατοίκων Ποτάμωνα καὶ ['Ι]εροκλήν, έν δε των ύπαίθρων Δάμωνα και 'Απολλωνικέτην, τους δ[ια] λεξομένους τε ήμιν και ανοίσοντας την όμολογίαν, καθ ήν αξι[o]υσιν συνθέσθαι τημ πρός έαυτους φιλίαν, έπαχθέντες δε και ο[1]πρεσβευταλ έπι τον δήμον συνλελαλήκασιν ύπερ απάντων ακολ ούθως τοις έν τη δμολογία γεγραμμένοις αναθή τύχη δεδόχθα[ι] τήν τε φιλίαν συντίθεσθαι πρός τούς έμ Μαγνησία έπι πασι τοί[ς] του βασιλέως Σελεύκου συμφέρουσιν και αποδείξαι πρός αὐτού[ς] 25 πρεσβευτάς τρεις, οίτινες την όμολογίαν τε, ητις αν δόξη τώ δήμφ, ανοίσουσιν αύτοις, και περί των γεγραμμένων έν αύτη δι[a] λεγήσονται, καὶ παρακαλέσουσιν αὐτοὺς δέχεσθαί τε καὶ συντελείν τὰ έν τη δμολογία γεγραμμένα. και έάμ περ δέξωνται οί έμ | Μαγνησία, δρκισάτωσαν αὐτοὺς οἱ ἀποδειχθησόμενοι πρεσβευταί τον δρκου του έν τη δμολογία γεγραμμένου προσδεξαμέν[ων] | δε ταῦτα τῶν έμ Μαγνησία και συνσφραγισαμένων την όμολογίαν και όμοσάντων, και έπανελθόντων τωμ πρεσβευτών, συ [ντε] λείσθω και τα λοιπα πάντα τα έν τη δμολογία γεγραμμένα, καλ τὸ ψήφισμα τόδε ἀναγραφήτω κατὰ τὸν νόμον ἀναγραφήτω 30 δε κ[al εls] | στήλας, εls as και ή δμολογία αναγραφήσεται. καλεσάτωσαν δε οι επιμήνιοι της βουλης και τους πρεσβευτας τούς παραγεν[ομένους] | έγ Μαγνησίας έπι ξενισμόν είς τό πρυτοις δε αποδειχθε[ί]σιμ πρεσβευταις δότω μεθόδιον τανείον. Καλλίνος ό ταμίας τὸ ἐκ τοῦ [νόμου] | ἡμερών ὅσων αν ὁ δημος τάξη από των της πόλεως προσόδων. ημέρα[ι] ετάγησαμ πέντε πρεσβευταί απεδείχθησαν Φανόδημος Μικ[ίωνος?] | Διονύσιος Διονυτά, Παρμενίσκος Πυθέου.

 § II. The terms of the alliance; Magnesia is to become absorbed into Smyrna, to form one body politic: 'Επὶ ἰερέως 'Ηγησίου, στεφανηφόρου δὲ Πυθοδώρου, μηνὸς Ληναιῶνος, ἀγαθῆ τύχῃ ἐπὶ 35 τοῖσδε συνέθεντο τὴμ φιλίαν Σμυρναῖ[oí] | τε καὶ οἱ ἐμ Μαγνησία κάτοικοι, οἴ τε κατὰ πόλιν ἱππεῖς καὶ πεζοὶ κα[ι οἱ] ἐν τοῖς ὑπαίθροις καὶ οἱ ἅλλοι οἰκηταί, καὶ τὴμ πολιτείαν ἔδωκαν | Σμυρ302

raioi τοίς έμ Μαγρησία κατοίκοις, τοις τε κατά τοίλ ur intered και πεζοίς και τοις υπαίθροις και τοις [άλλοις τοις?] οίκοθοι | τημ πόλις, έφ' δτιφ τημ μές συμμαχίας και της εδεοιας της εί[s] τα τράγματα τα του βασιλέως Σελεύκου διατηρήσουσια οι έμ | Μαγεησία τῷ βασιλεί Σελεύκο μετά πάσης προθυμίας els άπαρτα τ[όν] χρόνον, και δσα παρείληψαν παρά του βασιλέως Σελεύκου φυλάξαντες | είς δύναμιν είναι την αύτων, αποδώσουσιν τω βασιλεί Σελεύκψ [το]λιτεύσονται δε μετά Σμυρναίων κατά τους τής 40 πόλεως νόμους | [å]στασιάστως, τον αντόν έχθρου και φίλον ήγούμετοι Σμυρπαίοι[ς δ]μούπται δε και οι έμ Μαγπησία Σμυρραίοις και Σμυρραΐοι τοις έμ | Μαγρησία έκάτεροι αυτώρ του δρκορ τόν έν τη όμολογία ύπο γεγραμμένου συστελεσθέντων δε των δρκων τα μεν εγκλήματα αύτοις τα γεγενημένα κατα τομ πόλεμον ήρθω πάντα, καὶ μὴ ἐξέστω [μηδ]ὲ ἑτέροις ἐγκαλέσαι περὶ τῶγ κατὰ τὸν πόλεμον γεγενημένων μή[τε] | διὰ δίκης μήτε κατ' άλλον τρόπομ μηθένα· εί δε μή, παν το επιφερόμε[ν]ον εγκλημα άκυρον έστω. δεδόσθαι δὲ τοῖς έμ Μαγρησία κατοίκ[οις], | τοῖς τε κατὰ τόλιν ίππεθσι και πεζοίς και τοις υπαίθροις, πολιτεί[α]» έν Σμύρνη 45 έφ' ίση και όμοία τοις άλλοις πολίταις όμοίως δε δε δόσθαι | τ]ημ πολιτείαν και τοις άλλοις τροις οίκο θυσιν έμ Μαγνησία δσοι αν ωσιν [ε]λεύθεροί τε και Έλληνες. ανενεγκάτωσαν δε τοὺς μεγ καταλοχισμ[ούς | τ]ών έμ Μαγνησία ίππέων τε και πεζών τών τε κατά πόλιγ και των ύπα[ίθ]ρων οι όντες γραμματείς των ταγμάτων έπι τον δημον, των δε άλλ[ων] | οίκητων την γραφ[ην οί] άποδειχθέντες ύπο των έμ Μαγνησία κατοίκω[ν] άνδρες. бтах бе τιθώνται τούς καταλοχισμούς οι γραμματεῖς, και οι | [ά]υδρες οι ἀπ[οδ]ειχθέντες τὴγ γραφὴν τῶν δλλων οἰκητῶν, δρκισάτωσαν αύ τους οι εξετασταί επί του Μητρώου ιεροίς νεοκαύτο[ις, τους μέγ | γραμμ]ατείς, ή μην από του βελτίστου ανενηνοχέναι την γραφήν των όντω[ν π]αρ' αύτοις κατοίκων ίππέων και πε[ζών, 50 των τε κατά πόλιν και των κατά τά] | υπαιθρα τασσομένων, τούς δε άνδρας τοὺς ἀ[ναφ]έροιτας τὴγ γραφὴν τῶν [άλλων οἰκητῶν, ή μην από του βελτίστου ανενηνοχέναι την γραφην των] | οίκούντων έμ Μαγνησία και όντων έλευθέρων κ[ai] Έλλήνων. τας δέ ανενεχ[θείσας γραφάς oi] έξετασταὶ παραδότωσαν τῷ γραμμα-[τοφύ]λακι της | βουλής και του δήμου ό δε θέσθω είς [τό] δημόσιον. έπικληρωσάτωσαν δε ο[ί εξεταστ]αί είς τας φυλάς τα ανενεχθέντα διόματα πάντα, και α[να]γραψάτωσαν els τα κληρώτήρια καί έστω μετουσία τοις αταγραφείσιν ε[is τα κληρ]ωτήρια

πάντων δγ και τοις λοιποις πολίταις μέτεστιν. | χρήσθωσαν δε οί πολιτογραφηθέντες καί έμ Μαγνησία περί των συναλλ[αγμάτ]ων 55 καl των εγκλημάτων τωμ πρός Σμυρναίους τοις νόμοις | τοις Σμυρναίων δεχέσθωσαν δε και έμ Μαγνησία το νόμισμα το τής πόλεως [ένν]ομον. και άρχοντα δε δν αν αποστέλλη δ δήμος κυριεύσοντά τε | των κλειδων και έσόμενον έπι της φυλακής της πόλεως και διατηρήσοντα [τ]ημ πόλιν τῷ βασιλεί Σελεύκψ παραδέξονται οί έμ Μαγνησία. δό τωσαν δε Σμυρναΐοι καί είς κατασκήνωσιν τοις αποσκευαζομένοις των έκ Μαγν[ησ]ίας οικίας κλινών δσων αν τω δήμω δόξη, αφ' ου αν χρόνου ή δμολογία συνσφραγισθή els εξάμηνον μισθούσθω δε δ ταμίας των δσίωμ προσόδων [τ] às olklas μετά των στρατηγών και το ανήλωμα διδότω από των τή [s] | πόλεως προσόδων. δμόσαι δε τούς μεν εμ Μαγνησίακατοίκους, τών τε κατά πό[λ]ιν ίππέων και πεζών και τους έν τοις 60 ύπαίθροις τασσομένους, κα[] | τούς άλλους τούς καταχωριζομένους εls τό πολίτευμα τόνδε τόν δρκον· 'Ομνύω Δία, Γην, "Ηλιον, "Αρη, 'Αθηναν 'Αρείαν, καὶ τὴν Ταυροπόλον, καὶ τὴ[μ] | μητέρα τὴν Σιπυληνήν, και 'Απόλλω τον έμ Πάνδοις, και τους άλλους θεους πάντας και πάσας, και την του βασιλέως Σελεύκου τύχην έμμενω έν | ταῖς συνθήκαις als συντέθειμαι πρός Σμυρναίους els απαντα τὸγ χρόνον, [κ]αὶ διατηρήσω τήν τε συμμαχίαν καὶ τὴν εὖνοιαν τῷ βασιλεί Σελεύκφ | καί τη Σμυρναίων πόλει, και & παρείληφα παρά τοῦ βασιλέως Σελεύκου διατηρ[ήσω] κατά δύναμιν την έμην και αποδώσω τῷ βασιλεί Σελεύκω. και οὐθεν | παραβήσομαι των κατά την δμολογίαν, οὐδε μεταθήσω επὶ τὸ χεῖρον τὰ γεγραμ[μ]ενα έν αὐτῆ οὕτε τρόπφ οὕτε μηχανῆ οὐδεμιậ. καὶ πολιτεύσομαι μεθ' 65 δ'μονοίας αστασιάστως κατά τούς Σμυρναίων νόμους και τα ψηφίσματα τοῦ δ[ή]μου, καὶ συνδιατηρήσω τήν τε αὐτονομίαν καὶ δημοκρατίαν καί τάλλα τα | έπικεχωρημένα Σμυρναίοις ύπο του βασιλέως Σελεύκου μετά πάσης προθυμ[ία]ς έμ παυτί καιρώ. και ούτε αύτος αδικήσω αύτων ούθένα ούτε άλλφ έπ[ι] τρέψω ούθενι κατά δύκαμικ την έμήν και έάν τινα αίσθάνωμαι έπιβουλεύο ντα] τη πόλει ή τοις χωρίοις τοις τής πόλεως, ή την δημοκρατίαν ή την loo νομίαν καταλύοντα, μηνύσω τώ δήμω τώ Σμυρναίων, καl βοιηθήσω άγωνιζ[όμ]ενος μετά πάσης φιλοτιμίας, και οὐκ εγκαταλείψω κατά δύναμιν την έμαυτου. ενορκούντι μέν μοι εύ είη, έφιορκούντι δε εξώλεια και αυτώ και γέσνει τη εξ εμού. Ομόσαι 70 δε και Σμυρναίους τοις από Μαγνησίας τοι δρκον | τόνδε· Όμνύω Δία, Γην, "Ηλιον, "Αρη, 'Αθηνών 'Αρείαν, και την Ταυροπόλον, και

304

τημ μη τέρ]α την Σιπυληνήν, και 'Αφροδίτην Στρατονικίδα, και τούς άλλους θεούς | πάντας και πάσας εμμενώ έν ταις συνθήκαις als συντεθείμεθα πρός τούς [έμ M]αγνησία κατοίκους, τούς τε κατά πόλιν ίππεις και πεζούς και τούς έν τ[οις] | υπαίθροις τασσομένους, καί τούς άλλους τούς καταχωριζομένους είς το π[ολί]τευμα, είς απαυτα τόγ χρόνου, ούθευ παραβαίνωυ των κατά την δμολογίαν, | ούδε μετατιθείς επί το χείρον τα γεγραμμένα εν αὐτῆ οὕτε τέχνη οὕτε [μηχα]νῆ οὐδεμιῷ. καὶ εὐνοήσω καὶ βασιλεῖ Σελεύκω και τοις έκ Μαγνησίας καιτοίκοις, τοις τε κατά πόλιν και τοις υπαίθροις, και τοις άλλοις τοις οίκο υσιν έμ] Μαγνησία δσοι 75 είσιν ελεύθεροί τε και Έλληνες. και ποιήσομαι αυτούς | πολίτας πάντας και τους έκγόνους αυτών έφ' ίση και όμοία τοις άλλοις πολί[ταις, κ]αί είς φυλάς αὐτοὺς ἐπικληρώσας καταχωριώ είς ήν αν ξκαστοι λάχωσιν. | και ούτε αύτος άδικήσω αυτίων] ουθένα ούτε άλλφ έπιτρέψω ούθενί κατά δίνη αμιν την έμήν και έάν τινα alσθάνωμαι έπιβουλεύοντα αύτοις η τοις έκγο[vois] | αύτων η τοις ύπάρχουσιν αὐτῶν, μηνύσω ὡς ἁν τάχιστα δύνωμαι, καὶ βοι[ηθήσ]ω μετά φιλοτιμίας. και μετουσίαν αύτοις δώσω των τε άρχείων και των ά[λλων] | των κοινων της πόλεως, ων και οι άλλοι πολιται μετέχουσιν. εὐορκο[ῦντι μέμ] μοι εῦ εἶη, ἐφιορκοῦντι δε ἐξώλεια καὶ αὐτῷ καὶ γένει τῷ ἐξ ἐμοῦ. ᾿Αποδ[ειξά] τωσαν δὲ Σμυρναῖοί τε και οι από Μαγνησίας ανδρας [εκάτεροι αντων δσους] αν εκάτεροι 80 ύπολανβάνωσιν ίκανούς είναι τούς όρκιουντας το πλήθος | των τε έν Σμύρνη και των έμ Μαγνησία οι δε ίδρκιζετωσαν προγράψα]ντες τη πρότερον ήμέρα ένδημειν τούς έν τη πόλει, ώς του δρκου συ [ντε] λεσθησομένου τοῦ κατά την δμολογίαν. [δρκιζέτωσαν δε του δρκου του προγεγραμμέν]ου οι μεν εκ Μαγυησίας **ἀποδειχθέντες Σμυρναίους, οἱ δὲ ἐξ Σμύρνης τοὺς ἐμ | Μαγνησίφ.** τὰ δὲ ἰερεῖα τὰ εἰς τὰ [δρ]κωμόσια ἐν Σμύρνη [δότω δ ταμίας Καλ λινος άφ' ών αν ψηφίσηται ό δήμος, έν δε Μαγνησία οί ταμίαι οις αν το πληθος επιτάξη. αναγραψάτωσ[αν] δε και την όμολογίαν έν στήλα[ις λευκολίθοις και αταθέ]τωσαν Σμυρναΐοι μέν έν τῷ τῆς Ἀφροδίτης τῆς Στρατ[ονικ]ίδος ίερῷ καὶ | ἐμ Μαγνησία τη πρός τω Μαιάνδρω έν τω της Αρτέμιδ os της Λευκοφρυη]νης ίερφ, οί δε εμ Μαγνησία κάτοικοι έν τε τη άγορα παρά 85 τον βωμον τοῦ | Δ ιον [ύσ]ου και τὰς τῶν βασιλέων εἰκόνας, καὶ έμ Πάνδοις έν [τῷ ἰερῷ τοῦ] ᾿Απόλλωνος, καὶ ἐγ Γρυνέφ ἐν τῷ ἱερῷ τοῦ ᾿Απόλλωνος. ἀναγραψάτω δὲ καὶ ὁ γρα[μ]ματοφύλαξ τῆς βουλής και του δήμου τα αυτίγραφα της όμολογίας [els το δημ]όσιον. συνφραγισάσθωσαν δε τας δμολογίας, τημ μεν Σμυρναίοις δοθησομένην | ούς αν αποδείξη το κοινον των εμ Μαγνησία τοις τε εαυτών δακτυλίοις κα[ί τ]ψ υπάρχοντι κοινψ, την δε είς Μαγνησίαν δοθησομένην σφραγισάσθωσαν Σμυρνα[ίων] | οι τε στρατηγοί και οι εξετασται τψ τε της πόλεως δακτυλίψ και τοις αύτων. ταυτα δε αμφοτέροις τοις πλήθεσιν συντετελέσθαι τύχη τη αγαθη. |

- § III. Decree concerning the surrender and incorporation of the garrison at Palæmagnesia: "Εδοξεν τῷ δήμφ, στρατηγῶν γνώμη' Ἐπειδὴ προνοῶν ὁ δῆμος ὑπερ ἀπάντων [τ]ῶν τοῦ βασιλέως Σελεύκου συμφερόντων διετέλει καὶ πρότερον, τήν τε βασι-
- 90 λεί[αν] | αὐτοῦ συναύξων καὶ τὰ πράγματα διατηρῶν καθ ὅσον ἦν δυνατός, καὶ πολλὰ μὲν περιείδεν ἀπολλύμενα καὶ καταφθειρόμενα τῶν ὑπαρχόντων, πολλοὺς δὲ ὑπέμειν[εν] | κινδύνους ἕνεκεν τοῦ διατηρῆσαι τὴν φιλίαν τὴν πρὸς τὸν βασιλέα Σέλευκον, καὶ νῦν φιλοτιμούμενος αὐτῷ συνδιατηρῆσαι καὶ συνέχειν τὰ πράγματα καθ ὅ[σον] | ἐνδεχόμει όν ἐστιν, τήν τε πρὸς τοὺς ἐμ Μαγνησία κατοίκους καὶ τοὺς ὑπαίθρους ἱππεῖς καὶ τοὺς πεζοὺς στρατιώτας καὶ τοὺς ἅλλους τοὺς οἰκοῦντας ἐμ Μα|γνησία συντέθειται φιλίαν, Γνα διαφυλάσσωσιν τὴν συμμαχίαν καὶ τὴν εὕνοιαν τῷ βασιλεῖ Σελεύκῳ, ιομίζω[ν] δὲ [ἀ]ναγκαῖον εἶναι τῷ πόλει παραλαβεῖν καὶ τ[᠔] | χωρίον Παλαιμαγνησίαν, καὶ ποιήσασθαι τὴν φυλακὴν δι' αὐτοῦ, Γνα καὶ τούτου παραληφθέντος ὑπὸ τῆς πόλεως
- 95 ασφαλέστερον διαμένη πάντα τα σύνεγγυ[s] | πράγματα τῷ βασιλεί Σελεύκω, διεπέμψαντο πρός τούς οικούντας έν τώ χω[ρ]ίω, καί παρεκάλεσαν αύτούς αίρεισθαι την φιλίαν την πρός τόν βασιλέα Σέλευκον, | καί παραδούναι τας κλείδας τῷ άρχοντι τῷ άποσταλέιτι ύπο του δήμου, και πα[ρ]αδέξ[α]σθαι φυλακήν την συνδιατηρήσουπαν μετ' αὐτῶν τὸ χωρίον τῷ βασιλεί | Σελεύκψ, έπαγγελλόμενος ταῦτα ποιησάντων αὐτῶν ὑπάρξειν αὐτοῖς παρὰ τής πόλεως πάντα τα φιλάνθρωπα και καλώς έχοντα, οι δε οικούντες έν τῷ | χωρίφ ελόμενοι τῆμ φιλίαν τὴν πρός τὸν βασιλέα Σέλευκον μετά πάσης προ[θ]υμίας προσεδέξαντο τάξιούμενα ύπο τοῦ δήμου, καὶ τάς τε κλείδας παραδεδώκασ[ιν] | τῷ ἄρχοντι τῷ άπεσταλμέιφ ύπο τοῦ δήμου και την φυλακην την παρά της πόλεως παρεδέξαντο είς το χωρίον άγαθη τύχη δεδόχθαι πολίτας 100 τε αύτούς είν[aι], | και ύπάρχειν αύτοις τα αύτα δσα και τοις άλλοις πολίταις ύπάρχει, και τούς τε κ[λ]ήρους αυτών τους δύο, όν τε ό Θεός καί Σωτήρ Άντίοχος επεχώρησεν αύτοις και περί

ο[ν] | 'Αλέξανδρος γεγράφηκεν, είναι αύτοις άδεκατεύτους, καί έαν προσορισθή ή χώρα, ήν έχουσιν οι πρότερον όντες έμ Μαγνησία κάτοικοι, τη πόλει τη ήμετέρα, | υπάρχειν αυτοίς τους τρείς κλήρους δωρεάν και την ατέλειαν αύτοις μέ νειν την νυν ύπάρχουσαν και όσοι αύτων είσιν άκληρούχητοι, δοθήναι αύτοιs κλήρο[ν] | ίππικόν δωρεάν τών παρακειμένων τῷ χωρίφ. ύπάοχειν δε και Τίμωνι κα[ι τ]οις πεζοις τοις τεταγμένοις ύπο Τίμωνα τοις αποταχθείσιν από της φάλαγγο[ς] | επι την φυλακην του χωρίου τήν τε πολιτείαν και την αυτην ατέλειαν [η κ]αι τοις άλλοις ύπάρχει· καὶ εἶναι αὐτοὺς ἐν τῷ χωρίω. ὑπάρχειν δὲ καὶ 105 'Ωμάνει καὶ το[îs] | Πέρσαις τοῖς ὑπὸ 'Ωμάνην, καὶ τοῖς ἀπὸ Σμύρνης αποσταλείσιν έπι την [φ]υλακήν του χωρίου Μενεκλεί τε καί τοις ύπ' αύτον τασσομένοις τήν τε πολιτεία[ν] | και τάλλα φιλάνθρωπα & καί τοις άλλοις τοις έκγ Μαγνησίας έψήφισται. κ[ai] προνοήσαι τόν δήμον, δπως αύτοις διδώται έκ βασιλικού τά τε μετρήματα καὶ τὰ ὀψώνια [καὶ?] | τάλλα ὅσα εἰώθει ἐκ βασιλικού δίδοσθαι αύτοις. το δε ψήφισμα τόδε αν[αγ]ράψαι εls τὰς στήλας τὰς ἀνατεθησομένας ἐν τοῖς ἱεροῖς ὑπό τε τοῦ δήμου καί [των] | έκγ Μαγνησίας άναγεγράφθαι δε αύτο και έν τοις δημοσίοις.

No sooner had Seleukos II succeeded to the throne, upon the murder of Antiochos and Berenike, than Ptolemy Euergetes proceeded to invade Syria to avenge his sister's death. Seleukos, who was then in Asia Minor, hastened across the Tauros to oppose him (lines 1-2). He was however defeated, and had to retreat, while Ptolemy overran the eastern dominions of Seleukos, and in 243 B.C., after a brilliant campaign, returned to Egypt with immense spoils (see Nos. 173, 179; Justin, xxvii. 1, 2; Appian. Syr. 65). Meantime Ptolemy's fleet had sailed round the coast of Asia Minor, and had attached most of the Greek cities to the Egyptian cause. Karia and Lykia, Ephesos, Samos, and Kos were already Egyptian dependencies: and now most of the other Magnesia ad Sipylum was one such, states followed them. and Smyrna in remaining loyal to Seleukos had braved considerable danger (lines 1-6, 89 foll.). Seleukos must have remained in Asia Minor until Ptolemy's return to Egypt. Then he again crossed the Tauros, B.C. 243 (lines 12 foll.). At the same moment a reaction in favour of Seleukos set in among the

307

Greek cities (Justin, xxvii, 1), and our inscription sets forth the manner in which Magnesia is reconciled to Seleukos. By Σ_{ϵ} -Acurles (line 2, etc.) is meant the region of North Syria, which included the four cities of Antioch, Seleukeis, Laodikeia, and Apameia (Strabo, pp. 749-756). Lines 9 foll.: on the temple to Stratonike at Smyrna, see Tacit. Ann. iii. 63: aEiwoas anoδέξασθαι=' requesting them to allow.' Lines 14 foll.: Magnesia is to be simply absorbed in the body politic of Smyrna. It appears that many of the original inhabitants of Magnesia (of πρότερον όντες έμ Μαγνησία κάτοικοι, line 101) had been ejected, and their lands occupied by settlers who belonged to the armies of the Macedonian conquerors. Alexander the Great had settled soldiers there (lines 100, 101, cp. Droysen, Hell. i. 2, p. 291) and Antiochos Theos had done the same (line 100, cp. Droysen, iii. I. p. 32). The military character of these colonists is seen by the terms employed to describe them in § ii: of $\dot{\epsilon}\mu$ Mayungla κάτοικοι οί τε κατα πόλιν ίππεις κ.τ.λ., i. e. 'the colonists of Magnesia, including both the horse and foot soldiers resident in the city and those now serving in the field, together with the rest of the inhabitants' (see the remarks of Droysen, iii. I. p. 70).

The terms of the alliance are given in § ii. The Magnesians are to be merged in Smyrna: the laws and coinage of Smyrna are to serve for both, and any who desire to quit Magnesia and take up their dwelling at Smyrna are to be encouraged by the gift of a house (lines 54 foll.). These provisions resemble those respecting Lebedos and Teos (No. 149). The $\xi \epsilon ra \sigma r a \ell$ were probably analogous to the Attic $\lambda \sigma \gamma \sigma \tau a \ell$. $\epsilon ls \delta \ell \sigma a \ell \tau a \ell$ $a \ell \tau a \delta \tau \delta \nu$, line 39,=' to the uttermost of their power:' cp. $\epsilon \kappa \delta \nu$ $\epsilon \ell \sigma a \ell$.

§ iii is a decree respecting the garrison at Palæmagnesia, a fort near Magnesia. It was held by Timon, an officer of Seleukos, with a detachment of the royal phalanx (lines 103 foll.), assisted by a force of Persians under Omanes. The treaty with Magnesia itself (§ ii) granted citizenship at Smyrna only to free Greek colonists (line 74): but here Omanes and his Persians receive the same with the rest, on condition of the absolute surrender of the fort to Smyrna. The districts of land $(\kappa\lambda\hat{\eta}\rho\sigma)$ apportioned to the soldiers by Alexander and Antiochos Theos respectively, are to be retained with their privileges unimpaired

(lines 100 foll.): and a hope is expressed that the ejected inhabitants of Magnesia, who had occupied a district of land near to Palæmagnesia, might similarly be incorporated with Smyrna, and retain their lands without tithe or tax (lines 101 foll.). Those of the garrison who have no allotment are promised land (line 102). $K\lambda\hat{\eta}\rho\rho\sigma$ intervalues is a horse-soldier's portion: $\delta\omega\rho\epsilon\dot{a}\nu = \dot{a}\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\hat{i}s$. This inscription does more than illustrate the war between Seleukos and Ptolemy; it shows us the working of the system of colonization under the Macedonian monarchs. It also reveals the weakness of the Seleukidæ; their empire was unwieldy in size, and comprised very varied nationalities, so that a strong central control was impossible. Thus the soldier population of Magnesia, and even the soldiers at the fort-Timon with the detachment of the phalanx, Omanes and his Persians-went over to Ptolemy at his first expedition. When in 243 B.C. the tide of feeling runs the other way, they are reconciled to Seleukos. but this treaty reveals what strong securities Smyrna had to take for their permanent lovalty.

177.

Statue of Attalos I; defeat of the Gauls and Antiochos Hierax: about B.C. 240-230.

A statue-base from Pergamon, recently recovered : Humann, Die Ergebnisse etc., p. 83; cp. Böckh, C. I. G. 3535.

> Βασιλέα ^{*}Ατταλον ^{*}Επιγέν[η]s καὶ οἱ ἡγεμόνεs καὶ στρατ[ηγοὶ] οἱ συναγωνισάμενοι τὰs πρὸs τοὺs Γα[λάταs] καὶ ^{*}Αντίοχον μάχαs χαρισ-5 [τήριον] ἔστησαν Διΐ, ^{*}Αθηνậ. [^{*}Ισι- οτ ^{*}Αντι]γόνου ἔργα.

This inscription was one of several written upon a number of blocks forming the base upon which had once stood a series of bronze statues in honour of Attalos I and Eumenes II. The first grand victory of Attalos I is also commemorated in No. 180: he had a like success when Antiochos Hierax (line 4) had enlisted the Gauls in his struggle with his brother Seleukos II about the succession to the Syrian throne. Pliny speaks of these bronze groups and statues (N. H. xxxiv. 84: 'plures artifices fecere Attali et Eumenis adversus Gallos prælia, Isigonus, Pyromachus, Stratonicus, Antigonus'). For indeed Attalos and Eumenes defeated them over and over again: (Livy, xxxviii. 17, 'Attalus eos rex sæpe fudit fugavitque'). Our inscription appears to have stood beneath the central statue of the series, which was named $Ba\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon a$ 'Arra $\lambda o\nu$. Epigenes and his fellow commanders are otherwise unknown. But in the artist's name we recognize either the Antigonos or the Isigonos mentioned by Pliny.

178.

Relations between Keos and the Ætolian League: B.C. 240-220.

On the ante of the temple of Apollo at Karthæa: the text from Böckh, C. I. G. 2350; Le Bas-Waddington, Voyage Arch. Pt. iv. 1763.

Εδοξεν τοῖς Αἰτωλοῖς, ποτὶ τοὺς [Κε]ίους τὰν φιλίαν τὰν ὑπάρχουσαν διαφυ-\άσσειν, καὶ μηθένα ἄγειν Αἰτωλῶν μηδὲ τῶν ἐν Αἰτωλία πολιτευόν-·ων τοὺς Κείους, μηθαμόθεν δρμώμενον, μήτε κατὰ γῶν μήτε κατὰ θάλατrav, μήτε ποτ' ᾿Αμφικτυονικὸν μήτε ποτ' ἄλλο ἔγκλημα μηθέν, ὡς Αἰτωλῶν šιτων τῶν Κείων· εἰ δέ τίς κα ἄγει τοὺς Κείους, τὸν στραταγὸν ἀεὶ τὸν ἐνάρ-(οντα τὰ ἐν Αἰτωλίαν καταγόμενα [καταδικάζ]οντα κύριον εἰμεν, καὶ τοὺς rυνέδρους καταδικάζοντας τοῖς Κείοις [τὰν τῶ]ν ἀ[γόντων αὐ]το[ὺς ζα]μίαν, ίν κα δοκιμάζωντι, κυρίους εἰμεν. στρα[ταγέοιτος τοῦ δεῖνος τὸ τε]-·αρτον, πρεσβευσάντων ἐν Κέ[ω τῶν δεῖνα].

The date is fixed by the reference to the Amphiktyonic assembly. It was about from 240 to 220 B.C. that the Ætolians assumed the sole management of the Delphic temple, and constituted themselves the sole members of the assembly, excluding all delegates ($i\epsilon\rhoo\mu\nu\dot{a}\muo\nu\epsilon_s$) from other states (see Böckh on *C. I. G.* 1694, who compares Polyb. iv. 25). It is observable how frankly the Ætolians avow their robber-instincts (cp. Polyb. xvii. 4-5; No. 31). As for Keos, it had a considerable trade (see No. 108), and was therefore glad to be secure from Ætolian pirates. Keos was colonized from Naupaktos by Keos son of Apollo, according to legend; and Naupaktos was now Ætolian. The four towns of Keos have one united constitution (*ourousiopu*ós).

179.

Decree of Egyptian priests in honour of Ptolemy Euergetes: November 7th, B.C. 239.

Found at Tanis, in the Delta: the text from M. Wescher, *Revue Arch.* xiv. 1866, pp. 49 foll.; the rest of the inscription is unpublished.

Βασιλεύοντος Πτολεμαίου τοῦ Πτολεμαίου καὶ 'Αρσινόης Θεῶν 'Αδελφῶν' ἔτους ἐνάτου' ἐψ' ἱερέως 'Απολλωνίδου τοῦ | Μόσχωνος 'Αλεξάνδρου καὶ θεῶν 'Αδελφῶν καὶ θεῶν Εὐεργετῶν' κανηφόρου 'Αρσινόης Φιλαδέλφου Μενεκρατείας | τῆς Φιλάμμονος' μηνὸς 'Απελλαίου ἐβδόμη, Αἰγυπτίων δὲ Τυβὶ ἐπτακαιδεκάτη. Ψήφισμα. Οἱ ἀρχιερεῖς | καὶ προφῆται καὶ οἱ εἰς τὸ ἄδυτον εἰσπορευόμενοι πρός τὸν στολισμὸν τῶν θεῶν καὶ πτεροφόροι καὶ

- 5 ίερογραμματεῖς καὶ | οἱ ἄλλοι ἱερεῖς οἱ συναντήσαντες ἐκ τῶν κατὰ τὴν χώραν ἱερῶν εἰς τὴν πέμπτην τοῦ Δίου, ἐν ἢ ἄγεται γενέθλια τοῦ | βασιλέως, καὶ εἰς τὴν πέμπτην καὶ εἰκάδα τοῦ αὐτοῦ μηνός, ἐν ἢ παρέλαβεν τὴν βασιλείαν παρὰ τοῦ πατρός, συνεδρεύσαντες | ταύτη τῆ ἡμέρα ἐν τῷ ἐν Κανώπῳ ἱερῷ τῶν Εὐεργετῶν θεῶν, εἰπαν Ἐπειδὴ βασιλεὺς Πτολεμαίος Πτολεμαίου καὶ ᾿Αρσινόης θεῶν ᾿Αδελφῶν | καὶ βασίλισσα Βερενίκη ἀδελφὴ αὐτοῦ καὶ γυνή, θεοὶ Εὐεργέται, διατελοῦσιν πολλὰ καὶ μεγάλα εὐεργετῶντες τὰ κατὰ τὴν χώραν ἱερὰ καὶ | τὰς τιμὰς τῶν θεῶν ἐκρι την χώραν ἱερὰ καὶ | τὰς τιμὰς τῶν θεῶν ἐπὶ πλέον αὕξοντες τοῦ τε ¨Απιος καὶ τοῦ Μνηύῖος καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν
- 10 ένλογίμων ίερων ζώων των έν τῆ χώρα την ἐπιμέλειαν | δια παιτός ποιοῦνται μετὰ μεγάλης δαπάνης καὶ χορηγίας, καὶ τὰ ἐξενεγχθέντα ἐκ τῆς χώρας ἱερὰ ἀγάλματα ὑπὸ | τῶν Περσῶν ἐξστρατεύσας ὁ βασιλεὺς ἀνέσωσε εἰς Αἴγυπτον καὶ ἀπέδωκεν εἰς τὰ ἱερά, δθεν ἕκαστον ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἐξήχθη, τήν τε | χώραν ἐν εἰρήιῃ διατετήρηκεν προπολεμῶν ὑπὲρ αὐτῆς πρὸς πολλὰ ἔθνη καὶ τοὺς ἐν αὐτοῖς δυναστοῦντας καὶ τοῦς ἐν τῆ χώρα | πῶσι καὶ τοῦς ἐν σῦμα ἐνομίαν τῶν ἐκοτιν τῶν καὶ τοῦς ἐν αὐτοῖς δυναστοῦντας καὶ τοῦς ἐν τῆ χώρα | πῶσι καὶ τοῦς ἐν αὐτοῖς ὑναστοῦντας καὶ τοῦς ἐν τῆ χώρα | πῶσι καὶ τοῦς ἐν αὐτοῦς τῶν ἀντὴν βασιλείαν τασσομένοις τὴν εὐνομίαν παρέχουσιν, τοῦ τε ποταμοῦ ποτε ἐλλιπέστερον ἀναβάντος καὶ πάιτων τῶν ἐν τῆ χώρα καταπεπληγμένων ἐπὶ τῷ συμβεβηκότι 15 καὶ ἐνθυμουμένων τὴν καταφορὰν | ἐπί τινων τῶν πρότερον βε-
- βασιλευκότων, έφ' ών συνέβη άβροχίαις περιπεπτωκέναι τοὺς τὴν χώραν κατοικοῦντας, προστάντες κηδεμο|νικῶς τῶν τε ἐν τοῖς ἱεροῖς καὶ τῶν άλλων τῶν τὴν χώραν κα[τ]οικούντων πολλὰ μὲν

προνοηθέντες, οὐκ ὀλίγας δὲ τῶν προσόδων ὑπερἰδόντες ἔνεκα τῆς τῶν ἀνθρώπων σωτηρίας, ἐκ τε Συρίας καὶ Φοινίκης καὶ Κύπρου καὶ ἐξ ἄλλων πλειόνων τόπων σῖτον μεταπεμ/ψάμενοι εἰς τὴν χώραν τιμῶν μειζόνων, διέσωσαν τοὺς τὴν Αἴγυπτον κατοικοῦντας, ἀθάνατον εὐεργεσίαν καὶ τῆς αὐτῶν ἀρετῆς | μέγιστον ὑπόμνημα καταλείποντες τοῖς τε νῦν οὖσιν καὶ τοῖς ἐπιγινομένοις, 20 ἀνθ ῶν οἱ θεοὶ δεδώκασιν αὐτοῖς εὐστατοῦσαν τὴν βασιλείζαν καὶ δώσουσιν τἅλλ' ἀγαθὰ πάντα εἰς τὸν ἀεὶ χρόνον· 'Αγαθῷ Τύχῃ. δεδόχθαι τοῖς κατὰ τὴν χώραν ἱερεῦσιν

The reader is referred to the notes on No. 173, which deals with the same campaign of Ptolemy Euergetes (lines 10, 11). Syria, Phœnikia, Kypros (line 17) were among the domains he inherited from his father (see No. 173). The famous Rosetta stone (C. I. G. 4697), now in the British Museum, is a decree in honour of Ptolemy Epiphanes dated March 27, B. C. 196, resembling this one, but of less historical importance.

180.

Victory of Attalos I over the Gauls near Pergamon: circa B.C. 235.

On a block of marble discovered in the excavations on the citadel of Pergamon in 1879; Humann, Ergebnisse d. Ausgrab. zu Pergamon, 1880, p. 80.

> [Από της επί τοῦ] Καίκου ποταμοῦ [πρός το]ὺς Γαλάτας μάχης.

This victory took place not long after the accession of Attalos I in 241 B.C. Strabo, p. 624, says: "Arralos diedéfaro thy daxhy, kal dunyopeúbn Basileùs mpôros vikhsas Faláras µáxn µeyalñ. And so Polybios, xviii. 24 (41)—followed by Livy, xxxiii. 21: ôs thy daxhy duesthsaro the moeinpuléune du Livy, xxxiii. 21: ôs thy daxhy duesthsaro the moeinpuléune du Livy, xxxiii. 21: ôs the kingdous everyessias kal xápiros, dalla tâu tâu kard did the kingdom). Nikhsas yàp µáxn Faláras, d Bapúrarov kal µaxµuérarov tôus fu tóre kard thy 'Aslau, taúrny daxhy daxhy dasinsaro kal tóre mpôrou autou tôtele Basiléa. (Cp. Polyen. Straleg. iv. 20; Droysen, Hellen. iii. 2. pp. 9 foll.) The battle appears to have been fought near Pergamon, on the banks of the Kaïkos. It broke the power of the Gauls, who were becoming as terrible as they had been fifty years before (No. 165); and though it did not relieve Attalos or his successor Eumenes from the necessity of further victories over them, yet it was felt to be a decisive Attalos erected a monument upon the Akropolis at event. Athens to commemorate his victory; Pausan. i. 4. 6: $\Pi \epsilon \rho \gamma a$ μηνοίς δε έστι μεν σκύλα άπο Γαλατών, έστι δε γραφή το έργον το πρός Γαλάτας έχουσα (see Brunn, I doni di Attalo in the Annali dell'Instit. 1870, p. 292, who has identified a number of sculptures as belonging to this monument). The 'dying gladiator' is probably part of a group in which a Pergamene sculptor immortalized this event. And the recent excavations of the Germans have recovered the reliefs from the grand altar erected by Eumenes to Zeus and to Athena Nikephoros in gratitude for the same successes: the subject is the struggle of the gods and giants (see Humann's work, *l.c.*; Pausan. v. 13. 5).

181.

Death of Demetrics II, and liberation of Athens through Eurykleides, Diogenes, Aratos: B.C. 229-228.

Fragment found in the Peirzeus: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 379.

σ[τ]ρατιω[τ...] λε ... ν επιμελειαν [κα] την τών στρατ[ιωτικών άρχην (?) διε]ξήγαγεν δια τοῦ ὑοῦ καὶ προανήλωσε[ν κ]αὶ [αὐτός οὐ]κ όλίγα χρήματα, καὶ ἀγωνοθέτης ὑπακούσα[ς ἀνήλω]-5 σεν έπτὰ τάλαντα, καὶ πάλιν τὸν ὑον δοὺς [ἐπὶ ταύτην]

- τὴν ἐπιμέλειαν καὶ καλῶς τὴν ἀγωνοθεσ[[αν ἐκτελέσας] προσανήλωσεν οὐκ ὀλίγα χρήματα, καὶ [τῆς χώρας κατὰ] τοὺς πολέμους ἀργοῦ καὶ ἀσπόρου οὕ[σης aἴτιος ἐγέ]νετο τοῦ ἐξεργασθῆναι καὶ σπαρῆναι [χρήματα πορί]-
- 10 σας' καὶ τὴν ἐλευθερίαν ἀποκατέστησ[εν τῆ πόλει με]τὰ τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ Μικίωνος μετὰ τοὺς ἀπ[οδόντας τὸν Πει]ραιᾶ, καὶ τὰ εἰς τὸν στέφανον τοῖς στρα[τιώταις τοῖς] ἀποκαταστήσασιν μετὰ Διογένου[ς τὰ χωρία χρήμα]τα ἐπόρισεν, καὶ τοὺς λιμένας ὡχύρωσ[ε καὶ τὰ τείχη τοῦ]
- 15 ἄστεως καὶ τοῦ Πειραιέως ἐπεσκεύ[ασε μετὰ Μικίωνος τοῦ] ἀδελφοῦ, καὶ πόλεις Ἐλληνίδας κ[αὶ συμμάχους προση]γάγετο, καὶ ὅσοι τῷ δήμῷ χρήμα[τα ὥφειλον . . .

Diogenes (line 13) was the officer of Demetrics II, who commanded the Macedonian garrison at Athens. Upon the death of his master, he surrendered the forts to the Athenians; and such was the enthusiasm of the people at this act, that Diogenes was hailed as benefactor and hero. He is named in an epigram of the last decade B.C. as $\chi \dot{\omega} \chi \theta o \nu i \tau i \mu \dot{\alpha} \epsilon is 'A \tau \theta i \dot{\delta} i \Delta i o \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta s | \ldots$.. οῦ δὲ δι' ἔργα | ἔδρακεν ἀρχαίαν πατρίς ἐλευθερίαν. About 7.5 B.C., if not before, he was honoured with a $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$ and a festival, $\tau a \Delta \iota o \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota a$. To $\Delta \iota o \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota o \nu$ was the name of the gymnasium which formed the centre of what may be called the academic life of Athens under the empire : and Δ_{ioy} every Every trov is inscribed upon one of the priests' thrones from the Dionysiac Theatre (C. I. A. iii. 299; Köhler, Hermes, vii. pp. 3 foll.). Pausanias (ii. 8), and Plutarch (Arat. 34), make the liberation of Athens to be entirely the work of Aratos. But, as Köhler suggests, the 1000 talents given to Diogenes were probably to enable him to pay off his garrison; and if Aratos had really been the chief mover in the affair, then Athens (like Argos and Corinth) would have joined the Achæan League. The nameless benefactor of our decree had subscribed, inter alia, to the crown presented to Diogenes and his soldiery. Lines 1-0 refer to the Chremonidean War: No. 170.

182.

Collision between Rhodes and king Philip: B.C. 201.

A tall slab from Iasos, now in the British Museum; where I have read it. The upper portion is published by Le Bas-Waddington, Voyage Arch. Pt. v. 251.

Iasian dating :

Ἐπὶ στεφανηφ[όρ]ου Δημέου τοῦ Στησιόχου, γραμματέως δὲ Μένητος τοῦ Πόδωνος· Γηφοριῶνος· Ψηφίσματα παρὰ 'Ροδίων.

A. Decree of the Rhodians, in reply to a remonstrance from Iasos supported by a letter of king Philip:

[Έ]δοξεν τῷ δάμῳ· περὶ ῶν Ἰασεῖς συνγενε[ῖς] καὶ φίλο[ι 5 ὑ]πάρχοντες τοῦ δάμου ἀποστεί[λαν]τες ψάφισμα καὶ [πρ]εσβευτὰς ἐμφανίζοντι τ[ὰ γεγενημένα] ἀδικήματα ἐ[ν τậ] χώρα αὐτῶν ὑπὸ Ποδίλου καὶ [παρακαλοῦν]τι τὸν δâμον [κα]θάπερ καὶ ἐν τοῖς πρότερ[ον χρόνοις δι]ετέ[λεσεν] εὐε[ρ-

γε των ταν πόλιν ταν Ιασέων και νύ[ν π]ρόνοιαν [ποιεί]σθ[αι 10 δη ως δ τε πόλις αυτών έλευθέρα και αυτόνομος περιγείνη ται καί] περί των γεγονότων άδικημάτων έπιμ[ε]λειά[ν τινα πο]ιή[σασθαι] καθότι κα δόξη τῷ δάμφ· και τ[οι παραγ]ενό[μενοι] παρ' α[ντω]ν Πολέμαρχος και Γλαύκος έπελθόντες έπ[ι ταν] βουλά[ν καί] ταν έκκλησίαν διελέγεν ακολούθως τοις έν τψ ψαφίσ-15 [ματι] γεγραμμένοις· μετά πάσας σπουδάς καὶ φιλοτιμ-[las] αποκρίνασθαι αύτοιs -- Οτι δ δάμος είδως [1]ασεις [εύνους] ύπάρχοντας τῷ πόλει πρότερόν τ[ε άει διατετελεκώς?] επιμέλειαμ ποιούμενος [περί τ]ων [συμφερόντων 'Ιασεύσι? και προαιρ]ούμενος συγκατασκευάζ[ειν πάντα τα ποτ' ασφά-20 λειαν καὶ σ]ωτηρίαν τῶς πόλιος αὐ[τοῖς, τὰν πῶσαν πρόνοιαν ποιησείται] δπως ύπέρ τε των γ[εγονότ]ων [άδικημάτων ... · · · · · · τ]à δίκαια γένηται, καὶ [ποτίταγμα δώσει τοῖs? ύφ' αύτδ]ν τεταγμένοις μηθε[ν άδίκημα ποιείσθαι εν τα χώρα τậ] 'Ιασέων καὶ εἰς τὸ λοιπὸν ὡς [μάλιστα διαφυλάξει? 25 ταν ύπάρχο]υσαν αύτ \hat{w} ποτί 'Ιασείς [φιλίαν και εύνοιαν και ούθενὸς ἀποστ]ασεῖται τῶ[ν] Ἰασεῦσι συμ[φ]ερό[ντων· τοὺς δὲ παραγενομένους] παρά Ίασέων καλέσαι έπι [τὸ πρυτανεῖον.

B. Rhodian decree, sending two envoys with friendly assurances to Iasos :

[Έδοξεν τậ βούλα] καὶ τῷ δάμῷ ὅπως Ία[σεῖς συγγενεῖς καὶ φίλοι ὑπάρχουτες τοῦ] δάμου εἰδήσωντι τὰ [έψηφισμένα?..... 30 ὑπὸ τοῦ δάμου τοῦ] 'Ροδίων, --δεδόχθα[ι τậ βούλα καὶ τῷ δάμῷ ἐλέσθαι πρεσβευ]τὰς ἥδη δύ[ο], τοὶ δὲ ἀ[φικόμενοι ἐμφανιζόντων τὰν συγγένει]αν τὰν ὑπάρχουσαντφκαὶ ἐπ[ι]δειξάντω[ν τὰ ἐψηφι]σμέ[ν]α [παρὰ τοῦ δά]μου ὑπὲρ τ[ῶ]ν γεγενη[μέν]ων ἀδικημάτω[ν ἐν τậ χώρα 35 ὑπὸ Π]οδίλου, δηλο[ύ]ντων δ[ε ὅτι δ] δᾶ[μ]ος καὶ εἰς [πλέον ἄξει τὰν ὑπάρχ]ουσ[α]ν αὐτῷ ποτὶ Ἰασ[εῖ]ς [συγ]γένειαν κα[ὶ φιλίαν καὶ οὐθένος ἀποστασεῖ]ται τῶν Ἰασεῦσι συμφ[ε]ρό[ντω]ν. Αἰρέθ[εν-Τιμασίθεος Διονυσί]ου- Ἐπικράτης Τιμασιστράτου.

C. Rhodian decree, sending envoys and assurances of peace to [king Philip?]:

[Έδοξεν τậ βούλα καὶ τῷ δ]άμφ· ἐπειδὴ Ἰασέων [σ]υ[γγ]ενῶν κ[αὶ φίλων 40 ὑπαρχόντων τοῦ δάμου καὶ ἀπ]οστειλ[ἀντω]ν ψάφισμα κα[ὶ] πρεσβε[υτὰs οἴτινες τῷ δήμφ ἐνεφάνισα]ν τὰ γε[γοινότα] ἀδικήματα els a[ὖτοὺsὑ]πὸ ἘΟλυμ[πίχου κ]αὶ παρa[καλούντων... και άποστε

(Here the stone is defaced by long wear for the space of nearly thirty lines.)

- 45 [τα]ν πόλιν [π]αρακαλ αυτο [.. τα]ν ὑπάρχο[υσαν ἐν]έπειν τοῖς ὑφ' αὐτὸν τεταγμένοις μηθὲν ἀδίκημα [ποιεῖσθαι ἐν] τậ 'Ιασέων ἀλλὰ ποτιφέρεσθαι αὐτοῖς φιλανθρώπως, καὶ ἐ[πὶ τῶν γεγ]ενημένων ἀδικημάτων προνοίαν τινὰ ποιήσασθαι ὅπω[ς γένητ]αι τὰ δίκαια ἀκολουθῶς τοῖς ὑπὸ τοῦ βασιλέως ἐπισταλεῖσ[ιν, 50 κ]αθότι τούτων ἐπιμεληθεὶς ὁμολογούμενα φαινεῖται πράσσων [τậ τ]ε τοῦ βασιλέως αἰρέσει καὶ τοῖς ἐπιστελλομένοις ὑπ' αὐτῶν φι[λανθ]ρώποις ποτὶ τὰν πόλιν.—ἐμφανιζόντων δὲ αὐτῷ τοὶ πρεσβευ[ταὶ δ]τι ὁ δâμος εἰδῶς 'Ιασεῖς εὕνους ὑπάρχοντας τậ πόλει καὶ προα[ιροῦ]μενος αὐτοῖς συγκατασκευάζειν πάντα τὰ ποτ' ἀσφάλειαν [καὶ] 55 σωτηρίαν, πρότερόν τε ἐτύγχανε δεδωκῶς ποτίταγμα τοῖ[ς] ἄρχουσι τοῖς ἀποστελλομένοις ὑπ' αὐτοῦ ἐπιμελεῖσθαι τᾶς 'Ιασ[έων] χώρας καθάπερ καὶ τᾶς τοῦ δάμου, καὶ νῦν μναμονεύων τὰς ὑπ[αρ]χούσας ποτ' αὐτοὺς οἰκειότατος οὐθενὸς ἀποστ[α]σεῖται τῶν συ[μφε]ρόντων 'Ιασεῦςι. εἰ δέ κα, τοῦ δάμου πᾶσαν σπουδὰν ποιουμένου [περὶ]
- 60 τούτων, μηδεμίαν ἐπιστροφὰν Ολύμπιχος ποιήται ἀλλὰ περ[ιο]ρή τὰ γινόμενα ἀδικήματα, δηλούντω[ν] αὐτῷ τοὶ πρεσβευταὶ ὅτι τάμ μεν φιλίαν καὶ τὰν εὐνοίαν τὰν ὑπάρχουσαν αὐτῷ ποτὶ βασιλ[έα] Φίλιππον διαφυλάξει ὁ δâμος πράξει δὲ ὰ πέπεισται συμφέροντα είμειν ποτὶ τὰν Ἰασέων ἀσφάλειαν. Αἰρέθεν—Τιμασίθεος 65 Διοιυσίου— Ἐπικράτης Τιμασιστράτου.

For some time before the actual outbreak of the war in 201 B. C. the relations between Philip and the Rhodians had been very uneasy. They knew that he had caused the burning of their fleet, and had urged the Kretans to make war upon them (Polyb. xiii. 4). Nevertheless the Rhodians maintained a formal friendship with Philip, having nothing whatever to gain from war. But when Philip began to march southwards, when, in spite of the representations of Rhodian envoys, he treated Kios with the utmost barbarity—they delayed no longer to declare Philip an enemy, and sent envoys to Rome ' nuntiantes Asiæ quoque civitates sollicitari' (Livy, xxxi. 2). Philip pursued his course by marching into Karia, where Rhodes had become possessed of a valuable strip of coast, the Rhodian Perzea (Strabo, xiv. p. 651).

316 GREEK INSCRIPTIONS. PART VII.

This region he took possession of, and put garrisons in several towns to make good his advantage, Iasos being one of these (Polyb. xvi. 12; xviii. 2. 8, 44; Livy, xxxii. 33; xxxvii. 17). Our inscription is evidently earlier than the final rupture; but only just before it. The incidents it relates to may well have happened in 201 B.C. Podilos (line 7) is the Rhodian governor in the Persea. Olympichos (lines 42, 60) is probably an agent of Philip's, serving in Karia. It seems clear that the Iasians-who might very naturally be jealous of the growing influence of Rhodes upon the mainland-are made throughout the cat's-paw of Philip. Certain Iasians have been assaulted by Rhodians (A passim), the dispute being perhaps about a right to certain land. Philip and his agent foment the dispute, taking the part of the Iasians and supporting a remonstrance made by their envoys (Decree A). The Rhodians in decree B forward friendly assurances to the Iasians, and in C they send similar assurances to king Philip. In truth the Rhodians had no wish to quarrel with the Iasians, whom they claimed as ouryqueis, being a Doric colony from Argos (lines 4, 28, 39; cp. Livy, xxxvii. 17). The Rhodian envoys are Timasitheos son of Dionysios, and Epikrates son of Timasistratos. The resemblance of names suggests the thought that Timasitheos was the nephew of Timasistratos, Timasistratos and Dionysios being brothers. Livy names a Rhodian officer Epikrates ten years later as commanding in the Rhodian fleet against Antiochos (xxxvii. 13, 14 bis): this is probably the Epikrates of our inscription. And if he was cousin to Timasitheos, we may further suppose the Timasikrates of Livy xxxvii. 14 to be another kinsman, possibly his brother.

183.

The Byzantine fleet at Athens, co-operating against Philip: B.C. 200-197.

A slab found on the Akropolis : the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 414.

The preamble is lost:

[ἀγ]αθεῖ τύχει δεδό[χθ]αι τῷ δ[ήμφ ἐπαινε]σαι *Ηρ[ι]ν_Κόθωνος Βυζάντιον καὶ στεφαν[ῶσαι] χρυσφ

στεφάνω εύνοία[s ε]νεκεν [καλ] φ[ιλο]τ[ιμία]s ην εχει πρός 'Aθηναίο [υς, ε] iν [αι δε] αύ [τδ]ν κ[αὶ] π[ρ] όξενον 5 και εύεργέτην του δήμου και διδοσ[θ]a[ι] εγκτησιν αὐτῷ γῆς καὶ οἰκίας κατὰ τοὺς ν[ό]μους $\epsilon \pi$ [αι]vérai de kal rous µer' aurou rpinpáp[x]ous [Mn]νοφάνην 'Ασκληπιάδ[ο]υ 'Εκ[ατ]αΐον Κόλχου κα[ι τον] ταμίαν Γλαῦκον Λυσ[ίου] Βυζ[α]ντ[ίο]υς [κ]αὶ στ[εφ]α-10 vŵrai ξ kartov aù $[\tau \hat{\omega}] v \theta a \lambda \lambda [o \hat{v}] \sigma \tau \epsilon [\phi a v] \phi [\epsilon v v o l]$ as ένεκεν της πρός του δημ[ον· καλέσαι δε *Ηριν] καί Μηνοφάνην και Έκαται ο]ν και τον ταμία ν έπι δ]είπνον είς πρυτανείον $\epsilon \pi i \xi \epsilon v [ia εls a vρi]o[v ύπάρ \chi$] $\epsilon \iota \nu \delta \epsilon [a] \dot{\nu}[\tau] o \hat{\iota} s \kappa a \hat{\iota} \epsilon \hat{\iota} s \tau [\delta \lambda o \iota] \pi [\delta \nu \phi \iota \lambda o \tau \iota \mu o \nu \mu] \hat{\epsilon} [\nu o \iota] -$ 15 s επί πλέον αποδείκνυ[σ]θα[ι] τή[ν εαυτών] εύνο[ια]ν πρός 'Αθηναίους κ[α]ί [άλλ]ο άγα[θό]ν ε[ύρέσθ]αι (παρά) τοῦ δήμου μεῖζον [οῦ] ἁν ἁξιοι εἶ[ναι δοκώσιν. ἀνα]γράψαι δε τόδε τὸ ψήφισμα τὸν γρα[μματέα τὸν κ]ατά [πρυταν]είαν έν στήλει λιθ[ίνη και στησ]α[ι 20 ε]ν [άκροπόλει], της δε ποήσεως της σ[τήλης καί] τή[s] αναθέσεως επιμεληθή[να]ι κ[αι μερίσαι τ]ο γε[νό- $\mu] \in [\nu] o [\nu] d\nu d\lambda \omega \mu a roùs ra [\mu] (as [r] \hat{\omega} \nu [...$..., $\delta\pi$]ws $\delta\nu$ ro[ν]r ω [ν $\sigma\nu\nu$]r[$\epsilon\lambda$] $\sigma\nu$ [$\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\nu$...κ.r. λ .

Polybios (xvi. 2) informs us that at the opening of the war with Philip, the Byzantine fleet co-operated with Attalos and the Rhodians. What more likely than that the fleet should anchor in the Peiræus, and there be welcomed with decrees like the present?

184.

Athenian operations in the Macedonian War: B.C. 200-197.

Slab found on the Akropolis : the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. No. 413.

[.. τῆς πρυτ]ανε[ίας..... ψήφισ]μα δήμου των πρ[οέδρων ἐπεπεψή]φιζεν 'Αντιμένη[ς] 5 καὶ συμπρόεδροι ἕ[δοξεν τῷ] δήμψ. Φιλέας Φι[λ]ον[αύτ]ο[υ] Παλληνεύς εἶπεν. ἐ[π]ειδὴ Εὐξ-

ενίδης διατελεί [ε]ύνους ών τφ δήμφ τφ 'Αθην[α]ίων και τά-10 s τε είσφοράς άπ[άσ]as δσas έψήφισται δ δήμος ε[ί]σενεγκεί-» τούς μετοίκους [ε]υτάκτως εlσενήνοχεν καί έν τ $\hat{\varphi}$ πολέ[μ]ω τώ πρότερου έθελουτής [ν]-15 αύτας δώδεκα ένεβίβασεν, κα-) νύν els τούς καταπάλτας ν[ευ]ράς επέδωκεν και δσα επετάχθη αύτώ ύπό των στρατηγών καλ των ταξιάρχων δπαντα πρ-20 οθύμως ύπηρέτηκεν, και τα &[λ]λα διατελεί φιλοτιμούμε[νο]s els την βουλην και τον δημον τόν 'Αθηναίων' δεδόχθαι τῷ δήμφ, έπαινέσαι Εύξενίδην 25 Ευπόλιδος Φασηλίτην και στεφανώσαι θαλλοῦ στεφάνω ε-[υ]νοίας ένεκα καὶ φιλοτιμία-[s] της είς τον δημον, και είναι [αὐτό]ν Ισοτελή και αὐτόν και 30 [Exyónous kal o]lklas airois [είναι έγκτησιν 'Αθήν]ησιν δπ ...

Euxenides is a $\mu \acute{e} \tau o \iota \kappa o s$ (probably a ship-owner and merchant) from Phaselis (see No. 73 and p. 142) who has not only paid the usual war levies, but also in the late war ($r\hat{\varphi} \pi \rho \acute{o} \tau \epsilon \rho o r$, line 13), *i.e.* in the earlier part of the war with Philip, had furnished twelve sailors to the fleet at his own expense; and later on (line 16) has been supplying leather thongs for the catapults which defended the fortifications. This refers to the repeated invasion of Attika by Philip's troops (Livy, xxxi. 14, 16) which had caused great terror at Athens (*ibid.* 22), and by Philip himself (*ibid.* 24, 26). This explains the mention of the $\kappa a \tau a - \pi d \lambda \tau a \iota$ (from $\kappa a \tau a \pi d \lambda \lambda \omega = \kappa a \tau a \pi \ell \lambda \tau a \iota$). Less is known about the operations of the Athenian fleet in this war: see however Livy, xxxi. 15; Polyb. xvi. 26.

185.

Dedications in honour of Masannasa at Delos: B.C. 200-150.

Statue-bases recently discovered at Delos: A, published in the Bulletin de Corresp. Hell. ii. p. 400; B, in the Rhein. Mus. 1880, p. 490.

> A. Βασιλέα Μασαννάσαν βασιλέως Γαία Χάρμυλος Νικάρχου 'Ρόδιος θεοῖς.

Β. Βασιλέα Μασαννάσαν
 βασιλέως Γαία
 ^{*}Ερμων Σόλωνος
 τον αύτοῦ φίλον^{*}
 Πολιάνθης ἐπόει.

We here get at the true designation of Masinissa, the ally of the Romans at Zama, and his father: viz. Masannasas son of Gaias. The MSS. of Livy (xxiv. 49, etc.) have filium Gala Masinissam. These statues were dedicated when the Numidian king was at the height of his power, and during a period when Delos, though deprived of her autonomy in B. C. 166 (Polyb. xxx. 18) and handed over to Athens, yet, through its exemption from taxes on imports and exports, was superseding Rhodes in the commerce of the Ægean (see Mr. Jebb, Journal of Hellenic Studies, i. p. 32). Both the dedicators were probably merchants. Charmylos is a Rhodian. Hermon, an Athenian merchant, is known from another Delian inscription (Bulletin, iv. 184) as repaying a loan from the treasury of Apollo, which (with interest) amounted to 1000 dr. Polianthes was from Kyrene (Bulletin, iv. 212 foll.).

186.

Raid of the Pirates upon Amorgos: about 200 B.C.

The text from Böckh, C. I. G. ii., Addenda, 2263 c; cp. Ross, Arch. Aufs. ii. p. 643; Rangabé, Antiq. Hellén. 767.

*Εδοξεν τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ, [Σωτ]ηρίδης Φείδιος Κοσυλλίτης [ἐπε]στάτει, Φειδόξενος Φιλοθέμιος . . σίτης εἶπεν 'Επειδὴ πειρατῶν εἰς 5 τὴν χώραν ἐμβαλόντων νυκτός καὶ

	[å]λουσών παρθένων τε καί γυναικών
	και άλλων σωμάτων και έλευθέρων και δού-
	λων, τώμ πάντων πλειόνων η τριάκοντα
	έτι έπι τοῦ λιμένος πλοῖα ἐξέκοψαν,
10	[κ]al έλαβον το πλοΐον το Δωριέος, έν φ
	φχοντ' έχοντες τά τε σώματα και τα
	άλλα α έλαβον τούτων δε συμβάντων
	[•] Ηγήσιππος καὶ ᾿Αντίπαππος οἱ viol
	[•] Ηγησιστράτου δυτες καὶ αὐτοὶ alχμά-
•	λωτοι συνέπεισαν τόν ἐπὶ τῶν πει-
	ρατών έπιπλέοντα Σωκλείδαν άπο-
	λῦσαι τά τε ἐλεύθερα σώματα καί τινα
	των έξελευθέρων και των δούλων,
	[aů]τοὶ δὲ ὑπὲρ τούτων προήρηνται
20	[όμη]ρεύειν, ενδεικνύμενοι πασαν
	[φιλ]οτιμίαν, δπως μήτε τωμ πολιτίδων
	μήτε τώμ πολιτών μηθείς άχθει έπι
	[τ]ο λάφυρον, μηδε πραθεί, μηδε έν ά-
	νάγκαις και κακοπαθίαις γένηται,
25	μηδε διαφωνήσει σώμα μηθεν πο-
	λιτικόν, άλλα δια τούτους σέσφσται
	τὰ αίχμάλωτα σώματα είς την
	[οἰκε]ίαν ἀπαθῆ· δεδόχθαι τῷ δή-
	μφ στεφανώσαι Ήγήσιππον καί
	Αντίπαππον εκάτερον θαλλοῦ στε-
	[φ]άνφ άρετης ένεκεν και φιλοτιμί-
	[α]ς ήν τυγχάνουσιν πεποιημένοι
	[els] τούς γενομένους των πολιτών
	[al]χμαλώτους, ανειπείν δε τόδε το ψή-
	φισμα Διονυσίοις έν τφ άγωνι των
	[τρ]αγψδών ό δε κήρυξ αναγορευέ-
	[τ]ω, δτι ό δημος στεφανοί ήγησιππου
	και Αντίπαππον άρετης ένε-
	κεν και φιλοτιμίας της είς τούς
	[å]λόντας μεθ' αύτων αναγρά[ψαι]
	δε τόδε το ψήφισμα εls στήλην κ[al
	σ]τησαι είς το ίερον της 'Αθηνάς τη[ς]
	Πολιάδος· της δε άναγραφης επιμ-
	εληθηναι ήγησίστρατον.
	•

• .

.

٠

It had been one of the general advantages of the Athenian supremacy by sea, that while it lasted piracy was impossible. With the decline of Greek independence piracy in the Levant became an unbearable nuisance, until it was put down by Pompey. The lettering of this decree can hardly be later than 200 B.C. In line 2 $[\Sigma\omega\tau]\eta\rhol\delta\eta s$ is dubious: Ko $\sigma\nu\lambda\lambda\ell\tau\eta s$ is a demotic name, as also ... $\sigma(\tau\eta s$. $\delta\iota a\phi\omega\nu\eta\sigma\eta$ line $25=d\pi\delta\lambda\eta\tau a\iota$.

187.

Decree of the Achæan League, admitting the Arkadian Orchomenos: B.C. 199.

Found in the ruins of Orchomenos: the text from Foucart, Revue Archéologique, 1876, p. 97; and Le Bas, Voyage Arch. Pt. ii., Sect 6, No. 353. The first portion is broken off.

....ραιν.... μον πέμπ[ŋ] εἴτε ἄρχω[νιεοι είτε [ί]διώτας ψαφοφορέοι..... [όφλέτω] τριάκοντ[α τά]λαντα ίερα τοῦ Διὸ[ς τοῦ Ἀμαρίου, καὶ έξέστω τῷ βουλομένψ αὐ-5 τῷ δίκαν θ]ανάτου είσάγειν είς τὸ κοινὸν τ[ῶν Ἀχαιῶν Ομνυόντων τόν δρκον τόν αὐ]τὸν οἱ ἘΟρχομένιοι καὶ οἱ ἘΑχαιοί, ἐμ μ[ἐν Αἰγίφ οἱ σύνεδροι των 'Αχαιών και στρατ]αγός και ίππαρχος και ναύαρχος, έν δ[ε 'Ορχομενώ οί άρχοντες των 'Ορχομενίων] 'O[μ]νύω Δ ιά 'Αμάριον, 'Αθάναν 'Αμαρίαν, 'Αφ[ροδ]ί[ταν καὶ τοὺ]ς θ[εοὺς πάντας ή μαν έμ] πασιν έμμέ[ν]ειν έν τα στάλα και τα όμολογία καὶ τῷ ψαφίσματ[ι τῷ γενο-10 μένφ τῷ κοι]ν[ῷ] τῷ τ[ῶ]ν 'Αχαιῶν καὶ εἴ τίς κα μὴ έμμένη, ούκ έπιτρέψω είς δύναμ[ιν, και εὐορκέ]οντι μέν μοι εἴη τἀγαθά, ἐπιορκέοντι δὲ τάναντία. Τών δε λαβόντων εν Όρ[χομενώ γαν επίκλαρ[ο]ν η οίκιαν αφ' ου 'Αχαιοί εγενοντο, μη εξέστω μηθενί απαλλοτριθ-[σαι πλέον χρυσ]έων εξ[κ]οσι. Εί δέ τι έκ των έμπροσθε χρόνων η οι 'Ορχομένιοι 'Αχαιοί εγέ-[νουτο....] Νε[ά]ρ[χω] έγκλημα γέγονεν η τοις υίοις, υπότομα είμεν πάντα και μ-

- 15 [ηθὲν ἐγκαλεί]σθω μή[τε] Νεάρχφ μηθεὶς μήτε τοῖς υἰοῖς αὐτοῦ μηδὲ Νέαρχος μηδὲ
 - [των υίων au]τοῦ μηθείς περί τωμ πρότερον εγκλημάτων η οί 'Όρχομένιοι 'Αχαιοί εγ-
 - [ένοντο, καί] δ[στι]ς δικάζοιτο δφλέτω χιλίας δράχμας και à δίκα άτέλης έστω. Περ-
 - [λ δε τας τραπέζα]ς τας χρυσέ[α]ς τοῦ Διός τοῦ Όπλοσμίου αν καταθέντες ενέχυρα οι Μεθυ-
 - [δριείς οι μεταστή]σαντες ε[l]s Όρχομενόν διείλοντο τό άργύριον καί τινες αὐτῶν ἀπέ-
- 20 [φυγον, παρέχειν αὐτούς, ἐ]ὰμ μὴ ἀποδίδωντι τὸ ἀργύριον τοῖς Μεγαλοπολίταις, καθὼς ἐ-
 - [ψήφισται, τὰμ πό]λιν τὰν ἘΟρχομενίων, ὑποδίκους εἶμεν τοὺς μὴ ποιοῦντας τὰ δίκαια.

The document defines the terms upon which Orchomenos is admitted into the Achean League, 199 B.C. Antigonos Doson in 222 B.C. had severed it from the League; Polyb. iv. 6, 5: δ yàp βασιλεύs 'Aντίγονοs ... Όρχομενόν κατά κράτος έλών ούκ αποκατέστησε τοις 'Αχαιοις, αλλα σφετερισαμενος κατειχε, βουλόμενος, ως γ' έμοι δοκεί, μη μόνον της εισόδου κυριεύειν της els Πελοπόννησον, άλλα και την μεσόγειαν αυτής παραφυλάττειν δια της έν Όρχομενῷ φρουράς και παρασκευής. It remained in Macedonian hands (Droysen, Hellen, iii. 2, pp. 124, 155) until Philip in 100 B.C. wishing to secure the goodwill of the Achæans, restored to them Orchomenos, Herzea and Triphylia (Livy, xxxii. 5; Polyb. xvi. 38). Zeus Hamarios (= Όμάριος, Όμαγύριος) is figured on the coins of the League (Strabo, 385, 387 : Alylews d' eori kai ... τὸ τοῦ Διὸς ἄλσος τὸ 'Αμάριον, ὅπου συνήεσαν οἱ 'Αχαιοί βουλευσόμενοι περί των κοινων). For the other deities of Ægion see Pausan. vii. 24 and Polyb. v. 93. As to the 'difficulties' provided for, the robbery of the gold-plated altar-table needs no explanation: and Nearchos was probably a rúparvos at Orchomenos under Antigonos Doson (see Polyb. ii. 41, 10). He and his family are granted an indemnity. The following officers of the League are here named, $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \delta s$, $l \pi \pi a \rho \chi \delta s$, $v a \delta a \rho \chi \delta s$: for the yearly eponymous or parnyo's see Plut. Arat. 38; the Immapyos is referred to by Polyb. v. 95, 7; Plut. Philop. 18. The rawapxos is not named by historians, the naval operations of the League being unimportant (see Livy, xxxv. 26; Plut. Philop. 14).

PART VIII.

FLAMININUS TO MUMMIUS.

в.с. 196-146.

188.

Honours at Megara to Hikesios governor of Ægina under Eumenes II: B.C. 197-159.

The text from Le Bas, Voyage Arch., Pt. ii. Sect. 1. (Megara), No. 35 a 1, newly collated with the stone by P. Foucart.

Συναρχίαι προεβουλεύσαντο ποτί τε τοὺς αἰσιμνάτα[ς τὰν] βουλὰν καὶ τὰν δâμον ἐπειδὲ (sic) ἱΓκέσιος Μητροδ[ώρου] Ἐφέσιος ὁ κατασταθεὶς ἐπ' Αἰγίνας ὑπ[ὸ τοῦ βασ]ιλέος Εὐμένεος διατελεῖ τὰν πῶσαν σ[πουδ]ὰν 5 [ποιούμενος] τοῦ δάμου το[ῦ] Μ[ε]γαρέων, [ο]ὐθὲν [ἐλλείπων

....]τω desunt cetera.

Ægina, after the liberation of Athens in 229 B.C. (No. 181), joined the Achæan League. Upon the conclusion of peace between Philip and the allied Ætolians and Romans in 205 B.C. (Livy, xxix. 12), the Ætolians, to whom Ægina had fallen by the terms of the treaty, sold the island to Attalos I for 30 talents (Polyb. xxiii. 8, 10). It remained a part of the Pergamene kingdom until B.C. 133, when the entire inheritance of Attalos III became the possession of Rome. The Pergamene kings sent their governors to Ægina, two of whom are known from inscriptions, Hikesios and Kleon (see No. 189). At *ourapx(au* were a committee of magistrates, entrusted with the initiative in preparing measures : it is an institution very common after Alexander's time.

189.

Honours to Kleon, governor of Ægina under Eumenes II and Attalos III: between B.C. 197-133.

A slab from Ægina, now preserved at Athens, C. I. G. 2139 b (appendix); Rangabé, Ant. Hellén. 688; more accurately given in Le Bas, Voyage Arck., Pt. IV. No. 1688.

'Αγαθῆ τύχῃ δεδ[όχθ]αι τεῖ βουλεῖ καὶ τῷ δήμφ Τοῦ δήμου πᾶσιν μὲν τοῖς ἀπεσταλμένοις ἐπὶ τ[η]ν [πό]λιν πεπειθαρχηκότος τε καὶ ἐφ' ὅσον ἦν δυνατὸν κατηκ[ο]λουθηκότος ταῖς ἐκάστων αὐτῶν βουλήσεσιν, καταχ-

- 5 θέντος δὲ καὶ Κλέωνος τῶν τοῦ βασιλέως ᾿Αττάλο[υ] Φιλαδέλφου σωματοφυ[λ]άκων καὶ μείναντος ἕτ[η δε]καέξ, καὶ ἐν τούτοις ἀπόδειξιν πεποιημένου τ[ή]ς π[ραγ]ματικής καὶ τῆς κατὰ τὸν βίον εὐταξίας, ἴσως τε καὶ δ[ικαίως] προσευηνεγμένου πῶσιν μετὰ τῆς πάσης καθαρει[ότη]-
- 10 τος, ούθεν έφελκο[μέ]νου των ίδιωτικών ούδ' άπ' όρθής [κ]al μετ' έξουσίας θέ[λοντ]ος πράττειν, άλλα τα μεν πλείστα [πει]ρωμένου συλλύειν, τούς δε μ[η] συλλυομένους αναπένπον[τος] επι τα καλώς και δικαίως ν[εν]ομοθετημένα ημιν ύπο τω[ν βα]σιλέων κατά τε τα ε[πε]τε[ια μ?]όνον κεχρηματισμένα π[ροσ]-15 τάγματα και τούς νόμους, δ[π]ω[ς] και τώ ασθενεστάτω [πρός]
- 15 Ταγματά και τους νόμους, υ[κ]ω[s] και τψ αυσενευτατψ [κροs] τόν δυνατώτατον [καl] τῷ δημοτικωτάτῳ πρός τόν εὐπο[ρώ]τατον ἡ ἴση [ὑ]πάρ[χη καὶ] ἀ[τ]ο[πω]τάτωντε ἀπενενχθεισ[ῶν] ἐν τούτοις τοῖς ἔ[τεσι] δικῶ[ν, τὰ]ς μὲν πλείστας εἰς σύλ[λυ]σιν ἀγηγοχώ[ς, τὰς δὲ ἐπ'] αὐ[τὸν ἐ]νενευχθείσας διεξαγη[γο]-

20 χώς, ὥστε καὶ [κατ]ὰ [το]ὺς τὰς δίκας ἔχοντας εὐδοκεῖν, τ[ήν] τε ἄλλην ἀνασ[τροφὴν πε]ποιη[μέ]νος εὐσχημόνως καὶ ἀ[ξί]ως τοῦ τε βασιλέω[ς κ]αὶ τῆ[ς π]όλεως, κακοῦ μὲν εἰς το δυν[α]τὸν οὐθενὶ βουληθεὶ[ς] παραί[τι]ος γίνεσθαι, [ἀ]γαθοῦδὲ κατ[ὰ τὸ] δίκαιον καὶ κοινῆ [π]ũ[σιν καὶ ἰ]δία [ἐκά]στφ, κατηκολουθηκ[ως]

25 ή έχοντες οἱ βασιλεῖς [ή]μῶν [δι]ατελοῦσιν πρὸς τὴν πό[λιν] προαιρέσει, εὐαπάντ[ητο]ς γινόμενος τοῖς τε κατὰ τὴ[ν πό]λιν καὶ τοῖς παραγινο[μένο]ις παρὰ τοῦ βασιλέως καὶ τῶ[ν ξ]ένων τοῖς παρεπιδ[ημοῦσιν]· δι' å καὶ πλειονάκις ὁ δῆμο[ς ἠξ]ίου τοὺς βασιλεῖς μετὰ πρεσβείας, ὡς μέγιστον αὐτῶ[ν]

30 χαριουμένων τῷ [δήμφ] ἐὰν ἐπιχωρήσωσιν μέν[ειν] αὐτὸ[ν ἐ]πὶ τῆς πόλεως, διά τε δὴ ταῦτα καὶ διὰ τὸ εἰς τὰ τοῦ βασι-

λέως πράγματα [καλώς] και δικαίως ανεστράφθαι με[ταίτι]ον τοις άλλοις π[αρέχοντα έαυτον] καλοκάγαθίας και δικαιοσ[ύν]ης· δεδόχθαι [τη β]ουλη και τω δήμω επαινέσαι Κλέω[να] 35 Στρατάγο υ Περγ]α [μην] δν [τιμαῖς ά]ρ[ίσ] ταις? της πόλεως, Kai T[1]μησαι χ[ρ]υσ[φ στεφάνφ καλ] είκόνι χαλκή άρετης ένεκεν [καλ ε] υνοίας ήν έχ ων δια] τελ [ει πρός] τον βασιλέα Ευμένη και τ[ον βασι]λέα "Ατ[ταλο]ν [Φ]ιλάδε[λφον] και την βασιλι[σσα]ν Στρατο νίκη]ν και "Ατταλο[ν υίον] βα[σιλέως Εύμ]ένου και τό[ν] δ[ημ]ον T ov Al-40 γιν]ητών, και ανα[γγείλαι τον στέφανον] 'Ατταλείω[ν] κα[ί] Euµev[el]ων καί Νικηφορίων θυ[μελικώ?] ά[γώνι κ]αί Διονυσ[ίω]ν τρα. γωδοίς, [καί] την επιμέλειαν είνα[ι των εν ά]ρχει όντω[ν] στρατηγών. [εί]ναι δε αύτον και πολίτη[ν] δ[ια β]ίου, και γράψασθαι φυλής καὶ δήμου οῦ ἁν [βούλη]ται, ὑπάρχε[ι]ν δὲ αὖ[τ]ῷ καὶ σίτη-45 [σ]ιν έν πρυτανείω δια βίου τον δε γραμμα[τέα τ]οῦ δήμου αναγράψα[ι ές σ] τήλην, ην σταθηναι έν τῷ `Ατταλείφ' τὸ δὲ γενόμενον ἀνάλ[ωμα είς] τε την αναγραφήν και την ανάθεσιν [μ]ερίσαι τον ταμίαν τής $[\delta \epsilon] dva\theta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s \tau \eta v \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota [av] \pi o \eta \sigma a \sigma \theta [al] roùs \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o u s, l-$ [να] τούτων συντελουμένων [φ]αιερός ή δδήμος καταξίως τιμ[ήσ]-50 ων κατά την ξαυτού δύναμ[ιν] τους άξίως μεν του βασιλέως, [δσί]ως δε και δικαίως προσφερομένους εαυτώ· αναπέμψαι δε [τόδε τὸ ψή]φισμα τοὺς στρατηγοὺς πρὸς τὸν βασιλέα, ໂνα μετὰ τῆς έκείν [0]υ γνώμης [σ]υντελήται τα εψηφισμένα. Η βουλή, 55 'O dimos Κλέωνα Στρατάγου

Kleon had been governor of Ægina under Eumenes II and ttalos II (see on No. 188) for sixteen years; his office being rolonged at the request of the Æginetans (ll. 28 foll.). He had een 'a just judge towards all, keeping far from himself all

Περγαμηνόν.

private motives, and determined to act neither unfairly nor arbitrarily, but endeavouring in most cases to bring the contending parties to agree,' etc. (ll. 8 foll.). There were festivals in honour of Attalos and Eumenes (l. 40), and another called N_{LKT} - $\phi \delta \rho \iota a$. This was a festival originating at Pergamon, where Athena was worshipped as $N_{LKT}\phi \delta \rho os$, a temple and grove being dedicated to her by Eumenes II (Strabo, p. 624; Livy, xxxii. 33, 34). The festival is repeatedly named in the newly excavated inscriptions from Pergamon, but they have not yet been thoroughly examined (Humann, *Ergebnisse der Ausgrab. su Pergamon*, 1880). From Pergamon the festival had been carried to Ægina; so that it probably commemorated some success of Eumenes.

190.

Flamininus' Letter to Kyretiæ: B.C. 196-194.

Found on the site of Kyretise : the text from Böckh, C. I. G. 1770.

Τίτος Κοίνκτιος, στρατηγός υπατος 'Ρωμαίων, Κυρετιέων τοῖς ταγοῖς καὶ τῇ πόλει χαίρειν. Ἐπεὶ καὶ ἐν τοῖς λοιποῖς πασιν φανερὰν πεποήκαμεν τήν τε ἰδίαν καὶ τοῦ δήμου τῶν 'Ρωμαίων προαίρεσιν, ἡν ἔχομεν εἰς ὑμᾶς όλο[σχε]ρῶς, βεβουλήμεθα κ[αὶ]

- 5 ἐν τοῖς ἐξῆς ἐπιδεῖξαι κατὰ πῶν μέρος προεστηκότες τοῦ ἐνδόξου, ໂνα μηδ' ἐν τούτοις ἔχωσιν ἡμῶς καταλαλεῖν οἱ σὖκ ἀπὸ τοῦ βελτίστου εἰωθότες ἀναστρέφεσθαι. ὅσαι γάρ ποτε ἀπολείπονται κτήσεις ἔγγειοι καὶ οἰκίαι τῶν καθηκουσῶν εἰς τὸ δημόσιον
- 10 το 'Ρωμαίων, πάσας δίδομεν τῆ ὑμετέρα πόλει, ὅπως καὶ ἐν τούτοις μάθητε τὴν καλοκαγαθίαν ἡμῶν, καὶ ὅτι τελέως ἐν οὐθενὶ φιλαργυρῆσ[αι] βεβουλήμεθ[α], περὶ πλείστου ποιούμενοι χάριτα καὶ φιλοδοξίαν. ὅσοι μέντοι μὴ κεκομισμένοι εἰσὶν τῶν ἐπιβαλλόντων αὐτοῖς.
- 15 ἐὰν ὑμᾶς διδάξωσιν καὶ φαίνωνται εἰγνώμονα λέγοντες, στοχαζομένων ὑμῶν ἐκ τῶν ὑπ' ἐμοῦ γεγραμμένων ἐγκρίσεων, κρίνω δίκαιον είναι ἀποκαθίστασθαι αὐτοῖς· ἔβῥωσθε.

Kyretiæ, a town in the north of Thessaly, had been on the side of Philip, and accordingly was captured by the Ætolians in

200 B.C. (Livy, XXXI, 41). At the close of the war with Philip the Romans had confiscated the property of some leading citizens of Kyretize, and probably of other Thessalian towns as well. But during the years 106-104 B.C., when the war with Antiochos was impending, it was the policy of Flamininus to conciliate all the Greek towns; cp. Livy, xxxiii. 27: 'Id a Quinctio facile impetratum; non quia satis dignos eos credebat, sed quia, Antiocho rege jam suspecto, favor conciliandus nomini Romano apud civitates erat:' and so xxxiv. 48. By this letter the general (1) makes a present to the city of such portion of the confiscated property as had not yet been sold and realised by the Roman government (ll. 8-10); and (2) as appeals had been made to him against the confiscation on the part of persons who declared themselves innocent, and he had in some cases allowed such claims, he bids the town authorities to see that such claimants had their rights restored, in accordance with his decisions. This reminds us of Livy, xxxiv. 48: 'Totum hiemis tempus jure dicundo consumpsit.' On $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \delta s$ $\tilde{\upsilon} \pi a \tau \sigma s = consul,$ see No. 191 ; Emaros alone is usual in later documents.

191.

Flamininus besieges Gythion: B.C. 195.

A statue-base from Gythion : the text from Böckh, C. I. G. 1325.

Τίτον Τίτου Κοίγκτιον, στραταγόν υπατον 'Ρωμαίων δ δάμος δ Γυθεατάν τον αύτοῦ σωτῆρα.

The capture of Gythion by Flamininus forced Nabis to sue for peace; see Livy, xxxiv. 29 foll.: 'Erat eo tempore valida urbs et multitudine civium incolarumque et omni bellico apparatu instructa. In tempore Quinctio rem haud facilem aggredienti rex Eumenes et classis Rhodiorum supervenerunt,' etc. (cp. No. 192). The town was glad enough to be freed from the tyranny of Nabis. It is observed by Marquardt (*Röm. Alt.* iv. p. 380), that $\sigma r \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \delta \delta \pi a r os$ was in the second century the usual Greek equivalent of *Consul*; Mommsen considers it the exact Greek equivalent of *praetor maximus*, which was the older designation of the Consul (*Ephemeris Epigraphica*, 1872, p. 156). Used here of Flamininus it expresses his consulare imperium, which was continued to him for the completion of the Macedonian War. He was Consul in 198 B.c. and proceeded into Greece: in 197 'T. Quinctio prorogarunt imperium' (Livy, xxxii. 28).

192.

Eumenes II assists the Romans in the War with Nabis of Sparta: B.C. 195, 192.

From the site of the Great Altar at Pergamon. The text from Humann, Die Ergebnisse, etc., p. 84 : the restorations are mine.

Οί μετὰ βασιλέως Εὐμέν[ους τοῦ ᾿Αττάλου] τὸ δεύτερον εἰς τὴν Ἑλλ[άδα στρατευσάμενοι,] ἐκ τοῦ πολέμου τοῦ πρὸς Νάβ[ιν καὶ τοὺς] ἐπιστρατεύσαντας τ[οῖς Ῥωμαίοις,] 5 ἀκροθίνια ᾿Αθηνᾶ.

In 196 B.C. Greece had been proclaimed 'free' by Flamininus. But various hindrances to the pacification of Greece still remained. One was the menacing attitude of the Ætolian League, another was the arrogant tyranny of Nabis at Sparta. By the end of the year it was determined to make war on Nabis, and the operations of Flamininus against him occupied the year 195 B.C., until Nabis made his submission and the war ended in a treaty (Livy, xxxiv. 22-40; Polyb. xxi. 9, § 10). Livy expressly names Eumenes as being present with Flamininus in this campaign (ib. 26, 30). The only doubt arises about ro deúrepor. Did Eumenes twice cross the Ægean within this one year to assist Flamininus? or is to device out to be referred to the expedition of 192 B.C., when Eumenes brought a fleet to co-operate with the Romans at the opening of the war with Antiochos? His forces were variously employed that year by Rome (Livy, xxxv. 39); hence perhaps the intentional vagueness of rois instruction of rois instructions.

193.

Cn. Manlius and Ten Commissioners settle the Province of Asia: B.C. 188.

Found among the ruins of Herakles Latmi : the text from Le Bas, Voyage Arch., Pt. v., No. 588; less correctly Böckh, C. I. G. 3800.

Γναῖος Μάνλιος Γναίου υίός,] στρατηγός ὕπατος Ῥωμαίων, [καὶ οἰ λπό 'Ρώμης δέκα πρέσβε]ις, 'Ηρακλεωτών τη βουλη και τω δή[μω (alpειν.] 'Eνέ[τυχον ή]μιν οι παρ' ύμωμ πρέσβεις Δlas, Δίης, Διονύ[σιος, Αλέξαν]δρος, [Μενέ]δημος, Μόσχος, 'Αριστείδης, Μένης, άνδρες κ[αλοί κ**άγα**θοί,] ο**ι τό τε [ψήφ]ισμα** ἀπέδωκαγ καὶ αὐτοὶ διελέγησαν ἀκολούθως τοΐ]ς έν τῷ [ψηφ]ίσματι κατα[κ]εχωρισμένοις, οὐδὲν ἐλλείποντ[ες σπουβης, ώς ήμ]ας εῦ ε[ίδότες?] πρός πάντας τοὺς Ελληνας εὐνόως διακειμένους. [•] Ημείς με]ν ούν [κα]ί πειρασόμεθα, παραγεγονότων ύμων είς την ήμετέρ[αν **ι [ρεσιν, π]**ρόνοιαν ποιείσθαι την ενδεχομένην, αεί τινος αγαθού παρα[[-**Γιοι γεν**]όμενοι. Συγχωρούμεν δε ύμιν τήν τε ελευθερίαγ, καθότι καί [ταῖς ἄλ]λαις πόλεσιν, ὄσαι ήμιν την επιτροπην εδωκαν, εχουσιν [τα πράγμα]τα τὰ αύτῶμ πολιτεύεσθαι κατὰ τοὺς ὑμετέρους νόμους, [καλ έν το]îs αλλοις πειρασόμεθα εύχρηστοῦντες ὑμῖν ἀεί τινος ἀγαθοῦ [παραίτ]ιοι γίνεσθαι· αποδεχόμεθα δε και τα παρ' ύμωμ φιλάνθρωπα και τας πίστεις, κ]al aύτοι δε πειρασόμεθα μηδενός λείπεσθαι εν χάριτος άποδόσει. [Απεστάλ]καμεν δε πρός ύμας Λεύκιον Όρβιον τον επιμελησόμενον της [πόλεως καί] της χώρας δπως μηδείς ύμας παρενοχλή. Εβρω[σθε.]

There can be no doubt about the restoration of lines 1-2. After the defeat of Antiochos at Magnesia, Manlius was sent in B.C. 189 to Asia, to settle questions of frontier, etc. His first year was occupied in the war with the Galatians (Livy, xxxviii. 12 foll.). He remained the next year in Asia as Proconsul (*ibid.* 35, 37 foll.), and, assisted by ten commissioners from Rome, made arrangements for the future of the conquered territory. Manlius was of course assailed with petitions and deputations from the various towns (*ibid.* 37 foll.); one of these is Heraklea, to which he grants the status of a *libera civitas*. On the title $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \delta$ s $\delta \pi a \tau os$ see No. 191. By this settlement Karia was made Rhodian territory. In line 11 $\delta \iota \delta \delta \sigma \iota a \dot{\imath} \tau o \delta s \epsilon ls \tau \eta \nu$ 'Paualaw $\ell \pi \iota \tau \rho \sigma \pi \eta \nu$ translates the Roman *deditio*: cp. Polyb. xxxvi. 2; Marquardt, *Röm. Alt.* iv. 354.

194.

Kallikrates, the traitor of the Achean League : B.C. 179.

A statue-base recently excavated at Olympia: Dittenberger in Archaol. Zeitung, 1880, pp. 52 foll.

Λακεδαιμονίων οἱ φυγόντες ὑπὸ τῶν τυράνν[ων] Καλλικράτη Θεοξένου Λεοντήσιον, καταγαγόντα εἰς τὰν πατρίδα καὶ διαλύσαντα ποτὶ τοὺς πολίτας καὶ εἰς τὰν ἐξ ἀρχᾶς [εὕν]οιαν [ἀποκα]τα[στ]άσαντα.

It is not necessary to follow the intricacies of Spartan politics during this period; suffice it to say, that it was the fierceness of Spartan sedition which wrecked the policy of Philopæmen. In 192 B.C., after the death of Nabis, Philopæmen went to Sparta and succeeded in bringing the city to join the League. This however was the result of circumstances, and not of any general consent. The Federalist party were never strong enough at Sparta to hold their own. Faction raged among the citizens and among the refugees without. In 191 B.C. it taxed Philopæmen's influence to the utmost to maintain peace: in 188 B. C. he was provoked to settle the difficulties at Sparta by a murderous revolution. Meantime the councils of the League on the one hand, and the Senate of Rome on the other, were besieged by envoys from Spartan factions, or the complaints of exiles. It was a foolish ambition for the Achæan League to seek territorial extension by the forcible retention of Sparta. Philopæmen's death (B.C. 187) left Lykortas a hopeless task. The 'patriotic' policy of the League had been to maintain a qualified but defined independence, subject to the protection of But this could not go on without internal peace within Rome. the Peloponnese. Grecian discord made freedom impossible. Kallikrates however had the unhappy distinction of first soliciting the complete control of Rome, and of becoming the avowed leader of a pro-Roman party within the League. In this connexion our inscription is important. Philopæmen had always been understood to befriend the victims of Nabis and the tyrants. When these came to regard Kallikrates as their friend,

and set up his statue at Olympia, their conduct was proof enough that the policy of Philopœmen and Lykortas was gone by altogether. We may sympathize with Polybios (xxvi. I-3) and the Achæan patriots without approving their policy; and we may criticize their policy without approving (with Mommsen, Bk. iii. ch. 9) of Kallikrates.

195.

Senatusconsultum concerning Thisbæ: B.C. 170.

Found on the site of Thisbee, and published by T. Mommsen, after Foucart, in the Ephemeris Epigraphica, vol. i. 1872, pp. 278 foll.; and vol. ii. p. 102. Now at Athens, where Schmidt has re-read it, Mittheilungen, 1879, 235 foll.

Text of Inscription.

Translation by Mommsen. A.

A.

- 1 Κόϊντος Μαίνιος Τίτου vids
- 3 στρατηγός τη συνκλή τω συνεβουλεύσατο έν κομετίω πρό
- 3 ἡμερ|[ŵ]ν ἐπτὰ εἰδυών ἘΟκτωμβρίων.
- 4 Γραφομένω | παρησαν Μάνιος 'Ακίλιος Μανίου υίος 'Ολ-
- τε[ινί]|a, Tíros Noµίσιοs Tíτου υίός.
- 6 Περί ών Θισβ είς λόγους εποι-
- 7 ήσαντο περί των καθ' αύ|τούς πραγμάτων, οίτινες έν τῆ φι-
- 8 λία τη | ήμετέρα ενέμειναν,
 9 δπως αὐτοῖς δοθῶσι[ν | ο]ἰς
- τὰ καθ' αύτοὺς πράγματα
- 10 ἐξηγήσωνται· περὶ τού|του τοῦ πράγματος οὕτως ἔδοξεν·
- 11 δπως Κόιντος | Malvios στρατηγός των έκ της συνκλήτου
- 12 | [π] έντε ἀποτάξη, οι ἀν αὐτῷ ἐκ τῶν δημοσίων πρα-
- 13 | [γ]μάτων καὶ τῆs ἰδίας
 πίστεως φαίνωνται.
 Ἐδοξε.

- Q. Maenius T. f. praetor senatum consuluit in comitio a. d. VII idus Octobres.
- Scribendo adfuerunt M'. Acilius M'. f. Voltinia, T. Numisius T. f.
- Quod Thisbaei verba fecerunt de rebus ad se pertinentibus qui quidem in amicitia nostra permanserunt, ut dentur sibi quibus ipsi res suas exponant de ea re ita censuerunt :
- ut Q. Maenius praetor senatorii ordinis viros quinque delegaret, qui sibi e re publica fideque sua esse viderentur.

Censuerunt.

B.

- 14 | Προτέρα είδυων 'Οκτωμβρίων.
- 15 Γραφομένφ παρήσαν Πόπλιος Μούκιος Κοίντου υίος, Μάαρ-
- 16 κος Κλαύδιος Μαάρκου υίός, Μάνιος Σέργιος Μανίου υίός.
- 17 | Ωσαύτως περί ων οἱ αὐτοὶ λόγους ἐποιήσαντο περί χώ-
- 18 pas | [κ]aì περὶ λιμέ[ν]ων καὶ προσόδων καὶ περὶ ὀρέων.
- 19 & αὐτῶν ἐγεγ|όνεισαν, ταῦτα, ἡμῶν μὲν ἕνεκεν, ἔχειν αλ ἐξεῦμαι Ιξαίζαι
- 20 êfeîvai êdofev.

Περί άρχων καί περί ίερων καί

- 21 προσόδων ὅπως αὐτοὶ | [κ]υριεύωσι, περὶ τούτου τοῦ πράγματος οῦτως ἔδοξεν
- 22 | Οίτινες είς τὴν φιλίαν τὴν ἡμετέραν πρὸ τοῦ ἡ Γάϊος
- 23 Λοκρέ|τιος τὸ στρατόπεδου πρός τὴν πόλιν Θίσβας προσ-
- 24 ήγα|γεν, δπως ούτοι έτη δέκα τ[à] έγγιστα κυριεύωσιν. "Εδοξεν.
- 25 | Περὶ χώρας οἰκιῶν καὶ τῶν ὑπαρχόντων αὐτοῖς.
- 26 οῦ ποτέ | τι αὐτῶν γέγονεν, ὅπως [τὰ] ἐαυτῶν αὐτοῖς 27 ἔχειν ἐξῆ | ἔδοξεν.

Ωσαύτως περὶ ῶν οἱ αὐτοὶ λόγους ἐποιήσαυτο, ὅπω[ς 28 | ο]ἱ αὐτόμολοι οἱ ὅδιοι ἐκεῦ В.

- [Q. Maenius T. f. praetor senatum consuluit in comitio] pridie idus Octobres.
- Scribendo adfuerunt P. Mucius Q. f., M. Claudius M. f., M'. Sergius M'. f.
- [I.] Item quod iidem verba fecerunt de agro et de portubus et vectigalibus et de collibus suis :
- quae ipsorum fuerant, per nos eis ea habere licere censuerunt.
- [II.] [Item quod iidem verba fecerunt] de magistratibus et sacris et reditibus ut ea ipsi obtinerent, de ea re ita censuerunt :
- qui in amicitiam nostram [venerunt], ante quam C. Lucretius castra ad oppidum Thisbas admovit, ut ii decem annis proximis obtineant.

Censuerunt.

[III.] [Item quod iidem verba fecerunt] de agro et aedificiis et bonis suis :

cujuscumque ipsorum aliquid fuerit, ut sua sibi habere iis liceat, censuere.

[IV.] Item quod iidem verba fecerunt, [qui sunt] transfugae ipsorum exules inde, arcem iis

332

.

φυγάδες όντες, την άκραν 29 αύτοις όπως τειχίσαι εξή και εκεί κατοικώσιν ούτοι, καθότι ενεφάνισαν	ut communire liceret et ibi iidem habitarent, ut signifi- caverunt:
30 σύ τως έδοξεν, όπως ἐκεί κατ- οικώσιν καὶ τοῦτο τειχίσωσιν. 31 "Εδο¦ξεν. Τὴν πόλιν τειχίσαι οὐκ έδο- ξεν.	ita censuerunt, ut ibi habitarent et id communirent. Censuere. Oppidum communiri non pla- cuit.
 Ωσαύτως περί ων οἱ αὐτ[οὶ] 32 λόγους ἐποιήσαντο χρυσίον, δ συνήνεγκαν εἰς στέφανον, 33 [δ[πω]ς εἰς τὸ Καπετώλιον 	[V.] Item quod iidem verba fecerunt aurum, quod contu- lerunt in coronam, ut in Capi- tolium coronam ponerent, sibi,
στέφανον κατασκευάσωσιν, 34 τούτοις, καθ[ό τ]ι ἐνεφάνισαν, δπως αὐτοῖς ἀποδοθῆ, ὅ[πω]ς 35 τοῦτον τὸν στέφανον εἰς [τ]δ Καπετώλιον κατασκευάσω-	ut significaverunt, ut ipsis redderetur, ut eam coronam in Capitolium ponerent :
σιν ούτως ἀποδοῦναι ἔδοξεν. 36 Ωσαύ [τ]ως περὶ ῶν οἱ αὐτοὶ λόγους ἐποιήσαντο ἀνθρώ- 37 πους, οίτινες ὑπεν[αν τί]α τοῖς δημοσίοις πράγμασι τοῖς ἡμετέροις καὶ τοῖς ἑαυτῶν	ita reddi censuerunt. [VI.] Item quod iidem verba fecerunt homines, qui adver- sum rem publicam nostram ipsorumque sint, ut ii detine- antur:
 38 είσιν [ὅπ]ως οῦτοι κατέ- χωνται: περὶ τούτου τοῦ πράγματος, 39 καθὼς ἂν Κ[οίν τφ] Μαινίφ στρατηγῷ ἐκ τῶν δημοσίων 40 πραγμάτων καὶ τῆς ἰδίας πί σ- τεως δοκῆ, οῦτως ποείν ἔδο- 	de ea re ut Q. Maenio praetori e re publica fideque sua esse videbitur, ita fieri censuerunt.
 ξεν. 41 Οίτινες είς άλλας πόλεις ἀ[[π- ή]λθοσαν καὶ οὐχὶ πρὸς τὸν παρ' ἡμῶν στρατηγὸν παρεγέ- 42 νουτο, ὅπως [μ]ὴ εἰς τάξιν καταπορεύωνται· περὶ τούτου τοῦ πράγματος πρὸς 	[VII.] Qui in alia oppida abie- runt neque ad praetorem a nobis missum adfuerunt, ii ne in locum regrediantur : de ea re ad A. Hostilium cos.
acprisorio roo apagmaios apos	at the it was in another the too

- 43 Αύλον | ['O]στίλιον υπατον γράμματα αποστείλαι έδοξεν,
- 44 δπως περὶ τούτου τῃ δι[a|v]οίa προσέχῃ, καθὼς ầν aὐτῷ ἐκ τῶν δημοσίων πραγμάτων
- 45 καὶ | [τ]ῆς ἰδίας πίστεως φαίνηται. "Εδοξεν.
- 46 | ⁶Ωσαύτως περί ων οί αὐτοὶ λόγους ἐποιήσαντο π[ερὶ |
- 47 τώ]ν δικών Ξενοπίθιδος καὶ Μνάσιδος, δπως ἐκ Χαλ-
- 48 κίδος ἀφεθῶσι, | καὶ Δαμοκρίτα Διονυσίου ἐχ Θηβῶν αὐτά (sic)
- 49 περί τούτων, τών πόλ|εων άφείναι έδοξεν, καὶ δπως εἰς Θίσβας μὴ κατέλθωσιν έδοξεν.
- 50 | Ωσαύτως περί οῦ ταύτας τὰς γυναϊκας ὑδρίας σὺν ἀργυ-
- 51 ρίφ | [εί]ς τόν στρατηγόν ένευκεῖυ είπασαυ, περὶ τού-
- 52 του τοῦ πράγ[μα|το]ς ὕστερον ἕναντι Γαίου Λοκρετίου βουλεύσασθαι ἔδο[ξεν.
- 53 | ⁶Ωσ]αύτως περί ών οἱ αὐτοὶ Θισβεῖς ἐνεφάνισαν περί σί-
- 54 του καὶ ἐ[λαί|ου] αὐτοῖς κοινωνίαν πρὸς Γναῖον Πανδοσῖνον γεγονέναι.
- 55 περ[ὶ τού] του τοῦ πράγματος κầυ κριτὰς λαβεῖυ βούλωυται,
- 56 τούτοις κριτàς [δ]ο[ῦ|ν]αι έδοξεν.

⁶Ωσαύτως περί ών οἱ αὐτοὶ λόγους ἐποιήσαντο περί τοῦ

57 | γράμματα δοῦναι Θισβεῦσιν εἰς Αἰτωλίαν καὶ Φωκίδα· litteras dari censuerunt, ut in eos its animadvertat, ut ipsi e re publica fideque sua esse videbitur.

Censuere.

- [VIII.] Item quod iidem verba fecerant de judiciis Xenopithidis et Mnasidis, ut Chalcide dimitterentur, et Damocrita Dionysii filia Thebis [itidem]:
- de iis rebus dimitti eas urbibus censuerunt, et ut Thisbas ne redirent, censuerunt.
- [IX.] Item quibus de rebus has mulieres sitellas cum argento ad praetorem tulisse dixerunt, de ea re posthac coram C. Lucretio deliberandum esse censuerunt.
- [X.] Item quod iidem Thisbaei significaverunt de frumento et oleo sibi societatem cum Gnaeo Pandosino fuisse :
- de ea re si judices accipere velint, iis judices dandos esse censuerunt.
- [XI.] Item quod iidem verba fecerunt de litteris dandis Thisbaeis in Aetoliam et Phocidem :

- 58 περί τούτου | τοῦ πράγματος Θισβεῦσι και Κορωνεῦσιν εἰς
- 59 Αἰτωλίαν καὶ Φωκί¦δα καὶ ἐάν που εἰς ἄλλας πόλεις βού-
- 60 λωνται, γράμματα φιλάν|θρωπα δοῦναι ἔδοξεν.
- de ea re Thisbaeis et Coronaeis in Aetoliam et Phocidem et si quo ad alias civitates velint, litteras benignas dandas esse censuerunt.

The Greek text, with its awkward, and even ungrammatical style, bears witness to its origin. It is an official translation made at Rome from the Latin of the Senate, and the reader will be glad of a Latin version. The senate met in Komeria (line 2), i.e. in the Curia Hostilia. In reality we have here two SCts A and B, dated respectively the 9th and 14th of October (lines 3, 14). The Consul (lines 42-3) Aulus Hostilius Mancinus, consul 170 B.C., being absent in Macedonia, and his colleague Aulus Atilius in Liguria, the praetor urbanus, Q. Mænius, convenes and consults the senate (line 1). Lines 15, 16 being by no means the only place where Marcus is represented by Mágoros. Mommsen supposes the old form of the name to have been Maharcus, like ala from ahala. The version, which will be the best commentary, is chiefly by Mommsen; from whom I borrow some remarks on the historical events alluded to. At the outbreak of the war with Perseus, a majority of the Bœotian league (rò Kouvor rŵr Bouwrŵr) was Macedonian in its sympathy, and on terms of friendship with Perseus. In 171 B.C. the senate sent out commissioners into Greece, to organize alliances against Perseus (Livy, xlii, 37). In Bootia they ignored the League, and insisted upon dealing with each city individually (Polyb. xxvii. 2). The result was that every city made its submission to Rome, with three exceptions. These were Koroneia, Thisbæ, and Haliartos: for this inscription enables us to correct a blunder in the text of Polybios (xxvii. 5), which must have been as old as Livy, both Livy (xlii. 46, 47) and Polybios I.c. speaking of Koroneia, Haliartos, and Thebes. Of the three towns Haliartos was at once besieged, taken, and razed to the ground (Livy, xlii, 56, 63). Koroneia was taken by Licinius the consul towards the end of the same year B. C. 171 (Livy, xlii. 67), and its case made the subject of a SCtum at the same time (id. xliii. 4). Concerning Thisbæ this inscription gives us information, and we may now correct the text of Livy, xlii. 63, and read: 'Inde (from Haliartos) *Thisbas* ductus exercitus; quibus sine certamine receptis, urbem tradidit exulibus et qui Romanorum partis erant; adversse factionis hominum fautorumque regis ac Macedonum familias sub corona vendidit. His gestis in Bœotia, ad mare et ad naves rediit.'

This passage exactly illustrates SCtum Λ , (lines 6-13), where the Thisbæans 'of the Roman party' having applied to the Senate for a commission to inquire into their condition, five commissioners are to be appointed to see to their claims. It appears from Strabo, p. 411 (cp. Homer, Π . ii. 502) that Thisbe, which lay at the foot of M. Helikon, possessed a territory reaching down to the sea-shore. Line 13, $\delta \delta \delta \epsilon$ means 'the preceding *relatio* was carried upon division.'

Scium B. Lines 17 foll. Between the 9th and 14th of October the five commissioners have been appointed, and now the Thisbæan envoys again lay their case before the Senate, and this second SCtum instructs the commissioners as to their work. By $\xi_{\chi \in i\nu}$ it is not meant that the Senate annuls this *deditio* (for the formula of which, here alluded to, see Livy, i. 38; Polyb. XXXVI. 2), but merely that the Thisbæans should be allowed to occupy and enjoy their own, on condition of paying tribute. By $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\sigma\delta\sigma_i$ are meant taxes or tributes payable to Thisbæ by persons or places subject to it. Mommsen reads $\delta\rho[\ell]_{\omega\nu}$, invite lapide.

Ch. ii. (ll. 20-24) places the administration of Thisbæ exclusively in the hands of the Roman party; and for a period of ten years only. There was this difference between a *civitae libera* and *stipendiaria* that self-government was ceded to the former absolutely, to the latter for a time only and subject to withdrawal.

Ch. iii. (ll. 25-27) restores their private possessions to the Thisbæans of the Roman party, of which they had been deprived at the surrender of Thisbæ. Mommsen thinks that though Flamininus proclaimed freedom and immunity to Greece, yet an exception was made in the treatment of Bœotia. He finds a reference to tribute paid by Bœotians in the thirty talents of Livy, xxxiii. 29, and the story of Cic. *de Nat. D.* iii. 19, 49. This would explain the peculiar hostility to Rome in Bœotia, as shown in the Persic war.

Ch. iv. (ll. 27-31) gives permission to those who during the war

escaped to the Roman camp, and to these only, to fortify and occupy the citadel. But the town walls are not to be restored.

Ch. v. (ll. 32-35). The allies of Rome had the right of sacrificing and making offerings in the Capitol; and Livy (xlii. 40) speaks of the Bœotians as 'sociis nostris.' It seems that the Thisbæans, before the war broke out, had raised a fund for such an offering; and this fund had, by the *deditio*, been forfeited to Rome. It is hereby restored to them, that they may still offer it.

Ch. vi, vii (ll. 36-45) deal with the leaders of the Macedonian party at Thisbæ. Most of these had probably been brought to Italy after the surrender of the town to Lucretius. The envoys furnished a list of their names. The prætor Mænius is to summon them to appear, and deal with them at his pleasure: those who are still in Greece are to be dealt with by the Consul now in Macedonia.

Ch. viii, ix (ll. 46-52) deal with the case of three women of Thisbæ, two of whom (it seems) were detained at Chalkis, and the third at Thebes. They are to be liberated, but may not return to Thisbæ. We may imagine these women to have been engaged in a law-suit with certain members of the Roman party about some property, perhaps a disputed inheritance: the passage is certainly obscure. From the character of Lucretius given by Livy (xliii. 4, 7, 8), we may believe the charge so circumstantially described in ch. ix, that these women had succeeded in bribing the prætor to give his judgment in their favour. Lucretius was at this moment at Antium, pleading detention on business, and anxious to avoid the complaints awaiting him from Chalkis as well as Thisbæ (Livy, *l. c.*).

Ch. x (ll. 53-56) refers to a contract or *socielas*, by which one Gnæus of Pandosia had undertaken to farm certain lands belonging to Thisbæ, in return for a certain rent payable in corn and oil. Now that the territory had been surrendered to Rome, the Thisbæans are concerned to receive the warrant of this SCtum to hold Gnæus to his bargain. In case of any dispute, Gnæus will have to reckon with them.


Ch. xi. (ll. 57-end) gives letters commendatory to the envoys: cp. the SCtum in Josephus, Ant. Jud. xiii. 9: δπως αυτοῖς πρός τε βασιλεῖς καὶ δήμους ἐλευθέρους γράμματα δῶσιν εἰς ἀσφάλειαν τῆς εἰς οἶκον ἐπανόδου. On their journey home they would probably call at Naupaktos (Ætolian), and Antikyra in Phokis. We have already seen that the senate had dealt with Koroneia in the winter of 171 B.C., so that the envoys must have stayed on for some time at Rome, or else these are a fresh set of envoys.

196.

Lucius Hortensius at Athens; third Macedonian War: B.C. 170.

Slab discovered at Athens: the text from Köhler, C. I. A. ii. 423.

[... γνώμην δε συμβάλλεσθαι της] [βουλής είς τ]δ[ν] δήμ[ον] ότι [δοκεί τή βουλή, έ]παινέσαι Λεύκιον Ο[ρτήσιον (?) τον] 'Ρωμ[αί]ον και στεφανωσ[αι αυ-5 τον θαλλο ο σ[τ]εφάνω ευνοίας ε[νεκεν της] π[ρό]ς 'Αθηναίους' δεδό[σθαι δε αὐτ $\hat{\psi}$ κ]αὶ [πρ]οξε[ν]ί[αν] καὶ yηs κα o[lκίας έγκτησιν] αίτησα[μέ]νψ κατά τό[ν νόμον καί ύπάρ χειν αύτφ πάντα τ[ά φ-10 ιλάνθρ]ωπα [κ]αθάπερ και τοις άλλο[ις προξέν]οις ε[ίν]αι δε αντώ και είς τό [λοιπόν ά]ποδεικνυμένω την πρός ['Αθηναίο]υς εύνοιαν εύρέσθαι και άλ-[λο άγαθό]ν ότου αν δοκή άξιος είναι. 15 [ἀναγράψαι] δὲ τόδε τὸ ψήφισμα τὸν [γραμματ] έα τόν κατά πρυτανείαν εί[s στήλην λιθίνην και στήσαι έν ακροπό-[λει, τό] δε γενόμενον είς αὐτην ἀνά-[λωμα μ]ερίσαι τόν ταμίαν των στρα-20 [Τιωτικ]ών.



Lucius Hortensius was the incapable and unscrupulous admiral who nearly ruined the hopes of Rome in this war: see Livy, xliii. 4, 7.

197.

Prusias II sends some of his spoils to the Didymsean Apollo: B.C. 156.

Found on the site of the temple: the text from Böckh, C. I. G. 2855.

'Επὶ στεφανηφόρου τοῦ θεοῦ | τοῦ τρίτου τοῦ μετὰ Μηνόδωρο[ν], προφητε[ύ]οντος δὲ 'Αντιπάτρου | τοῦ Μεν[ε]στράτου, κατὰ | ποίησιν δὲ Μενάνδρου τοῦ Μαίω|νος, ταμιευόντων δὲ καὶ παρ|εδρευόντων ἐν τῷ ἱερῷ Δημ[ητρί|ο]υ τοῦ Πασίωνος, 'Εκαταίου τοῦ | 'Αρτέμωνος, τάδε ἀνετέθη τῷ | 'Απόλλωνι.

§ Ι. ύδρία, ἐφ' ἦs ἐπιγραφὴ | ᾿Απόλλωνος Διδυμέως, ὅλκὴν ἄγ|ουσα ᾿Αλεξα[ν]δρείας χιλίας τετρακ|οσίας ἐνενήκοντα.

§ 2. φιάλη ἀΑπόλλω|νος Διδυμέως ἐκ τῆς ἀποσταλείσης| ἀπαρχῆς ὑπὸ βασιλέως Προυσίου, ὁλ|κὴν ἄγουσα ἀΑλεξανδρείας τριακοσίας.

§ 3. Κυζικηνών φιάλη, δλκην άγουσα 'Αλεξανδρείας έκατόν.

§ 4. Κρατ έ[ρ]ου τοῦ Κρατ[έ|ρ]ου φιάλη, όλκὴν ἄγουσα
Μιλησίας ἐ|νενήκοντα.

§ 5. Κανοῦν φυλῆς τῆς ἀσω|τίδος? δλκὴν ἄγον ἀλεξαιδρείας πεντ|ακοσίας.

§ 6. βασιλέως Προυσίου Προυσιάδες | δύο, δλκην άγουσαι ή μεν 'Αλεξανδρείας | δκτακοσίας και εκ[ξ], ή δ[ε] δκτακοσίας τριά κοντα εξ.

§ 7. λιβανωτὶς χρυσῆ ἀΑ | πόλλωνος καὶ ἀΑρτέμιδος, ὅλκὴν ἄγουσα | χρυσοῦς ἐκατόν· τὸ δὲ ἕμβολο[ν αὐτῆς] ἀ[ρ]|γυροῦν, ἄγον δραχμὰς τεσσαράκοντα τρεῖς, ὅ|βολόν.

§ 8. βασιλ[ίσ]σης Καμασαρ[ύ]ης . . ρεπις (?) | χρυσοῦς, δλκὴν ἄγων χρυσοῦς ἐκατὸν ὀγδο|ήκοντα ἐπτά, ἡμίχρυσον.

§ 9. 'Αρτεμω...|. ἀν[γε]ί[ο]ν? δ ὑδροφορήσασα ὑπόμ[νημα ἀνέθη|κεν?] όλκῆς χρυσ[ῶν] ἐκατ[ό]ν.

§ 10. φιάλιον [ἀργυροῦν ?] | όλκῆς 'Ροδίων είκοσι.

I include this for the sake of § 2. Prusias II in 156 invaded the territory of Attalos, and pillaged the city of Pergamon with-

out mercy, despoiling temples, and even carrying off the statue of Asklepios (the special god of Pergamon), to whom he had been sacrificing the day before (Polyb. xxxii. 25). Some of the firstfruits of these spoils (as it appears) he sends to the Apollo of Branchidæ, whom he especially revered. § 6. He sends also some cups called after himself: see Athense. 475 fin., 496. 88. His queen, Kamasarye, sends also a golden object, which we cannot identify. Her name is identical with Kouogaoún wife of Pairisades I, king of Bosporos (C. I. G. 2119). The heading gives the date of these gifts by naming the Milesian eponymos, and the temple authorities. The eponymos is & orequippopos, and the office has been held by the god himself for three years in succession; a not uncommon circumstance (see Böckh, C. I. G. Nos. 2677, 2905; Le Bas, Voyage Arch. Pt. V. Nos. 252, 255-8, etc.: cp. Livy, xxxii. 25): karà $\pi o(\eta \sigma w = by adoption.$ 'YZ00- $\phi \delta \rho \sigma \sigma$ in § 9 was the title of an important priesthood at Miletos.

198.

Dedication by Mummius at Olympia: B.C. 146-145.

On a base discovered in the recent excavations : Furtwängler, Archäol. Zeitung, 1879, p. 147.

Λεύκιος Μόμμιος Λευκίου υίδς στρατηγός ύπατος 'Ρωμαίων Διτ 'Ολυμπίφ.

See No. 199.

199.

Dedication by Mummius at Thebes: B.C. 146-145.

On an altar at Thebes: Keil, Sylloge inscr. Boot. p. 83; Le Bas, Voyage Arch., Pt. ii. 486.

[Λ]εύκιος Μόμμιος Λευκίου [σ]τρατηγός ὕπατ[ος 'Ρω]μαίων τοις θε[οις].

On the title see Nos. 191 and 197.

PART IX.

MUMMIUS TO SULLA.

B.C. 145-80.

200.

Award of the Milesian arbitrators in favour of the Messenians: B.C. 145-140.

On the pillar-base of the statue of Victory by Pæonios, recently discovered at Olympia: the text from R. Neubauer, Arch. Zeitung, 1876, p. 128; cp. 1878, p. 104: see supra No. 49. Referred to by Tac. Ann. iv. 43.

> Κρίσιs περὶ χώραs Μεσσανίοιs καὶ Λακεδαιμονίο[ιs].

A. Decree of the Eleians permitting the Award to be inscribed at Olympia:—

> Πρεσβευταν παραγενομένων παρα τας πόλιος των Μεσσανίων 'Αθανοδώρου τοῦ Διονυσίου,

- 5 'Απολλωνίδα τοῦ Νικάνδρου, Χαρητίδα τοῦ Δορκωνίδα, καὶ τὰ γράμματ' ἀποδόντων, ἐν οἶς διεσαφεῖτο ἀνανεωσαμένους τὰν ὑπάρχουσαν συγγένει-[αν καὶ φιλία]ν ταῖς πόλεσι ποθ' αὐτὰς διαλέγεσθαι δπως ἐπιχωρήσει ἁ πόλις ἀναγραφῆμεν εἰς ᾿Ολυμπίαν
- 10 τὰγ κρίσιν τὰγ γενομέναν τậ πόλει αὐτῶμ ποτὶ τὰμ [πόλιν τ]ῶ[ν] Λακεδαιμονίων περὶ χώρας, ἀποδόντων [δὲ τῶμ π]ρεσβευτ[ᾶν κ]αὶ ἐπιστολὰμ παρὰ Μιλησίων [ἀπεσταλμ]έναν, περιέχου[σαν] τὰν γεγενημ[ένα]ν κρίσιν, διαλεγέντων δὲ τ[ῶμ] πρεσβευτῶν ἀκολ[ού]-
- 15 θως τοῖς γεγραμμένοις έδοξε τοῖς συνέδροις ἀπόκρισιν δόμεν, διότι τάν τε συγγένειαν καὶ φιλίαν τὰν ὑπάρχουσαν ποτὶ τὰμ πόλιν τῶμ Μεσσ[α]νίων ἀνανεοῦν-

ταί τε καὶ ἐπὶ πλεῖομ προάξοντι, περί τε τοῦ ἐπιχωρῆσαι ἀναγραφῆμεν εἰs Ἐλυμπίαν τὰγ κρίσιν τὰγ γεγενη-

20 μέναν τῷ πόλει αὐτῶμ ποτὶ τὰμ πόλιν τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων περὶ τῶς χώρας ἐπὶ τοῦ δάμου τοῦ Μιλησίων, διότι ἐπιχωροῦντι καθῶς ἁ πόλις τῶμ Μεσσανίων ἐγεγράφει καὶ οἱ πρεσβευταὶ παρεκάλεον, ἐπαινέσαι δὲ καὶ τοὺς πρεσβευτὰς ἐπί τε τῷ ἐνδαμία καὶ ἀνα-

25 στροφά ά πεποίηνται, δόμεν δε αύτοις και Φιλόνικον τον ταμίαν ξένια τα μέγιστα έκ τών νόμων, καλέσαι δε αύτους και τους άρχοντας έπι ταν κοιναν εστίαν.

B. Letter from the Milesians, enclosing an authorized copy of the Award :-

Μιλησίων οι πρυτάνεις και οι ήρημένοι έπι τη φυλακή

- 30 'Ηλείων τοῖς ἄρχουσι καὶ τοῖς συνέδροις χαίρειν. Παραγενομένων πρὸς ἡμῶς πρεσβευτῶν παρὰ Μεσσηνίων Μηνοδώρου τοῦ Διονυσίου, Φιλοίτου τοῦ Κρατίου, καὶ παρακαλούντων δοῦναι αὐτοῖς ἀντίγραφον πρὸς ὑμῶς τῆς γεγενημένης κρίσεως Μεσσηνίοις τε καὶ Λακεδαιμονίοις κατὰ τὸ δόγμα τῆς συγκλήτου, καὶ τῆς τε
- 35 βουλής καὶ τοῦ δήμου συγχωρησάντων τὰ προδεδηλωμένα καὶ ἐπιταξάντων ἡμῖν δοῦναι αἰτοῖς τὴγ κρίσιν, ὑπο-[τ]άξαντες αἰτὴν τῆ ἐπιστολῆ ἐδώκαμεν τοῖς πρεσβευ-[τ]αῖς, ὅπως διακομίσωσιν αἰτὴμ πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἐσφραγισμέ-[νην] τῆ [δημ]οσία σφραγῖδι.

C. Copy of the Milesian Award :-

- 40 'Επὶ στεφαιηφόρου Εἰρηνίου τοῦ 'Ασκληπιάδο[υ, μ]ηνὸς Καλαμαιώνος δευτέρα, ὡς δὲ ὁ στρατηγὸς ['Ρωμαίων] Κοΐντος Καλιπόρνιος Γαίου υἰὸς (sc. ἄγει) μηνὸς τετάρ[του,—πέμπ-?] του καὶ ἡμέρα ἐνδεκάτῃ κατὰ σελήνην ἀφ' ῆ[ς ἡμέρας τὸ] δόγμα ἐγένετο,—ἐκκλησία συνήχθη κυρία ἐ[ν τῷ θεά]-
- 45 τρφ έν τῆ προειρημένη ἡμέρα, καθότι [Λακεδαιμόνι]οι καὶ Μεσσήνιοι συνωμολογήσαντο, κ[αὶ ἐκ]ληρώθη κριτήριον ἐκ παντός τοῦ δήμου, τὸ μέ[γιστ]ον ἐκ τῶν νόμων, κριταὶ ἐξακόσιοι. Καὶ εἰσήχθη [ἡ] κρίσις κατά τε τὴν ἐπιστολὴν τοῦ προειρημέ[ν]ο[υ] στρατηγοῦ καὶ

50 κατὰ τὸ δόγμα τῆς συ[γκλ]ήτου ἐπ' ᾿Αμφιάλο[υ τοῦ] [.....ἐπὶ τούτψ' 'δπό]- τεροι ταύτην τὴν χώραν κατεῖχ[ον δτε Λεύκιος] Μόμμιος ὕπατος ἡ ἀνθύπατος [ἐν ἐκείνῃ τῇ ἐπαρ]χείφ ἐγένετο, ὅπως οῦτοι οῦτω[ς κατέχωσιν.' Καλ διεμ-

- 55 ετ]ρήθη αὐτοῖs τὸ ὕδωρ πρὸs τὴν [τήρησιν, ἐπὶ μὲν τοῦ] πρώτου λόγου ἐκατέροις μετρη[τῶν Μιλησίων δέκα?] πέντε, ἐπὶ δὲ τοῦ δευτέρου λόγου [μετρητῶν Μιλησίων] πέντε, καθότι καὶ αὐτοὶ εὐδόκησαν. [Καὶ λε]ξάντων πρὸs τὴν τήρησιν τοῦ ὕδατος παρὰ [μὲν Λ]ακεδαιμονί-
- 60 ων Εὐδαμίδα τοῦ Εὐθυκλέους, παρὰ δὲ Με[σσ]ηνίων Νίκ[ων]os τοῦ Νίκωνος καὶ ῥηθέντων τῶν λόγω[ν] ὑφ' ἐκατέρων, ἐκρίθη κατεισχῆσθαι ἡ χώρα ὑπὸ Μεσ[σ]ηνίων ὅτε Λεύκιος Μόμμιος ὅπατος ἢ ἀνθύπατος [ἐ]ν ἐκείνη τῆ ἐπαρχεία ἐγένετο, καὶ ὅπως οῦ[τοι] οὕτως
- 65 κατέχωσιν. Τῶν ψήφων αις έδοξεν κατεισ[χησθαι] ή χώρα ὑπὸ Μεσσηνίων καὶ ὅπως οῦτοι οῦτω[ς κα]τέχωσιν πεντακόσιαι ὀγδοήκοντα τέσσαρες, αις κατεισχησθαι ὑπὸ Λακεδαιμονίω[ν] δέκα ξξ.

The ager Dentheliates, a fertile strip of land on the slope of the foot of Mt. Taÿgetos, was for many centuries a bone of contention between the Messenians and Lakedæmonians (Steph. Byz. s. r. $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \theta dy ioi$). It contained a shrine of Artemis Limnatis (Pausan. iv. 4, 2), frequented by the Messenians and Lakedæmonians alone, but of which the Messenians claimed entire control. Strabo (p. 362) connects the old Messenian wars with this dispute about frontier; and the feud smouldered on, the Messenians being established in their claim by Philip the father of Alexander, by Antigonos Doson, and by Mummius. This we know from Tacitus (Ann. iv. 43), who speaks of a final decision in favour of Messenia being given by the Senate under Tiberius A. D. 25. The Messenians on that occasion put in as evidence the award before us, and a subsequent decision by 'Atidius Geminus, prætor Achaiæ.' It appears however that 'C. Cæsaris et M. Antonii sententia' the laud was assigned to the Spartans. We may suspect this to be one case amongst many in which M. Antonius made a market of the memoranda of Cæsar which he seized after the murder (see Cicero's Philippics, passim).

The date of the award is soon after Mummius' taking of Korinth, B.C. 146. This appears from Tacitus, I.c., and the spelling of Kalinópulos (line 42), which shows that the Greeks were still unfamiliar with the combinations of Latin consonants. В. Line 29: as the eponymos at Miletos was the ore paynopoos (line 40), the magistrates here mentioned were the executive, viz. the prytanes and the $\eta \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu o \epsilon \epsilon \pi i \tau \eta \rho \nu \lambda a \kappa \eta (= \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o \ell ?).$ C, is of course the important document. Elonvlov (line 40) may be a mistake for Elphvalov. Line 41 : in our reckoning, the end of April. As the civil year in Asia began with the Autumn equinox, Kalamæon was the 7th or 8th month of the Milesian calendar: so that it is added 'but according to Roman reckoning the 4th month' (ayer understood after vide). Lines 43, 44: the Senate had evidently prescribed a limit of time; it had taken five lunar months and eleven days since the Senatus consultum (dóyµa) to interchange messages and make the needful arrangements before the Milesians appointed a court of arbitration. Line 45: προειρημένη, 'pre-arranged.' Line 47: κριτήριον, like δικαστήριον. ἐκ παντὸς τοῦ δήμου, without reference to tribal or other subdivisions. Line 52: the senate had narrowed down the controversy to a question of fact, by determining 'utri hanc terram tenuerint cum L. Mummius consul proconsulve illa in provincia esset, uti ii ita teneant.' It is clear that there was no real doubt on this point, and the sixteen votes given for Lakedæmon were probably due to other motives than honest conviction. It was natural that the Messenians should inscribe this diplomatic triumph over Sparta on the same monument which commemorated their military success in the Peloponnesian War (see No. 49).

201.

Statue to Polybios the historian at Olympia: B.C. 145 (?).

Statue-base recently excavated at Olympia: Dittenberger, in Archäol. Zeitung, 1878, p. 37.

'Η πόλις ή των 'Ηλείων Πολύβιον Λυκόρτα Μεγαλοπολείτην.

We do not know what were the particular benefits conferred by Polybios upon Elis, which moved that city to erect the

statue. What is certain is, that, after the fall of Korinth, Polybios, as the friend of Æmilianus, made use of his influence with Rome to mitigate the calamities of Greece. It was probably owing to him that a measure of freedom was still allowed to the cities, 'the fines imposed on the Achæans, and on the Bœotians and Eubœans, were remitted; the restraints on intercourse and commerce were withdrawn; and the federal unions which had been abolished were revived' (Thirlwall, ch. lxvi fin.). No greater proof of the confidence he enjoyed could be given, than by the ten commissioners who had been entrusted with the settlement of Greece (B.C. 146): upon their departure, after six months' work, they directed Polybios to make a circuit of the Achæan towns, to explain difficulties, clear up details, and reconcile the Greeks to their new condition. Such is the statement of the epitomator of Polybios (xl. 10). The traveller Pausanias found monuments of Polybios at Mantinea (viii. 9), Megalopolis (viii. 30: επί της αγοράς ... ανήρ επειργασμένος επί στήλη, Πολύβιος Λυκόρτα· γέγραπται δὲ καὶ ἐλεγεῖα ἐπ' αὐτῷ λέγοντα ὡς ἐπὶ γην και θάλασσαν πασαν πλανηθείη, και ότι σύμμαχος γένοιτο 'Ρωμαίων και παύσειεν αὐτοὺς ἀργῆς ἐς τὸ Ἑλληνικόν); near Akakesion (viii. 37 : καί οι επίγραμμά εστιν εξ άρχης τε μή αν σφαληναι την Ελλάδα, εί Πολυβίω τὰ πάντα ἐπείθετο, καὶ ἁμαρτούση δι' ἐκείνου βοήθειαν αὐτῆ γενέσθαι μόνον); at Pallantion (viii. 44); and Tegea (viii. 48). It is quite possible that the statue at Olympia was erected during his lifetime, soon after the departure of the Ten in 145 B.C.

202.

Letter of the Proconsul of Macedonia to the people of Dyme: B.C. 116 (?).

In the Library of Trinity Coll., Cambridge, where I have read it. Böckh, C. I. O. 1543; Rose, Inscriptiones Grace, p. 393, 405.

> 'Επί Θεοκόλου Λέωνος, γραμματέος τοῦ συνεδρίου Στρατοκλέος.

Κοίντος Φάβιος Κοίντου Μάξιμος, ανθύπατος 'Ρωμαίων Δυμαίων τοῖς άρχουσι καὶ συνέδροις καὶ τῇ πόλει χαίρειν. τῶν περὶ

5 Κυλλάνιον συνέδρων έμφανισάντων μοι περί των συντελεσθέντων παρ' υμίν άδικημάτων, λέγω δε ύπερ της έμπρήσεws καὶ φθορῶς τῶν ἀρχ(εί)ων καὶ τῶν δημοσίων γραμμάτων, ῶν ἐγεγόνει ἀρχηγός τῆς ὅλης συγχύσεως Σῶσος Ταυρομένεος ὅ καὶ τοὺς νόμους γράψας ὑπεναντίους τῆ ἀποδοθείσῃ τοῦς

10 ['A]χαιοῖς ὑπὸ Ῥωμαίων πολιτ[είφ], περὶ ν τὰ κατὰ μέμος διή[λ]θομεν ἐν [Π]άτραις μετὰ τοῦ πα[ρ]όν[το]ς συμβουλίου ἐπεὶ οὖν οἱ διαπρα-

- [ξά]μενοι ταῦτα ἐφαίνοντό μοι τῆς χειρίστης κ[ατασ]τάσεως
- [κα] ι ταραχής κα[τάπειραν] ποιούμενο[ι, ή κολαστέα έστ]ίν, οὐ μό-
- ν[ον άτε] τῆς πρ[ό]ς ἀλλήλου[ς] (οὖσ)α συναλλά[γη]ς καὶ χρε[ίας τῆς κατ' ί-

15 δίαν] άλλα και [τ] ής αποδεδομένης κατα [κ]οινών τοις Έλλη[σιν έ]λευθερίας άλλοτρία και τή[ς] ήμετέ[ρα]ς προαιρέσεως· έγ[ώ, πα]ρασχομένων τών κατηγόρων άληθινας αποδείξεις, Σώσον μεν των γεγονότα άρχηγών [τ]ών πραχθέντων και νομογραφήσαντα έπι καταλύσει τής αποδοθείσης πολιτεί-

- 20 [a]s, κρίνας ένοχον είναι, θανάτφ πα[ρ]εχώρ(η)σα, όμοίως δὲ καὶ [Φορ]μίσκον? Ἐχεσθένεος, τῶν δαμιοργῶν τὸν συμπράξαντα [τοῖ]s ἐμπρήσασι τὰ ἀρχεῖα καὶ τὰ δημόσια γράμματα, ἐπεὶ καὶ [αὐτὸς] ὑμολόγησεν Τιμόθεον δὲ Νικία τὸμ μετὰ τοῦ Σώσου [γεγονό]τα νομογράφον, ἐπεὶ ἔλασσον ἐφαίνετο ἦδικηκώς, ἐ-
- 25 [κέλευσα] προάγειν εἰs Ῥώμην, ὑρκίσαs ἐφ' [ψ] τῆ roυμηνία τοῦ ἐν-[εστῶτο]s ἔστα[ι] ἐκεῖ, καὶ ἐμφανίσαs τ[ῷ ἐ]πὶ τῶν ξένων στρατη-[γῷ, ὅπω]s ἂν [μὴ π]ρότερον ἐπά[ν]εισ[ι πρὸ]s οἶκον, ἐὰ[ν μ]ὴ αυ . .

(line 21). With this view he had fired the public archives, that he might destroy the assessment-returns upon which the timocracy imposed by Rome upon Dyme was based (line 22). In line 26, $\delta \, \epsilon \pi i \, \tau \, \hat{\omega} \nu \, \xi \, \epsilon \, \nu \omega \nu \, \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \delta s$ is the 'prætor peregrinus.' The proconsul is proconsul of Macedonia; for no proconsul of Achaia is known before B.C. 28, when Augustus readjusted the provinces. Until then Achaia had been governed by the proconsul of Macedonia. But who is this Q. Fabius Q. F. Maximus? Whoever he was, he had been present with the ten commissioners at Patræ in 146-5 B.C. (line 11). Zumpt assigns his proconsulate to 116 B.C. (Comm. Ep. ii. 167): but one would prefer an earlier date. The explanation of $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \theta \epsilon \rho t a$ (line 16) given by Thirlwall (note in ch. lxvi fin.) is probably correct, viz. that it refers to the permission given to the Greeks to restore their federal leagues (Pausan. vii. 16. 7).

203.

Astypalsea is made a Civitas Feederata : B.C. 105.

Found at Astypalæa, and thence conveyed to Smyrna, where it was destroyed by a fire in 1797; the text from Böckh, C. I. G. 2485.

The preamble of the Senatus Consultum is lost :---

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
••••• как с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с
····· προσαγο[ρευο-
μένου]
5φιλανθρώπως ἀποκριθήναι έδοξεν καὶ
[δ]ε 'Ροτίλιος υπατος χάλκωμα συμμαχίας
[έν] Καπετωλίω καθηλωθήναι φροντίση,
ώς αν αντώ έκ των δημοσίων πραγμάτων
[καὶ ἐκ γνώμης] τῆς ίδίας φαίνηται· έδοξεν ὅτι
10ος υπατος τον ταμίαν κατά το διάταγμα
[τῆς συγκλήτου? κ]ελεύση θυσίαν τε ἐν Καπετωλίφ, ἐὰν θέλη, ποιῆσ[αι
····· κατὰ] τὸν νόμον [τόν τε] 'Ρόβριον καὶ τὸν 'Ακίλιον
[τ]όπω δημοσίωπροκειμένου

[....καὶ κατ' ἐνιαυτὸν 15..... άναγορεύ [ε] σθαι. έδοξεν επι ύπατ [ω] » Ποπλ [ι-... ου 'Ροτιλίου,] Ποπλίου υίοῦ, καὶ [Γνα]ίου Μαλλίου, Γναίου υίοῦ, στρατηγού κατά?] πόλιν Λευκίου ωνίου Λευκίου υίου Ποπλίου υίοῦ [ώs δε οί 'Αστυπαλαιης] άγουσιν i π [Φιλ?] εταίρου τοῦ 20.......... και αύτης [της] συμμαχίας δοθηναι το δήμο [των 'Αστυπαλαιέων? αντισφράγισμα?] κατά δόγμα συγκλήτου. II. Terms of the alliance :---[Τφ δήμφ των 'Ρωμαίων καί] τῷ δήμω των 'Αστυπαλαιέων εἰρήνη καὶ [φιλία καὶ συμμαχία] ἔστω καὶ κατὰ γῆν καὶ κατὰ θάλασσαν, 25.... δ δήμος [των Άστυπαλαιέων ήγείσθω] πολεμίους και ύπεναντίους Γτούς επιβουλεύοντας 'Ρωμαίων τῷ δήμω καὶ] τ $[\hat{\eta}]$ βουλ $\hat{\eta}$. ὡς $[y] \in τῷ$ δήμω τῷ 'Ρωμαίων καὶ τοῖς ὑπὸ 'Ρωμαίους [τα]σσομένοις πόλεμον επιφέρωσι, μήτε τοις π[ολεμίοις και ύπεναντίοις μήτε δπλοις] μήτε χρήμασιν μήτε ναυσίν βοηθείτω δ δήμος και [ή] βουλή [μήτε] δόλ[φ πονηρώ. συκ έάσει] 30 δ δήμος δ 'Ρωμαίων τούς πολεμίους και ύπεναντίους [τής βουλής καί τ]οῦ δήμου τοῦ Αστυπαλαιέων διὰ τῆς ίδίας χώρας καὶ ῆς αν [κρατη ό] δημος και βουλή, δ[ιε]λ[θείν, ως γε τφ δήμφ των] 'Αστυπαλαιέων και τοῖς ὑπ' αὐτοὺς τασσομένοις πόλεμον επιφέρωσιν, μήτε δπλ[οις] μήτε χρήμασι μήτε ναυσὶ βοηθεῖν μήτε δόλφ πονηρῷ· ἐὰν δέ τις ἐπιφέρῃ τῷ δήμφ [τῷ] 35 'Αστυπαλαιέων, δ δημος [δ ?] 'Ρωμαίων τοῦ δήμου τῶν Ῥωμαίων καὶ τοῦ δήμου τῶν Ἀστυπαλαιέων. έαν δέ τι πρός ταύτας τας συνθήκας κοινή βουλή προσθείναι ή 40 αφελείν βούλ ω]νται, ό δήμος και ή βουλή [βουλευέτω? και ε]αν θελήσ[ŋ], έξέστω· & δε &ν προσθώσιν έν ταις συνθήκαις ή [ά] αν αφέ[λ]ωσιν έκ των συνθηκών, έκτος έστω ταῦτα ταῖς συνθήκαις γεγραμμένα. [άναθειναι δε] άνάθημα εμ μεν 'Ρωμαίων έν τῷ Καπετωλίω ναῷ τοῦ

Διός, ἐν δὲ ᾿Αστυπαλαιέων ἐν τῷ ἱερῷ τῆς ᾿Αθηνâς καὶ τοῦ ᾿Ασ ληπιοῦ καὶ πρὸς

τῷ βωμῷ [τοῦ Διὸς ? καὶ] τῆς 'Ρώμης.

The civitates faderata held the highest rank among the peoples subdued by Rome. They made treaties with the conqueror on equal terms, and retained absolute independence, with the important exception of the clause inserted in all such treaties *ut eosdem*, *quos populus Romanus, amicos atque hostes habeant*. Our inscription comprises—§ 1. fragments of a *SCtum*, granting the alliance, and specifying its formalities; § 2. the terms of the alliance itself. Treaties of this kind were always deposited in the Capitol (line 11): cp. Marquardt, Röm. Alt. iv. 347 foll.

204.

Extreme poverty of the Tenians: their sufferings from the Pirates: about B.C. 100.

A large slab from Tenos, now in the Library of Trinity Coll., Cambridge, where I have read it again. Böckh, C. I. G. 2335; Rose, Inscr. Gr., Appendix.

[Έδοξε τ] η βουλη και τώ δήμω, πρυτάνεων γνώμη ' Ἐπε[ιδη Λεύ]κιος Αὐφίδιος Λευκίου υίος Βάσσος δια παντός εύνους ω[ν] διατελεί και κατα κοινόν τη πόλει και καθ ίδίαν ξκάστω τω[ν] πολιτων, πατροπαράδοτον παρειληφώς την πρός τον δημο[ν] 5 ήμων εύνοιαν, και πολλάς και μεγάλας τη πόλει παρέσχηται χρείας, μεγαλοπρεπώς τε και φιλαγάθως πολλών χρημάτων άφεσιν πεποίηται. ὅ τε πατηρ αὐτοῦ, καθ δυ καιρόν ἐπιγενόμενος ὁ κοινὸς πόλεμος και συνεχείς πειρατών ἐπιπρου οὐχ ὡς ἔτυχεν συνηνάγκασαν ὑπὸ τῶν δανείων ἐπιβαρηθη-

10 ναι, μόνος καὶ πρῶτος τῶν συνηλλαχότων, πρὸ πλείονος ἡγησάμενος τὴν τῆς πόλεως σωτηρίαν ἡ τὸ περὶ τὸν ἰδιον βίον λυσιτελές, χρημάτων πλῆθος, δσον προῃρούμεθα, προθυμότατα ἔδωκεν ἐξ ἐτοίμου τόκων πολὺ κουφοτέρων παρὰ τοὺς ὑπάρχοντας τότε, τούτων τε συνπεριφορὰν ἐποιήσατο πάν-

15 τα τόν τῆς ζωῆς χρόνου, διετέλει τε λέγων καὶ πράττων διὰ παντός τὰ βέλτιστα καὶ συνφέροντα τῆ πόλει· ἐφ' οἶς ὁ δῆμος ἡμῶν, ἔργῷ λαβῶν πεῖραν τῶν ὑπ' αὐτοῦ γεγονυιῶν εὐε[ρ]γεσιῶν παραχρῆμα τὰς καθηκούσας ἐψηφίσατο τιμὰς αὐτῷ· διαδεξάμενός τ' αὐτὸς ἐπηγωνίσατο τῆ πρὸς τὴν πόλιν ε[ὖ]-

4

20 νοία και δύο μέν συνγραφάς καταλελειμμένας ύπό του πατρός αύτῷ κατὰ τῆς πόλεως ἐκ τῶν τόκων, την μέν μίαν δραχμών 'Αττικών μυρίων χιλίων, την δ' έτέραν 'Αττικών μυρίων ένακισχιλίων πεντακοσίων, έλοιπογράφησεν χωρίς άργυρίου κομιδης παρακληθείς ύπο του δήμου τοις δε άλλοις δανείοις άνωθεν 25 από των συνγραφών δραχμιαΐον τόκον έξ εύθυτοκίας Έλκυσεν [ε]τών καί πλειόνων καί συνχωρήσας πληθος χρημάτων ίκανώτ[ε]ρου, πάλιν άτον έν τη πρός τον δημον ύπερέθετ' εύεργεσία, το γαρ συναχθεν έκ της επιεικεστάτης ψήφου κεφάλαιον είς α[λ]λον πενταετή συνεγράψατο χρόνον τόκου τετρωβόλου. δι-30 ελθόντος δε και τούτου και πλειόνων άλλων ετών επιγε νο]μένων, καί μη δυνηθέντων ήμων δια τας ύφ' έτέρων γειν[0]μένας επιβαρήσεις αποδούναι τα δφειλόμενα αυτώ, και [δια] ταῦτα συναθροισθέντων διαφόρων πλειόνων και της πόλ[ε]ως έν τοις έσχάτοις ύπαρχούσης κινδύνοις, πάλιν έν τοις [πα]-35 ροῦσι καιροῖς ἡγησάμενος εὐσεβες καὶ μεγαλοπρεπες ὑπ[άρ]χειν έαυτῷ την προσήκουσαν της πατρίδος ήμων ποιήσασ[θαι] φροντίδα, αρχαίας νήσου και ίερας υπαρχούσης, είναι θ' έαυτ $[\hat{\varphi}]$ πλούτου παυτός κρείττονα πόλεως σωτηρίαν και την πα[ρα] πασιν άγαθην εὐφημίαν, πολλα πάλιν χρήματ' ἐπέδω[κε τῷ] 40 δήμω, οὐ μόνον ἀπὸ τῶν ὀφειλομένων αὐτῷ μεγάλ[a ἀφ]ελὼ[ν] κεφάλαια, άλλα και χρόνου είς την απόδοσιν ων επείσθη δούς ένδεκαετή, και τούτον άτοκον, χάριν του κατά τό δυνατόν, δσον έπ' αύτφ, την νήσον και πάντας ήμας έν αύτη συντηρηθήναι, γενόμενος των μεγίστων ήμεί[ν] 45 παραίτιος άγαθων επιδημήσας τε ήμων εν τη πόλει πλείονα χρόνον σώφρονα καί σεμνήν και πάσιν προσηνή την άναστροφήν ποιείται έφ' οις ούχ ήττον δ δήμος [ζ]ηλοί αυτόν καί διά ταίτα θαυμάζει τε καί τιμα κα[ί] είλ[ικρινω]s [y]νησ[ί]αν έχοιτι πρός πάντας φιλοστοργίαν εύχαρισ[τ]εί έν τε 50 τοις άλλοις πάσιν ων δίκαιος και ευσεβή γνώμην έχων και ά[ρ]μοζούση παιδησία χρώμενος, είς π[αρά]τασιν καθ[ίστησιν δ]σον έπ' αύτώ τους έπιβαρούντας, και τοις αδίκως έπι βαρη θ[εί]σι? δικαίαν παρέχεται βοήθειαν, [συνεχώς? τε] έν παντί [καιρφ] πρόθυμου άτου έπιδίδωσιυ έπι τα της πόλεως συμφέρουτα] δ-55 πως οῦν καὶ ὁ ὅῆμος ἡ[μῶν] φαίνηται τὰς ἐπιβαλλούσας [τιμὰς] τοῖς εὐεργέταις ἀποδιδούς, [d]γαθη τύχη, δεδόχθαι τη βουλη και τω δήμω, κ.τ.λ., [kere the surface is hopelessly worn away.]

I restore from the stone $l\lambda\kappa\nu\sigma\epsilon\nu$ (line 25) for $\epsilon l\lambda\kappa\nu\sigma\epsilon\nu$, $\dot{a}\tau \dot{o}\nu$ (lines 27, 54) a known variation for abrow, and in line 51 els $\pi[a\rho a]\tau a\sigma v \kappa a\theta$. . . I hope the reader will pardon the insertion of this specimen (one out of hundreds) of the vanity and verbose servility of later Greece. The date is not long before the expedition of Pompey in 67 B.C. against the Pirates, whose ravages are mentioned in line 8: cp. No. 186. Lucius Aufidius Bassus was some Roman merchant, settled at Tenos. His father had died leaving the city his debtor for several loans (lines 8 foll.), and in particular his son inherited two bonds (ovyypapal) from the city for 11,000 drachmas and 19,500 (about £458, and £812) respectively (lines 20 foll.). These Aufidius did not press, upon his father's death, but allowed them to stand over ($\partial \lambda_{0i}\pi_{0i}\gamma_{0}\phi\eta_{\sigma}\epsilon_{\nu}$). The other (smaller?) loans owed him by the city (lines 24 foll.) he calculated at 12 per cent. from the date when they were advanced, not at compound interest, but if eiburoxlas, i.e. no interest was charged on unpaid interest: 12 per cent. was the usual rate at this time. Moreover (lines 26 foll.) he advanced a further loan; and calculating the total principal owing him at the lowest possible sum, allowed the city five years more to pay it in, with interest at 8 per cent. (τετρωβόλου). At the end of this term (line 30), finding the city still insolvent, he cancels a large part of the debt, and allows a further term of eleven years for it to be paid off in, without charging interest for that time. These favours the Tenians cheaply repay with their wordy compliments. Other creditors had not been so indulgent (line 32). Tenos is a $l\epsilon\rho\dot{a} \nu\eta\sigma\sigma\sigma$ (line 37), as the centre of an ancient worship of Poseidon and Amphitrite (Strabo, p. 487).

205.

Ephesos declares war with Mithradates: B.C. 86.

Brought from Ephceos by Hyde Clarke, Esq., and presented to Oxford University: now in the Ashmolean Museum, where I have re-read it. Published by Le Bas-Waddington, *Voyage Archéologique*, Pt. v., Ionia, 136 a.

§ 1. Decree of the people, declaring war with Mithradates :-

[φυλάσσον]τος την πρός 'Ρωμαίους τους κο[ινους σωτήρας πα-

λαιὰν εὕν]οιαν καὶ ἐν πᾶσιν τοῖς ἐπιτασσομέ[νοις προθύμως συμφρον]ουντος Μιθραδάτης Καππαδοκί as βασιλεύς παραβs às τàs π]pòs 'Pωμαίους συνθήκας και συναγαγώ [ν τàs δυνάμεις $\epsilon \pi$ εχείρη σεν κύριος γενέσθαι της μήθεν εαυτώ προσ ηκούσης χώρα]ς, και προκαταλαβόμενος τας προκειμένας ήμων πό[λεις απάτ]η εκράτησεν και της ήμετερας πόλεως καταπληξάμενος [τφ] τε πλήθει των δυνάμεων και τφ απροσδοκήτω της επιβολής. 10 [δ] δε δήμος ήμων από της αρχής συνφυλάσσων την πρός 'Ρωμαίους εύνοιαν, έσχηκώς καιρόν πρός το βοηθείν τοις κοινοίς πράγμασιν, κέκρικεν αναδείξαι τον πρός Μιθραδάτην πόλεμον ύπερ τε της 'Ρωμαίων ηγεμονίας και της κοινής έλευθερίας, όμοθυμαδόν πάντων των πολιτων έπιδεδωκότων έαυτούς είς τού ς 15 π]ερί τούτων άγωνας διό δεδόχθαι τ $\hat{\phi}$ δήμ ϕ , τοῦ πράγματος [d]νήκοντος είς τε τον πόλεμον και είς την φυλακην και ασφάλειαν κα[] σωτηρίαν τοῦ τε ίεροῦ τῆς ᾿Αρτέμιδος καὶ τῆς πόλεως καὶ τῆς χώ-[ρ]as, τούς στρατηγούς και τόν γραμματέα της βουλής και τούς

προέδρους είσενεγκεῖν ψήφισμα παραχρημα καὶ περὶ φιλανθρώπων 20 καθότι συνφέρειν καὶ περὶ τούτου διέλαβεν δ δημος.

§ 2. Decree calling the whole population to arms against the king :---

⁸ Εδοξεν τῷ δήμφ, γνώμη προέδρων καὶ τοῦ γραμματέως τῆς βουλῆς ᾿Ασκληπιάδου τοῦ ᾿Ασκληπιάδου τοῦ Εἰβουλίδου, εἰσαγγειλαμένων τῶν στρατηγῶν ἐπεί, τῶν μεγίστων κινδύνων ἐπαγομένων τῷ τε ἱερῷ τῆς ᾿Αρτέμιδος καὶ τῆ πόλει καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς πολεί-25 ταις καὶ τοῖς κατοικοῦσιν τήν τε πόλιν καὶ τὴν χώραν, ἀναγκαῖόν ἐστι

- πάντας όμονοήσαντας ύπ[ο]στηναι τον κίνουνον, δεδόχθαι τψ δήμφ, τοῦ πράγματος ἀνήκοντ[ος εἶς] την φυλακην καὶ ἀσφάλειαν καὶ συτηρίαν τοῦ τε ἱεροῦ τῆς ᾿Αρτέμ[ιδος καὶ] τῆς πόλεως καὶ τῆς χώρας, τοὺς μὲν ἐκγεγραμμένους ἢ παρα[γεγραμ]μένους ὑπὸ λογιστῶν ἱερῶν ἢ δη-
- 30 [μ]οσίων ψτινι οῦν τρόπφ πά[λιν εί]ναι ἐντίμους καὶ ἠκυρῶσθαι τὰς κ[a]τ' αὐτῶν ἐκγραφὰς καὶ ἀφειλήμ[aτa], τοὺς δὲ παραγεγραμμένους πρὸς [le]pàς καταδίκας ἢ δημοσίας ἢ ἐπίτειμα ἰερὰ ἢ δημόσια ἢ ἄλλα ὀφειλήματα ψτινι οῦν τρόπφ παρεῖσθαι πάντας καὶ εἶναι ἀκύρους τὰς κατ' αὐτῶν πράξεις. εἰ δέ τινες ἕνεισιν ἐν ταῖς ἱεραῖς μισθώσεσιν ἢ δημοσίαις ὦ-
- 35 ναῖς μέχρι τοῦ νῦν τούτοις ἐστάναι τὰς πράξεις κατὰ τὰς προϋπαρχούσας οἰκονομίας κατὰ τοὺς νόμους. ὅσα δὲ ἰερὰ δεδάνεισται πάντας τοὺς ὀφείλοντας καὶ χειρίζοντας ἀπολελύσθαι ἀπὸ τῶν ὀφειλημάτων, πλην τῶν ὑπὸ τῶν συστ[η]μάτων ἢ τῶν ἀποδεδειγμένων ὑπ' αὐτῶν ἐκδανεισ-[τ]ῶν ἐπὶ ὑποθήκαις δεδανεισμένων, τούτων δὲ παρεῖσθαι τοὺς τόκους ἀπὸ

40 τοῦ είσιόντος ένιαυτοῦ έως αν δ δήμος είς καλλίονα παραγένηται κατάσ[τα]-

45 και ίερους και έξελευθέρους

	s δὲ πεπολιτογραφῆνται μέχρι τῶν νῦν χρόνων, εἶναι πάνταs ἐ[ν]-
τίμους και των	αὐτῶν μετέχειν φιλανθρώπων λελύσθαι δε και είναι ἀκύρο[υς]
τάς τε ίερὰς κα δι' ἀμφ	αὶ δημοσίας δίκας, εἰ μή τινές εἰσιν ὑπὲρ παρορισμῶν χώρας η̈́ ▷[ισ]-
βητήσεως κλη	οονομίας έζευγμέναι είναι δε και τούς ίσοτελείς και παροίκους
καὶ ἱεροὺς καὶ ẻ	ξελευθέρους καὶ ξένους, ὅσοι ἀναλάβωσιν τὰ ὅπλα καὶ πρὸς το[ὺς]
	ράψωνται, πάντας πολίτας έφ' ἴσῃ καὶ ὁμοίᾳ, ὧν καὶ τὰ ὀνόματα
δια-	
-	οί ήγεμόνες τοῖς προέδροις καὶ τῷ γραμματεί τῆς βουλῆς, οί

σαφησάτωσαν οι ήγεμόνες καί έπικληρωσάτωσαν αύτους είς φυλάς και χιλιαστύς. τους δε δημοσίους έλευθέρους τε καί παροίκους τους άναλαβόιτας τα όπλα προελθόντες

50 δε είς τον δήμον και οι δεδανεικότες τα συμβόλαια τά τε ναυτικα και κατα χειρό-
γραφα καὶ κατὰ παραθήκας καὶ ὑποθήκας καὶ ἐπιθήκας καὶ κατὰ ὠνὰς καὶ ὁμολογί-
as καὶ διαγραφὰς καὶ ἐκχρήσεις πάντες ἀσμένως καὶ ἑκουσίως συνκαταθέμε-
[νοι] τῷ δήμῳ, ἀπέλυσαν τοὺς χρεοφιλέτας τῶν ὀφειλημάτων, μενουσῶν τῶν
καί διακατοχών παρά τοις νύν διακατέχουσιν, εί μή τινες ή ένθάδε ή έπε-
55 [ιτα έπι δεδογμέ]νοις δεδανείκασιν ή συνηλλάχασιν τα δε πρός τους τραπεζεί-
[τας δσοι έν τῷ] έτος ένιαυτῷ τεθεματίκασιν η έκχρησεις ειλήφασιν η ένέ-
[χυρα δεδώκασιν, έστά]ναι αντοις τας πράξεις τας προϋπαρχούσας κατα τους
[νόμους· ὅσα δέ ἐστιν ὀφειλήμα]τα ἢ ἐκχρήσεις ἐκ τῶν ὑπεράνω χρόνων, τούτων
····· τοι
60 έποι το]ῦ εἰσιώντος ἐνιαυτοῦ ἐν ἔτεσιν δέ-
[κα κατὰ τὺ ἀ]rάλογον [.] ἐὰν δὲ ἐν τινι ἐνια-
[υτφ τοις κόμοις
os èπ' èreχύροιs σ.

The shameless misgovernment of republican Rome had stirred up a hatred of the Roman name which made the Asiatic Greeks ready to hail Mithradates as a deliverer, and even to comply with his savage order to massacre on a certain day every Roman or Italian, without regard to age or sex, within the cities of Asia. Ephesos was foremost in this bloody revenge (B.C. 88: Appian, Mithr. 21-23, 61). But very soon a reaction set in against Mithradates: his tyrannical behaviour to the Asiatic towns, and especially his brutal treatment of Kos, led the Ephesians in 86 B.C. to refuse entrance to Zenobios, the king's general (Appian, il. 48), except without arms, and privately. That same night they slew him, and Mithradates felt his position so

insecure that he at once granted full autonomy to all the Greek cities. Meanwhile the arms of Rome were prevailing against him in Greece proper, and it was becoming evident that his cause was virtually lost. It must have been at this period, the end of 86 or beginning of 85 B.C., that this decree was passed. The studied expressions of regard for Rome are clearly dictated by fear of Roman revenge. In 84 B.C. Sulla came to Ephesos, and though he put no one to death, yet the protestations of the Ephesians did not blind him to the guilt of the city, which he punished with a heavy fine (Appian, *ib.* 62, 63).

The first decree is introductory to the second. The excuse urged in lines 8-9 is manifestly false. The second decree is περί φιλανθρώπων (lines 19, 42), *i.e.* it promises rewards to those who will volunteer for the war against Mithradates. The rewards are these : citizens who have been struck off the list. either entirely ($\tilde{\epsilon}_{\kappa\gamma\epsilon\gamma\rho\alpha\mu\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\iota}$) or for a time ($\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\gamma\epsilon\gamma\rho\alpha\mu\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\iota$), for non-payment of taxes, fines, etc., due to the state or to the temples, are to be reinstated in their rights (lines 29-34). Farmers of temple-lands however, and of the public tolls and dues, are not to be hereby released from their legal engagements (lines 34-36). All loans made to persons from temple-funds on note of hand are hereby cancelled (lines 36-37); except in the case of loans advanced on mortgage, whether the mortgage is upon the property of the companies (συστημάτων, societatum) so borrowing, or upon the property of sureties approved by the creditor (lines 38-39); in such cases however the interest on the mortgage is to be excused for the present (lines 39-40). These advantages are to be enjoyed by all citizens free born or enrolled up to this date (lines 41, 42). All prosecutions now pending, whether in the name of the temples or the state, are hereby quashed, excepting suits concerning disputed boundaries or disputed inheritances (lines 42-44). Metwks, temple-serfs (iepol), freedmen, and aliens are to receive full citizenship (lines 44-48); public-slaves are to be set free and made metocks (lines 49-50). Thus far the decree has dealt with debtors only to the state or to temples. It is accordingly added that all private creditors (lines 50 foll.) came before the errange and placing themselves in the hands of the people, gave a voluntary release of all debts owing them ; whether loans on ships and cargo (συμβόλαια rav-

τικά), loans on note of hand (χειρόγραφα), loans secured by deposit ($\pi a \rho a \theta \hat{\eta} \kappa a \iota$), or by mortgage ($i \pi o \theta \hat{\eta} \kappa a \iota$), or by penalties in case of default ($i\pi\iota\theta\eta\kappa\alpha\iota$), loans upon a deed of sale with power of recovery (avai), or loans on note of hand of whatever stringency ($\delta\mu$ ολογίαι, $\delta\iota$ αγραφαί, $\epsilon\gamma\chi\rho\eta\sigma\epsilon\iota$ s). At the same time such release (lines 53-55) was not to affect the right of the creditor to retain any property or pledge of the debtors of which he had already taken possession, provided no stipulation to the contrary had been made by the creditor either in the original deed (er- $\theta d\delta \epsilon$), or in an after document ($\xi \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$, lines 54, 55). With respect to the transactions of bankers, whether as lenders or receivers, the decree annuls all transactions before this date. but all transactions after this date are to hold good (lines 55 foll.). I have restored $i\pi[o]\sigma \tau \eta \nu a in line 26$: $\epsilon \pi i \tau \epsilon \mu a$ in line 32 must be a blunder or variant for $\ell \pi i \tau i \mu i a$. This decree is quite a storehouse of Greek financial terms.

206.

Settlement of the Province of Asia by Sulla, after the Mithradatic War: B.C. 80.

From Chios: the text from Böckh, C. I. G. 2222.

356

ται [α] έσχου ότε τῆ 'Ρωμαίων [φι]λία προσή[λ]θου, ΐνα τε ὑπὸ μηθ' ψτινι[οῦ τύπφ ῶσιυ ἀ[ρ]χόντων ἡ ἀνταρχόντων, οἴ τε παρ' αὐτοῖς ὅντες 'Ρω[μαῖο]ι τοῖς Χείων ὑπακούωσιν νόμοις· Αὐτοκράτορος δὲ θεοῦ υἱοῦ Σ[ε]βαστοῦ, τὸ ὄγδο[ον] ὑπάτου, ἐπιστολή[ν] πρὸς [Χ]είους, γράφοντ[ος 20 ὡς, ἐπειδή]ις πε[ρὶ] τὴν πόλιν ἐ[π]ύθ[ετο ? The rest is lost.

This is a decree of the Proconsul of Asia, bearing date probably A.D. 65. For the Proconsul, whose name is lost, succeeded (line 3) L. Antistius Vetus, who was Consul in 55 (Tac. Ann. xiii. 11), and afterwards Proconsul Asize (ib. xvi. 10; cp. xiv. 58, 22). Antistius Vetus fell a victim to Nero's hatred in A.D. 65, shortly after returning from his province: his proconsulship may be assigned to 61, and our decree to 65 A.D. The Proconsul had lately had before him a dispute between the Chian envoys and the claimants of some property (ύπάρχοντα, line I) left by one Staphylos; the claimants produced a letter of L. Vetus in their favour, though the envoys declared it to be contrary to the constitution of Chios. The new Proconsul at first was inclined to follow the ruling of his predecessor (line 4 foll.). But a careful hearing of both sides has convinced him that L. Vetus had been mistaken; as he finds (1) a scaled and official copy of the SCtum of 80 B.C., which made Chios a libera civitas under Sulla's settlement of Asia (line 11 foll.; cp. Appian, Mithrad. 61; Pliny, N. H. v. 38); and (2) a letter of Augustus to the same effect, dated in his 8th Consulate, B.C. 26. Eidinas, line 15, is specialiter; τύπφ, line 17=formula, It was not usual for a civitas sine fielere actio, procedure. immunis et libera (as was Chios) to receive the right of deciding civil suits affecting Roman residents in its native courts : this was a privilege usually reserved for the civitates funderata (see Marquardt, Röm. Alt. iv. p. 347 foll.).

INDEX.

Abdera, the Teians retire to, 14. in the Quota-lists, 28, 49, 80. in the new Alliance, 140. Abusimbel, inscription from, No. 3. Accounts, public, Nos. 33, 34, 38, 41, 46, 53, 82. Achæan League, 276, 288. and Athens, 313. readmits Orchomenos, 321. officers of, 322. policy of Philopæmen, Lykortas, Kallikrates, 330. broken up by Rome, etc., 345-347. Achaans allied with Athens, 168. Achaia, proconsul of, when appointed, 347. áðera, an indemnity-bill, 52, 95, 96. Adeimantos named, 103. άδελφή, a title of honour, 281, 310. Adule. inscription from, No. 173. Eakides, father of Pyrrhos, 195. Ægina, shared in Persian War, 11. occupied by Athens, 18, 114. in the Quota-lists, 28, 43, 50. freed by Lysander, 114. how regarded by Athens, 161. in the Achæan League, 323. under Pergamene kings, 323-326. under Rome, 323. inscriptions from, Nos. 60, 189. Ægion. meeting place of the Achæan League, 322. Æmilianus, his treatment of the Greeks, 345. Ænos, in Thrace, in the Quota-lists, 42. assessed !, 78. in the new Alliance, 140. Æolic, see Dialects. Ætolians, piracy of, 44, 309. League of, 276. sole managers of Delphian temple, δγαλμα for dráθημα, 6. Agathokles' career, 242. Agathon, brother of Asander, 241. Agesilaos, in Egypt, 170. Agis II of Sparts, named, 115. Agis III, defeated by Antipater, 218. Agonippos, tyrant of Eresos, 209-215. άγορανύμος, 216.

άγορὰ πλήθουσα, ΙΟΘ. Agoratos, one of Phrynichos' assassins, 105. άγραφοι πόλεις, 20. appelertes = alpelertes, 226. Agyrrhios, the orator, 121. grandson of same name, 271. alouminns, 14, 323. Akanthos in the Quota-lista, 41, 49, 80. assessed, 78. its selfish policy, 130. Akarnanians, allied with Athens, 140, 149. their loyalty, 150, 202. άκρωτήρια, 81. Ακταΐαι πόλεις, 77. άλ for άλλα, 7. Alexander II, son of Amyntas III named 134. Alexander of Pherse, his encruachment. in Thessaly, 172. defeated by the Thebans, 163. Alexander, king of Molossi, 195. Alexander the Great, his mother, 141. visits Korinth, 207. at Granikos and Gordion, 234. in Ionia. 207, 209 !, 278. at Priene and Ephesos, 208. at Arbela, 218. besieges Tyre, 157, 233, 234. Grecian movements against, 218. sentiment of Athens towards, 220. at Babylon, 215, 227, 232. recalls all exiles, 224, 227. death. 229, 235. his corpse conveyed to Egypt, 238. literary records of his campaigns, 221, cp. 232. Alexander IV, 235, 238. Alexander, son of Polysperchon, 239. Alketas, king of the Molossi, allied with Athens, 140, cp. 195. grandson of the same name, 195. Alkibiades, his policy, 78. takes Selymbria, 110. named, 96, 111. Alkimachos, brother of Lysimachos, 204.

- and deoi, 52, 53, 66, 71, 89.
- Alphabet, old Attic, exemplified, 32, 93.

Í

Ambrakians, in the Persian War, 12. Amorgos, inscription from, No. 186. in the Quota-lists, 50. in the new Alliance, 141. suffers from pirates, 320. Amphiktyons, Delian, 55, 143. Delphian, 162. of Ætolia only, 309. Amphipolis, inscription from, No. 98. Timotheos fails at, 165. taken by Philip, 173, 182. Amyntas III, his treaty with the Chalkidians, 129. his chequered reign, 130. restored, 130, 134, 165. avaypapal, early temple-registers, 2. draγρaφήs, 113. Anaktorians, fought at Platza, 12. draθήματα, early inscribed, 1. in the Capitol, 333, 337, 348. examples of, Nos. 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 15, 17, 18, 20, 25, 27, 32, 36, 45, 49, 96, 117, 124, 129, 162, 163, 168, 175, 177, 180, 185, 192, 197, 198, 199. Andokides, 59, 102. Andros, in the Quota-lists, 42, 49. how assessed, 73. in the new Alliance, 140. garrison at, 180. Androtion named, 189. aréber for arébevar, 9. Antalkidas, peace of, 133, 138, 142, 151, 153. Antigonos (Monophthalmos), 212. opposed by Ptolemy, Seleukos, Kassander, 241, 282, 285. assumes the title of king, 247. his letters to Teos, 249 foll. slain at Ipsos, 244, 254. Antigonos Gonatas, 272, 273, 276. defeats the Gauls, 282. in conflict with Antiochos I, 281. and with Ptolemy Philadelphos in the Chremonidean war, 186. Antigonos Doson, 322, 343. Antigonis, new tribe at Athens, 262, 285. Antikles, 36. Antiochos Soter, and Ionian Erythrae, 277. his difficulties, 278, 298. makes peace with Nikomedes, Gonatas, Philadelphos, 281. defeats the Gauls, 281. Antiochos II (Theos), grants freedom to Ionia, 298. arbitrates between Samos and Priene, 261. Antiochos III (the Great), and Ionia, 298.

his war with Rome impending, 327.

Antiochos Hierax, his war with his brother Seleukos II, 299, 308. defeated with the Gauls by Attalos I, 308. Antipater, Philip's general, 204. crushes the rising under Agis, 218. his war with Perdikkas, 235. his death, 239. Antissa, joins the new Athenian Alliance, 141. L. Antistius Vetus, proconsul of Asia, 355. M. Antonius, tampered with Cæsar's papers, 343. AO- for AT-, 179, 232. Apemantos, the sons of, 116. άφεστάλκαμεν, 299. Apodektæ, the Athenian, 132, 192. ánoinoi, 38, cp. 65. Apollodoros, tyrant at Eresos, 214. Apollonia, Lachares of, 184. selfish policy of, 130. Apollonios of Bosporus, 189, 192. Aratos, general of Achean League, 313. Archelaos, half-brother of Philip, 165. Arethusa, in Eubora, joins the new Athenian Alliance, 140. Areus, king of Sparta, 287. Argos, defeats Korinth, 9. allied with Athens, Mantineis, and Elis, 93. inscription from, No. 136. Ariobarzanes, his intrigues, 152. Aristides, his assessment of tribute, 30, 40. Aristodemos, the Milesian, 247. tyrant of Megalopolis, 290. Aristotle, text of Politics viii (v), 3, 15. corrected, 133. and Hermias, 176. Arkadia, early condition of, 8, 17. allied with Athens, 168, 286. relations with Crimes, 188. relations with Sparta, 288. towns of the Arkadian League, 287, 289, 290. constitution of the League, 291. Arrhabseos, Macedonian general, 235, 238. Apploaios, or Epploaios, father of Amyntas III, 129. Arsinge, concubine of Philip, wife of Lagos, mother of Ptolemy Soter, 297. Arsinoe, daughter of Lysimachos, first wife of Ptolemy Philadelphos, 297. Arsince, sister and wife of Ptolemy Philadelphos, 281, 297, 310. Artaxerxes II (Mnemon), revolt in his reign, 170.

named, 177. Artaxerxes III (Ochos), named, 178.

Artemision, battle of, 11. Arybbas, Molossian prince, 141. expelled by Philip, 193, 195. Asander, nephew of Asander of Karia, 240. doniba, The if Apyous rinar, 233. Αστραιούσιοι (unknown), join in the new Athenian Alliance, 141. Astykrates of Delphi, at Athens, 162. Astypalza, inscription from, No. 203. in the Athenian confederacy, 48, 75. a civitas fæderata, 348. Atarneus, and its ruler Hermias, 175. Athena Nikephoros, at Pergamon, 326. Athena, Phidias' statue of, 46, 47 her treasures, how far national property, 52, 66, 89, 94. Αθηναία Υγίεια, 50. Athense Diades (Euboea), in the Quotalists, 43, 50. how assessed, 73. in the new Alliance, 140. Athenian Confederacy, how grouped, 29, 47, 71; see Quota-liste, Tributaries. Athenians, their name on the Delphian monument, 11. neglect their interests in the .Egean, 193. resist Philip in the Thrakian Chersonnese, 196. declare war with Philip, 199. send Kieruchs to Samos, 161, 227. in dispute with Bosotian League, 266. many fighting at Granikos, 234. many slain at Ipsos, 27 in the war against Philip V, 285 (cp. 288), 317, 318. Athenodoros the condottiere, 174. Athens fortified, 478 B.C., 13. 393 B.C., 207. liberated by Demetrics, 243-247. held by Macedonian garrisons, 239, 265, 268 foll., 273, 287 foll., 312. in alliance with Rhegion, 56. with Leontini, 57. with Argos Mantineia and Elis, 93. with Bosotia, 122. with Eretria, 123. with Opuntian Lokrians, 124. with Amyntas III, 134. with Klazomenæ, 133. with Byzantion, 135. with Chalkis, 136, cp. 33. with the new Confederation, 139 full. with Chios, 137. with Korkyra, Akarnania, and Kephallenia, 148. with Leukadia, 155. with Dionysios I, 158. with Sparta, 159. with Keos, 165.

Athens in alliance with Arkadia, Achseans, Elis, and Phlius, 168. with Thessalians, 171. with Neopolis in Thrace, 182. with the towns of Keos, 185. with Thrakian, Pæonian, and Illyrian kings, 187. with Mytilene, 192. in the Lamian war, 229. with Sparta, Ptolemy Philadelphos, Acharans, Arkadians, Kretans, in the Chremonidean war, 286. relations with Tarentum. 277. Athens, inscriptions from, Nos. 9, 13, 14, 19, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 51, 52, 53, 109, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 127, 128, 133, 134, 137, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 145, 146, 147, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 163, 167, 169, 170, 181, 183, 184, 196. Attalos I, defeats Antiochos Hierax and the Gauls, 308, 311. his dedications at Athens, 312. helps Rome against Philip V, 317. Attalos II (Philadelphos), 324, 325. Attalos III, makes Rome his heir, 323. named, 325. Audoleon, king of Pæonia, and Athens, 266-269. Autokles, general, 95. αύτοκράτωρ, as epithet of βουλή, 52. Axiochos, named, 103, 104, 109. áfores, of Solon, 112. βαρνάμενον, 3. Basileia, for Basilea, 267. βαθύημι, Æolic for βοηθέω, 209, 211, 235, 238. Batinetos, disputed region near Priene, 259. βηματιστής, 221. Berenike, wife of Ptolemy Soter, 296. Berenike, wife of Ptolemy Euergetes, 310. Besika Bay, 199. Bias, of Priene, 260. βιβλίον, for ψήφισμα, 122. Bithys, courtier of Lysimaches, 265. Black Sea, corn-supplies from, 133, 189, 191, 196, 270. Buotia, reduced by Athens, 32, 33. allied with Athens, 122 politics of, 123, 241, 336. in dispute with Athens, 266.

Eleians, early power of, 8. treaty of, with Athens, Argos, and Mantineia, 93. with Athens, Arkadia, Achaia, and Phlius, 168. decree of, No. 200 A. in the Chremonidean War. 287. Έλλησποντοφύλακες, 63, 65. έμ πόλει, for έν απροπόλει, 37 et sapins. erayi(w and fiw, 11. eréxepa, 145. ivertipia, for eloithpia, 119. έν τῷ τεταγμίνο elrai, 65, 172, 192. EO for ET, 173, 179, 231. Epaminondas in Peloponnese, 152. his cruise, 168. at Mantineia, 169. έπέτεια, what, 93. Ephesian temple of Artemis, 5, 208, 352. Ephesos, in the Quota-lists, 41, 49. assessed, 74. Samian democrats befriended there, 121. loyal to Antigonos and Demetrics, 258. an Egyptian dependency, 306. in the Mithradatic War, 351-354. inscriptions from, Nos. 4, 150, 151, 205. έφέστιοι = μέτοικοι (?), 62. Ephetæ, their number, 113. Epiopreiv, 303. 304. Ephors, list of, 115. Epidauros, in the Persian War, 11. evacuated by the Athenians, 94. erirapria, 103, 104. Epikuros at Samos. 161. eπιμελόσθων, 34, 35. έπιμήνιος, eponymos at Novum Ilium, \$79. eponymos at Kios, 174. magistrate at Nesos, 237. inicopá, 40, 47. exionon, 25, 65. émorárai, 47. Epitapha, Nos. 1, 2, 11, 13, 14, 19, 22, 42, 68, 69; also p. 150. enúeroer, 6. EROLADI. 6:. enir: 07. 102. Erasinides, named, 105. Erasures made for political motives, 108, 285, 288. Eresos, inscription from, No. 125. joins the new Alliance, 141. revolutions at, 208-215. Eretria, in the Persian War, 12. in the Quota-lista, 43. 50. how assessed, 73. allied with Athens, in the Korinthian War, 123. in the new Alliance, 140. rejoins it, 181.

épora, for ésprip, 233. Esphéaios, or 'Aspédaios, father of Amyntas III, 129. Erythræ in Ionia, reduced by Athena, 24. in the Quota-lists, 41, 49, 80. amened, 74. independent of Persia, 176. independent under Antiochos I, 278. inscriptions from, Nos. 70, 100, 102, 164. Essenes, priests of Artemis at Ephesos, 258. Etruscans defeated by Hiero, 14. Euboea, politics of, 33, 123, 136, 181, 341, 348. Euction, Athenian general, 98. another of same name, 230. Euklid's archonship mentioued, 122. Eumenes II, 323, 325. ally of Rome, 328. Euphiletos, named, 103. Eurysilaos, tyrant of Eresos, 214. evervos, 14. Euthydemos, Athenian general, 94. Evagoras, king of Kypros, 127, 141, 233. ¿ξαιθραπείειν, 177 éfaores, a kind of fringe, 161. éferaorýs, a tribal officer at Athens, 264. if einvronias, explained, 351. Exiles recalled, see Alexander, Samos. Expenditure, public, see Accounts. Q. Fabius Maximus, Q. f., proconsul of Macedonia, 347. Financial terms, a number of Greek, 354-355-Fisheries, revenues from, 56, 228. Flamininus, his dealings with the Greek towns, 327. takes Gythion, 327. his consular power prolonged, 328. assisted by Eumenes II, 328. Fuderata ciritates, 349. 356. Four years' war between Athenians and Demetrios Poliorketes, 263, 265. Funeral of Athenians slain in battle, 18, 59, 124, 125. Gaias, father of Masinissa, 319. Galatians, their origin, 275. Galatian war, 261. Gaulish war-tax, 278. Gauls, in Italy, 274 repulsed from Delphi, 274. pass into Asia, 275, 281. serve as mercenaries, 278. defeated by Antiochos Soter, 281. by Antigonos Gonatas, 282. by Attalos I, 308, 311.

362

Gauls defeated by Eumenes II, 309, 312. Gelo, 17. YEros, 232. Gods appointed to offices, eponymous or otherwise, 340. Gorgias the Sophist, 57. Gorgos and Minnion of Iasos, 227, 231. Gortyna, allied with Hierapytna and Priansion, 291. Grabos, King of Illyria, 187. γράμματα = πινάκια, 203. Granikos, battle of, 208, 234. γραφή παρανόμων, 107. Gylon, grandfather of Demosthenes, 191. Gythion, inscription from, No. 191. captured by Flamininus, 327. áðos = placitum, 21, 23. Hagnon, Perdikkas' admiral, 238, 282. alpéler for ppélngar, 314, 315. Haliartos destroyed by Romans, 335. Halieis, Athonians fight at, 18. Halikarnassos, inscriptions from, 2. No. 31. revolution at, 22. in the Quota-lists, 28, 49. assessed, 75. See Karia. αρέσται = έλέσθαι, I 20. άτύν, for αύτύν, 351. Hekatomnos, father of Maussolos, 177, 179. Hekatompedos of the Parthenon, its contents, 88, 90. έκατοστύς, 232. en [6] for \$6. 339. Έλληνοταμίαι, 26, 27, 30, 43, 48, 53, 54, 67-70, 80, 94-98, 106, 112. Έλλησπύντιος φύρυς, 29, 41, 50, 71, 76, 77, 80. Helots, revolt of, 16. έλπίδα, 60. ήμεροδρύμας, 220. Hermans, their early treaty with Eleians, 7. in the Arkadian league, 290. in the Achæan league, 322. Hermon at Samos, its treasures, 160. named, 232. Hermos, tyrant of Eresos, 213. Herakles, battle of, 277. Herakles Latini, inscription from, No. 193. made a libera ciritas, 329. Herakles, progenitor of the Ptolemies, 297. Herme, mutilated, 102. Hermias of Atarneus, 176. allied with Erythræ, 175. Hermione, in the Persian war, 12. Hermon, tyrant of Eresos, 213.

Herodotos, exiled from Halikarnassos, 22. document cited by him. 32. Herostratos, burnt the Artemision, 5. Hestizes, occupied with kleruchs, 35. in the new Alliance, 140. Hierapytna, inscription from, No. 172. allied with Priansion, 291. Hiero I, his victory at Kyme, 14. Hiero II, his character, 286. Hierokles, the prophet, 36. Hierokles, father of Hiero II, 285. Hikesios, Pergamene governor of Ægina, 323. Hippias, son of Pisistratos, 9. Hippokrates, general, 67. Histizos, of Miletos (?), 5. డి for ర**రిశా,** 120. Honorary decrees, their value, 285 L. Hortensius, commands in third Macedonian war, 338. A. Hostilius, consul, 334. υπατοs = consul, 327, 334, 347, 348, 355, 356. inextileaday, to withdraw property in time of peril, 292 : see entitleofai. υπηρεσίαι, ships' crews, 192. 'Υποκναμίδιος = Έπικναμίδιος, 117. Iasos, inscriptions from, Nos. 130, 132, 174, 182. in the Quota-lists, 49. its situation, 228. its liberties under the Seleukidæ, 298. relations with Rhodes, 313 foll. ίδιῶται in the βουλή. 47, 79. Idrieus, brother and successor of Maussolos, 193. Ikos, in the Quota-lists, 41. 49, 80. in the new Alliance, 140. Imprecations, 15, 237 : see Oaths, Treuties. Interest on loans, 56, 66, 144-147, 319, 349-351, 352-355. Ionic : see Dialecte. Ιωνικώς φύρυς, 29, 47, 48, 71, 80. Iphikrates, 132, 133. Iphitos, his quoit, 1. Ipsos, battle of, 372, 273. Iulia, in Keos, in the new Alliance, 141, 142, 165-8, 186. Kalinoprios for Calpurnius, 342. Kallikrates, betrays the Achaan league, 330. Kallimedon the 'Crab,' 271. Kalymna, disorders at, 222. Kamarina, newly founded, 17 Kamasarye, wife of Prusias II. 339. Kammes, tyrant of Mytilene, 193.

Kaphyse, in the Chremonidean War. 287 : cp. 290. Karia, under Lygdamis, 22. in the Athenian Confederacy, 20, 42, 71; (see lines). after Peace of Antalkidas, 142. under Maussolos, 177, 179. under Asander, 240. an Egyptian dependency, 306. handed over to Rhodes, 329. Kapixols popos, 29, 42, 71. Karthæa in Keos, inscription from, No. 178. joins the new Alliance, 141, 142. temple of Apollo there, 166. its commercial treaty with Athens, 185. Karystos in Eubœa, in the Quota-lists, 42. how assessed, 73. joins the new Alliance, 140. re-joins it, 181, 247-8. Kassander, his struggle with Polysperchon, 239. against Antigonos and Demetrios Poliorketes, 241, 282, 285. invades Attika, 242, 247-8. after Ipsos, 261. besieges Oreos, 282. Kataypértor for Kalaipoúrtar, 226. καταπάλται, 318. καθέχει, 13. Kekryphaleia, Athenian victory at, 20. Keos, in the Persian War, 12. in the Quota-lists, 42, 49. how assessed, 73. its four towns, 142, 186, 309. its relations with Athens, 167. its traile, 185, 309. its relations with Ætolian League, 300. Kephallenians, allied with Athens, 148. Kephalos, the orator, named, 131, 138. Kephisodoros, named, 104. Kephisodotos, the orator, named, 157. Kephisophon, named, 121. Kertch (Pantikapeon), inscription from, No. 110. Ketriporis, King of Thrace, 187. Kinesias, the poet, named, 126. Kios, inscription from, No. 99. in the Quota lists, 28, 80. assessed, 76. RIGWY for XITWY, 161. Klazomense, in the Quota-lists, 28, 41. assessed, 75. affected by the peace of Antalkidas, 133 relieved by Archestratos, 257. Kleitos, Macedonian admiral, 235. Kleomedes, general against Melos, 96.

Kleon, Pergamene governor of Ægina, 324. Kleonmans, at the battle of Tanagra, 23. κλήροι, lots, 1. allotments, 307, 308. «ληρουχία, Athenian, 35, 38, 65, 114, 161, 196, 227. the system unpopular in Greece, 139, 141, 161. Kleruchs, exempted from popos, 36. κλητήρες δημόσιοι, 72. Knidos, Konon's victory at, 126, 127. rourodinor, in Krete, 294. Kentakpérai, 32, 72. Kolophonians, in the Quota-lists, 27, 48. ass. sood, 74. incorporated with Ephesos, 254. Konon, named, 125, 127. statue of, 141 a namesake of, 175. Koressos in Keos joins the new Alliance. 141, 142, 185. Korinth, its early struggles with Kor**кута**, 3. early struggles with Megara, 3. early struggles with Argos, 9. shared in the Persian War, 11. battle of, 124, 125. Korinthian War, 122-125, 206-207. Korkyra, inscription from, No. 2. early power of, 4 Athenian expedition to, 58. in the new Alliance, 140, 148. epitaph on Korkyrsean envoys at Athens, 150. Koroneia, battle of, 32. battle of, in Korinthian War, 124. how treated by Rome, 335, 338. Kos, in the Quota-lists, 42, 49. as eased, 74. seized by Idrieus, 193. its laws, 255. an Egyptian dependency, 306. how treated by Mithradates. 353. Kosmas Indopleustes, his travels, 296. Rúguos in Krete, 291 foll. Kotys, Thrakian king, named, 218. Krannon, battle of, 249. Krateros, Macedonian general, 249. Krenides, (afterwards Philippi,) occupied by Philip, 188. Krete, Athenian captives in, 234. towns of, allied with Athens in Chremonidean war, 288. a treaty from, 201. piracy in, 295. reduced by Metellus, 295. Krosos, his gifts to the Ephesian temple, 5.

κύκλιοι χόροι, 223.

364

rúpßeus of Solon, 113. Kydias, Athenian warrior at Delphi, 274. Kydon, Athenian partisan at Byzantion, 135. Kydonia in Krete, 234, 295. Kyllene, senate of, 346. Kyme, Hiero's victory off, 14. Kypros, Athenians engaged in, 18. relations with Athens, 127. history of, 127, 157, 233. its value to Egypt, 233. Kyretiæ, inscription from, No. 190. how treated by the Ætolians and Romans, 326-327. Kythuos, shared in the Persian war, 12. how assessed, 73. Kyzikos, in the Quota-lists, 41, 48, 80. assessed (?), 76. Lachares, tyrant at Athens, 264, 273, 285. Lagos, father of Ptolemy, marries Arsinoe, 297. Lakedæmon, see Sparta. Lamachos, the general, named, 96. Lamia arbitrates as an exertyros wolls, 266. Lamian war, 229, 230, 239, 247, 285. Laodike, murders her husband Antiochos Theos, and his second wife Berenike, 299. Laws of Athens, how revised, 79, 112. Leaves employed for writing, I. Lebedos, assessed by Athens for tribute, 74. its history, 254. incorporated with Ephesos, 254. Lemnos, part of the realm of Antigonos Monophthalmos, 241. Lenza, when celebrated, 126. Leonnorios, leader of the Gauls, 275. Leontini, allied with Athons. 57. Lepreon, shares in the Persian war, 12. Leptines, brother of Dionysios I, 126. Lesbos, its politics 193, 208-215. Aiσσβor, 154. Leukadia, in the Persian war, 12. allied with Athens, 155. Leukon, ruler of Pantikaparon, 188. Leuktra, battle of, 155. Libera civitates, 3 29, 336, 356. Lilybeon, 31. Livy, his text corrected, 319, 335-336. Loans from temples, 52, 55 foll., 66 foll., 144-147, 319, 352, 354. other loans, 349-351. λογισταί, at Athens, 30, 52, 67, 161. Lokrian Dialect exemplified, No. 63.

Lokrians, Hypoknemidian, colonize Naupaktos, 118. Opuntian, in Alliance with Athens, 124. C. Lucretius Gallus, commands in the war against Perseus, 332. his character, 337 Lutarios, leader of the Ganls, 275. Aúrpa "Erropos, tragedy by Dionysios I, 151. Lygdamis, of Halikarnassos. 21. Lygdamis, tyrant of Naxos, 260. Lykortas, his policy, 330. Lykurgos, Spartan lawgiver, 2. Lykurgos, the orator, 89. his buildings, 219, 231. his administration, 220. decree in his honour, 245. Lyppeios, king of Pæonia, 187. Lysander, restores the Æginetans, 114. in Thases, 117. captures Samos, 121. takes Byzantion, 136. Lysimachos, assumes the title of king, 247. his struggle with Demetrics Poliorketes, 257. arbitrates between Samos and Priene, 259, foll. builds Novum Ilium, 281. Máapros for Marcus, 335. Macedon, weak under Amytas III, 130. its royal house claimed divine parentage, 297. Macedonia, proconsul of, 347. Q. Mænius, T. f., prætor urbanus, 331 fall Magnesia ad Sipylum, submits to Ptolemy Euergetes, 306. absorbed in Smyrna, 301. Antiochos the Great defeated there, Cn. Mallius, Cn. f., Consul. 348. Μαλοφύροι = Δημήτηρ, 31. Cn. Manlius, his settlement of Asia, 261. his letter to Herakles Latmi, 329. parters, their official recognition at Athens, 19. 36. Mantineia, allied with Athens, Argos, and Elis, 93. battle of, its exact date, 169. in the Chremonidean war, 287 Maronite, in the Quota-lists, 28, 41, 49, 80. joins the new Alliance, 140. Marque, letters of, = σῦλα διδύναι, 44. Masinissa, exact spelling of his name, 319. his father, 319.

Maussolos, satrap of Karia, 177-178. his designs upon Erythræ, 179. his share in the Social war, 179. Megara, inscriptions from, Nos. 1, 11, 144, 188. its early struggles with Korinth, 3, 9. shared in the Persian war, 11. Athenians and, 18, 67. liberated by Demetrics Poliorketes, 244 Melos, shared in the Persian war, 12. subject to Sparta, 61. how assessed by Athenians, 73. expedition against, 96. Memnon, Macedonian general, revolts, 218. Memnon, Persian Admiral, at Eresos, 214. at Chios, 217. Memphis, inscription found near, No. <u>9</u>6. Menelaos, half brother of Philip, 164. assists Timotheos, 165. Menon, Athenian general, 181. Mercenaries, Greek, in Egypt, 4, 170 Athenian and others in the Persian service, 227, 272. Gaulish, 275, 278, 308. Kretan, 295. Messenians, origin of Messenian wars, 343 subject to Sparta, 8. they revolt, 17. at Sphakteria, 81. expelled from Naupaktos, 118. dispute with Sparta about ager Dentheliates, 341-344. μετά τὰ βασιλικά, explained, 249. Methone, protected by Athens against Perdikkas, 62. its fortunes, 64. destroyed by Philip, 185. Methymna, joins the new Alliance, 140. Miletos, inscriptions from, Nos. 5, 6, 175, 197. in the Quota-lists, 41, 49. temple and oracle of, 298, 299, 339. arbitrates between the Messenians and Spartans, 342. µixros imported to Athens from Keos, 185. Minnion and Gorgos of Iasos, 227, 231. μισθώσεις, rents, 145. Mithradates, wars with Rome, 351-354. prhpores at Halikarnassos, 21-23 Money, possession of, illegal at Sparta, 62. L. Mummius, his dedications at Olympia and Thebes, 340.

L. Mummius, determines the dispute between Sparta and Messenia, 343. named, 342-343. Munich, inscription at, No. 60. Munychia garrisoned, 239, 288. minor of the Arkadian League, 201. Muouxfes, a Lokrian gens, 120. Museion garrisoned, 265, 273. garrison expelled, 266-271, 288. Mykale, battle of, 11, 14. Mykense, shared in Persian war, 12. Mykonoe, in the Quota-lists, 42, 49. assessed, 73. in the new Alliance, 140. Mylasa, inscription from, No. 101. relations with Maussolos, 177-178. Mytilene, inscription from, No. 131. in the new Alliance, 135, 138, 140, 153. under a tyrant, 193. allied with Athens again, 192. Nabis, the tyrant, 328. reduced by Flamininus, 327. his death, 330. Names, significance of personal, 5, 32, 175, 202. Nauloxov, a port in Ionia, 207 Naupaktos, inscription from, No. 63. colonized by Opuntian and Epiknemidian Lokrians, 117. in the Ætolian League, 309, 338. its relations with Kees, 309. Naxos, shared in the Persian War, 12 in the Quota-lists, 42, 49. how assessed, 73. battle of, 154. Nearchos, a tyrant of Orchomenos, 322. Neon, general of Demetrics Poliorketes, 244. Neupolis in Thrace, in the Quota-lists, 28, 41, 49 loval to Athens, 108. in the new Alliance, 141. threatened by Philip, 182. Neoptolemos, king of the Molossi, allied with Athens, 140, 141, 195. Νησιατικύς φύρος, 29, 42, 49, 71. Nesos, near Lesbos, tributary to Athens, 77: inscription from, No. 138. Nikanor, proclaims Alexander's edict of recall, 227. holds Athens for Kassander, 239. Nikias, peace of, 66. named, 69, 95, 96. Nikokles, prince of Kypros, 157, 233. Nikokreon, grandson (!) of preceding, 233.

Nikomedes, king of Bithynia, invites Parion, Phanokritos, a citizen of, honoured at Athens, 131. the Gauls into Asia, 275, 281. in conflict with Antiochos I, 281. Paris, inscriptions at Notion, in the Quota-lists, 27. Bibliothèque nationale, No. 1. Louvre, Nos. 19, 75. shelters the Samian democrats, 121. Novum Ilium (Hissarlik), its preten-Paros, in the Quota-lists, 42. how assessed, 73. sions and history, 280-281. joins the new Alliance, 140. Oaths and imprecations, 15, 71, 177, Parthenon, built, 46, 51. 211; see Treatics. its compartments, 51, 52, 88. Canthia, inscription from, No. 31, Parthenon proper, its contents, 82 foll., allied with Chaleion, 43. 88. deigne for olyeur. 226. Parthenos, Athena, Pheidias' statue of, 46, 47, 89. Eniads, destroyed by the Ætolians, the Virgin-goddess of Neopolis, 109, 227. Œnoe, engagement at, 207. 110. Conias, named, 103. Πασικράτεια = Persephone, 31. Patronymics, peculiar Æolian, 214. δγκαρυσσέτω for ανακηρυσσέτω, 238. Olympia, inscriptions from, 1; Nos. 8, Pausanias, victor at Platma, 12. Pausanias, son of Pleistoanax, King of 10, 15, 17, 18, 32, 49, 129, 166, 194, 198, 200, 201. Sparts, named, 115. Olympias, her parentage, 141. πεντηκοστή, harbour-duty of 2 per cent., murders Philip Arrhidæos, 238. 146, 186. Olynthian Confederation, 130, 134, 183. Πεντετηρίς, Panathenaic, 89. Olynthos, inscription from, No. 74. in the Quota-lists, 28, 41, 49. **не**торкіа, 43. Peparethos, in the Quota lists, 27 (?), 41, 49, 80. its relations with Philip, 165, 183. Ophellas of Kyrene, 242. assessed, 78. Opisthodomos of the Parthenou, its conin the new Alliance, 140. wiwhos in the Panathenaic procession, tents, 51, 52, 68, 88. Orchomenos, Arkadian, shared in the Persian War, 11. 273. Perzea, Samian, 260. Rhodian, 315; cp. 329. Perdikkas, King of Thrace, in collision in the Chremonidean War, 287. in the Arkadian League, 290. in the Achean League, 321. with Athens, 62-63. Orchomenos, Berotian, destroyed, 163. Perdikkas, of Macedon, his war with Oropos, a frontier-town, 266. Antipater, 235, 238. possessed by the Thebans, 163. Pergamene Kings, conquer the Gauls, Orsippos, the Megarian hero, 3. 275, 308, 311. purchase Ægina, 323-326. Orthobulos, named, 135. Oxford, inscriptions at, Nos. 87, 152, their relations with Rome, 323, 328. their art-treasures, 309-312. 172, 176, 203. Oxythemis, courtier of Demetrics Poli-Pergamon, inscriptions from, Nos. 177, orketes, 243. 180, 192. Perikles, his policy, 33, 39, 40. Paronios the sculptor, 81. builds the Propylan, 50. and the Parthenon, 46, 51. Pærisades, king of Bosporos, 189. Palæmagnesia, a fort near Smyrna, his finance, 51, 52, 89. 307. Perinthos, in the Quota-lists, 41, 50, Pale (1) in Kephallenia, joins the new 81. Alliance, 140. joins the new Alliance, 140. Palermo Museum, inscription at, No. Перфоваріа, a Lokrian yene, 120 107. Persons, the war with, 335. Persian War, list of Greek states that παματοφαγείσται, 120. took part in, 11-13. Pansetios, named, 104. Panathenses, Greater, when celebrated, memories of, 286. general in the Phædros, Athenian Pantikapæon, see Kertch. Lamian War, 282, 285. Panyasis, the Epic Poet (?), 21-23. Phanodikos, tyrant of Prokonnesos, 6. Paralos, the, how maintained, 193. Pharnabazos and Konon, 126. Parion, in the Quota-lists, 28, 48, 81. Phaselis, in the Quota-lists, 28, 47, 49. assecuted, 76. assessed, 74.

Phaselis, allied with Athens, 127. Piracy, 15, 44, 209, 295, 309, 319, 321, affected by peace of Antalkid as, 142. a merchant of, 318. Pheidias, his works, 46, 47. Fhigaleia (Phialeia), in the Chremonidean War, 287; cp. 290. Phila, wife of Demetrics Poliorketes. 248, 249, 281. Philemon, poet of the New Comedy, his death, 287. Philip, takes Amphipolis, 173, 185. his further aggressions, 182. besieges Methone, 185. the northern Kings combine with Athens against him, 187. occupies Krenides, 188 encroaches upon the Molossi, 195. abets different parties in the Greek states, 217, 227. interferes between Sparta and Messenia, 343. his death, 207. Philip Arrhideos, 211, 215, 235, 238. Philip V of Macedon, invited to Krete, 295. remonstrates with Rhodes, 313 foll. in Asia Minor, 315. close of the war with, 327. Philippides, the Comic poet, friend of Lysimachos, 271. Philiskos, agent of Ariobarzanes, 152. Philitos, slays a tyrant at Chios, 216. Philon, architect of the new Athenian arsenal, 231. Philopæmen, his policy, 330. Philoxenos the poet, named, 126. Phlius, shares in the Persian War, 12. allied with Athens, 168. a faithful ally of Sparta, 169. Φύβos, name of "Apys, 31. Pheenikia, Athenians in, 18; see Straton. pourinția = written characters, 16. Phokion, his career, 167, 197. his death, 239. Phokis, reaction against Thebes in, 163. Phormio, the Athenian general, his successes, 20. beloved by the Akarnanians, 150, 202. Phormio, an Akarnanian namesake of the preceding, 202. popos, abolished in the new Athenian Alliance, 136, 139; see Tribute. Phrynichos, assassinated, 105. different accounts of the event compared, 106. Phylarchos, the historian, named, 289-201. Pikres (Pigres), Karian prince, allied with Athens, 28. miránior, dikast's ticket, 203.

349. Pirmeus, garrisoned by Demetrics Poliorketes, 268, 273, 288. Pisistratos, son of Hippias, archon, 9. Platza, victory at, 11. Platseans, named in the Delphic bronse, I 2. πλάθα γιλίων, at Naupaktos, 121. Plynteria, the festival, 112. Pnytagoras, prince of Kypros, 233. Pœessa, in Keos, joins the new Alliance, 140, 142, 186; see Keos. πόλεις αύται ταξάμεναι, 47. Πολεμαΐος, i.e. Ptolemy, nephew of Antigonos Monophthalmos, 241. Poletæ, 32, 112. accounts of, 102. πολιάνθη, incense, 299. Polybios, his statue at Olympia, 344. elsewhere, 345. Polyeuktos, the friend of Demosthenes, 182. Polykrates of Samos, 260. Polysperchon, 235, 238. his struggle with Kassander, 239. Polystratos, named, 104. Pornopia, site of a temple of Apollo Smintheus, 236, 238. Poteidza, shared in the Persian War, 12. in the Quota-lists, 42, 49. Athenian victory at, 59, 60. kleruchs sent to, 65. taken by Philip, 182, 185. πρειγήτα πορηίω, i.e. πρεσβεία έφοδίου, 202. Prepelaos, general of Lysimachos, in Ionia, 257. πρεσβείων for πρεσβέων, 271. Priansion, allied with Hierapytna, 291. Priene, inscriptions from, Nos. 123, 124. in the Quota-lists, 41. assessed, 75 disputes with Samos, 259. **προδικία**, 120. Prokonnesos, before the Persian War, 7. in the Quota-lists, 41, 48, 80. Pronace (Proneion) of the Panthenon, its contents, 88, 98 foll. Pronnoi, in Kephallenia, joins the new Alliance, 140. Pronylas built, 50. προστάται at Amphipolis, 174. Прочтивет, 339. Prusias II, 339. invades Pergamene territory, 340. wourdress, at Athens, their powers, 36, 39, 63, 71, 72, 79, 123, 196. Pranimetichos I, employs Greek mercenarics, 5.

Psammetichos II, and his Greek mercenaries, 4. Ptolemy Lagi (Soter), 233. defeated by Demetrics Poliorketes, 247. assumes the title of king, 247. assists Athens against Demetrics, 273, 285. abdicates, 285. claimed descent from Philip of Macedon, 297. Ptolemy Philadelphos, arbitrates be-tween Samos and Priene, 261. marries his sister Arsince, 281, 297, 310. allied with Athens in the Chremoni-Ptolemy Euergetes, claims divine descent, 297. dean War, 286. bis inheritance, 206. invades the dominions of Seleukos II, 297, 306, 310. origin of his title of Euergetes, 297. Pylos, taken, 68, 81. Pyramids, the, 170. Pyrrhander, named. 135, 136, 140. Pyrrhos, king of the Molossi, his parentage, 195. saves Athens, 268. his first successes against Rome, 276, 277. invades the Peloponnese, 285. Quoit of Iphitos, 1. Quota-lists of Athenian tributaries, Nos. 24, 30, 35, 48. Quota of tribute payable to Athena, how reckoned, 26, 27, 64, 110. Rebulas, son of Seuthes king of the Odryse, 218. Revision of laws at Athens, 79, 112. Rhegion. treaty of, with Athens, 56. Rheneia and Delos, 56, 145, 146. Rhodes, joins the new Alliance, 140. seized by Idrieus of Karia, 193. besieged by Demetrios Poliorketes, 249. promerity of, 276. its Persea on the mainland, 315. its relations with Philip V, 313 foll. its fleet assists Rome against Antiochos the Great, 316. rewarded with the possession of Karia, 329. decline of, 319. Roman Republican misgovernment, 3:3. Rosetta stone, the, 311. Ruddle, or red ochre, from Kens, 185.

P. Rutilius Rufus, P. f., consul, 347. 348.

Salamis, battle of, 11. garrisoned by Antigonos Gonatas, 288. Salmakis, a district of Halikarnassos, 22. Samian War, 24, 39. origin of the Samian War, 260. Samos, inscriptions from, Nos. 90, 135, 148, 152. its democracy loyal to Athens, 121; cp. 142. occupied by kleruchs, 161, 232, 248. its exiles restored, 232, 248. disputes with Priene, 259. an Egyptian dependency, 306. Samothrace, in the Quota-lists, 42, 49, 8o. joins the new Alliance, 140. Satyros, king of Bosporos, 188. Scipio Æmilianus, his sentiments towards Greece, 345. Sculpture : - statue of Athena Parthenos, 46. 47, 89. statue of Athena Polias, 137. the 'Dying Gladiator,' 312. •• Nike by Pæonios, 81, 341. •• Zeus Eleutherios, 141. symbolical reliefs on stelæ, 59, 62, 125, 126, 168, 182, 184, 195, 204, 218. Seleukid dynasty, claimed Apollo as their progenitor, 281, 298, 299. difficulties of their empire, 308. $\Sigma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \kappa i s$, η , explained, 279, 300, 307. Seleukos I, his relations with the oracle of Branchidæ, 299. assumes the title of king, 247. Seleukos II, succeeds, 299, 306. at war with his brother Hierax, 299. his relations with the Ionian cities. 306. Selinus, inscription from, No. 25. its struggle with Egesta, 31. Selymbria, in the Quota-lists, 41, 48, 81. recovered to the Athenian alliance by Alkibiades. 111. joins the new Alliance, 141. Senatus Consulta, Nos. 159, 203; cp. pp. 342, 353 Seuthes, king of the Odrysse, communicates with Athens, 218. Sicilian Expedition, expenses of, 96-98. Sidonian merchants at Athens, 157. Sigeion, inscriptions from, Nos. 7, 165. in the Quota-lists, 41, 81. assessed, 77. Sikinos, how assessed, 73. joins the new Alliance, 141. Sikyon (Zervárice', shared in the Per-

Simonides, poems by, 3, 10.

вb

sian War, 11.

Siphnos, its share in the Persian War, 12. in the Quota-lists, 50. how assessed, 73. joins the new Alliance, 141. Skiathos, in the Quota-lists, 41, 49, 80. in the new Alliance, 140. Slaves, curious list of, with prices, 102-104. Smyrna, inscription from, No. 176. loval to Seleukos II, 306. a sorbs Magnesia ad Sipylum, 300 fall Social war, the, 179, 180, 184, 193, 213 Socii of Rome, their privileges, 337. Sokrates as prytanis, 36. Solon's legislation, 113. Soothsayers, see parrens. Sophokles the poet, as Hellenotamias, 39, 43. As general, 39. Σώτην, title of Antiochos I, 281, 200. title of Ptolemy Lagi, 296-297. Sparta, inscription from, No. 4 Spartans, their name on the Platsean tripod-stand, 11. their war-funds, how raised, 62. their factions ruin the Achæan League, 330. Spartokos III, king of Bosporos, 189. Spartokos IV, his relations with Athens, 268, 269. σταrviσθων, i.e. creanto, 294. Stele, cost of inscribing one, 141, 154, 156, etc. στεφανηφύρος, eponymous office at Mi-letos, 298, 339, 342. Stipendiaria Civitas, 336. Στοά βασιλεία, 112. Strabo, his text corrected, 237. πτρατηγοί, Athenian, how appointed, 18, 67. στρατηγύs, a translation of prætor, 331, 333, 334, 342. ό ἐπὶ τῶν ξένων στρατηγός, prætur peregrinus, 347. στρατηγύε υπατος for consul, explained, 326, 327, 329, 340. Stratokles of Amphipolis, banished, 173. Stratokles the Athenian orator, 241. his decree in honour of Lykurgos, 245-246. his servility, 262, 273. Straton, king of Sidon, his relations with Athens, 155. Stratonike, daughter of Demetrics Poliorketes, 258. marries Seleukos I, 258. marries her stepson Antiochos I, 281. her temple at Smyrna, 307 Stratonike, wife of Eumenes II, 325.

Styra in Eubosa, shared in the Persian war, 12. in the Quota lists, 43, 50. how assessed, 73. πύλα διδόναι, explained, 44 Sulla, his treatment of Athens, 231. his treatment of Ephesos, 354. his settlement of Asia, 355. σύλλογος, 22. σύμβολα, of public hespitality, 157. of dikasts, 203 δίκαι άπο συμβόλουν, 44, 128, 253. συναρχίαι explained, 249, 323. ouviar for ouveler, 7 Sunion, garrisoned by Antigonos Gonatas, 288. ouvoinio uos, of Elis, 8. of Hersea, 8. of Keos, 309. of Lebedos and Teos, 253. συντάξειs of the new Athenian Confederacy, 168, 180, 199. συντελείs, explained, 30. Synalos of Carthage, 242. Synod and ouvedpos, of the new Athenian Alliance, 139, 151, 154, 181, 199. . in the Lamian War, 229, 247. of Spartan confederacy, 288. Syrakuse, inscription from, No. 168. under Hiero I, 14. an Arkadian at, 17. under Dionysios I, 126, 150, 158. under Hiero II, 285. Tachos, king of Egypt, 169. Tacitus, a document referred to by him, No. 200. ταμίαι της θεού, 46, 52, 67, 157. ταμίαι των Ιερών χρημάτων της 'Αθηναίας, 88; Nos. 50, 51, 54. דמעומו דשי מאאשי טנשי, 52. ταμίας τής παράλου, 192. Tanagra, battle of, 23. Tanis in the Delta, an inscription from, No. 179. Târos, for Pthah, an Egyptian divinity, 170. Tarentum, its war with Thurii, 45 assisted by Pyrrhos against Rome, 276, 277. its relations with Athens, 277. Teges, inscription from, No. 171. shared in the Persian war, 11. in the Chremonidean war, 287. in the Arkadian League, 289. Teichiussa, tyrant of, 5. in the Quota-lists, 29. assessed, 74. Teisias, Athenian general against Melos, 96. repáry, templo-landa, 38, 56, 145 Temple registers, early inscribed, 1, 2.

Temples employed as banks, 51, 55, 66, 144, 319, 352. Tenedos (cp. *Besika Bay*), 199. in the Quota-lists, 41, 48, 81. assessed (?), 77. in the new Alliance, 140. Tenos, inscription from, No. 204. its share in the Persian War, 13. in the Quota-list, 49. how assessed, 73 joins the new Alliance, 140. deeply in debt, 349. a lepa vyos, 351. Teos, inscriptions from, Nos. 16, 149. condition of, after Mykale, 14. in the Quota-lists, 40. assessed, 74. intended colonization of, 249 foll. Tharypas, Molossian prince, 195. Thasos, when made tributary, 24. in the Quota lists, 28, 49, 80. revolts, 108, 116. Lysander at, 117 joins the new Alliance, 140. Thearides, brother of Dionysios I, named, 126. Theban inscriptions of Herodotos, 2. Thebans, join the new Athenian Alliance, 139. coalition against, 151. their power in Northern Greece, 163. expelled from Euboea, 181. Thebes, dedication by Mummius at, 340. Themistokles fortifies Athens, 13. Theodosia, a town in the Crimea, 191, 192. Theophrastos, the philosopher, his political conduct at Eresos, 214 Therma (afterwards Thes-alonika), its marble, 236, 238. Thersippos, general of Alexander, 235-238. Thespize, shares in the Persian war, 12. Athenian party at, 32. Thessalians, their treaty with Athens, 171. Thirty, the (ol rpiánorra), 116. Thirty years' truce, 29, 52. Thishe, senatus consultum concerning, 331. Θρήκιος φύρος (ἐπὶ Θράκης φ.), 29, 41, _____48, 71, 80. Thrasybulos, assassin of Phrynichos, 105. Thrasybules (Κολλυτεύς), a friend of the restorer of the democracy, 140, 141. Thrasybulos (Sreepieus), restores the demoeracy, 113, 136. Thukydides, the historian, documents cited by him, 9, 93. his text, 94.

39. Thurii, its war with Tarentum, 45. Timber for shipbuilding, etc., whence obtained, 130, 233. Timotheos, Athenian general, his career, 141, 149, 154, 161, 165. TIP for TIS, 7. Tiryns, shared in the Persian War, 12. τόκος έπι δραχμή (δραχμιαίος), 66, 350. τόκος τετραβύλου, 351. τράπεζα, an altar table, 171, 322. Treasure-lists of the Parthenon. Nos. :0. 51, 54. at Ægina, No. 60. at Samos, No. 90. at Branchidæ, Nos. 175, 197. Treaties, Nos. 8, 23, 28, 31, 39, 40, 52, 58, 65, 66, 67, 73, 74, 78, 79, 80, 81, 83, 86, 88, 93, 94, 97, 100, 104, 106, 108, 109, 112, 169, 172, 176, 187, 203. Tribes, importance of Athenian, 18, 200, 239. increased to twelve at Athens, 262. at Mylasa, 177. Tributaries, lists of Athenian, Nos. 24. 30, 35, 47. 48. their probable number, 30. Tribute, Athenian (popos), how assessed, 30, 40, 47. 64. 71, 72, 79, 110. total amount of, 30. whether doubled by Alkibiades, 78. when paid, 63. how paid, 36. transferred from Delos to Athens. 29. Tripod stand from Delphi, the Platean, 11 foll. τριττύαρχοι, tribal officers at Athens, 264. Tposárion (Truzen), shared in the Persian War, 12. τύπos, i.e. formula, actio, 356. Tyla, headquarters of the Gaul- in Thrace, 282. Tyrante, the earlier, 5, 6, 17, 22. in the 4th century, 193, 208-215. 216-217. Arkadian, 297, 322. See Karia, Luchares, Nabis, Syrakuse. Usury, see Interest, Loans, Tónos. Vienna, inscription at, No. 74. Votes. record of, 209, 343. of the States engaged in the Lamian WAR, 229.

Thukydides, son of Melesias, banished,

Walls of Athens, built by Themistokles, 13. B b 2 Walls of Athens, rebuilt after the

Ware-expenses, how met at Sparts, 62.
ware-expenses, how met at Sparts, 62.
at Athens, 58, 66, 94, 165, 288.
against the Gauls, under the Seleukidæ, 278.
Wood, writing upon, 1.
Writing upon, 1.

Writing, when introduced into Greece, I.

Eerindr Sinasthpion, 224. Eevoðíkai, 44.

Year, how reckoned in Asia Minor, 344. how reckoned at Athens, 67, 97, 143.

Zakynthos, its factions, 142, cp. 155. Zeno, the Stoic, his influence, 287. Zenobios, general of Mithradates, 353. Zeis Náios of Dodona, 277.
 Zeis 'Aμάριοs (Ομάριος, 'Ομαγύριος), of the Achæan League, 322.
 Zopyrion, Macedonian general, defeated in Scythia, 218.

THE END.

Clarendon Press, Orford.

SELECT LIST OF STANDARD WORKS.

DICTIONARIES							•	Page 1.
LAW	•	•	•	•	•			,, 2.
HISTORY, BIO	RAI	PH 1	r, etc).		•		., 3.
PHILOSOPHY,	LOG	IC,	ETC.				•	,, 6.
PHYSICAL SCI	INC	8.	•	•	•	•	•	., 7.

1. DICTIONARIES.

A New English Dictionary on Historical Principles, founded mainly on the materials collected by the Philological Society. Imperial 4to. In Parts, price 128. 6d. each.

Vol. I (A and B), half morocco, 2l. 128. 6d.

Part IV, Section 2, C-CASS, beginning Vol. II, price 5s.

Part V, CAST-CLIVY, price 12s. 6d.

Edited by James A. H. Murray, LL.D.

Part VI, CLO-CON. Immediately.

Vol. III, Part I, edited by Henry Bradley, M.A., price 125. 6d.

- An Etymological Dictionary of the English Language, arranged on an Historical Basis. By W. W. Skeat, Litt.D. Second Edition. 4to. 21. 4s.
- A Middle-English Dictionary, containing Words used by English Writers from the Twelfth to the Fifteenth Century. By Francis Henry Stratmann. A new edition, re-arranged, revised, and enlarged by Henry Bradley. 4to, half-bound, 11. 11a. 6d.
- An Anglo-Saxon Dictionary, based on the MS. collections of the late Joseph Bosworth, D.D. Edited and enlarged by Prof. T. N. Toller, M.A., Owens College, Manchester. Parts I-III. A-SAR 4to, stiff covers. 15s. each. Part IV (completing the Work) in the Press.
- An Icelandic-English Dictionary, based on the MS. collections of the late Richard Cleasby. Enlarged and completed by G. Vigfásson, M.A. With an Introduction, and Life of Richard Cleasby, by G. Webbe Dasont, D.C.L. 4to. 31. 74.
- A Greek-English Lexicon, by H. G. Liddell, D.D., and Robert Scott, D.D. Screnth Edition, Recised and Augmented throughout. 4to. 12. 16a.

Oxford : Clarendon Press. London ; HENRY FROWDE, Amen Corner, E.C.

- An Intermediate Greek-English Lexicon, founded upon the Seventh Edition of Liddell and Scott's Greek Lexicon. Small 4to. 132.6d.
- A Latin Dictionary, founded on Andrews' edition of Freund's Latin Dictionary, revised, enlarged, and in great part rewritten by Charlton T. Lewis, Ph.D., and Charles Short, LL.D. 4to. 11. 5s.
- A School Latin Dictionary. By Charlton T. Lewis, Ph.D. Small 4to. 18s.
- A Sanskrit-English Dictionary. Etymologically and Philologically arranged, with special reference to Greek, Latin, German, Anglo-Saxon, English, and other cognate Indo-European Languages. By Sir M. Monier-Williams, D.C.L. 4to. 41. 14s. 6d.
- Thesaurus Syriacus: collegerunt Quatremère, Bernstein, Lorsbach, Arnoldi, Agrell, Field, Roediger: edidit R. Payne Smith, S.T.P. Vol. I, containing Fasc. I-V, sm. fol. 51. 58. Fasc. VI. 11. 1s.

Fasc, VII. 11, 11s. 6d. Fase. VIII. 11, 16s.

2. LAW.

- Anson. Principles of the English Law of Contract, and of Agency in its Relation to Contract. By Sir W. R. Anson, D.C.L. Sixth Edition. 8vo. 108. 6d.
- Law and Custom of the Constitution. Part L. Parliament. 8vo. 100.6d.
- Bentham. An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation. By Jeremy Bentham. Crown 8vo. 6a. 6d.
- An Introduction to Digby. the History of the Lane of Real Property. By Kenelm E. Digby, M.A. Third Edition, 870, 100, 6d.
- Grueber. Lex Aquilia. The Roman Law of Damage to Property: being a Commentary on the Title of the Digest 'Ad Legem Aquiliam' (ix. 2). With an Introduction to the Study of the Corpus Iuris Civilis. By Erwin Grueber, Dr. Jur., M.A. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

- Hall. International Law. By W. E. Hall, M.A. Third Edition. 8vo. 228.6d.
- Holland. Elements of Jurisprudence. By T. E. Holland, D.C. L. Fifth Edition. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- The European Concert in the Eastern Question ; a Collection of Treaties and other Public Acts. Edited, with Introductions and Notes, by T. E. Holland, D.C.L. 8vo. 128. 6d.
- Gentilis, Alberici, Holland. De Jure Belli Libri Tres. Edidit T. E. Holland, L.C.D. Small 4to. half morocco, 21s.
- Holland. The Institutes of Justinian, edited as a recension of the Institutes of Gaius, by T. E. Holland, D.C.L. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 5s.

Oxford : Clarendon Press.

Holland and Shadwell. Select Tilles from the Digest of Justinian. By T. E. Holland, D.C.L., and C. L. Shadwell, B.C.L. 8vo. 14s.

Also sold in Parts, in paper covers, as follows :---

Part I. Introductory Titles. 22.6d.

Part II. Family Law. 1s.

Part III. Property Law. 28. 6d. Part IV. Law of Obligations (No. 1). 38. 6d.

Part IV. Law of Obligations (No. 2). 42. 6d.

- Markby. Elements of Law considered with reference to Principles of General Jurisprudence. By Sir William Markby, D.C.L. Fourth Edition. 8vo. 12a, 6d.
- Moyle. Imperatoris Iustiniani Institutionum Libri Quattuor; with Introductions, Commentary, Excursus and Translation. By J. B. Moyle, D.C.L. Second Edition. 2vols. 8vo. Vol. I. 16s. Vol. II. 6s.
- Pollock and Wright. An Essay on Possession in the Common Law.

By Sir F. Pollock, M.A., and R. S. Wright, B.C.L. (Now the Hon. Mr. JUSTICE WRIGHT). 8vo. 8s. 6d.

- Poste. Gaii Institutionum Juris Civilis Commentarii Quattuor; or, Elements of Roman Law by Gaius. With a Translation and Commentary by Edward Poste, M.A. Third Edition. 8vo. 18s.
- Raleigh. An Outline of the Law of Property. By Thos. Raleigh, M.A. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- Stokes. The Anglo-Indian Codes. By Whitley Stokes, LL.D.
 Vol. I. Substantive Law. Svo. 30s.
 Vol. II. Adjective Law. 8vo. 35s.
 A Supplement to the above, 1887-1888. Stiff covers, 2s. 6d.
- Twiss. The Law of Nations considered as Independent Political Communities. By Sir Travers Twiss, D.C.L.
 - Part I. On the Rights and Duties of Nations in time of Peace. New Edition. 8vo. 15s.
 - Part II. On the Rights and Duties of Nations in time of War. Second Edition. 8vo. 214.

3. HISTORY, BIOGRAPHY, ETC.

Baker's Chronicle. Chronicon Galfridi le Baker de Sugmebroke. Edited with Notes by Edward Maunde Thompson, Hon. LL.D. St. Andrews; Hon. D.C.L. Durham; F.S.A.; Principal Librarian of the British Museum. Small 4to, stiff covers, 18a; cloth, gilt top, 21a.

Bentham. A Fragment on Greenment. By Jeremy Bentham. Edited with an Introduction by F. C. Montague, M.A. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

- Bentham. An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation. By Jeremy Bentham. Crown Svo. 6s. 6d.
- Boswell's Life of Samuel Johnson, LL.D. Edited by G. Birkbeck Hill, D.C.L. In six volumes, medium 8vo. With Portraits and Facsimiles. Half bound, 31, 34

London : HENRY FROWDE, Amen Corner, E.C.

- Calendar of the Clarendon State Papers, preserved in the Bodleian Library. In three volumes. 1869-76. Vol. I. From 1523 to January 1649. 8vo. 18a. Vol. II. From 1649 to 1654. 16a. Vol. III. From 1655 to 1657. 148
- Calendar of Charters and Rolls preserved in the Bodleian Library. 8vo. 11, 112. 6d.
- Carte's Life of James Duke of Ormond. 6 vols. 8vo. 11. 54.
- Clarendon's History of the Rebellion and Civil Wars in England. Re-edited from a fresh collation of the original MS. in the Bodleian Library, with marginal dates and occasional notes, by W. Dunn Macray, M.A., F.S.A. 6 vols. Crown 8vo. 21. 5s.
- ------ History of the Rebellion and Ciril Wars in England. Also his Life, written by himself, in which is included a Continuation of his History of the Grand Rebellion. Royal Svo. 11, 25.
- ----- Life, including a Continuation of his History. 2 vols. medium 8vo. 11. 26.
- Earle. Handbook to the Land-Charters, and other Saxonic Documents, By John Earle, M.A., Professor of Anglo-Saxon in the University of Oxford. Crown 8vo. 16s.

- Finlay. A History of Greece from its Conquest by the Romans to the present time, B. C. 146 to A. D. 1864. By George Finlay, LLD. A new Edition, revised throughout, and in partre-written, with considerable additions, by the Author, and edited by H. F. Tozer, M.A. 7 vols. 8vo. 3¹. 106.
- Fortescue. The Governance of England: otherwise called The Difference between an Absolute and a Limited Monarchy. By Sir John Fortescue, Kt. A Revised Text. Edited, Notes, with Introduction, etc., by Charles Plummer, M.A. 8vo, half bound, 12a. 6d.
- Freeman. The History of Sicily from the Earliest Times. Vols. I. and II. 8vo. Cloth, 21. 25. Just Published.
- —— History of the Norman Conquest of England; its Causes and Results. By E. A. Freeman, D.C.L. In Six Volumes. 8vo. 51. 9s. 6d.
- The Reign of William Rufus and the Accession of Henry the First. 2 vols. 8vo. 11, 16s.
- ----- A Short History of the Norman Conquest of England. Second Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
- Gardiner. The Constitutional Documents of the Purilan Revolution, 1628-1660. Selected and Edited by Samuel Rawson Gardiner, M.A. Crown 8vo. 9s.
- Gascoigne's Theological Dictionary ('Liber Veritatum'): Selected Passages, illustrating the Condition of Church and State, 1403-1458. With an Introduction by James E. Thorold Rogers, M.A. 4to. 102 6d.

Uxford : Clarendon Press.

4

- Greswell. History of the Dominion of Canada. By W. PARE GRESWELL, M.A. Under the auspices of the Royal Colonial Institute. Crown 8vo. With Eleven Maps. 7^{e.} 6d.
 - ---- Geography of the Dominion of Canada and Newfoundland. By the same Author. Crown 8vo. With Ten Maps. 6s.
- Gross. The Gild Merchant; a Contribution to British Municipal History. By Charles Gross, PR.D. Instructor in History, Harvard University. 2 vols. 8vo. Half-bound, 24s.
- Hodgkin. Italy and her Inraders. With Plates and Maps. By T. Hodgkin, D.C.L. Vols. I-IV, A.D. 376-553. 8vo. 3l. 8s.
- The Dynasty of Theodosius; or, Seventy Years' Struggle with the Barbarians. By the same Author. Crown 8vo. 6s.
- Hume. Letters of David Hume 19 William Strahan. Edited with Notes, Index, &c., by G. Birkbeck Hill, D.C.L. 8vo. 128. 6d.
- Kitchin. A History of France. With Numerous Maps, Plans, and Tables. By G. W. Kitchin, D.D. In three Volumes. Second Edition. Crown Svo, each 10s. 6d.
 - Vol. I. to 1453. Vol. II. 1453-1624. Vol. III. 1624-1793.
- Luttrell's (Narcissus) Diary. A Brief Historical Relation of State Affairs, 1678-1714. 6 vols. 8vo. 11. 42.
- Lucas. Introduction to a Historical Geography of the British Colonies. By C. P. Lucas, B.A. With Eight Maps. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

- Lucas. Historical Geography of the British Colonies :
 - Vol. I. The Mediterranean and Eastern Colonies (exclusive of India). With Eleven Maps. Crown Svo. 5s.
 - Vol. II. The West Indian Colonies. With Twelve Maps. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- Machiavelli. *Il Principe*. Edited by L. Arthur Burd, M.A. With an Introduction by Lord Acton. 8vo. Cloth, 14s.
- Ranke. A History of England, principally in the Seventeenth Century. By L. von Ranke. Translated under the superintendence of G. W. Kitchin, D.D., and C. W. Boase, M.A. 6 vols. 8vo. 3l. 3s.
- Rawlinson. A Manual of Ancient History. By George Rawlinson, M.A. Second Edition. 8vo. 14s.
- Rhýs. Studies in the Arthurian Legend. By John Rhýs, M.A. 8vo. 128. 6d.
- Ricardo. Letters of David Ricardo to T. R. Makhus (1810-1823). Edited by James Bonar, M.A. 8vo. 108. 6d.
- Rogers. History of Agriculture and Prices in England, A.D. 1259-1702. By James E. Thorold Rogers, M.A. 6 vols., 8vo. 71. 24.
- ----- First Nine Years of the Bank of England. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- Protests of the Lords, induding those which have been expunded, from 1624 to 1874; with Historical Introductions. In three volumes. 8vo. 21, 22.
- Smith's Wealth of Nations. With Notes, by J. E. Thorold Rogers, M.A. 2 vols. 8vo. 218.

London : HENRY FROWDE, Amen Corner, E.C.

- Sprigg's England's Recovery ; being the History of the Army under Sir Thomas Fairfax. 8vo. 6s.
- Stubbs. Select Charters and other Illustrations of English Constitutional History, from the Earliest Times to the Reign of Edward I. Arranged and edited by W. Stubba, D.D., Lord Bishop of Oxford. Seventh Edition. Crown 8vo. 8s. 6d.
 - The Constitutional History of England, in its Origin and Development. Library Edition. 3 vola. Demy 8vo. 21. 8s.

Also in 3 vols. crown 8vo. price 12s. each.

----- Seventeen Lectures on the Study of Medieral and Modern History, delicered at Oxford 1867-1884. Crown 8vo. 8s. 6d.

- Stubbe. Registrum Sacrum Anglicanum. An attempt to exhibit the course of Episcopal Succession in England. By W. Stubbe, D.D. Small 4to. 8s. 6d.
- Wellealey. A Selection from the Despatches, Triaties, and other Papers of the Marguess Wellesley, K.G., during his Government of India. Edited by S. J. Owen, M.A. 8vo. 12 42.
- Wellington. A Selection from the Despatches, Treaties, and other Papers relating to India of Field-Marshal the Duke of Wellington, K.G. Edited by S. J. Owen, M.A. 8vo. 11. 42.
- Whitelock's Memorials of English Afairs from 1625 to 1660. 4 vols. 8vo. 11, 106.

4. PHILOSOPHY, LOGIC, ETC.

- Bacon. The Essays. With Introduction and Illustrative Notes. By S. H. REYNOLDS, M.A. 8vo, half bound. 128.6d.
- Novum Organum. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, &c., by T. Fowler, D.D. Second Edition. 8vo. 152.
- ----- Novum Organum. Translated by G. W. Kitchin, D.D. 8vo. gs. 6d.
- Berkeley. The Works of George Berkeley, D.D., formerly Bishop of Cloyne; including many of his writings hitherto unpublished. With Prefaces, Annotations, and an Account

of his Life and Philosophy, by Alexander Campbell Fraser, LL.D. 4 vols. 8vo. 2. 18s.

The Life, Lotters, Ac., separately, 16s.

- Bossanquet. Logic; or, the Morphology of Knowledge. By B. Bosanquet, M.A. 8vo. 215.
- Butler's Works, with Index to the Analogy. 2 vols. 8vo. 118.
- Fowler. The Elements of Deductive Logic, designed mainly for the use of Junior Students in the Universities. By T. Fowler, D.D. Ninth Edition, with a Collection of Examples. Extra fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- The Elements of Inductive Logic, designed mainly for the use of Students in the Universities. By the same Author. Fifth Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 6s.

Oxford : Clarendon Press.

- Fowler. The Principles of Morals. (Introductory Chapters.) By T. Fowler, D.D., and J. M. Wilson, B.D. 8vo, boards, 3s. 6d.
- —— The Principles of Morals. Part II. By T. Fowler, D.D. 8vo. 102. 6d.
- Green. Prolegomenato Ethics. By T. H. Green, M.A. Edited by A. C. Bradley, M.A. 8vo. 128. 6d.
- Hegel. The Logic of Hegel; translated from the Encyclopaedia of the Philosophical Sciences. With Prolegomena by William Wallace, M.A. 8vo. 142.
- Hume's Treatise of Human Nature. Edited, with Analytical Index, by L. A. Selby-Bigge, M.A. Crown 8vo. 9s.

5. PHYSICAL

- Aplin. The Birds of Oxfordshire. By O. V. Aplin. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- Chambers. A Handbook of Descriptive and Practical Astronomy. By G. F. Chambers, F.R.A.S. Fourth Edition, in 3 vols. Deny 8vo.
 - Vol. I. The Sun, Planets, and Comets. 216.
 - Vol. II. Instruments and Practical Astronomy. 21s.
 - Vol. III. The Starry Heavens. 142.
- Do Bary. Comparative Anatomy of the Vegetative Organs of the Phanerogams and Ferns. By Dr. A. Do Bary. Translated and Annotated by F. O. Bower, M.A., F.L.S., and D. H. Scott, M.A., Ph.D., F.L.S. Royal &vo, half morocco, 11, 24, 6d.
- Comparative Morphology and Biology of Fungi, Mycetosoa and Bacteria. By Dr. A. De Bary. Translated by II. E. F. Garnsey, M.A. Revised by Isaac Bayley

- Locke's Conduct of the Understanding. Edited by T. Fowler, D.D. Third Edition. Extra fcap. 8vo. 28. 6d.
- Lotze's Logic, in Three Books; of Thought, of Investigation, and of Knowledge. English Translation; Edited by B. Bosanquet, M.A. Second Edition, 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 12s.
- Metaphysic, in Three Books; Ontology, Cosmology, and Psychology. English Translation; Edited by B. Bosanquet, M.A. Second Edition. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 12s.
- Martineau. Types of Ethical Theory. By James Martineau, D.D. Third Edition. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 15s.
 - A Study of Religion: its Sources and Contents. Second Edition. 2 vols. Cr. 8vo. 155.

SCIENCE.

Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Royal 8vo, half morocco, 12 22. 6d.

- Do Bary. Lectures on Bacteria. By Dr. A. De Bary. Second Improved Edition. Translated by H. E. F. Garnsey, M.A. Revised by Isaac Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Crown 8vo. 6s.
- Fisher. A Class Book of Elementary Chemistry. By W. W. Fisher, M.A., F.C.S. Crown 8vo. 42.6d.
- Chemistry in Space. By Van't Hoff. Translated and edited by J. E. Marsh, B.A. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- Goebel. Outlines of Classification and Special Morphology of Plants, A new Edition of Sachs' Text-Book of Botany, Book II. By Dr. K. Goebel. Translated by H. E. F. Garnsey, M.A. Revised by Isaac Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Royal Svo, half morocco, 14. 14.

London : HENRY FROWDF, Amen Corner, E.C.

- Sachs. Lectures on the Physiciogy of Plants. By Julius von Sechs. Translated by H. Marshall Ward, M.A., F.L.S. Royal 8vo, half morecoo, 11, 114, 6d.
- ----- A History of Botany. Translated by H. E. F. Garnsey, M.A. Edited by I. Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Crown 8vo. 108.
- Solms-Laubach. Introduction to Fossil Botany. By Count H. von Solms-Laubach. Authorised English Translation, by H. E. F. Garnsey, M.A. Revised by Isaac Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S. Royal 8vo, half morocco, 18s.
- Annals of Botany. Edited by Isaac Bayley Balfour, M.A., M.D., F.R.S., Sydney H. Vines, D.Sc., F.R.S., and W. G. Farlow, M.D.
 - Vol. I. Parts I-IV. Royal 8vo, half morocco, gilt top, 11. 16a. Vol. II. Parts V-VIII. 21. 2a. Vol. III. Part IX. 11a. 6d.; X. 13a. 6d.; XI. 18s.; XII. in the Press.
 - Vol. IV. Part XIII. 12s. 6d.; XIV. 13s. 6d.; XV. 10s. 6d.; XVI. in the Press.
 - Vol. V. Part XVII. 10s. 6d.
- Biological Series. (Translations of Foreign Biological Memoirs.)
 - I. The Physiology of Nerre, of Muscle, and of the Electrical Organ. Edited by J. Burdon-Sanderson, M. D., F.R.SS. L. & E. Medium 8vo. 11. 18.

- II. The Anatomy of the Frog. By Dr. Alexander Ecker, Professor in the University of Freiburg. Translated, with numerous Annotations and Additions, by G. Haslam, M.D. Med.8vo. 218.
- III. Contributions to the History of the Physiology of the Nervous System. By Professor Conrad Eckhard. Translated by Miss Edith Prance. In Preparation.
- IV. Essays upon Heredity and Kindred Biological Problems. By Dr. August Weismann, Translated and Edited by E. B. Poulton, M.A., Selmar Schönland, Ph.D., and Arthur E. Shipley, M.A. Medium 8vo. 16e.
- Prestwich. Geology, Chemical, Physical, and Stratigraphical. By Joseph Prestwich, M.A., F.R.S. In two Volumes.
 - Vol. I. Chemical and Physical. Royal 8vo. 11. 5s.
 - Vol. II. Stratigraphical and Physical. With a new Geological Map of Europe. Royal 8vo. 1l. 16c.
 - New Geological Map of Europe. In case or on roller. 5a.
- Rolleston and Jackson. Forms of Animal Life. A Manual of Comparative Anatomy, with descriptions of selected types. By George Rolleston, M.D., F.R.S. Second Edition. Revised and Enlarged by W. Hatchett Jackson, M.A. Medium Svo. 11, 16s.

Oxford

AT THE CLARENDON PRESS LONDON: HENRY FROWDE OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE, AMEN CORNER, E.C.

-

016 010 STO

. 4

